


[]
200-12-8-04.

Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 155

DATED 7.3.06



vo

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget. Recording papers relating to the
general review of the — for the current year
under 22 - Education.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.


Enclosures

Regd.

1906.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 155, 7th March 1906.



Budget.

Recording papers relating to the general review of the — for the current year under
22.—EDUCATION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Memorandum No. 512, Educational, dated 7th September 1905.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit at a very early date his general review of his whole budget with proposals for reappropriation of the sum of Rs. 50,000 which it is still proposed to contribute on account of University education and which under the orders of the Government of India has, if possible, to be met out of the grant under 22.—EDUCATION.

He is requested to treat this reference as very emergent.

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Madras, the 20th September 1905.

No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 7700.

I have the honour to submit a general review of the budget for the current year under 22.—EDUCATION as called for in Memorandum No. 512, Educational, dated the 7th instant.

Direction.—Owing to the absence of the permanent Deputy Director for two months early in the year and to the personal allowance provided for him not having been sanctioned, there is likely to be a saving of Rs. 1,900 and the allotment of Rs. 100 for honoraria will also not be utilized. Against this saving, however, there is likely to be an excess of about Rs. 6,000—Rs. 300 my increment for three months, Rs. 200 under contingencies owing to enhanced municipal tax, Rs. 400 under travelling allowance and about Rs. 5,000 towards the cost of the pictures for the Art Loan Collection which have now been received from England. There is thus likely to be an excess of about Rs. 4,000 under this head.

Inspection.—Owing to the absence of Mr. Stone on leave and the appointment of an acting officer on lower salary, the retirement of Miss Carr and the full number of additional Sub-Assistants not having yet been appointed, there is likely to be a saving of about Rs. 18,500. Out of this, however, Rs. 3,000 have been proposed in this office letter, Cur. No. 6675, dated 8th August 1905, to be transferred for stipends for the special batch of students trained for the rural schools, Rs. 800 are proposed to be taken up for the preparation of illustrated pattern books in the School of Arts (this office letter, Ref. on Cur. No. 6219, dated the 20th September 1905), and a sum of Rs. 2,200 has been reported in this office letter to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, Ref. on Cur. No. 5612, dated the 23rd August 1905, as not required owing to the constitution of a portion of the Vizagapatam Agency into local board. If this last sum is resumed, the net saving will thus be Rs. 12,500.

Government Colleges, General.—There is likely to be an excess of about Rs. 24,000 under this head mainly owing to the probable savings for which credit has been taken in the budget having been over-estimated.

Government Colleges, Professional.—Consequent on the absence of Mr. Nelson on leave and the lower salaries drawn by the acting Principal and the acting Junior Professor, a saving of Rs. 9,000 is expected in the Law College, of which Rs. 2,300 have been proposed to be utilized for the Breeks' Memorial High School, Ootacamund (G.O., No. 517, Educational, dated 28th July 1905) and Rs. 6,000 have been proposed for stipends (this office letter, Cur. No. 6675, dated 8th August 1905). In the College of Agriculture owing to the post of Vice-Principal being vacant and the acting First Assistant drawing less than the salary provided, there will be a saving of Rs. 8,000. In the College of Engineering and the Training Colleges there are not likely to be any savings. The net saving under this head will thus be Rs. 8,000.

Government Schools, General.—Owing to the transfer of some of the Vizagapatam Agency schools to local board, there will be a saving of Rs. 11,600, but Government have been informed in my letter, Ref. on Cur. No. 5612, dated 23rd August 1905, that excluding what is required for the schools in the Gódvári district which have come back to Government control the balance may be resumed. The lump allotment (Rs. 35,868) for the reorganization of girls' schools will, it is hoped, be all utilized as the scheme has now been sanctioned.

Government Schools, Special.—There is not likely to be any saving under this head. On the other hand an additional allotment of about Rs. 9,000 will be required to meet the salary and exchange compensation allowance of Mr. Brand and the personal allowance of Mr. Chatterton. Of the lump provision of Rs. 15,000 for the reorganization of Training schools, Rs. 1,430 have been proposed to be taken up to meet the cost of land required for the Jeypore Lower Secondary school (G.O., No. 303, Educational, dated the 9th May 1905) and Rs. 9,000 for stipends for the special batch of students (this office letter, Cur. No. 6675, dated the 8th August 1905), and the balance will be required to meet the cost of reorganization if it should come into effect from January next.

Grants-in-aid.—Under this head there will be no saving, an excess of about Rs. 16,000 under salary grants and stipendiary grants being set off against anticipated savings under building grants and grants for furniture and other special objects.

Scholarships and Miscellaneous.—Under scholarships there will hardly be any saving, while under the latter there may be a saving of Rs. 1,000.

Owing to the transference of the educational services in the Bhadrachalam Taluk Board to Government control, the allotment of Rs. 15,500 included in the provision of Rs. 3,43,000 under "Adjusting heads—Contribution from Provincial to Local funds—Education" towards the payment of contribution to the above taluk board to meet the cost of maintenance of educational services will not be required, and if this amount is transferred to the head 22—EDUCATION with reference to Memorandum No. 538, Educational, dated 16th instant the position will be this—

Excess.		Saving.	
	RS.		RS.
Direction	4,000	Inspection	12,500
Arts Colleges	24,000	Government Colleges, Professional	8,000
Government Schools, Special	9,000	Miscellaneous	1,000
			21,500
		Add Adjusting heads	15,500
Total	37,000	Total	37,000

It will thus be seen that it is not possible to meet out of the grant for 22—EDUCATION the sum of Rs. 50,000 which it is proposed to contribute on account of University Education.

2. The special grant of 6 lakhs for primary education has not been taken into account, as in G.O., No. 520, Financial, dated 5th August 1905, this sum has been transferred to the head 45.—CIVIL WORKS. I may mention, however, that of this provision Rs. 5,46,500 have been already allotted and there remains only a small sum of Rs. 53,500 which will also soon be allotted.

III

Memorandum No. 600, Educational, dated 10th October 1905.

The general review of the budget estimates under 22.—EDUCATION for 1905-1906 submitted by the Director of Public Instruction is forwarded to the Accountant-General with the request that he will be so good as to check and return it as early as possible. If any revision of the Director's figures be necessary it should be carried out in consultation with him.

(By order.)

A. GALLIETI,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

IV

Letter— from K. B. WAGLE, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 30th November 1905.
No.—Bud. 733/10080.

I have the honour to return herewith the General Review of the Budget Estimates under 22.—EDUCATION for 1905-1906 received with Government Memorandum No. 600, dated the 10th October 1905, and to enclose herein a copy of the Director's letter No. 7700, dated 18th November 1905, received in reply to a reference made by me as to the probable expenditure of the Educational Department during the current year. It will be observed from this communication that the Director after providing Rupees 60,000 on account of grant-in-aid to the University anticipates an excess expenditure of Rs. 81,300 over the altered grant. He attributes this increase mainly to the provision of Rs. 45,000 for the University, the addition of Rs. 17,000 under results cadet and fixed grants and the sum of Rs. 15,000 under adjusting heads for contribution to the Vizagapatam and Koraput Boards.

2. It is doubtful whether the excess expenditure of Rs. 37,000 anticipated by the Director under *Inspection* in the residual period of the current year will be actually incurred, nor am I at present in a position to say if the special allotments referred to by him under *Schools—Special* will be fully utilised; but as the total Budget Grant for the current year includes an excess provision of Rs. 1,90,000 (excluding Rs. 6,00,000 for primary education) over the actuals of 1904-1905, and as the increased expenditure in the first half of the current year is only Rs. 66,000 and the amount resumed by Government till now is Rs. 15,500 only, it seems probable that some saving may be anticipated in the total grant for Education.

3. If Government approves, the sum of Rs. 50,000 which it is proposed to contribute on account of University Education, may, for the present, be reappropriated as follows:—

	RS.
Out of the lump provision of Rs. 1,34,000 for revision of scale of Sub-Assistant Inspectors	30,000
Out of the lump provision of Rs. 36,000 for the reorganization of Girls' Schools	10,000
Out of Rs. 3,50,000 for Grants-in-aid-payments by results	10,000
Total	50,000

4. The Director of Public Instruction may be asked to apply if necessary for additional allotments under the above heads in February next.

5. The salaries and allowances of Mr. Chatterton have since been retransferred to the head 22.—EDUCATION in my accounts for October 1905.

ENCLOSURE.

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Accountant-General, Madras.

Dated—Madras, the 18th November 1905.

No.—7700.

With reference to your letter No. Bud. 623/8940, dated the 2nd instant, I have the honour to state that the revised estimates shown in the budget estimates for next year being based on later particulars may be accepted in preference to the figures in the review. In the following remarks I deal with the probable expenditure under "grants-in-aid", "miscellaneous" and University as well as with the points raised by you, so as to make the summary at the end complete.

