[B.—]

908—10-1-06.

Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 85

DATED 12. 2. 06

INDEXED

N. P.

3 ✓

[Despatch Abstract.]

M.R.Ry K. Srinivasa Aiyangar.

Granting a personal allowance; to — Vernacular asstt in the College of Engineering, So as to raise his pay to ~~Rs~~ 105/- per mensem with effect from the 18th August 1905.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.	1906.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 85, 12th February 1906.

N. P.

College of Engineering.

Granting a personal allowance to M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Vernacular Assistant in the —, so as to raise his pay to Rs. 105 *per mensem* with effect from the 1st August 1905.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905.

Abstract.—Appointing M.R.Ry. O. S. Ramaswami Aiyar and K. Srinivasa Aiyangar as Assistant Instructors in the College of Engineering, Madras, and fixing their salaries at Rs. 125 and Rs. 85 respectively.

II

G.O., Mis. No. 608, Educational, dated 9th September 1905.

Read—the following papers :—

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Accountant-General).
Dated—Madras, the 31st July 1905.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 3752.

With reference to paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905, I have the honour to submit for the sanction of Government a proposition statement relating to the revision of the scale of pay of one of the vernacular assistants in the College of Engineering, Madras.

2. The permanent vacancy on Rs. 100—5—150 having been already filled, though temporarily, by one of the qualified assistants in the College who had been an upper subordinate in the Public Works Department, the appointment of M.R.Ry. O. S. Ramaswami Aiyar to that vacancy now would cause a series of undesirable reversions. Ramaswami Aiyar has therefore been appointed to act as assistant instructor in Surveying and Drawing during the employment of Mr. W. C. H. Littlewood on other duty. The pay of this post being Rs. 150—200 Ramaswami Aiyar will be eligible to receive a salary of Rs. 130 (Rs. 100, the pay of a first-grade overseer, *plus* Rs. 30 one-fifth of the minimum pay of the officiating appointment) or Rs. 5 in excess of the pay assigned to him in the Government order quoted above and as this arrangement will last until the return from leave of Mr. Reynolds towards the close of November next there is at present no necessity to revise the pay of the appointment on Rs. 100—5—150 originally intended for M.R.Ry. O. S. Ramaswami Aiyar.

The pay of the Vernacular Assistant's post held by M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar has been fixed in the proposition statement at Rs. 85 as required by Government.

As there are enough savings under salaries to meet this extra charge which will only be slight no reappropriation statement is considered necessary.

3. Both the above assistants joined the College on 1st July.

Enclosure.

Statement of proposition for revision of establishment.

Order sanctioning present establishment, Government of Madras.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of charge.												Proposition.						Grounds of proposition.
			Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.				
					Pay.			Average cost.			Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
Number.	Date.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.		Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
					RS.	RS.	RS.	RS. A.			RS.	RS. A.	RS.	RS. A.							
G.O., No. 4, Educational, and R. No. 183, Financial.	5th January 1904 and 6th June 1904, respectively.	College of Engineering, Madras.	1	Principal	1,000	50 0	1,250	1,166 10	1	Principal	1,000	50 0	1,250	1,166 10	..	..	..	..	..	..	*Vide* G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905.
			1	Professor of Mechanical Engineering.	Indian Educational Service.				1	Professor of Mechanical Engineering.	Indian Educational Service										
			1	Professor of Civil Engineering.					1	Professor of Civil Engineering.					..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Professor of Mathematics.	Provincial Educational Service.				1	Professor of Mathematics.	Provincial Educational Service										
			1	Instructor in Surveying and Drawing.					1	Instructor in Surveying and Drawing.					..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Instructor in Engineering.					1	Instructor in Engineering.											
			1	Mechanical Instructor.	350	..	350	350 0	1	Mechanical Instructor.	350	..	350	350 0	..	..	..	..	..	..	
				Total ..	* 1,350	..	* 1,600	* 1,516 10		Total ..	* 1,350	..	* 1,600	* 1,516 10	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Assistant Inspector in Surveying and Drawing.	150	5 0	200	180 8	1	Assistant Inspector in Surveying and Drawing.	150	5 0	200	180 8	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Assistant Inspector in Drawing.	100	5 0	150	130 8	1	Assistant Inspector in Drawing.	100	5 0	150	130 8	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Assistant Inspector in Surveying.	100	5 0	150	130 8	1	Assistant Inspector in Surveying.	100	5 0	150	130 8	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Second Assistant Instructor in Drawing.	75	2 8	100	90 4	1	Second Assistant Instructor in Drawing.	75	2 8	100	90 4	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Second Assistant Instructor in Surveying.	75	2 8	100	90 4	1	Second Assistant Instructor in Surveying.	75	2 8	100	90 4	..	..	..	..	..	..	
			1	Additional Assistant Instructor.	75	2 8	100	90 4	1	Additional Assistant Instructor.	75	2 8	100	90 4	..	..	..	..	..	..	

* Excludes the cost of the two appointments in the Indian Educational Service and the three appointments in the Provincial Educational Service.

Statement of proposition for revision of establishment—*cont.*

Order sanctioning present establishment. Government of Madras.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of charge.												Proposition.						Grounds of proposition.
			Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.				
					Pay.			Average cost.			Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
Number.	Date.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.		Number.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.		Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
					RS.	RS. A.	RS.	RS. A.			RS.	RS. A.	RS.	RS. A.				RS. A.			
G.O., No. 4, Educational and R. No. 183, Financial—*cont.*	5th January 1904 and 6th June 1904, respectively.	College of Engineering, Madras—*cont.*	1	Assistant in vernacular.	60	2 0	80	72 3	1	Assistant in vernacular.	60	2 0	80	72 3	..	..	So long as the post is held by a third-grade overseer from the Public Works department.	..	..	..	*Vide* G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905.
			1	Assistant in vernacular.	60	2 0	80	72 3	1	Assistant in vernacular.	85	..	85	85 0	..	..		12 13	..	..	
			1	Workshop instructor.	200	..	200	200 0	1	Workshop instructor.	200	..	200	200 0	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Foreman fitter ..	50	5 0	75	66 10	1	Foreman fitter ..	50	5 0	75	66 10	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	,, carpenter ..	45	3 0	60	55 0	1	,, carpenter ..	45	3 0	60	55 0	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	,, blacksmith.	30	3 0	45	40 0	1	,, blacksmith.	30	3 0	45	40 0	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Gymnastic instructor.	20	2 0	40	32 3	1	Gymnastic instructor.	20	2 0	40	32 3	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Superintendent of military students.	20	2 0	40	32 3	1	Superintendent of military students.	20	2 0	40	32 3	..	..		..	..	..	
			..	Total ..	1,060	..	1,420	1,282 10	..	Total ..	1,085	..	1,425	1,295 7	..	..		12 13	..	..	
			1	Accountant	80	..	80	80 0	1	Accountant	80	..	80	80 0	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Head clerk	40	2 0	60	53 5	1	Head clerk	40	2 0	60	53 5	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Assistant clerk ..	20	2 0	40	33 5	1	Assistant clerk ..	20	2 0	40	33 5	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Second ,, ..	15	1 0	25	21 10	1	Second ,, ..	15	1 0	25	21 10	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Workshop writer ..	25	..	25	25 0	1	Workshop writer ..	25	..	25	25 0	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Storekeeper and Librarian.	30	2 0	50	43 5	1	Storekeeper and Librarian.	30	2 0	50	43 5	..	..		..	..	..	
			1	Attender	12	..	12	12 0	1	Attender	12	..	12	12 0	..	..		..	..	..	
			29	Servants	216	..	216	216 0	29	Servants	216	..	216	216 0	..	..		..	..	..	
			..	Total ..	438	..	508	484 9	..	Total ..	438	..	508	484 9	..	..		..	..	..	

