[B.]
800—12-3-04.

Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 74

DATED 9-2-06

24

N. P.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Training Schools

Declining with remarks, to Sanction the introduction from 1st January 1906, of the enhanced Stipend of Rs 6/ permensem to Provincial Stipendiaries admitted into the Primary department of the Training Institutions

—

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1906. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 74, 9th February 1906.

Training Schools.

Declining, with remarks, to sanction the introduction from 1st January 1906 of the enhanced stipend of Rs. 6 *per mensem* to provincial stipendiaries admitted into the primary department of training institutions.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 13th December 1905.
No.—R. on C. No. 9173.

I have the honour to request the sanction of Government for the introduction from the beginning of next calendar year of the enhanced stipend of Rs. 6 to provincial stipendiaries admitted into the primary departments of training institutions. This is the rate of stipend admissible under rule 98, Chapter VI of the Madras Educational Rules, 4th edition, and though the chapter has not yet been brought into force it is very desirable that this portion of it should come into effect from the beginning of next year, so as to attract a greater number of students for training in the primary grade. As observed by Government in G.O., No. 797, Educational, dated 5th December 1905, qualified primary teachers are urgently required in greater numbers; and an improvement in the rate of stipends is one of the first steps to be taken for improving the supply. It may be pointed out that this portion of the training school scheme, if sanctioned, does not affect establishments and is within the sanctioning power of the local Government. There is also necessary provision for the purpose in the budget. Out of the lump provision of Rs. 15,000 to meet the cost of reorganization of training schools in the calendar year Rs. 4,600 has been retained to meet the contingency of the scheme coming into force in January next. The enhancement of the stipend from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 if it is put into force from the beginning of next calendar year can be met from this source. Provision for enhanced stipends for 1906-1907 has been budgeted for under the sum of Rs. 41,640, lump provision for reorganization of training schools.

II

Telegram—from H. D. Taylor, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.
To—the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.
Dated—Madras, the 31st December 1905.
No.—57.

Reference my letter 185, Educational, dated 27th March 1905 and 626, Educational, dated 15th September 1905, regarding reorganization (of) training schools. Government propose to raise stipends in primary departments from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 from 1st January.

Sanction solicited [to] this increase in anticipation [of] sanction to general scheme for reorganization (of) training schools.

III

Telegram—from H. D. Taylor, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.
To—the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.
Dated—Madras, the 5th January 1906.
No.—3.

My telegram thirty-first ultimo. Please state when orders on proposal to raise stipends of students in primary departments of training schools may be expected.

IV

Telegram—from the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.
To—the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.
Dated—Calcutta, the 7th January 1906.
No.—7, Education.

Your telegrams of 31st ultimo and 5th instant regarding primary departments training schools. Matter will be expedited but short delay inevitable before reply can be sent.

V

Telegram—from the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.
To—the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.
Dated—Calcutta, the 23rd January 1906.
No.—32, Education.

Please refer to correspondence ending with Home Department telegram 7 of 7th January, scheme primary training schools. Government India sanction proposal made in your telegram of 31st December to raise stipends from Rs. 5 to 6 a month with effect from 1st January on understanding that total expenditure on this account does not exceed Rs. 6,000 and that their opinion is reserved on merits of scheme as a whole and that matter must be reconsidered if it is found that funds will not be available for reforms in training system which they regard as of more importance.

Order—No. 74, Educational, dated 9th February 1906.

In rule 98 of the Madras Educational Rules as last revised the standard rate of provincial stipends *per mensem* in the primary departments of training schools has been increased from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6. But this rule, together with others in the chapter relating to training institutions, has not been brought into force pending receipt of the sanction of the Secretary of State to the scheme of reorganization of the Government Training schools in the Madras Presidency. The scheme is still under the consideration of the Government of India.

2. In his letter of the 13th December 1905, the Director requested sanction to the payment of the enhanced stipend from the beginning of January 1906. The Government of India were then requested to sanction this proposal in anticipation of sanction to the general scheme for the reorganization of training schools. In the second of their telegrams read above this special sanction has been accorded but subject to two conditions:—

(1) that the total additional expenditure does not exceed Rs. 6,000 *per annum*;

(2) that the matter should be reconsidered if it is found that funds will not be available for reforms in the training system.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council anticipates that the proposed increase in the rate of stipends of normal students of the primary grade will result in an increase in expenditure of Rs. 8,760 *per annum*. At the same time the teachers now coming in for training have been promised only Rs. 5 *per mensem*; and if the rate were increased to Rs. 6 *per mensem*, and if a subsequent reduction in that rate were found necessary owing to lack of sufficient funds, some hardship might result. In these circumstances His Excellency in Council would prefer to await the final orders of the Secretary of State on the scheme for the reorganization of training schools before any increase in the rate of stipends is introduced.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 74, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 9TH FEBRUARY 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Payment from January* 1906 *of the enhanced stipend of Rs.* 6 *per mensem to Provincial Stipendiaries admitted into the Primary Department of Training Institutions.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 13*th December* 1905, *No.* 9173.

The standard rate of Provincial stipends *per mensem* in the Primary departments of Training schools is now Rs. 5. In rule 98 of the Madras Educational Rules as last revised this rate has been fixed at Rs. 6. But the chapter in this edition relating to training institutions has not been brought into force, pending the reorganization of the Government Training Schools for Masters—foot-note on page 69 of the Madras Educational Rules.