Direction.—The Director's travelling allowance for the tour to Simla to attend the Conference of Directors and the travelling allowance for the tour with the University Colleges inspection Commission have alone amounted to over Rs. 1,700 and some more money will be required for future tours. Hence the excess of Rs. 1,200 under travelling allowances. As a matter of fact, a sum of Rs. 1,000 has already been transferred to this head from the allotment for furniture, etc., grants in Proceedings, Dis. No. 6646, dated 16th October 1905.

Inspection.—In the review, allowance was made for the appointment of eight Sub-Assistants yet to be entertained to meet the contingency of their being appointed from 1st October 1905; but in the revised estimates provision on that account was included for only three months. This accounts mainly for the difference. The revised estimates show a saving of Rs. 20,200 and, with reference to the actuals of the first half-year under salaries of Sub-Assistants' establishment, a further saving of Rs. 5,000 may be expected owing to the new Sub-Assistants not having been provided with the full complement of establishment. A sum of Rs. 2,200 having now been resumed in G.O., No. 694, Financial, dated 12th October 1905, the net saving will be Rs. 23,000. This will leave Rs. 2,46,000 for expenditure during the second half-year against Rs. 2,09,000 in the first half, the excess being Rs. 37,000. This excess is expected under Sub-Assistants' establishment assuming that the full sanctioned establishment will be working during the second half-year, under travelling allowance as it is likely that more frequent tours will be made and that more money will be spent on this account and under contingencies when the full allotment might be spent before the close of the year.

Government Colleges, General.—A saving of Rs. 400 under establishments was not taken into account and the excess under salaries was somewhat overestimated in the review.

Government Colleges, Professional.—The difference between the review and the revised estimates under the College of Agriculture is due to an excess of Rs. 3,000 under "Supplies and Services" subsequently found to be needed for the College, to meet the increased prices of fodder and cattle food and the charges of experiments on the farm with the oil engine which Mr. Chatterton is making and the cost of which falls on the farm.

Government Schools, General.—The balance of Rs. 5,600 out of the saving of Rs. 11,600 was not taken into account as Government had been informed that that amount would be set free by the transfer of a portion of the Vizagapatam Agency to local board and as it was thought that Government would transfer it to adjusting heads and that consequently it would go out of 22.—EDUCATION. But it has been taken into account in the revised estimates, as also the saving of Rs. 700 in the lump provision for schools transferred from the Central Provinces. The revised estimates under this head show a saving of Rs. 4,200 which is the net result of the savings of Rs. 11,600 and Rs. 700 above referred to, a saving of Rs. 1,000 under payments in support of Municipal schools, an excess of Rs. 8,350 for the Brecks' Memorial School, Ootacamund, and the Gódavari Agency schools transferred back to Government control, an excess of Rs. 150 under the Madrasa-i-Azam and an excess of Rs. 600 under Girls' Schools, Secondary and Primary. The sum of Rs. 11,600 having now been resumed in G.O., No. 694, Financial, dated 12th October 1905, the net result will now be an excess of Rs. 7,400.

Government Schools, Special.—The review shows an excess of Rs. 9,000 but this excludes the sum of Rs. 9,000 for stipends which was proposed to be transferred from "Inspection" and "Law College". Including the latter amount, therefore, the excess is Rs. 18,000 which is the same as that shown in the revised estimates which thus stand at Rs. 3,22,000. The following are the main items which might tend to increase the expenditure in the second half of the year:—

- (i) Rupees 18,000 for stipends for the extra batch of students almost the whole of this will be paid only during the latter half-year as the students were admitted only about the end of July.
- (ii) Rupees 4,600 for reorganization of training schools if the scheme should come into force from January next.
- (iii) Rupees 1,900 for petty works of construction for training schools for masters.
- (iv) Rupees 1,300 for library and prizes for training schools for masters.
- (v) Rupees 1,500 for Europe stores for School of Engineering.

(vi) Rupees 18,000 for Mr. Chatterton's salary and allowances—these do not appear under this head in your accounts of the first half-year.

(vii) Rupees 2,000 for Christmas vacation lectures—these charges will be incurred only in January next.

(viii) Rupees 2,000 for furniture for School of Arts—only Rs. 60 has been spent in the first half-year.

(ix) Rupees 11,000 excess under the head "Training schools for Masters" owing apparently to the charges of the Teachers' College and the Training College, Rajahmundry, not being divided between the College and the School departments in the proportion in which they are divided in the budget.

(x) Rupees 1,300 for preparation of illustrated pattern books and library and prizes for the School of Arts.

Grants-in-aid.—Here there is an excess of Rs. 1,000 being the net result of the transfer of Rs. 16,000 (Rs. 15,000 from building grants for the University and Rs. 1,000 from furniture, etc., grants for direction) and the addition of Rs. 17,000 under results cadet and fixed grants.

Miscellaneous.—This head shows a saving of Rs. 1,000 both in the revised estimates and in the review.

University.—There is an excess of Rs. 60,000 here, made up of Rs. 15,000 transferred from building grants and Rs. 45,000 for the purpose mentioned in G.O., No. 538, Educational, dated 7th August 1905.

Adjusting heads.—Owing to a misapprehension that all the schools under the Bhadrachalam taluk would come under Government control, the saving on that account was shown as Rs. 15,500 in the review. But as only a portion of the Board has actually been excluded from the Local Fund area, the amount required for the remaining schools has been taken as Rs. 8,000 in the revised estimates. The difference of Rs. 23,000 between the revised estimates and the review is due to the retention of the sum of Rs. 8,000 referred to above and the inclusion of a sum of Rs. 15,000 in the revised estimates under this head for the Vizagapatam and Koraput Boards. This latter amount was not included in the review under adjusting heads as the corresponding saving under 22.—EDUCATION was not taken into account under that head on the ground that it would be transferred to adjusting heads.

The summary including adjusting heads will now be—

Excess.		Saving.	
	RS.		RS.
Direction	4,900	Inspection	23,000
Government Colleges—General ..	22,500	Government Colleges—Professional ..	15,200
Government Schools—General ..	7,400	Miscellaneous	1,000
Do. Special	18,000	Refunds	800
Grants-in-aid	1,000		
University	60,000	Total	40,000
Adjusting heads	7,500		
Total	1,21,300		

or a net excess of Rs. 81,300 over the altered grant for 1905-1906, the main items contributing to this increase being the sum of Rs. 45,000 for the University, the addition of Rs. 17,000 under results, cadet and fixed grants and the sum of Rs. 15,000 under adjusting heads for contribution to the Vizagapatam and Koraput Boards.

V

Telegram—from H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.

To—the Director-General of Stores, India Office, London.

Dated—the 7th February 1906.

No.—5.

Indent No. 9 forwarded with my letter No. 520, Educational, 17th October last. When will you despatch stores indented for? What portion of cost will be adjusted during current financial year?

VI

Telegram—from the Secretary of State for India.

To—the Governor of Madras.

Dated—the 16th February 1906.

Your telegram, 7th February, Educational Department. Indent nine, 17th October last. Stores promised to be delivered for shipment by June in instalments. Four hundred pounds approximately will be paid during current financial year.

Order—No. 155, Educational, dated 7th March 1906.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,

Ag. Secretary to Government.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 155, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
7TH MARCH 1906.[SUBJECT.—General review of the Budget Estimates under 22. EDUCATION
for 1905-1906.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 20th September 1905, No. 7700.

From the Director's review it appears that nothing except Rs. 2,200 under Inspection and a small sum under Government Schools—General, can be resumed from his budget during 1905-1906. This review is no doubt based on the revised estimate which he should have now framed for the purposes of the budget for 1906-1907 due here on the 16th of next month. The variations which were found to exist in the past between his revised estimate and the Accountant-General's and between these and the actuals were as follows:—

	Director's revised.	Accountant-General's revised.	Actuals.
	LAKHS	LAKHS.	RS.
1900-1901	17.68	17.83	17,68,188
1901-1902	18.162	18.19	18,27,091
1902-1903*	26.47	21.67	22,74,547
1903-1904	22.255	21.97	21,31,945
1904-1905	22.73	22.49	..

* The Director was about 4 lakhs out under Grants-in-aid—A. GALLETTI.

The largest difference was in 1902-1903, but since then the differences were narrowing down and now that almost all the schemes on hand have been sanctioned the differences cannot be much in future, if at all. The Director's review for 1905-1906 may therefore be apparently accepted. It will be further examined on receipt of his estimate for 1906-1907. Meanwhile Financial may see the file.

2. The cost of the Art loan collection already purchased is only £189-15-1 or about Rs. 2,850—page 1 of G.O., No. 491, Educational, dated 15th July 1905. The balance of Rs. 2,150 required for this purpose is apparently for mounting. The saving in the personal allowance provided for Mr. Middlemast and the difference between his pay and the salary drawn by Dr. Sathianadhan for two months should however be Rs. 2,600 and not Rs. 1,900 as stated.

No. Rs. 2,400 + 400 = 2,800.

A. GALLETTI.

3. The reappropriation on account of the Brecks' school is only Rs. 2,100—G.O., No. 517, Educational, dated 28th July 1905—and the net saving under Government Colleges—Special, Rs. 8,900 and not Rs. 8,000.