Letter—from W. Dobbie, Esq., Accountant-General.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 11th August 1905.
No.—P.A. 383/5672.

In forwarding the enclosed proposition statement in duplicate received with letter R.C. No. 3752, dated the 31st ultimo, from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, I have the honour to state that the entries in the column "present scale" have been compared with the registers of this office and are correct with the exception of a few entries in the column "average cost" which have been corrected in red ink.

2. There is no audit objection to the proposal being sanctioned by Government.

3. A reappropriation statement has been called for from the Director and will, on receipt, be forwarded to Government.

Order—Mis. No. 608, *Educational, dated* 9*th September* 1905.

The proposition statement, as altered, is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
,, Financial Department, with proposition statement.

III

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Accountant-General).
Dated—Madras, the 27th November 1905.
No.—R.C. 8847.

In G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905, M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, B.A., a temporary overseer of the Public Works Department on Rs. 60 who was appointed to act as a vernacular assistant in the College of Engineering, was allowed a consolidated salary of Rs. 85 *per mensem*, and accordingly the pay of the post which was Rs. 60—2—80 was converted into Rs. 85—please see the proposition and reappropriation statements sanctioned respectively in G.Os., No. 608, Educational, dated 9th September 1905, and No. 633, Financial, dated 20th September 1905. In the first of the orders quoted above Government further stated that the officer might receive any increase to his salary to which he would become entitled by promotion in the ordinary course. In the memorandum of the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, No. 851/D., dated 10th August 1905, a copy of which is herewith enclosed, K. Srinivasa Aiyangar has been promoted to be an overseer of the second grade on Rs. 80 *per mensem* on the temporary establishment with effect from 1st August last, and the Principal, College of Engineering, therefore recommends that his salary be correspondingly increased, *i.e.*, to Rs. 105 (Rs. 85 *plus* Rs. 20) from the above date agreeably to paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July last. I support his recommendation. If it is approved, a revised proposition statement has to be submitted to Government with the pay of the vernacular assistant's post on Rs. 85 altered into Rs. 105 from 1st August to 31st October and the pay of the post of additional assistant instructor in the College on Rs. 75—2½—100 in which Srinivasa Aiyangar has been appointed to act sub. *pro tem.* since 1st November 1905 altered into Rs. 105. This practice of altering the pay of particular appointments to suit the convenience of an individual seems to me to be an inconvenient one, and I beg therefore to propose that Srinivasa Aiyangar be granted a personal allowance of Rs. 20 *per* *mensem* from 1st August to 31st October 1905, both days inclusive, and a similar allowance of Rs. 25 *per mensem*, which is the difference between Rs. 105 referred to above and Rs. 80 his pay in the Public Works Department. If this proposal is approved, I shall submit a proposition statement restoring the pay of the vernacular assistant's post held by Srinivasa Aiyangar to the original figure, viz., Rs. 60—2—80.

2. The extra cost for the current year amounting to Rs. 130 * will be met from the sum shown as available for reappropriation in the statement sanctioned in G.O., No. 633, Financial, dated 20th September 1905, out of which a surplus of Rs. 327 may still be expected after meeting the increase of Rs. 106 required on account of Mr. Webster's salary—*vide* this office letter R.C. No. 4077/5, dated 6th September 1905.

	RS.
* At Rs. 20 for 3 months from 1st August to 31st October 1905	60
At Rs. 17½ (Rs. 105 *minus* Rs. 87-8-0, the pay of the additional assistant instructor budgeted for the current year) for 4 months from 1st November 1905.	70
Total ..	130

ENCLOSURE.

Memorandum No. 851/D., dated 10th August 1905.

The following reappointments are made on the temporary Upper Subordinate establishment with effect from the 1st August 1905 :—

* * * * *

(17) M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Overseer on Rs. 60, to be Overseer on Rs. 80.

* * * * *

W. B. DEWINTON,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

IV

Endorsement, No. P.A. 676/10636, dated 12th December 1905.

Forwarded to Government.

2. There is no audit objection to the personal allowance proposed by the Director of Public Instruction being sanctioned by Government, though the grant of such an allowance is extremely unusual.

3. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Director of Public Instruction's letter, a reappropriation statement in proper form has been called for and will be submitted to Government on receipt.

K. B. WAGLE,
Offg. Accountant-General.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

V

Letter—from W. DOBBIE, Esq., Accountant-General.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 17th January 1906.
No.—Bud. 930/11788.

In continuation of my endorsement No. P. A. 676/10636, dated 12th December 1905, I have the honour to forward herewith the reappropriation statement in duplicate received from the Director of Public Instruction, and to state that the proposed reappropriation requires the sanction of Government in the Financial Department.

ENCLOSURE.

REAPPROPRIATION statement to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget, or for additional allotments.

[*Educational Department—College of Engineering.*]

Major, minor, sub and detailed heads of budget.	Heads of estimate proposed to be increased.				Heads of estimate proposed to be reduced.			
	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount of additional allotment required.	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount available for reappropriation.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Detailed Account No. 22-D. Education—Government Colleges, Professional—College of Engineering—Salaries—Pay of 7 Instructors.	5,946 + 120 * or 6,066		6,196	130	..	..	..	..
Detailed Account No. 22-D. Education—Government Colleges, Professional—College of Engineering—Establishment—Pay of establishment.	..	..	..	..	6,564		6,434	130

* Sanctioned in G.O., No. 633, Financial, dated 20th September 1905.

Order—No. 85, Educational, dated 12th February 1906.

In the circumstances, M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar will be granted a personal allowance so as to raise his salary, so long as he remains in the College of Engineering, to Rs. 105 *per mensem* with effect from the 1st of August 1905, the date on which he was promoted to be an Overseer of the second grade on Rs. 80 *per mensem* on the temporary Upper Subordinate establishment in the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
,, Accountant-General.
,, Financial Department (with a copy of the reappropriation statement).