4. It does not appear that the whole of the provision of Rs. 15,500 under ADJUSTING HEADS for the Educational services originally transferred to the Bhadrachalam Taluk Board will be available for retransfer to 22. EDUCATION. The Bhadrachalam Revenue taluk alone has been excluded from the operation of the Local Boards Act. The Revenue taluks of Polavaram, Yellavaram and Chodavaram included in the old Bhadrachalam Local fund taluk still remain under the operation of the Local Boards Act.—G.O., No. 227 L., dated 27th February 1905.

P. T. THARYAN—25-9-05.

A. GALLETTI—26-9-05.

Financial u.o. for perusal.

The Director of Public Instruction does not appear to be taking into account any provision to replace the lapsed grant of 1904-1905 for University expenditure.

H. D. TAYLOR—27-9-05.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the file may be returned to the Educational Department after perusal. If the Director's review turns out later on to be approximately correct, and if the sum of Rs. 50,000 required for "University and college education" cannot be reappropriated from other heads, the Government of India should be asked to sanction an additional grant.

The "famine grant" and the lump provision for the Police reforms cannot apparently be touched. Paragraph 2 of Finance letter No. 2999 A., dated 25th May 1905, on page 2 of G.O., No. 425, Financial, dated 15th June 1905.

V.G.K.—4-10-05.

Or, if Educational desires to allot the 50 lakh now and is prepared to support the Director in his estimate that the budget under 22. EDUCATION will not show any savings, we might address India at once and ask for the 50 on the strength of their promise. But before doing this, perhaps Educational will agree to the Accountant-General's remarks being invited on the Director's forecast.

C. M. SCHMIDT—4-10-05.

Yes. The Accountant-General had better, I should say, check the Director's figures and then India can be addressed, if necessary.

M. HAMMICK—5-10-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Have Director of Public Instruction's specific proposals, as revised in accordance with India's orders, been received as to the grant for University education?

There seems to be no objection to the verification by Accountant-General of the Director of Public Instruction's revised figures.

H. D. TAYLOR—6-10-05.

The Director of Public Instruction's proposals have been received, but, as the indents submitted were not in proper form he has been requested to put them in the authorized form—Memorandum No. 548, dated 22nd September 1905.

2. It seems sufficient to allot funds by January next.

3. Respectfully submitted that the Accountant-General's revised estimate is based on the actuals of nine months or so and that is how his figures are nearer the actuals than the Director's. The Director is however likely to know the requirements of his department better. A reference to the Accountant-General now may not thus produce the desired result, but there can be no objection to his revising the Director's figures provided that any revision made by the Accountant-General is done in consultation with the Director of Public Instruction.

P. T. THARYAN—6-10-05.

A. GALLETTI—7-10-05.

We may proceed as at A.

H. D. TAYLOR—9-10-05.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

R.N.—9-10-05.

P. T. THARYAN—10-10-05.

Issue.

A. GALLETTI—10-10-05.

[Memorandum No. 600, dated 10th October 1905.]

From the Accountant-General, dated 30th November 1905, No. 733/10800.

The following statement shows in one view the budget estimates under 22. EDUCATION and ADJUSTING HEADS, the altered grant, the Director's revised estimate and the figures in the Director's original and revised reviews; also the grants under the minor heads as proposed to be altered by the Accountant-General:—

Minor heads.	Budget estimate.	Altered grant.	Revised estimate.	Original review figures.	Revised review figures.	Accountant-General's figures.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
University	..	15,000	60,000	..	60,000	60,000
Direction	73,900	73,900	73,800	77,900	78,800	78,800
Inspection	4,80,000	4,67,200	4,59,800	4,67,500	4,67,000	4,27,000
Government Colleges—General ..	1,93,000	1,93,000	2,15,500	2,17,000	2,15,500	2,15,500
Government Colleges—Professional.	2,19,200	2,13,200	2,04,000	2,11,200	2,04,000	2,04,000
Government Schools—General ..	2,01,000	1,94,680	1,99,000	2,01,000	2,08,400	1,98,400
Government Schools—Special ..	3,04,000	3,21,275	3,22,000	3,13,000	3,22,000	3,22,000
Grants-in-aid	8,32,000	8,17,000	8,33,100	8,32,000	8,33,000	8,23,000
Scholarships	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000
Miscellaneous	20,000	20,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
Refunds	1,700	1,700	900	1,700	900	900
Adjusting Heads	3,43,000	3,43,000	3,50,000	3,27,500	3,50,500	3,50,500
Total ..	27,18,800	27,10,955	27,93,000	27,18,800	28,00,100	27,50,100

2. The Accountant-General is unable to say for certain whether the Director's revised review figures will be worked up to. He thinks that the chances are that they will not be and therefore suggests that

Paragraph 3 of the Accountant-General's letter No. 733/10800, dated 30th November 1905.

Paragraph 4 *ibid.*

education. He recommends also that if the reduced amounts under these minor heads are found insufficient the Director may be allowed to apply for an additional allotment in February next.

3. Submitted that there is no immediate hurry about allotting Rs. 50,000 for University education. An indent has been sent to England for mathematical instruments and payment will have to be made on this account about the end of the current financial year. We may therefore wait till February when Financial is likely to have resumed funds at its disposal from which an allotment can be sanctioned if there is no saving under 22. EDUCATION for re-appropriation. There will then be no necessity to go up to India for an allotment from the Provincial balance. The Financial Department may, however, be consulted in the first instance.

H.D.T.—6-12-05.

Letter from the Government of India in G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated 28th March 1905.

G.O., No. 291, Financial, dated 28th March 1905.

Rs. 65,000 altogether for grant to the

* If it is all to be expended within the current year.

H. D. TAYLOR.

Rs. 39,145. In any case therefore it is to be feared that the budget grant will be exceeded and the Director should, it is submitted, apply in February for the whole of the required allotment.

P. T. THARYAN—4-12-05.

A. GALLETTI—5-12-05.

Please note what is the exact amount of the indent for instruments submitted by Director of Public Instruction (G.O., Nos. 519, 520, Educational, dated 17th October 1905). Also the excess expenditure on inspection of colleges and honoraria over and above the Rs. 15,000 allotted.

H. D. TAYLOR—6-12-05.

The exact amount of the indent is £2,972 (2,562 for the aided and 410 for G.O., Nos. 519, 520, dated 17th October 1905. Government colleges). This comes to Rs. 44,580. The amount required for aided colleges is Rs. 38,430 which with Rs. 15,000 already transferred to the head University under G.O., No. 425, Financial, dated 15th June 1905, makes a total of Rs. 53,430. The balance (Rs. 6,570) of the provision of Rs. 60,000 under the head in the revised estimate and in the Director's review is apparently for meeting the cost of freight and other charges.

The amount (Rs. 6,150) required for Government colleges will apparently be met from the revised provision under Government Colleges—General.

2. The excess expenditure on inspection of colleges and honoraria over and above Rs. 15,000 is only Rs. 931-1-3 (after setting off the honorarium originally proposed for Dr. Bourne against the total expenditure), but from this amount some further deduction will be made on account of the clerk's and peon's expenses, while a small addition will be necessary on account of the inspection of Madras colleges.

3. With reference to the marginal note on page 3 *supra*, it is respectfully submitted that what India have promised to provide is an allotment from the Provincial balance which the Local Government are not competent to sanction, not a fresh grant from Imperial revenues. There will be a fresh grant out of the total 5 lakhs for University education in the current year, but this, it is submitted, is different.

P. T. THARYAN—6-12-05.

We have already got the money for 1904-1905 which has merged in the Provincial balance; so that India have no more to give us for that year. Similarly the amount for 1905-1906 will presumably be given just at the end of the financial year 1905-1906 when it will be impossible to spend it; and that again will merge in the balance. It is not a question of paying what India should pay. What is happening is that money nominally intended to be spent in a particular financial year can only be spent in the next year.

A. GALLETTI—7-12-05.

It will perhaps be best to wait till the end of January before taking any action in asking for an additional allotment. The Director will then be in a better position to state his actual requirements.

H. D. TAYLOR—8-12-05.

G. S. F[ORBES]—11-12-05.

The Accountant-General has now prepared his revised estimate for the current year and the revised figure under the head 22. EDUCATION now comes to 23.62 after providing .60 for grants to University education. The Director's revised review figure was 24.496—page 3 *supra*. It has been ascertained from Financial that the resump-tions including Rs. 550 now under consideration will amount to .16. The corresponding figure in the budget after deducting this resumption is 23.62—page 3 *supra*. No additional allotment will therefore be now required but only transfer. The Director may perhaps be asked to submit a reappropriation statement for this purpose.

P. T. THARYAN—22-1-06.

C. A. SOUTER—30-1-06.

I gathered from the Director of Public Instruction in course of conversation the other day that the provision for subsidy is likely to be considerably exceeded and that he could not reappropriate from any other head. There was some doubt whether the expenditure of Rs. 44,000 odd on instruments indented for from home would be adjusted within the current year. It would be as well to send a cablegram to Director-General of Stores to find out definitely when the indent is likely to be despatched and the cost adjusted.

2. The following cablegram will issue:—

To Director-General of Stores, India Office.

Please state when indent for college stores forwarded with my letter 520, dated 17th October 1905, will be despatched and what portion of cost will be adjusted in current financial year.

H. D. TAYLOR—4-2-06.

The following codified telegram is submitted for approval:—

Secretary to the Government of Madras	Repletio
Director-General of Stores, India Office	Sanxerim
Indent	Indent
No. 9	Punice
Forwarded with	Concivit
My letter No.	Annotatu
520	520
Educational	Educational
17th October last	Expleo
When will you despatch	Impedito
Stores indented for	Sapimini
What portion of	Barbiens
Cost	Cost
Will be adjusted	Deferrem
During current financial year	Acceptio

P. T. THARYAN—5-2-06.

C. A. SOUTER—7-2-06.

[Telegram No. 5, dated 7th February 1906.]

The telegram has issued. A draft letter to the Director-General of Stores confirming the telegram is submitted for approval.

N.L.R.—7-2-06.

P. T. THARYAN—7-2-06.

Issue.

C. A. SOUTER—7-2-06.

[Letter No. 71, Educational, dated 8th February 1906.]

Telegram from the Secretary of State, dated 16th February 1906.

Another code telegram was received some days back. To what does that relate?

H. D. TAYLOR.

The code telegram referred to by the Secretary relates to the supply of retinoscope in the Madras Medical College indent—item No. 297 on page 31 of the indent in G.O., R. Nos. 561, 562, Educational, dated 27th November 1905. This telegram was received here on the 12th instant and referred to the Surgeon-General for very early report on the 13th idem—*vide* Endorsement No. 72, dated 13th February 1906.

It is amusing to call it the "new Geometry"—old as the hills?
G. S. F[ORBES].

2. The present telegram relates to the apparatus required for the teaching of the new Geometry, which was indented for in our letter R. No. 520, Educational, dated 17th October last.

3. In our telegram of the 7th instant we requested the Director-General of Stores to state when the apparatus indented for would be despatched and what portion of cost would be adjusted during current financial year. In reply we are informed that stores have been promised to be delivered for shipment by June next in instalments and that £400 approximately will be paid for them during current financial year. But the exact amount of the indent is £2,972-10-0 or Rs. 44,587-8-0. The amount that will have to be paid during the next year on account of this apparatus is Rs. 38,587-8-0.

N.L.R.—17-2-06.

Out of the provision of Rs. 60,000 in the revised estimate, Rs. 15,000 was originally paid to the University for meeting the expenditure connected with the inspection of colleges—G.O., No. 425, Financial, dated 15th June 1905. An additional sum of Rs. 720 has now been paid under G.O., No. 112, Financial, dated 10th February 1906. According to the Secretary of State's present telegram, about Rs. 6,000 more will be required during the current year. There will thus be Rs. 38,000 available for resumption.

P. T. THARYAN—17-2-06.

C. A. SOUTER—19-2-06.

In these circumstances the provision of Rs. 33,000 required for additional subsidy may be met by reappropriation from this source.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-2-06.

To the Hon'ble Mr. Forbes, through the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The Accountant-General's revised estimate for 1905-1906 under the head 22. EDUCATION based on nine months' actuals stands at 23.62 lakhs which provides .60 lakh as "Grants to University education". It is, however, anticipated that only (.15 * + .01 + .06 =) .22 lakh will be spent during the current year under the minor head "Grant to University," the balance, viz., Rs. 38,587, being required in 1906-1907. The revised estimate for 1905-1906 under 22. EDUCATION is consequently (23.62 - .38 =) 23.24 lakhs against the altered budget grant of 23.68 lakhs, so that a sum of (23.68 - 23.24 =) .44 lakh will lapse.

† Educational Secretary's note, dated 19th February 1906, *supra*. It is suggested † by "Educational" that out of this sum, .33 lakh may be transferred to ADJUSTING HEADS—CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PROVINCIAL TO LOCAL to meet the payment of additional subsidy to local bodies for primary education.

2. When the file † regarding application for an additional allotment under "Contributions from Provincial to Local" was received in "Financial", it was noted that the fact that the Educational Department could not spend (in 1905-1906) .38 lakh for University education was no reason for increasing the grant for Primary education, especially as this .38 lakh would be required for expenditure on University and collegiate education in future

years and that Educational should prove that an enhancement of the grant (subsidy B | to local bodies) provided in the budget for 1905-1906 was justifiable. It was also remarked that it would be preferable to await all the replies from Presidents, C | District Boards, to the reference made to them in regard to the utilization during the current year of the special grant of 6 lakhs for primary education, as any lapse in the special grant might preferably be utilized for the payment of additional subsidy.

Submitted that the present file may await the information indicated at B and C *supra*.
H. BRADLEY—26-2-06.

3. In this connection, the question arises as to whether the .38 lakh not required for expenditure during the current year on account of University education should be provided in the budget for 1906-1907. In the first edition of their budget notes for 1906-1907, the Government of India have reduced the budget framed by this Government under 22. EDUCATION by 2 lakhs. The Accountant-General has been asked by this Government to inform the Government of India that a reduction of 1.10 lakhs only is possible and that it is impossible to reduce the budget further unless the special allotments for University and collegiate education are to be touched. The Budget Estimate for 1906-1907 provides 1.60 lakhs under 22-G. GRANTS TO PRIVATE COLLEGES and .55 lakh under 22. UNIVERSITY, and the Director of Public Instruction has noted that these provisions will not be fully utilized in the ensuing year. The .38 lakh required can, it is submitted, be found from these provisions of (1.60 + .55) = 2.15 lakhs.

V.G.K.—26-2-06.

As suggested above, the question of the additional grant for subsidies to District Boards may await the result of the enquiries already made.

H. BRADLEY—26-2-06.

2. There seems to be no need to add the unutilized .38 lakh to the budget estimate for 1906-1907. Educational do not ask this and the provision already made in the budget estimate for special grants for University and collegiate education seems to be over-pitched.

C. M. SCHMIDT—26-2-06.

H. BRADLEY—26-2-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The file allotting Rs. 33,000 from estimated savings under the 6-lakh grant was circulated last night to the Honourable Member. Director of Public Instruction wants urgent instructions thereon as to the allotment, as the inspecting officers have to sign the bills by 8th instant.

H. D. TAYLOR—1-3-06.

I sent it on at once.

G. S. F[ORBES]—3-3-06.

Since received and orders issued.

No further action seems necessary on this file which may now with the concurrence of Financial be recorded. Only Rs. 6,000 will be spent on the graphs, etc., for colleges out of the Rs. 45,000 provided for the purpose.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-3-06.

The draft placed below may issue with the concurrence of Financial.
P. T. THARYAN—3-3-06.

C. A. SOUTER—5-3-06.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

May be accepted.

C. M. SCHMIDT—6-3-06.

H. BRADLEY—6-3-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue.

C. A. SOUTER—7-3-06.

[G.O., No. 155, Educational, dated 7th March 1906.]

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
memo.	512	7-9-5	1
[Cuo 1663] J. P. J.	7700	20-9-5	2-5
memo	600	10-10-5	6
[Cuo 2075] A. G.	733-10080	30-11-5	7-13
Telegrams The D. S. of Stores.	8	7-2-05	14
[Cuo 224] P. S. J.	83	17-2-06	15-16

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.



190 , Registered 22-9-1905

Subject.

Submitting a general review of the budget for the current year under 22-Education as called for in Memorandum No. 512 Educational dated 7th September 1905.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref on Cur No. 7700/05

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 20th September 1905.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sr.

I have the honour to submit a general review of the budget for the current year under 22-Education as called for in Memorandum No. 512 Educational dated 7th instant.

Direction. Owing to the absence of the permanent Deputy Director for two months early in the year and to the personal allowance provided for him not having been sanctioned, there is likely to be a saving of Rs 1900/ and the allotment of Rs 100/ for honoraria will not also be utilized. Against this saving, however, there is likely to be an excess of about Rs 6000/, Rs 300/ my increment for three months, Rs 200/ under contingencies owing to enhanced Municipal tax, Rs 400/ under

Page 1 of 1

(Per 125, 1905)

*D.R. 222 M.

20.9.05

20.9.05

travelling allowance and about Rs 5000/ towards the cost of the pictures for the Art Loan Collection which have now been received from England. There is thus likely to be an excess of about Rs 4000 under this head.

Inspection - Owing to the absence of Mr. Stone on leave

and the appointment of an acting officer on lower salary the retirement of Miss Carr and the full number of additional Sub Assistants not having yet been appointed there is likely to be a saving of about Rs 18,500/. Out of this, however, Rs 3000/

have been proposed in this office letter Current No. 6 6 7 5 /05 dated 8th August 1905 to be transferred for stipends for the special batch of students trained for the rural schools, Rs 800/

are proposed to be taken up for the preparation of illustrated pattern books in the School of Arts /this office letter Ref on Cur No. 6 2 1 9 /05 dated 20th September 1905/ and a

sum of Rs 2200/ has been reported in this office letter to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department Ref

on Cur No. 5 6 1 2 /05 dated 23rd August 1905 as not required owing to the constitution of a portion of the Vizagapatam Agency into local board. If this last sum is resumed the net saving will thus be Rs 12,500/.