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 85, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 12TH FEBRUARY 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Grant of a personal allowance to M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Vernacular Assistant in the College of Engineering, in consideration of the promotion he has had in the Public Works Department.*]

In G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905, M.R.Ry. O. S. Ramaswami Aiyar, 1st grade overseer, was appointed, for a period of six months in the 1st instance, an Assistant Instructor in the College of Engineering against a vacancy in the Rs. 100—5—150 grade, and M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, temporary overseer on Rs. 60, was also appointed against a temporary vacancy in the Rs. 60—2—80 grade, in the junior staff of the College of Engineering. While so employed, the former was to draw a salary of Rs. 125 and the latter Rs. 85 *per mensem.*

2. The Director of Public Instruction has now slightly departed from the arrangement thus settled and has appointed M.R.Ry. O. S. Ramaswami Aiyar to act in the post on Rs. 150—200, rendered temporarily vacant by the employment of Mr. Littlewood on other duty, the permanent vacancy on Rs. 100—5—150 having been filled temporarily by one of the qualified assistants in the college. While so acting Ramaswami Aiyar will get Rs. 100 + 30, that is Rs. 130 or Rs. 5 more than what was assigned to him in the Government Order quoted above. For the reason given by the Director in paragraph 2 of his letter this arrangement may perhaps be approved.

No objection.

H. D. TAYLOR.

3. The proposition statement relates therefore only to the revision of the scale of pay of the Vernacular Assistant's post now held by K. Srinivasa Aiyangar whose pay has been shown as Rs. 85 as fixed by the Government Order. The Accountant-General certifies that the entries in the statement under present scale are correct and has called for a reappropriation statement for meeting the extra charge involved. Orders are solicited as to whether the proposition statement may be sanctioned.

R.N.—18-8-05.

A The arrangement is to be temporary for six months for the present. The increase of Rs. 12-13-0 should, therefore, be shown in the column "Temporary" in the proposition statement. Subject to this alteration the proposition statement may be sanctioned with the concurrence of Financial.

P. T. THARYAN—19-8-05.

A. GALLETTI—19-8-05.

Yes. Public Works department also should see.

H. D. TAYLOR—21-8-05.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

As stated at **A** in the Educational department note, dated 19th August 1905, the extra cost (viz. Rs. 12–13–0), involved in the proposal should be shown under the column "Temporary" and not under "Permanent". The Director of Public Instruction states that the additional charge in the current year can be met from savings in the budget. The necessary reappropriation statement has been called for by the Accountant-General from the Director.

2. The proposal may be accepted in Financial.

A.H.—24-8-05.

C. M. SCHMIDT—25-8-05.

Accepted.

M. HAMMICK—3-9-05.

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Seen and returned.

C.V.G.—6-9-05.

S. B. MURRAY—6-9-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-9-05.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

R.N—8-9-05.

P. T. THARYAN—8-9-05.

Issue.

A. GALLETTI—9-9-05.

[G.O., No. 608, Educational, dated 9th September 1905.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 27th November 1905, *No.* 8847.

" *Accountant-General, dated 12th December* 1905, *No. P. A.* 676/10636.

In their Order No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905, the Government appointed M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, temporary overseer on Rs. 60, to be an Assistant Instructor in the College of Engineering against a temporary vacancy in the Rs. 60—80 grade, but while so employed he was permitted to draw a consolidated salary of Rs. 85 *per mensem.* The Government further stated that he might receive any increase to his salary to which he would become entitled by promotion in the ordinary course in the Public Works Department. In consequence of M.R.Ry. Srinivasa Aiyangar's pay having been fixed at Rs. 85 *per mensem,* the post to which he was appointed was converted from one on Rs. 60—2—80 into one on Rs. 85—G.O., No. 608, Educational, dated 9th September 1905.

2. The Director now reports that M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar has been promoted to be an overseer on Rs. 80 with effect from the 1st of August last and in consequence of this promotion Srinivasa Aiyangar becomes entitled to an increase of Rs. 20 * to his present salary of Rs. 85. If this increase is sanctioned, a revised proposition statement is necessary with the pay of the vernacular assistant's post on Rs. 85 raised to Rs. 105 from 1st August to the 31st of October 1905 when he was put in to act sub. *pro tem.* in the Rs. 75—100 grade. In the latter case also the pay of the post in which he is now acting requires to be raised to Rs. 105 from the 1st of November 1905. Thus a revised proposition statement will have to be submitted with the pay of the vernacular assistant's post on Rs. 85 altered into Rs. 105 from the 1st of August to the 31st of October 1905 and the pay of the post of additional assistant instructor on Rs. 75—100 altered into Rs. 105 from 1st November 1905. The Director, however, proposes that, instead of altering the proposition statement as above shown, the pay of the vernacular assistant's post on Rs. 85 held by Srinivasa Aiyangar may be restored to the original figure of Rs. 60—2—80, he being granted a personal allowance of Rs. 20 *per mensem* from the 1st of August to the 31st of October 1905, and of Rs. 25 *per mensem* † from the 1st of November 1905. The Accountant-General too has no objection to this proposal which may perhaps be approved, the Director being requested to submit a revised proposition statement on the lines now indicated.

* Reference ?

G. S. F[ORBES].

	RS.
Pay and allowances under paragraphs 33 and 35 of the Public Works Code, Vol. I and appendix 19, Vol. II	105
Former do. do.	85
Difference ..	20

P. T. THARYAN.

† Why Rs. 25 ?

G.S F.

His pay in the College of Engineering was altered into Rs. 75—2½—100 from 1st November 1905 and he cannot under article 157 of the Civil Service Regulations draw more than Rs. 80. To this Rs. 25 has to be added as Presidency house-rent and allowance under paragraphs 33 and 35 of the Public Works Code, Vol. I, and appendix 19, Vol. II.

P.T.T.

3. The extra cost involved in the present proposals is Rs. 130 as shown in paragraph 2 of the Director's letter. The Accountant-General has called for a reappropriation statement in proper form and its receipt may perhaps be awaited.

N.L.R.—16-12-05.

P. T. THARYAN—18-12-05.

It seems a most unusual procedure to raise the pay of a post or lower it according to the person who is for the time being the incumbent. I am not quite clear as to the meaning of G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905. By it this man was given a salary of Rs. 85 when the maximum pay of the post was Rs. 80. He has since received an increase of Rs. 20 *per mensem* in his own department in which his pay would be Rs. 80, *i.e.*, he now receives more than he would get if he went back, even with his increased pay. Is it meant that he is now entitled to Rs. 85 + 20 ? The Government Order seems to mean that. But since his promotion in the Public Works Department Srinivasa Aiyangar has been promoted sub. *pro tem.* to the Rs. 75—100 grade in the college. Is he still to get only Rs. 105 consolidated pay ? That is what the Director of Public Instruction says, yet surely this second promotion must count for something, and more so the annual increments of his college appointment. Submitted that it would be advisable to give him a fixed personal allowance in addition to his Public Works Department pay.

I think so.

H. D. TAYLOR.

C. A. SOUTER—18-12-05.

Who is responsible? Our office or Financial or Accountant-General.

G. S. F[orbes].

The Accountant-General verifies only *present* scale; he is not to blame. Financial in their note of 25th August 1904 said that the *excess* should be shown under temporary, and Educational drafted on these lines.

H. D. Taylor—25-1-06.

The proposition statement in G.O., No. 608, dated 9th September 1905, is not in accordance with G.O., No. 459, dated 5th July 1905, and should not have been approved in that form.