Government Colleges, General - There is likely to be an

excess of about Rs 24000/ under this head mainly owing to the probable savings for which credit has been taken in the budget having been over-estimated.

Government Colleges, Professional - Consequent on the

absence of Mr. Nelson on leave and the lower salaries drawn by the acting Principal and the acting Junior Professor a saving of Rs 9000/ is expected in the Law College of which Rs 2300/

have been proposed to be utilized for the Breek's Memorial High School Ootacamund/G.O. No. 5 1 7 Educational dated 28th July 1905/ and Rs 6000/ have been proposed for stipends

/this office letter Current No. 6 6 7 5 /05 dated 8th August 1905/. In the College of Agriculture owing to the post of

Vice-Principal being vacant and the acting first assistant drawing less than the salary provided there will be a saving

of Rs 8000/. In the College of Engineering and the training colleges there are not likely to be any savings. The net saving under this head will thus be Rs 8000/.

Government Schools, General - Owing to the transfer

of some of the Vizagapatam Agency schools to local board there will be a saving of Rs 11600/: but Government have been

informed in my letter Ref on Cur No. 5 6 1 2 /05 dated 23rd August 1905 that excluding what is required for the schools in

the Godavari District which have come back to Government control the balance may be resumed. The lump allotment for the

reorganization of girls' schools will, it is hoped, be all utilized as the scheme has now been sanctioned.

Government Schools, Special - There is not likely

to be any saving under this head. On the other hand an additional allotment of about Rs 9000/ will be required to meet

the salary and exchange compensation allowance of Mr. Brand and the personal allowance of Mr. Chatterton. Of the lump

provision of Rs 15000/ for the reorganization of training schools Rs 1430/ have been proposed to be taken up to meet the

cost of land required for the Jeypore Lower Secondary School /G.O. No 3 0 3, Educational dated 9th May 1905/ and Rs 9000/ for

stipends for the special batch of students /this office letter Current No. 6 6 7 5 /05 dated 8th August 1905/ and the balance

will be required to meet the cost of reorganization if it should come into effect from January next.

Grants-in-Aid -

Under this head there will be no saving, an excess of about Rs 16000/ under salary grants and stipendiary grants being set off against anticipated savings under building grants and grants for furniture and other special objects.

Scholarships and Miscellaneous - Under scholarships there

will hardly be any saving while under the latter there may be a saving of Rs 1000/.

Owing to the transference of the educational services in the Bhadrachallan taluk board to Government control,

the allotment of Rs 15500/ included in the provision of Rs 343000/ under "Adjusting heads--Contribution from provincial to local funds--Education" towards the payment of contribution to the above taluk board to meet the cost of maintenance of Educational services will not be required and if this amount is transferred to the head 22-Education with reference to Memorandum No. 538 Educational dated 16th instant the position will be this:-

Excess	Rs		Saving
Direction	4000 *	Inspection	12500 ✓
Arts Colleges	24000 ✓	Government Colleges,	8000 * 8910
		Professional	
Government Schools,	9000 ✓	Miscellaneous	1000 ✓
Special			21500
		Add Adjusting heads	15500
	37000		37000

It will thus be seen that it is not possible to meet out of the grant for 22-Education the sum of Rs 50000/ which it is proposed to contribute on account of University Education.

2. The special grant of 6 lakhs for primary education has not been taken into account as in G.O. No. 520 Financial dated 5th August 1905 this sum has been transferred to the head 45 Civil Works. I may mention however that of this provision Rs 5,46,500 have been already allotted and there remains only a small sum of Rs 53,500/ which will also soon be allotted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. W. Middleton

For Director of public Instruction.

Page 211, C.B. 2
Page 211, C.B. 2
Page 211, C.B. 2

Page 211, C.B. 2
Page 211, C.B. 2
Page 211, C.B. 2

[E. 5] 300-3-04.



EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Memorandum No. 600 of 10-10-05

Read the following paper:—

no. 1663.

The general review of the budget estimates under 22 Education for 1905-06, submitted by the D.P.I. is forwarded to the A.G. with the request that he will be so good as to ^{check and return it} ~~review the same~~ as early as possible. If any revision of ~~figures~~ ^{figures} ~~is necessary~~ ^{is necessary} in connection with him, and submit his remarks to Govt.

Ru 9/10/5


10.10.5

PH 10/10/5

20

Re A.G. 10/10/5

Stock Form No. 185
3,700-6-05.



EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Subject.

Returning the General Review of the Budget Estimates under 22 Education for 1905-06 with D.P.'s remarks

ENCLOSURES.

No. 2

Spare copies

No. Bud 733
10080

Station Madras, Dated the 30 November 1905

From (Name) K. B. Wagle Esq.,

(Designation) Offg. Accountant-General.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sr,

I have the honour to return herewith the General Review of the Budget Estimates under 22 Education for 1905-06 received with Government Memorandum No. 600, dated 10th October 1905, and to enclose herein a copy of the Director's letter No. 7700, dated 18th November 1905, received in reply to a reference made by me as to the probable expenditure of the Educational Department during the current year. It will be observed from this communication that the Director after providing Rs. 60,000 on account of grant-in-aid to the University anticipates an excess expenditure of Rs. 81,300 over the altered grant. He attributes this increase mainly to the provision of Rs. 45,000 for the University

4 or Rs. 73,800 under 22 Education
of Rs. 7500 under Adjusting Heads-
Education be left out of account
D.P.
(Page 11 copy)

L.H.

University, the addition of Rs.17,000 under results credit and fixed grants and the sum of Rs.15,000 under adjusting heads for contribution to the Vizagapatam and Koraput Boards.

2. It is doubtful whether the excess expenditure of Rs.37,000 anticipated by the Director under Inspection in the residual period of the current year will be actually incurred, nor am I at present in a position to say if the special allotments referred to by him under Schools--Special will be fully utilised; but as the total Budget Grant for the current year includes an excess provision of 1,90,000 (excluding 6,00,000 for primary education) over the actuals of 1904-05, and as the increased expenditure in the first half of the current year is only Rs.66,000 and the amount resumed by Government till now is Rs.15,500 only it seems probable that some saving may be anticipated in the total grant for Education.

3. If Government approves the sum of Rs.50,000 which it is proposed to contribute on account of University Education may, for the present be re-appropriated as follows:-

Rs.30,000 out of the lump provision of Rs.1,34,000 for re-vision of scale of Sub-Assistant Inspectors.

10,000 out of the lump provision of Rs.36,000 for the re-organisation of Girls' Schools.

10,000 out of Rs.3,50,000 for Grants-in-aid payments by results.

Total Rs.50,000

4. The Director of Public Instruction may be asked to apply if necessary for additional allotments under the above heads in February next.
5. The salaries and allowances of Mr. Chatterton have since been retransferred to the head "22 Education" in my accounts for October 1905.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L. S. Bagle

Offg. Accountant-General.

Letter from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras No. 7700 dated 18 November 1905 to the Accountant General, Madras.

With reference to your letter No. Bud 623 dated 2nd Instant, 8940 I have the honour to state that the revised estimates shown in the budget estimates for next year being based on latest particulars may be accepted in preference to the figures in the review. In the following remarks I deal with the probable expenditure under 'grants-in-aid', 'Miscellaneous' and University as well as with the points raised by you, so as to make the summary at the end complete.

Director - The Director's travelling allowance for the tour to Simla to attend the Conference of Directors and the travelling allowance for the tour with the University Colleges inspection Commission have alone amounted to over Rs.1700 and some more money will be required for future tours. Hence the excess of Rs.1200 under travelling allowances. As a matter of fact, a sum of Rs.1000/- has already been transferred to this head from the allotment for furniture, &c. grants in Proceedings No. 6646 dated 16 October 1905.

Inspection - In the review, allowance was made for the appointment of eight Sub. Assistants yet to be entertained to meet the contingency of their being appointed from 1st October 1905; but in the revised estimates provision on that account was included for only three months. This accounts mainly for the difference. The revised estimates show a saving of Rs.20200 and, with reference to the actuals of the first half year under salaries of Sub Assistants' establishment, a further saving of Rs.5000/- may be expected owing to the new Sub-Assistants not having been provided with the full complement of establishment. A sum of Rs.2200 having now been resumed on 1st Nov 1904, Financial, dated 12th October 1905, the net saving will be

be Rs 23000/- This will leave Rs 246000 for expenditure during the second half year against 209000/- in the first half, the excess being Rs 37000. This excess is expected under Sub. Assistants' establishment assuming that the full sanctioned establishment will be working during the second half year, under travelling allowance as it is likely that more frequent tours will be made and that more money will be spent on this account and under contingencies where the full allotment might be spent before the close of the year.

Government Colleges General - A saving of Rs 400 under establishment was not taken into account and the excess under salaries was somewhat over estimated in the review.

Government Colleges Professional - The difference between the review and the revised estimates under the College of Agriculture is due to an excess of Rs 3000/- under 'Supplies and Services' subsequently found to be needed for the college, to meet the increased prices of fodder and cattle food and the charges of experiments on the farm with the oil engine which Mr Chatterton is making and the cost of which falls in the farm.

Government Schools General - The balance of Rs 5600 out of the saving of Rs 11600/- was not taken into account as Government had been informed that that amount would be set free by the transfer of a portion of the Vizagapatnam Agency to Local Board and as it was thought that Government would transfer it to Adjoining heads and that consequently it would go out of 22 Educators. But it has been taken into account in the revised estimates, as also the saving of Rs 700 in the lump provision for schools transferred from the Central Province. The revised estimates under this head show a saving of Rs 4200 which is the net result of the savings of Rs 11600 and 700 above referred to, a saving of Rs 1000/- under payments in support of Municipal Schools, an excess of Rs 8350 for the Brooks' Memorial School, Ootacamund and the Godavari Agency Schools transferred back to Government control of Rs 600/- under Girls' Schools, Secondary & Primary. The sum an excess of Rs 150/- under the Madrasa - i.e. azam and an excess of Rs 11600/-

having

having now been resumed in G.O. No. 694, Financial, dated 12 October 1905, the net result will now be an excess of Rs 7400.

Government Schools, Special - The review shows an excess of Rs 9000/- but this excludes the sum of Rs 9000/- for stipends which was proposed to be transferred from 'Inspection' and 'Law College'. Including the latter amount, therefore, the excess is Rs 18000/- which is the same as that shown in the revised estimates which thus stand at Rs 322000/- The following are the main items which might tend to increase the expenditure in the second half of the year:-

- (i) Rs 18000/- for stipends for the extra batch of students - almost the whole of this will be paid only during the latter half year as the students were admitted only about the end of July.
- (ii) Rs 4600 for reorganization of training schools if the scheme should come into force from January next.
- (iii) Rs 1900 for petty works of construction for training schools for masters.
- (iv) Rs 1300 for library and prizes for training schools for masters.
- (v) Rs 1500/- for Empire clove for School of Engineering.
- (vi) Rs 18000/- for Mr Chatterton's salary and allowances - there do not appear under this head in your accounts of the first half year.
- (vii) Rs 2000/- for Christmas vacation lectures - these charges will be incurred only in January next.
- (viii) Rs 2000/- for furniture for School of Arts - only Rs 60 has

has been spent, in the first half year.

(IX) Rs 11000/- excess under the head 'training schools for Masters' owing apparently to the charges of the Teachers' College and the Training College, Rajahmundry not being divided between the College and the School departments in the proportion in which they are divided in the budget.

(X) Rs 1300/- for preparation of illustrated pattern books and library and prizes for the School of Arts.

Grants-in-aid. Here there is an excess of Rs 1000/- being the net result of the transfer of Rs 16000 (Rs 15000 from building grants for the University and Rs 1000 from furniture, &c, grants for Division) and the addition of Rs 17000 under results cadet and fixed grants.

Miscellaneous. — This head shows a saving of Rs 1000 both in the revised estimates and in the review.

University. — There is an excess of Rs 60000 here, made up of Rs 15000 transferred from building grants and Rs 45000 for the purpose mentioned in G.O. No. 538, Educational, dated 7th August 1905.

Adjusting heads. — Owing to a misapprehension that all the schools under the Bhadrachalam taluk would come under Government control, the saving on that account was shown as Rs 15500 in the review. But as only a portion of the board has actually been excluded from the local fund area, the amount required for the remaining schools has been taken as Rs 8000 in the revised estimates. The difference of Rs 23000 between the revised estimates and the review is due to

to the retention of the sum of Rs 8000 referred to above and the inclusion of a sum of Rs. 15000 in the revised estimates under this head for the Vizagapatnam and Koraput boards. This latter amount was not included in the review under adjusting heads as the corresponding saving under 22-Education was not taken into account under that head on the ground that it would be transferred to adjusting heads.

The summary including adjusting heads will available:—

Direction	Excess Rs	Inspection	Saving Rs
Govt. Colleges General	22500	Govt. Colleges Professional	15200
Govt. Schools, General	7400	Miscellaneous	1000
Do. Special	18000	Refunds	800
			<u>40000</u>

Grants-in-aid .. 1000

University .. 60000

Adjusting heads .. 7500
121300

or a net excess of Rs 81300, over the altered grant for 1905-06

the main items contributing to this increase being the sum of Rs 45000 for the University, the addition of Rs 17000 under results, cadet and fixed grants and the sum of Rs 15000 under adjusting heads for contribution to the Vizagapatnam and Koraput boards.

(True copy)

Phamawyan.

Superintendent

Revenue Department

6th Sept. 1905, Madras

Dear

14

10 P

Educational Deptt.

Telegram no. 58 7-2-06

Secretary to the Govt. of Madras. Repleto.
Director-General of Stores-India } Sanverin
office.

Indent Indent
No. 9 Punice
forwarded with Conceit
my letter no. Annotate
520 520

Educational Educational
17th October last Exples
When will you despatch Impedito
Stores indented for Sapimini
What portion of Barbiens
Cost Cost

will be adjusted Deferrem
during current Financial
year. Accepto.

C.A.S.
7/2/06

Wynne

CURRENT No. 224

From

[Name] *L. M. Wynne Esq., C.P.C.,*

[Designation] *Private Secretary to H.E. the Governor,*


[Station] *Madras.*

*Art. Code 6/10
Was 42 some day
back. To be
done. Art. Code 6/10*

L. M. Wynne

No. *83.*
Dated *17th February* 1906
Enclosures *Nil.*

Received *17 FEB 1906* 190
Registered *17 - 2* 1906



SUBJECT.

*Transfers, for disposal, Code telegram from
the Rt Honble the Secretary of State for India, 21.6.1906.
By order, *L. M. Wynne*
Private Secretary.*

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Legislative Department.

... ..

... ..

... ..

in

... ..

... ..

... ..

Over

46

2 11 P

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

Code. 945
(Office) Madras From London Sub
Words. 15. Day. 16. Hour. 9. Minute. 23. Official Instructions. Am 13 Govt 7c
To (Person) Vacuities From* 8
From the Secretary of State to the Governor of Madras.

Cellula ~~vacuities~~ vacuities
facticio
indent
nine
Expleo
Stores
Navicula
June
Eligenti
Erodit
Recitare
attinuit
acceptio.
But want of Redwood?
... ..

Recd. from. LN. Sent to. MADRAS 17-2-06
At Hrs. Mts. by At Hrs. Mts. by Telegraph Master.

* In foreign telegrams the Person from, if telegraphed, should be written after, but separated from the text.
G S P—No 334 T—20 1005—10000000

Received 14/2/06
Registered 14/3/06



India Office
Stores Department.

S.
2656
1906.

Stitch with
10 no 155 Pins
97-36

Copy Telegram sent to the *Governor of Madras*, Educational Department
on the 16th February 1906

*From Secretary of State to Governor of Madras, Educational
Department, 16th February 1906.*

Your telegram of 7th February. Educational Department Indent No. 9
of the 17th October last. Stores promised to be delivered for shipment by
June in instalments. 400l. approximately will be paid during current
financial year.

*The Secy. to the Govt. of Madras
Educational Dept.*

Recd
11/22

[F.O.]
1,500-2-12-04

Notes connected with
no 155 58 577-8-06

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1663 From the O.P. No. 7700, dated 20-9-1905.

SUBJECT.

General review of the budget estimates
under 22 - Education during 1905-06.

From the Director's review it appears that nothing except 20000 under Inspection and a small sum under Govt. schools - General can be resumed from his budget during 1905-06. This review is no doubt based on the revised estimate which he should have now framed for the purposes of the budget for 1906-07 due here on the 15th of next month. The variations which were found to exist in the past between his revised estimate & the Accountant General's and between these & the actuals were as follows: -

	Director's revised.	A.G.'s revised.	Actuals
1900-1901.	17.68.	17.83.	17,68,188.
1901-1902.	18.162.	18.19.	18,27,091.
1902-1903.	26.47.	21.67.	22,74,547.
1903-1904.	22.255.	21.97.	21,31,945.
1904-1905.	22.73.	22.49.	(not known)

The largest difference was in 1902-03 but since then the differences were narrowing down and now that almost all the schemes on hand have been sanctioned the differences cannot be much in future, if at all. ^{The Director's review for 1905-06} His estimate may therefore be apparently accepted. It will be further examined on receipt of his estimate for 1906-07. Meanwhile Financial may see the file.

2. The cost of the Art Loan Collection already purchased

These figures have been taken from the C.B.E. & the Director's budget for the last five years & have been verified.
+ the Director was about 4 lakhs out under Grants-in-aid.
25/9/15

Recd
H.B.