2. The sanctioned pay of the post was Rs. 60—2—80 and Srinivasa Aiyangar was appointed on that pay against a temporary vacancy in the Rs. 60—2—80 grade. In the proposition statement referred to above either the full pay (Rs. 85) should have been shown as a temporary appointment— the post on Rs. 60—2—80 being kept vacant —or Srinivasa Aiyangar should have been appointed to hold the post on Rs. 60—2—80 grade on the maximum of Rs. 80 with a personal allowance of Rs. 5.

3. I think he should now be shown either as holding a *temporary* post on Rs. 105 or be appointed to the post of Rs. 60—2—80 on Rs. 80, with a personal allowance of Rs. 25. Any *personal* allowance would be reduced by the amount of additional pay received as a consequence of promotion. Till he receives further promotion in Public Works Department, he is entitled to Rs. 105 in all.

H. D. Taylor—19-12-05.

To the Financial Department for remarks.

Financial Dipartment.

The proper course seems to be to consider Srinivasa Aiyangar as holding the appointment on Rs. 60—2—80, his pay being fixed at the maximum, viz., Rs. 80, and to grant him a personal allowance of Rs. 25 *per mensem* in addition to this pay.

2. In order to avoid a similar difficulty in case the incumbent is promoted to the first-grade overseer (pay Rs. 100) in the Public Works Department line, it seems advisable to treat the personal allowance of Rs. 25 as *fixed*, and to grant this allowance subject to a reduction therefrom of a sum equal to the increase over the pay of Rs. 80, which Srinivasa Aiyangar would get in consequence of his promotion whether in the college or in the regular Public Works Department line.

How can this be done in the face of G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905 (paragraph 2)?

C. M. Schmidt.

3. Submitted for orders.

A.H.—10-1-06.

The whole difficulty turns upon the last sentence in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905, where the two Public Works Department overseers appointed to the Engineering College were guaranteed "any increase to which they would become entitled by promotion in the ordinary course". Whether effect is given to this by a varying personal allowance, an "extremely unusual" course as the Accountant-General points out (paragraph 2 of endorsement P. A. No. 676/ 10636, dated 12th December 1905), or by a revised proposition statement each time either of the men receives promotion, the orders of Government will be necessary on each occasion. The arrangement *is* very unusual. The simplest course seems to be to decide that these men shall get the salaries they would draw in the regular line *plus* a local allowance—of Rs. 25 in Srinivasa Aiyangar's case. A proposition statement stating this fact once for all should be passed. It is presumed that Educational will fix some maximum limits to the emoluments of these men in the Engineering College. Whatever they may be worth in the regular line there must be some limit to the salary paid them for the duties they discharge in the College and these duties should be the primary factor in determining their emoluments in the College.

Yes. This is the usual course.

M. Hammick—14-1-06.

C. M. Schmidt—11-1-06.

The arrangement made seems to have been most unnecessarily complicated. I would suggest a general order as above to simplify the arrangement.

M. Hammick—14-1-06.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Deprtment.

The Director of Public Instruction may, in the first instance, be consulted u.o. as to the maximum pay that should be drawn by Srinivasa Aiyangar while on duty in the Engineering College. Perhaps he can see his way to appointing a fully qualified man, other than from the Public Works Department, to the post, on the ordinary scale of pay. The present arrangement was made, to tide over a temporary difficulty and a dearth of qualified candidates.

H. D. Taylor—16-1-06.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

From the Accountant-General, dated 17*th January* 1906, *No. Bud.* 930/11788.

The Director recently proposed the grant of a personal allowance to M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, formerly temporary overseer in the Public Works Department and now an Assistant Instructor in the College of Engineering, Madras, in consequence of his promotion in the Public Works Department. The Accountant-General in submitting this proposal to Government stated that he had called for a reappropriation statement in proper form from the Director and would submit it on receipt. This he now does.

2. The file in connection with which this statement was called for was referred u.o. to the Director of Public Instruction on the 17th instant and has not yet been received from him. Submitted that the present letter may lie over pending receipt of the file in question from the Director.

N.L.R.—19-1-06.

P. T. Tharyan—20-1-06.

Yes.

C. A. Souter—20-1-06.

Colonel Love thinks that the maximum for Srinivasa Aiyangar with his present duties should be Rs. 150. I think that this figure or Rs. 25 less might be taken.

Of course Colonel Love would give them all Rs. 200 or Rs. 300.

G. S. F[orbes].

2. As to the second question raised by the Secretary the best men turned out by the College secure appointments in the Public Works Department and prefer these to College appointments as the prospects are better. For the sake of future generations of students the College ought to get back the pick of these men after they have had practical experience. If we found an outside man he is pretty sure to be one who was not good enough for the Public Works Department and one who has had no practical experience is not therefore the best man to put on to train the Public Works Department subordinates of the future. The Public Works Department owes its subordinates to the College and it should be the recognised arrangement that one or two of the best are returned to the College to assist in turning out subsequent batches. To do otherwise seems to me a short-sighted policy. The most suitable way would seem to be to fix the pay in the College with reference to the pay of certain

grades in the Public Works Department and add a local allowance without which latter for some more or less obscure reason Public Works Department subordinates engaged on works seem disinclined to take up College appointments.

A. G. Bourne—22-1-06.

But surely they must take up the duty if ordered.

H. D. Taylor—22-1-06.

Submitted that orders may issue as suggested in the Financial note at page 3. The general question of recruitment of the staff from Public Works Department will be dealt with in connection with the scheme of reorganisation of the Engineering College.

H. D. Taylor—22-1-06.

(See the office-note of 16th December 1905. I hope the Secretary will take due

I | note of neglect to reference.)

2. If the man had been put into the post for which he was originally designated there would not have been this

II | extra cost, would there?

N. Lakshmana Rao will explain separately.

H.D.T.

Separate explanation is being submitted.

P. T. Tharyan—29-1-06.

III | 3. What is the sanctioned scale of teachers so far as it affects the persons now concerned in the proposed arrangements? And what justification is there for enhancing the cost of establishment at the Engineering College, which is very high already?

G. S. F[orbes]—28-1-06.

I Due notice will be taken.

II Submitted that Srinivasa Aiyangar * *was* put into the vacancy for which he was originally designated, viz., a post on Rs. 60—2—80, but being a Public Works Department man he had to draw the pay to which, as a Public Works Department officer serving at the Presidency, he would have been † entitled.

* G.Os., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905 and No. 608, Educational, dated 9th September 1905.

† Paragraphs 33 and 35 of the Public Works Code, Vol. I, and appendix 19, Vol. II—Rs. 60 pay + Rs. 10 house-rent + Rs. 15 Presidency allowance.

It was O. S. Ramaswami Aiyar, who, to prevent undesirable reversion was, on Director of Public Instruction's recommendation approved in G.O., No. 608, dated 9th September 1905, put to act in a 150—5—200 post on Rs. 130 instead of the 100—5—150 grade for which he was originally designated under G.O., No. 459, dated 5th July 1905, and in which, as Public Works Department officer, he would have drawn ‡ Rs. 125.

‡ Paragraphs 33 and 35 of the Public Works Code, Vol. I and appendix 19, Vol. II—Rs 100 pay + Rs. 10 house-rent + Rs. 15 Presidency allowance.