B.

is only ₹ 189-15-1 or about Rs 2850 - page 1 of
G.O. No 491, Est. dt 15th July 1905. The balance of Rs 2150
required for this purpose is apparently for mounting.
The saving in the personal allowance provided for Mr. Middlemass
and in the difference between his pay & the salary drawn by
Mr. Sathianathan ^{for two months} should however be Rs 2600 + not Rs 1900
as stated.

3. The reappropriation on account of the Brooks school is
only Rs 2100 - G.O. No 517 Est. dt 28th July 1905 & the net saving
under Govt. Colleges - special Rs 8900 and not Rs 8000.

4. It does not appear that the whole of the provision of
Rs 15,500 under Adjusting Head for the Educational services
originally transferred to the Bhadrachalam Taluk Board
will be available for retransfer to Education. The
Bhadrachalam Revenue Taluk alone has been ^{Revenue} excluded
from the operation of the Local Boards act. The Taluks of
Polavaram, Yellavaram and Chodavaram included in the old
Bhadrachalam Local Fund Taluk still remain under the
operation of the Local Boards act - G.O. No 227 L dt 27th
February 1905.

C.

(Sd) M. S. Srinivasan M. S. Srinivasan
25/9/15 26/9/15

Financial a/c. for perusal.

The B.O.D. does not appear to
be taking into account any provision
to replace the lapsed grant of 1904-5 for
University expenditure

M. S. Srinivasan
27/9/15

No - Rs 2,400 + 400
= 2,800
Difference may be
drawn to a/c

File DEPT.
U. C. No. 1407 R
Date 29-9-1905

Est. No. 623 dt 27-9-05

27/9/15

20th 21st 22nd 23rd 24th 25th 26th 27th 28th 29th 30th 31st

53P

Financial Dept

Submitted that the file may be returned to the Educat Dept after perusal. If the Director's review turns out later on to be approximately correct, and if the sum of Rs. 50,000 required for "University and College Education" cannot be reappropriated from other heads, the Lg. of I. should be asked to ~~and~~ sanction an additional grant.



The finance grant + the lump provision for the Price reforms cannot apparently be touched.

Para. 2 of Dir: Lett 2953 A 3 25.505 on 20.2.02 82 425 Pind 3 15.605

XXII

vs. 4.8.05.
CB

Or, if Ed. desires to allot the 50 lakh non + is prepared to support the Director in his estimate that the budgt under 22 Ed. will not short any savings we might address India at once & ask for the "50 on the strength of their former promise. But before doing this perhaps Ed. will agree to the Ctg's remarks being invited on the Director's forecast.

Cust. 4.8.05

G. The acct genl had better, I think, say check to Dir: figures & then India can be addressed if necessary.

M. H. J. J. J.

to the G.P. @ Dept

Read
H. H.

40

Have D.D.'s specific proposal, as revised in
answer to Mr. India. no. 1, been received
as the basis for University Est.?

There seems to be no objection to the
verification by A.G. of the D.D.'s revised
figures.

H. H. Taylor
10.10.05

26

The D.D.'s proposals have been received - but
as the indents submitted were not in proper form he has
been requested to put them in the authorized form.
Memo: No 548 dt 22nd September 1905 in linked file.

2. It seems sufficient to allot funds by January
next.
3. Respectfully submitted that the A.G.'s revised estimate
is based on the actuals of nine months or so and that is how
his figures are nearer the actuals than the Director's. The
Director is however likely to know the requirements of his
departments better. A reference to the A.G. now may not thus
produce the desired result, but there can be no objection to
his revising the Director's figures provided that
any revision made by the A.G. is done in consultation
with the D.D.

Recd
7.10.05

We may proceed as at 'A'.

H. H. Taylor
10.10.05

The draft placed below is submitted for approval

Re
9/10/05
[Memo: No 600 dt 10-10-05.]

4

From the account General, no. 733-10800, dated 30th November 1905.

The following statement shows in one view the Budget Estimates under 22- Education and Adjusting Heads, the altered grant, the Director's revised Estimate and the figures in the Director's original and revised reviews - also the grants under the minor heads as proposed to be altered by the account General:-

Minor heads	Budget Estimate	Altered grant	Revised Estimate	Original review figures	Revised review figures	Accountant General's figures
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
University	..	15,000	60,000	..	60,000	60,000
Direction	73,900	73,900	78,800	77,900	78,800	78,800
Inspection	48,000	467,200	459,800	467,500	457,000	427,000
Govt. Colls. General	1,93,000	1,93,000	2,15,500	2,17,000	2,15,500	2,15,500
Govt. Colls. Professional	2,19,200	2,32,200	2,04,000	2,11,200	2,04,000	2,04,000
Govt. Schools General	2,01,000	1,94,600	1,99,000	2,01,000	2,08,400	1,98,400
Govt. Schools Special	3,04,000	321,275	322,000	313,000	322,000	322,000
Grants-in-aid	8,32,000	8,17,000	8,33,000	8,32,000	8,33,000	8,23,000
Scholarships	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000
Miscellaneous	20,000	20,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
Refunds	1,700	1,700	900	1,700	900	900
Adjusting Heads	3,43,000	3,43,000	3,50,000	3,27,500	3,50,500	3,50,500
Total	27,18,800	27,10,955	27,93,000	27,18,800	28,00,100	27,50,100

2378000
16000
2362000

Para. 2 of the A.G.'s letter no. 733-10000 of 30-11-05 on page 13 of the C.F. file.

5

From the account General, no. 733-10800, dated 30th November 1905.

The following statement shows in one view the Budget Estimates under 22- Education and Adjusting Heads, the altered grant, the Director's revised Estimate and the figures in the Director's original and revised reviews - also the grants under the minor heads as proposed to be altered by the account General:-

Minor heads	Budget Estimate	Altered grant	Revised Estimate	Original review figures	Revised review figures	Accountant General's figures
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
University	..	15,000	60,000	..	60,000	60,000
Direction	73,900	73,900	78,800	77,900	78,800	78,800
Inspection	48,000	467,200	459,800	467,500	457,000	427,000
Govt. Colls. General	1,93,000	1,93,000	2,15,500	2,17,000	2,15,500	2,15,500
Govt. Colls. Professional	2,19,200	2,32,200	2,04,000	2,11,200	2,04,000	2,04,000
Govt. Schools General	2,01,000	1,94,600	1,99,000	2,01,000	2,08,400	1,98,400
Govt. Schools Special	3,04,000	321,275	322,000	313,000	322,000	322,000
Grants-in-aid	8,32,000	8,17,000	8,33,000	8,32,000	8,33,000	8,23,000
Scholarships	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000	51,000
Miscellaneous	20,000	20,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
Refunds	1,700	1,700	900	1,700	900	900
Adjusting Heads	3,43,000	3,43,000	3,50,000	3,27,500	3,50,500	3,50,500
Total	27,18,800	27,10,955	27,93,000	27,18,800	28,00,100	27,50,100

2378000
16000
2362000

2. The account General is unable to say for certain whether the Director's revised review figures will be worked up to he thinks that the chances are that they will not be and therefore suggests that Rs. 30,000 may be

4. The grant allowed by the Government of India in 1904-1905 for University Education was Rs. 70,000, out of which Rs. ⁵⁰⁰⁰~~50,000~~ only was paid in that year and the balance has merged in the Provincial balance. Financial has therefore to allot Rs. 65,000 altogether for grant to the University. Including this amount, the Directors propose to ~~spend~~ spend Rs. ^{89,145}~~75,100~~ in excess of the altered grant, but the accountant General thinks that the amount may for the present be reduced to Rs. ^{39,145}~~45,100~~. In any case therefore

therefore it is to be feared that the budget grant will be exceeded and the Director should, it is submitted, apply in February for the whole of the required allotment.

Secretary.

4/12/5

5.12.5

* Please note that the exact amount of the indent for indent submitted by D.D. (Rs 514,200) is also the excess expenditure on inspection of Colleges and honoraria over and above the Rs 15000 allotted.

[Signature]

80. No 514-520 d1
17-10-05.

(Comptroller - now junior)

The exact amount of the indent is ₹ 2972 (2562 for the aided and 410 for Govt colleges). This comes to ₹ 44,580. The amount required for aided colleges is Rs 38,430 which with Rs 15000 already transferred to the head University under 80. No 445 Dtd 15th June 1905 makes a total of ₹ 53,430. The balance (6500) of the provision of Rs 60000 under the head with revised estimate + in the Director's review is apparently for meeting the cost of freight and other charges.

The amount (Rs 6150) required for Govt colleges will apparently be met from the revised provision under Govt colleges - General.

2. The excess expenditure on inspection of Colleges + honoraria over and above Rs 15000 is only Rs 931-1-3 (after the honorarium originally proposed for setting off D. Bourne against the total expenditure) but from this amount some further deduction will be made in account of the Clerk's + pen's expenses with a small addition will be necessary in account of the inspection of Madras colleges.

3. With reference to the marginal note on page 6 Supra

Yes. This is correct.
My previous letter was
wrong. H2.