III Office will please put up the *notes* with G.O., No. 459, dated 5th July 1905, and also the Government Order and notes connected with the appointment of Mr. Griffith as Engineer, Madras Corporation.

H. D. Taylor—25-1-06.

The notes with G.O., No. 459, dated 5th July 1905, are submitted. The Government Order and notes connected with the appointment of Mr. Griffith have been put up with the file regarding the appointment of a successor to Mr. Pears. Should its return be awaited?

P. T. Tharyan—29-1-06.

Why not printed?

H.D.T.

Submitted that the Government Order is a Local and Municipal Government Order and that Department will be asked to print it.

P.T.T.

C. A. Souter—30-1-06.

The notes in G.O., No. 459, dated 5th July 1905, give all that is necessary.

Two upper subordinates of Public Works Department were asked for by Colonel Love in his demi-official on page 1 of the notes and these men were appointed in accordance with orders in Council, dated 9th June 1905, page 3 *ibid.* It was necessary to grant them the scale of pay and allowances which they would otherwise have received in their own Department while on duty in Madras. If qualified men can be obtained from outside the Public Works Department they may be employed on the sanctioned scale, but there seems to be little or no chance of getting such men.

H. D. Taylor—31-1-06.

But surely these particular men of the Public Works department should not have been appointed if their departmental pay was more than the sanctioned scale?

2. I suppose they will assert now that they were the *only* competent men, but was any inquiry made or any endeavour to get men of standing suitable for the pay.

G. S. F[orbes]—31-1-06.

It is submitted that we have no further information beyond that contained in the notes in G.O., No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905. Owing to removal of of Mr. Griffith as Engineer of the Corporation, immediate action had to be taken. The men were required on the reopening of the College on 1st July.

2. The Chief Engineer was asked to select men; he was informed of the rate of pay available; he sent a list of men to Colonel Love for selection; the Director of Public Instruction was asked to consult Colonel Love. Higher allowances were asked for and refused. The matter was one of urgency and the men were appointed for six months in the first instance. There is no objection to their being replaced by others. The difficulty is that the scale of pay and allowances of Public Works Department upper subordinates does not fit in with that of instructors in the Engineering College. If Honourable Member desires, a reference will be made to the Public Works Department to ascertain how the selection was made, but I do not think that we shall get any satisfactory information out of that Department.

H. D. Taylor—1-2-06.

We can pass the pay, etc., in this case, but after issue of orders I should like a reference as to how men are selected with reference to the scale for instructors.

G. S. F[orbes]—2-2-06.

Let this be dealt with in a separate file.

H. D. Taylor—5-2-06.

Please draft.

H. D. Taylor—5-2-06.

A draft is placed below for approval. If approved, it may go to Financial for acceptance.

2. The Director states that the extra amount required during the current year to meet the extra charge is Rs. 130—paragraph 2 of his letter. He has submitted a reappropriation statement in which it is proposed to reappropriate the amount from 22-D. Education—Government Colleges, Professional, College of Engineering, Establishment. As pointed out by the Accountant-General, this reappropriation requires the sanction of Financial under G.O., No. 715, Financial, dated 19th November 1904.

N.L.R.—6-2-06.

P. T. Tharyan—6-2-06.

C. A. Souter—7-2-06.

To the Financial Department.

Financial Department.

May be accepted.

C. M. Schmidt—9-2-06.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue. Then deal separately with the point raised in Honourable Member's note of 2nd February 1906 as to method of selection.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-06.

[G.O., No. 85, Educational, dated 12th February 1906.]

[E.]
1,500—12-12-

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

10

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[2152] The Director of Public Instruction	8847	27-11-05	1-4
" Accountant General	Pa 676 10636	12-12-05	5
[80] " do	Bnd/930 11788	17-1-06	6-8

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 2152

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
608	9-9-05	O		
459	5-7-05	SC		
627	16-9-05	O		

Papers of other departments.

Department	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.
Financial	633	20-9-05	S.C.	
do	745	19-11-04	do.	
L&M	1089	5-6-05	O	

Rew

P 459-5-7-05
P 608-9-9-05
633 Fin
20/9/05

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—17-6-05.

Ed CURRENT No. 2152

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

11

Received LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 13 DEC 1905 SECRETARIAT. 190 , Registered 13-12-1905.

Subject.

Recommending that M.R.Ry K. Srinivasa Aiyangar B.A. Vernacular Assistant in the College of Engineering be granted a personal allowance in consideration of the promotion he has had in the Public Works Department.

Enclosures.	
No.	1
Spare copies	

R.C. No. 8847/05

Station Madras, Dated the 27th November 1905

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 30 NOV 1905 MADRAS

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.
(Through the Accountant-General.)

Sir,

P In G.O. No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July 1905, M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, B.A., a temporary overseer of the P.W.D., on Rs. 60 who was appointed to act as a vernacular assistant in the College of Engineering, was allowed a consolidated salary of Rs. 85 per mensem, and accordingly the pay of the post which was Rs. 60-2-80 was converted into Rs.85.-please see the proposition and re-appropriation statements sanctioned respectively in G.Os. No. 608, Educational, dated 9th September 1905 and No. 633 Financial, dated 20th September 1905. In the first of the orders quoted above Government further stated that the officer might receive any increase to his salary to which he would become entitled by promotion in the ordinary course. In the memorandum of the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., No. 851/D, dated 10th August 1905,

O
N

Page 4, C.F.

a

2

a copy of which is herewith enclosed, K. Srinivasa Aiyangar has been promoted to be an overseer of the 2nd grade on Rs. 80 per mensem on the temporary establishment with effect from 1st August last, and the Principal, College of Engineering therefore recommends that his salary be correspondingly increased, i.e., to Rs. 105 (Rs. 85 plus Rs. 20) from the above date agreeably to para 1 of G.O. No. 459, Educational, dated 5th July last, I support his recommendation. If it is approved, a revised proposition statement has to be submitted to Government with the pay of the vernacular assistant's post on Rs. 85 altered into Rs. 105 from 1st August to 31st October and the pay of the post of additional assistant instructor in the College on Rs. 75-2½-100 in which Srinivasa Aiyangar has been appointed to act sub pro tem since 1st November 1905 altered into Rs. 105. This practice of altering the pay of particular appointments to suit the convenience of an individual seems to me to be an inconvenient one, and I beg therefore to propose that Srinivasa Aiyangar be granted a personal allowance of Rs. 20 per mensem from 1st August to 31st October 1905, both days inclusive and a similar allowance of Rs. 25 per mensem, which is the difference between Rs. 105 referred to above and Rs. 80 his pay in the P.W.D. If this proposal is approved I shall submit a proposition statement restoring the pay of the vernacular assistant's post held by Srinivasa Aiyangar to the original figure, viz., Rs. 60-2-80.

P

2. The extra cost for the current year amounting to ⊠ Rs. 130 will be met from the sum shown as available for re-appropriation in the statement sanctioned in G.O. No. 633, Financial, dated 20th September 1905, out of which a surplus of Rs. 327 may still be expected after meeting the increase of Rs. 106 required on account of Mr. Webster's salary-vide this office letter R.C. No. 4077/5 dated 6th September 1905.

	Rs.
⊠ at Rs. 20 for 3 months from 1st August to 31st October 1905	60
at Rs. 17½ (Rs. 105 minus Rs. 87-8-0, the pay of the additional assistant instructor budgeted for the current year) for 4 months from 1st November 1905	70
	130

N

3

12

M
G.O. no. 627 Fin
16-9-05

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Director of Public Instruction.

B.J.
25-11-05

13
38

No. 851/D.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Memorandum.

By the Hon'ble Mr. W.B.deWinton, C.I.E., Chief Engineer,P.W.D.

Ootacamund, dated 10th August 1905.

The following reappointments are made on the temporary Upper Subordinate establishment with effect from the 1st August 1905:-

x x x

(17) M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Overseer on Rs. 60	to be Overseer on Rs. 80.

x x x

(By Order)

Deputy Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

(True Copy).

For Director of Public Instruction.

B.I.
25-11-05

14

4P

No. P.A. 676.

10636

Enc. 2.

Forwarded to Government.

2. There is no audit objection to the personal allowance proposed by the Director of Public Instruction being sanctioned by Government, though the grant of such an allowance is extremely unusual.

Pages 2 & 7, c/file

3. With reference to para 2 of the Director of Public Instruction's letter, a re-appropriation statement in proper form has been called for and will be submitted to Government on receipt.

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
12 December 1905.

K. C. Cagle
Offg. Accountant-General.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

Rw p 76–104 2nd copy
u 633: 20-1-05 u copy

6

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—17-6-05.

Edl. CURRENT No. 80

15

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT 18 JAN 1906

Received 18 JAN 1906 190 , Registered 18-1-1906.

Subject.

forwarding for sanction of Govt re-appropriation statement received from the Director of Public Instruction and stating that the sanction must be obtained from Govt in the Financial Department.

ENCLOSURES.	
No.	2
Spare copies	

No. Bud. 930 / 11788

58

Station Madras , Dated the 17 January 1906.

From (Name) W. Dobbie Esq.,

(Designation) Accountant-General,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

Page 5, ct file

In continuation of my Endorsement No.P.A.676/10636, dated 11th December 1905, I have the honour to forward herewith the re-appropriation statement in duplicate received from the Director of Public Instruction and to state that the proposed re-appropriation requires the sanction of Government in the Financial Department.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Dobbie
Accountant-General.

P. S. No. 185
2,000—23-7-04.

RE-APPROPRIATIO STATEMENT to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget, or for additional allotments.

Educational DEPARTMENT ~~OR OFFICE~~. *College of Engineering.*

Major, minor, sub and detailed heads of budget (a).	HEADS OF ESTIMATE PROPOSED TO BE INCREASED.				HEADS OF ESTIMATE, PROPOSED TO BE REDUCED.				REMARKS.
	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount of additional allotment required.	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount available for re-appropriation.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	
Detailed account No. 22-D. Education - Government Colleges, Professional, College of Engineering - Salaries - Pay of 7 Instructors.	5946 + Rs 120 sanctioned in G. O. No. 6337 Ind d/20th September 1905 = Rs. 6066	6196 AV	Page 129 of the C.B.E. for 1905-06	130					
Detailed account No 22-D- Education - Government Colleges, Professional, College of Engineering - Establishment - Pay of establishment.					6564	6434		130	

(a) The same detail must be given as in the printed Civil Budget Estimate.

NOTE.—If no re-appropriation is possible, the urgency and necessity for the expenditure must be fully explained.

Dated 8th January 1906.

8.1.06

T. A. Scott
For Director of Public Instruction.

[E. / 2.]
1,500—3-12-01

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
22 JAN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

File 85 Edl
12-2-06

17
18

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2152 From the D. P. I. No. 8847, dated 27-11-1905.
" " Accountant General " P.a.676/10626 " 12-12-1905.

SUBJECT.

Grant of a personal allowance of Rs. 20 p.m. to M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa aiyangar, Vernacular assistant in the College of Engineering in consideration of the promotion he has had in the P.W.D.

P

In their order no. 459 Educational, dated 5th July 1905, the Government appointed M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa aiyangar, temporary Overseer on Rs. 60, to be an Assistant Instructor in the College of Engineering against a temporary Vacancy in the Rs. 60-80 grade but while so employed he was permitted ~~him~~ to draw a consolidated salary of Rs. 85 per mensem. The Government further stated that he might receive any increase to his salary to which he would become entitled by promotion in the ordinary course in the Public works Department. In consequence of M.R.Ry. Srinivasa aiyangar's pay having been fixed at Rs. 85 p.m., ~~the pay of~~ the post to which he was appointed, was converted from one on Rs. 60-2-80 into one on Rs 85. ~~namely, Rs. 60-2-80, was converted in 1905 and the proposition statement was accordingly altered & sanctioned as altered~~ G.O. no. 608 Educational, dated 9th September 1905.

Pages 1 & 2, ct file

2. The Director now reports that M.R.Ry. K. Srinivasa aiyangar has been promoted to be an overseer on Rs. 80 with effect from the 1st of August last & in consequence of this promotion, Srinivasa aiyangar becomes entitled to an increase of Rs. 20 to his present salary of Rs. 85. If this increase is sanctioned, a revised proposition statement is necessary with the pay of the vernacular assistant's post on Rs. 85

Reference

18

raised ~~converted into~~ Rs. 105 ~~with~~ from 1st August to the 31st of October 1905 when he was put in to act Sub pro tem in the Rs. 75-100 grade. In the latter case also the pay of the post in which he is ~~pay~~ ~~[illegible]~~ now acting requires to be raised to Rs. 105 from the 1st of November 1905. ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ Thus a revised proposition Statement will have to be submitted ~~[illegible]~~ with the pay of the vernacular assistant's post on Rs. 85 altered into Rs. 105 from the 1st of August to the 31st of October 1905 and the pay of the post of additional assistant instructor on Rs 75-100 altered into Rs. 105 from 1st November 1905. The Director, however proposes that instead of altering the proposition Statement as above shown the pay of the vernacular Assistant's post on Rs. 85 ~~now~~ held by Srinivasa Aiyangar may be restored to the original figure of ~~Rs~~ 60-2-80, he being granted a personal allowance of Rs. 20 p.m. from the 1st of August to the 31st of October 1905 and of Rs. 25 p.m. from the 1st of November 1905. The Accountant General too has no objection to this proposal which ~~this proposal~~ may perhaps be approved ~~and~~ the Director being requested to submit a revised proposition Statement on the lines now indicated ~~approved~~.

Pages 2 & 3, C.f.

3. The extra cost involved in the present proposals is Rs. 130 as shown in para. 2 of the Director's letter on page 2 of the Current file. The Accountant General has called for a re-appropriation Statement* in proper form and its receipt may perhaps be awaited.

* Since received - Page 88, C.f.

N.A.R.
16/12/5

Sec.