8 P
It is respectfully submitted that what India has
promised to provide is an allotment from the Provincial
balance which the Local Govt. is not competent to sanction,
not a fresh grant from Imperial revenues. There will be
a fresh grant out of the total 5 lakhs for university
education in the current year - but this is submitted in
different. - We have already got
the money for 1904-5 which
has merged in the provincial
balance; so that India has
no more to give us for that year.

Similarly the amount for 1905-6
will presumably be given just at
the end of the financial year 1905-6
when it will be impossible to spend
it; and that again will merge in
the balance. - It is not a question
of paying what India should pay.
What is happening is that money
nominally intended to be spent in
a particular financial year can
only be spent in the next year.

H. R. 12.5

It will perhaps be best to wait till the
end of January before taking any
action in asking for an additional
allotment. The budget will then be in a
better position to state his actual requirements.

Secretary.

To be read 12/12/05

ask
H. R. 12.5
H. R. 12.5
H. R. 12.5
H. R. 12.5

2. D.G. of Stores. India Office
 Please state when indent ~~forwarded~~
 for College stores forwarded with my
 letter 520 dt. 17.10.05 will be despatched
 and ~~what~~ what portion of cost will
 be adjusted in current Financial year

402 mylon
 4. 2. 06

The following Codified telegram is submitted for
 approval:-
 Tel. no. 5707-2-06

Secretary to the Govt. of Madras
 Director General of Stores - India Office.
 Indent
 no 9
 forwarded with
 my letter no
 520
 Educational
 17th October last
 When will you despatch
 stores indented for
 What portion of
 cost

Repletio
 Sanxerim
 Indent
 Punice
 Concivit
 amnotatu
 520
 Educational
 Implea
 Impedito
 Depimini
 Barbicus
 Cost

will be adjusted
 during current Financial year

Deforem
 Acceptio

resp telegram
 7/2/06
 Telegram defatans. Separate
 60/13 2000 to ch.
 7/2/06

572/6

Chsouter
 7/2/06

[Tel. no. 5 dated 7-2-06.]

The telegram has issued. A draft letter to the Dy. of Stores confirming the telegram is submitted for approval.

nsr 7/2/6
7/2/6
R. S. S.
7/2/6

[Letter no 71 Vol 4 8-2-06.]

Tel. from the Secretary of State d/ 16th February 1906.

Another Code telegram was received some days back. To what does that relate?

H. D. T.

The Code telegram referred to by the Secretary relates to the supply of retinoscope in the Madras Medical College indent - item no. 297 on page 31 of the indent in G.O.R. no. 561-62 Est., dated 27th November 1905. This telegram was received here on the 12th instant and referred to the Surgeon General for very early report on the 13th idem. ~~Sawadok~~ - Vide Est. no. 72 dated 13th February 1906.

2. The present telegram relates to the Apparatus required for the teaching of the new Geometry, which was indented for in our letter no. 520 R Educational, dated 17th October last.

3. In our telegram of the 7th instant we requested the Dy. of Stores to State when the apparatus indented for would be despatched and what portion of cost ^{would} be adjusted during Current financial year. In

See separate
no 1946
S in the linked file

It is amusing to call
it the "new Geometry"
old as the hills?
S. F.

Page 14 of 14

CURRENT NO.
0816
FINANCIAL

Primary Education
39 lakh would be
on University & College Education
future expenditure
from that an enhancement of the grant

The Acct. Genl's Revised estimate for 1905-06 under the head 22. Education based on 9 months actuals ~~is~~ stands at 23.62 lakhs which provides .60 lakh as 'grants to University Education'. It is, however, anticipated that only $(.15 + .01 + .06) = .22$ lakh will be spent during the current

year under the minor head 'Grant to University'. The balance ~~is~~ is 38,587 being required in 1906-07. The R.A. for 1905-06 under 22 Education is consequently $(23.62 - .38 =) 23.24$ lakhs ~~against the~~ altered budget grant of 23.68 lakhs, so that a sum of $(23.68 - 23.24 =) .44$ lakh ~~will lapse.~~

It is suggested by 'Educational' that out of this sum, .33 lakh may be ~~transferred~~ to Adjusting Heads - Contribs from Prod. to Local to meet the payment of additional subsidy to local bodies for primary education.

2. When the file ~~is~~ the application for an addl all^{ts} under 'Contribs from Prod. to Local' was received in 'Financial', it was noted that the fact that the Educl Dept could not spend ^{in 1905-06} ~~Rs.~~ 38 lakh for University Education was no reason for increasing the grant for

Primary

* Finl CO: 753 (under separate heading)

4
Educl. office note 17.2.06 on
page 12 supra

6 Subd that this amount need not be
mounted by Govt as it was not mounted
to this Dept before the 15th Feb 1906
(P.L. 438 Finl 17.7.06)
Page 15

Educl. CO's note 19.2.06 on
page 12 supra

* Returned to Educl on 23.2.06

Primary Education, especially as this
•38 lakh would be required for expenditure
on University & Collegiate Education in
future years and that the Educl should
prove that an enhancement of the grant
(subsidy to local bodies) provided in the budget
for 1905-06 was justifiable. It was also
remarked that it would be preferable to
await all the replies from Prods, & boards
to the reference made to them in regard to
the utilization during the current year of
the special grant of 6 lakhs for primary
Education, as any lapse in the special
grant might preferably be utilized for
the payment of additional subsidy.

Submitted that the present file may
await the ~~report~~ information ~~that~~
indicated ~~at~~ at A + B supra.

26/10/06

3. In this connection, the question arises
as to whether the •38 lakh not required
for expenditure during the current year
on account of University Education should
be provided in the budget for 1906-07.
In the first edition of their budget notes for 1906-07
the Govt of India have reduced the budget
framed by this Govt under 22. Education by
2 lakhs. The Acctt Genl has been asked
by

Page 5 of budget notes for 1906-07
under 22 Education? May 21



Ans: 1 & 3 of D.P.I.'s note of 10.2.06
on page 3 of budget notes under
22 Education May 21

Final ltr. 816-1
18/4/06
JH
26 206

By this Govt to inform the Govt of India
that a reduction of 1.10 lakhs only is
possible and that it is impossible to reduce
the budget further unless the special all-
-ments for University and Collegiate education
are to be touched. The B.S. for 1906-07,
provides ~~1.60~~ 1.60 lakhs under 22G. Grants to
Private Colleges and a provision of .55 lakhs under
22 University and the D.P.I. has noted:
that these provisions will not be fully utilized
in the ensuing year. The .38 lakhs required
can it is subd., be found from these provisions
of $(1.60 + .55) = 2.15$ lakhs.

lth.
26.2.06.

Chief Secretary

As suggested above, the question of
the add. grant for subsidy to Dist board
may await the result of the enquiries already
made.

2. There seems to be no need to add the
unutilized .38 lakhs to the B.S. for 1906-07.
It does not ask this & the provision already made
in the B.S. for special grants for University
& Collegiate education seems to be over-pitched

Ans. 18/4/06
26.2.06
JH
26 206

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the left page.]

Bar 7
 h.c. bag.
 for want of boxes
 1/6

16
 16 1/2
 The file allotting R33000 from
 estimated savings under the call
 fund was circulated last night
 to the Hon. Member. D.D. wants
 urgent instructions thereon as the
 all hand, as the Inspecting Officer
 have to sign the bills by 8th inst
 H.D. Taylor
 1.8.06

AM
 I sent it as at once

Since recd. - as enclosed [Signature]
 No further action seems necessary at this
 file which may now - with the
 concurrence of Financial be renewed.
 Of Rs 6000 will be spent on the
 & graphs etc for College out of the
 R45000 allotted provides for the
 purpose
 H.D. Taylor
 3-3-06

The draft placed below may issue with
 the concurrence of Financial

E.O. No. 1860 5.3.06 5/3/6	H.D. Taylor 3/3/6 Financial No. 1067	C.A.S 5.3.06 Rs 5.3.06 CURRENT NO. 1067 FINANCIAL
----------------------------------	--	--

The file relating to 193000 from
 estimated account under the old
 fund was on hand last night
 193000 balance. 293000 was
 under the old fund.


The balance of the old fund
 was 193000. The balance of the
 new fund was 293000.

The balance of the old fund
 was 193000. The balance of the
 new fund was 293000.

The balance of the old fund
 was 193000. The balance of the
 new fund was 293000.

The balance of the old fund
 was 193000. The balance of the
 new fund was 293000.

Paid



Jul 1067-1
 6-3 A

To the Editor of the

17. 171

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

CS

May be accepted

and signed by
 6. 3. 06 6306

Issue

7. 3. 06

[603-11-13551: 07-306]

1,093-14-6-05

READ ALSO:—

ORDER
PS

No. 155, dated 7-3-1906

Recorded.

3/3/6

Chs
S. 3. 06.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
190

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES to G. No.

OF GOVERNMENT

OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH DITTO.

NOT SIGNATURE, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
T = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

25

10 & notes.

Press Please
show proof

Ru
9/2/6

13 P
1-17 P