18/12/5 It seems a most unusual procedure to raise the pay of a post or lower it according to

P

the person who is for the time being the incumbent. I am not quite clear as to the meaning of G.O. No 459 Educ. of 5/9/05. By it this man was given a salary of 85/- when the maximum pay of the post was 80/-. He has since received an increase of 20/- per mensem in his own Dept. in which his pay would be 80/- i.e. he now receives more than he would get if he went back, even with his increased pay. Is it meant that he is now entitled to 85 + 20? The G.O. seems to mean ~~that~~. But since his promotion in the D.P.W. Srinivasa Aiyangar has been promoted sub pro tem. to the 75–100 grade in the College. Is he still to get only 105/- consolidated pay? That is what the D.P.I. says, yet surely this second promotion must count for something, & more so the annual increments of his College appointment. Submitted that it would be advisable to give him a fixed personal allowance in addition to his D.P.W. pay

I think so HQ.

C.A.S.
17/10/05

4 12

P

Who is responsible
Our office or Fort
or A.G.

The A.G. accepted only ~~present~~ scale he is not to blame. Ed. in their note of 25.8.05 said that the ~~excess~~ ~~[illegible]~~ should be shown as a temporary and Estt. drafted on these lines.

This should have been more carefully considered but the [illegible]

25 7 05.

The proposition statement in G.O. 603 dated 9.9.05 is not in accordance with G.O. 459 dt. 5 July 05 and should not have been approved in that form.

The sanctioned pay of the post was R 60-2-80 and Srinivasa Aiyar was appointed on that pay against a temporary vacancy in the 60-2-80 grade. In the P.S. referred to above either the full pay (R 85/) should have been shown as a temporary appointment – the post on R 60-2-80 being kept vacant – or ~~the~~ Srinivasa Aiyar should have been ~~shown~~ appointed to hold the post in R 60-2-80 grade on the maximum of R 80 with a personal allowance of Rs.

I think he should now be shown either as holding a temporary post on R 105 or be appointed to the post of R 60-2-80 on R 80, with a personal allowance of R 25.

Any personal allowance would be reduced by the amount of additional pay received ~~by~~ as a consequence of promotion. Till he receives further promotion to P.W.D. he is entitled to R 105 in all

19 12 05.

F.D. u.o. for remarks.

Financial DEPT.
U.O. No. 1925 R.
Dated 20 – 12 – 1905.

Ed. u.o. 850 D
19.12.~~05~~
19/12/5

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Financial Dept.

~~The [illegible] course~~

The proper course seems to be to consider Srinivasa Iyengar as holding the appointment on Rs. 60-2-80, his pay being fixed at the maximum viz. Rs 80, and to grant him a personal allowance of Rs. 25 p.m. in addition to his pay.

2. In order to avoid a similar difficulty in case the incumbent is promoted to the 1st grade overseer (Pay Rs. 100) in the D.P.W. line, it seems advisable to treat the personal allowance (of Rs 25) as fixed, and to ~~[illegible]~~ grant this allowance subject to a reduction therefrom of the sum equal to the increase over the pay of Rs 80, which Srinivasa Iyengar would get in consequence of his promotion whether in the college or in the regular D.P.W. line.

?/

How can this be done in the face of G.O. 459 Ed. of 5.7.05 (para 2)

P

3. Submitted for orders.

10/1/06

Chief Secretary.

P

The whole difficulty turns upon the last sentence in para 2 of G.O. 459 Ed. of 5th July 1905, where these two P.W.D. Overseers appd. to the Engineering College

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
16 JAN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

were guaranteed "any increase to which they "would become entitled by promotion in the "ordinary course". Whether effect is given to this by a ~~per~~ varying personal allowance, an "extremely unusual" course as the A.G. points ~~out~~ out; or by a revised proposition statement each time either of the men receives promotion, the orders of Govt. will be necessary on each occasion. The arrangement is very unusual. ~~[illegible]~~ The simplest course seems to be to decide that these men shall get ~~their~~ the salaries they would draw in the regular line plus a local allowance ~~of~~ of Rs 25 in Srinivasa Ayangar's case. A P.S. stating this fact once for all should be passed. It is presumed that E.D. will fix some maximum limits to the emoluments of these men in the Eng: College. Whatever they may be worth in the regular line there must be some limit to the salary paid them for the duties they discharge in the College & these duties should be the primary factor in determining their emoluments in the College.

Para 2 of Est. PA676/10636 d/12.12.05 on p. 51 C.7.

Yes. This is the usual course.
14.1.06.

Chs
11.1.06

To. 2d

The arrangement made seems to have been most unnecessarily complicated. I would suggest a general order as above to simplify the arrangement.

W
14.1.06.

Read

7-a

15

[E./6]
1,000—22-11-03.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 80, From the Accountant General no. Bud 930/11788, Dated 17-1-1906.

Subject:- Reappropriation Statt in connection with the grant of a personal allowance to M.R.Ry K. Srinivasa aiyangar, Asstt. Instructor in the College of Engineering, in consequence of his promotion in the Public works Deptt.

Cur. 2152 Ed/05 - Pages 1-3, et file

The Director recently proposed the grant of a personal allowance to M.R.Ry K. Srinivasa aiyangar, formerly temporary overseer in the Public works Deptt. & now an assistant instructor in the College of Engineering, Madras, in consequence of his promotion in the Public works Deptt. The accountant General in submitting this proposal to Govt. stated that he had called for a re-appropriation statement in proper form from the Director and would submit it on receipt. This he now does.

do.

2. The file in connection with which this Statement was called for was referred u.o. to the D.P.I. on the 17th instant and has not yet been received from him. Submitted that the present letter may lie over pending receipt of the file in question from the Director.

N.S.R.
19/1/06

20/1/06

C.S.
20/1/06

7 . 24 26

The DPI may at the first instance be consulted as to the maximum pay that should be drawn by Srinivasa Aiyangar while on duty in the Eng! College.

Perhaps he can see his way to appointing a ~~[illegible]~~ fully qualified man, other than from the PWD – to the post, on the ordinary scale of pay. The present arrangement was made to tide over a temporary difficulty and a dearth of qualified candidates

16/1/06

Edl no. no 56D
17-1-06
7/1/6

CAS 17/1/06

D.P.I. u.o.

U.O. No 4 d/ 22nd January 1906.

Col. Love thinks that the maximum for Srinivasa Aiyangar with his present duties should be Rs 150. I think that this figure or Rs 25 less might be taken.

As to the second question raised by the Secretary the best men turned out by the College secure appointments in the P.W.D. and prefer these to College appointments as the prospects are better. In the taking of future

Of course Col Love will give them all R200

R R 200

Generations of students the College ought to get back the pick of these men after they have had practical experience. If we found an outside man he is pretty sure to be one who was not good enough for the P.W.D and one who has had no practical experience not therefore the best man to put on to train the P.W.D. subordinates of the future. The P.W.D owes its subordinates to the College and it should be the recognized arrangement that one or two of the best are returned to the College to assist in turning out subsequent batches – To do otherwise seems to me a short sighted policy.

The most suitable way would seem to be to fix the pay in the College with reference to the pay of certain grades in the P.W.D. and add a local allowance without which latter for some more or less obscure reason P.W.D. subordinates engaged on works seem disinclined to take up College appointments.

AGH 22-1-6

But surely they must take up the duty if ordered
22.1.06.

Read

Submitted that no orders need issue as suggested in the financial note at page 6. The general question of recruitment of the staff from P.W.D. will be dealt with in connection with the scheme of reorganization of the Engineering College.

22.1.06.

(N. Lakshman Rao will explain separately)

Separate explanation is being submitted.

29/1/06

I (See the office note of 16/11/05. I hope the Ed. will take due note of neglect to reference.)

post? If the man had been put into the post for which he was originally designated there w^d^ not have been this extra cost, would there?

II

III What is the sanct^d^ scale of teachers & so far as it affects the persons now concerned in the proposed arrangements? & what justification is there for enhancing the cost of estab^t^ at the E. Coll., which are very high already.

20/

Read

10

19

I. Due notice will be taken

II. ~~Submitted~~ That Srinivasa Aiyangar* was put into the vacancy for which he was originally designated – viz a post on R60-2-80, but being a PWD man he had to draw the pay to which, as a PWD officer serving at the Presidency, he would have been+ entitled.

It was ~~E.S.~~ C.S. Ramasami Aiyar, who, to prevent undesirable reversion was, on DCE's recommendation approved in G.O 608 dated 9.9.05, put to act in a 150-5-200 post on R130, instead of the 100-5-150 post for which he was originally designated under G.O 459 dated 5th July 05, and in which, as PWD officer, he would have drawn‡ R125

III. Office will please put up the notes in G.O 459 d 5th Jul 05, and also the G.O.s etc connected with the appointment of Mr Griffith as Engineer Madras Corporation.

25.1.06

* G.O. no 459 Rev dated 5th July 1905. P

& no 608 Rev dated 9th September 1905. O.

+ Paras. 33 & 35 of the P.W. Code, Vol. I & Appendix 19. Vol. II. Rs 60 pay + Rs 10 house rent + Rs 15 Presidency allowance.

O

P

P.

‡ Paras. 33 & 35 of the P.W. Code Vol. I & Appendix 19, Vol. II. Rs 100 pay + Rs 10 house rent + Rs 15 Presidency allowance.

P

The notes with G.O. no 459 d/ 5th July 1905 are submitted.

The G.O. & notes connected with the appointment of Mr Griffith have been put up with the file regarding the appointment of a successor to Mr Pears.

Should its return be awaited? Sd

29/1/06

Why not printed?

31.1.06

Submitted that the G.O. is an Item G.O. & that Departt will be asked to print it.

30/1/06

Read

78 20 121

GO no. 459 dated 5-7-05.

P The notes in G.O 459 5th July 05 give all that is necessary

Two upper subordinates of P.W.D were asked for by Col Love in his D.O on page 1 of the notes and these men were appointed in accordance with orders in Council dated 9.6-09. page 3 ibid.

It was necessary to grant them the scale of pay & allowances which they would otherwise have received in their own dept. while on duty in Madras. If qualified men can be obtained from outside P.W.D they may be employed on the sanctioned scale, but there seems to be little or no chance of getting such men

H.D
31.1.06.

H.M.

But surely these particular men of the D.P.W. Ild nt have been appointed if their depart.l pay was more than the sanctioned scale?

I suppose they will assert now that they were the only competent men, but was any inquiry made or any endeavour to get men of standing suitable for the pay

S.T. 31/

Res

Next

P.

It is submitted that we have no further information beyond that contained in the notes in G.O. 459 Edn of 5th July 1905 bearing to removal of Mr Griffith as Engineer of the Corporation immediate action had to be taken. The men were required on the reopening of the College on 1st July.

Hey P. notes. Page 1. Col Love's letter.

The C.E. was asked to select men: he was informed of the rate of pay available: he sent a list of men to Col Love for selection. D.P.I. was asked to consult Col Love Higher allowances were asked for and refused. The matter was one of urgency and the men were appointed for 6 months in the first instance. There is no objection to them being replaced by others. The difficulty is that the scale ~~and~~ of pay ~~of~~ and allowances of PWD upper subordinates does not fit in with that of Instructors in the Engg. College. If Hon Member desires a reference will be made to PWD to ascertain how the selection was made, but I do not think that we shall get any satisfactory information out of that Dept.

two page 3.

ibid page 4

" p. 5

" page 6.

Hy

4-2-06

Hy

We can pass the pay &c

Read

in this case: but after issue of orders I shd like a reference as to how men are selected with reference to the scale for instructors

Let this be dealt with in a separate file

1.2.06

Please

5.2.06

A draft is placed below for approval. If approved, it may go to Financial for acceptance.

2. The Director states that the extra amount required ~~to~~ during the current year to ~~the~~ meet the extra charge is Rs. 130 - para. 2 of his letter on page 2 of current file. He has submitted a re-appropriation statement in which it is proposed to re-appropriate the amount from 22-D-Education-Government Colleges, Professional, College of Engineering, Establishment.

Page 7 of c.f

Page 129 of the C.B.E. for 1905-06

As pointed out by the Accountant-General, this reappropriation requires the sanction of Financial under G.O. no. 745 Financial, dated 19th November 1904.

A.G.'s letter on page 6 of c.f

T

N.A.R.
6/2/06

6/2/6

7/2/06

Fin. u.o.

Resd 7.2.06

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
7 FEB 1906
MADRAS

Edl. no. Dno. 9 ~~Genl.~~
2-2-06

CURRENT NO.
0570
FINANCIAL

P.T.O.

Read

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT

31

128

15P

CS

May be accepted

Cut
9.2.06

Fil no 570-1
10-2.06

7-D. Edl. w.o.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
10 FEB 1906
SECRETARIAT.

Issue – then deal separately with the point raised in [illegible] note of 2.2.06 as to method of selection

10.2.06.

AS

Rec

E4

[E. 12.]
1,000—14-6-05.

32

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read

7P

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 459 Edn P, dated 5-7-1905.
" " " " 608 Edn O, " 9-9-1905.

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2152, From the Director of Public Instruction No. 8847, dated 27-11-1905
" " , " " Accountant General, " Pa. 676/10636, " 12-12-1905.
" " 80, " " do, " Bud/930/11788, " 17-1-1906.

disp minus
& return file to me
PM 10/2/6

reference
KHB
14/1/06

ORDER

P.S.

No. 85, dated 12-2-1906

In the circumstances M.R.Ry
K. Srinivasa Aiyangar will be granted
a personal allowance ~~of Rs 20 per mensem~~ so as to raise his salary,
to Rs 105 per mensem with effect so long as he remains in the College of Engineering
from the 1st of August 1905, the date on
which he was promoted to be an overseer
of the 2nd grade on Rs. 80 per mensem
on the temporary upper subordinate
establishment in the Public works
Department.

NAR
6/2/6

PM
6/2/6

Chl
7/2/06

6-2-06

To the D.P.I. (P+O)
" A.G. (L+O)
" Financial Deptt. with a copy of the reappropriation statt. (L+O)

3 Despd
14/2/6

st copy sent to Press.

eturned for signature.

espatched in print.

Number of copies required
- Order complete.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

EAD AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

8

Notes 1-15 9

URRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER TO GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.