2. The Director of Public Instruction now requests that meanwhile the proposed increase in the rate of stipends may be given effect to from January next year so as to attract a larger number of students for training in the primary grade. In regard to this question of stipends, the Director originally suggested (letter in G.O., Nos. 184, 185, Educational, dated 27th March 1905) that, if funds were not available, the proposal might be deferred for future consideration. In his un-official note of the 16th November 1904 (page 13 of the notes in the Government Order above quoted), however, Dr. Bourne stated that he consulted Mr. Stone about the raising of the stipend, that Mr. Stone considered it a very important matter and that Dr. Bourne quite agreed with him in this respect. Hence this proposal also was included in the general scheme of reorganization of Training schools submitted to the Government of India with letter, No. 185, Educational, dated 27th March 1905.

3. In their letter in G.O., Nos. 625, 626, Educational, dated 15th September 1905, the Government of India desired to have some additional information on the subject and this has been furnished—letter No. 626, Educational, dated 15th September 1905. No intimation has yet been received that the Government of India have sent home the proposals. In regard to the raising of the rate of stipends generally, the Government of India said that they were prepared to accept the views of the Government of Madras—paragraph 6 of letter in G.O., Nos. 625, 626, Educational, dated 15th September 1905, page 3. The present proposal need not, however, be A necessarily connected with the question of the reorganization of Training schools, the main object of which was to provide an independent staff of teachers for the practising departments of those schools and to extend the period of training of primary teachers from one to two years.

4. For orders as to whether the Director's present proposal may be accepted. The Director does not give us an estimate of the cost involved in this proposal. But on page 18 of the notes in G.O., Nos. 184, 185, Educational, dated 27th March 1905, the number of stipendiaries in the Primary departments of Training schools was assumed to be 730. The extra cost of the increase in stipends of primary students will therefore be Rs. 730 × 12 = Rs. 8,760 *per annum* or Rs 1,460 in 1905–1906. (Charges for January and February alone will have to be paid in 1905–1906). This figure, however, does not include any increase in the number of stipendiaries which is likely to arise from an increased rate of stipends. The Director of Public Instruction states that the sum required in 1905–1906 can be met from the balance of Rs. 4,600 specifically reserved for this purpose out of the lump provision of Rs. 15,000 in the current year's budget for the reorganization of Training schools. For next year there is a provision of Rs. 41,640 for the reorganization of Training schools.

N.L.R.—19-12-05.

P. T. THARYAN—19-12-05.

There seems no objection to the Director of Public Instruction's proposal. He has money.

C. A. Souter—20-12-05.

The Accountant-General may be asked to state un-officially if he has any objection. The total additional cost is well below Rs. 25,000 in any year, and may apparently be sanctioned independently of the general scheme for the reorganization of Training schools. Will Accountant-General please treat this as very urgent.

H. D. Taylor—21-12-05.

To the Accountant-General.

The increase of the stipends having been included as a part of the scheme which has been submitted to the Government of India for transmission to the Secretary of State for his sanction, I think the rules on the subject of anticipating sanction should be held to apply to this portion of the scheme. It is not, I think, permissible to dissociate this portion of the scheme from the rest on the grounds that if taken by itself it would be within the powers of Government to sanction. If the urgency of the case is such as to require the anticipation of the sanction that has been applied for, I think the confirmation by the Government of India of the action of Government should be obtained by telegram.

W. Dobbie—25-12-05.

The matter is one of considerable urgency and the expenditure proposed is within the sanctioning powers of this Government. I think the Accountant-General is a little overcautious in this matter. Will Financial please submit this to the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes with their remarks.

H. D. Taylor—26-12-05.

To the Financial Department.

Financial Department.

Submitted that the question turns upon the fact whether the proposal to raise the rate of stipends of primary students in Training schools from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 *per mensem* is a part and parcel of the scheme for the reorganization of Training schools which has been forwarded to the Government of India for obtaining the sanction of the Secretary of State. (Letters to India Nos. 185 and 626, Educational, dated 27th March and 15th September 1905). It is now pointed out by the Educational Department (portion marked **A** on page 1 *supra*) that the proposal to raise the stipend is not necessarily connected with the question of the reorganization of Training schools. The increase of the stipends has, however, been included as a part of the scheme which has been submitted to the Government of India for transmission to the Secretary of State for his sanction. As the matter is urgent, it is submitted that it seems advisable that as pointed out by the Accountant-General the confirmation by the Government of India of the sanction to be accorded by this Government should be obtained by telegram.

V. Gopalakrishnan—27-12-05.
C. S. Simpson—27-12-05.

Yes. I think orders should be asked by telegram. This raising of stipends is part of the scheme.

M. Hammick—28-12-05.

Yes. Telegraph to India. The Accountant-General seems right.

G. S[tokes]—29-12-05.

To the Educational Department.

Educational Department.

The telegram placed below will be despatched to India (Home).

H. D. Taylor—31-12-05.

[Telegram No. 57, dated 31st December 1905.]

The Government of India have not yet replied to the above telegram. For orders as to whether they should be reminded. If so, a draft telegram is placed below for approval.

N.L.R.—3-1-06.

We may perhaps wait till the 5th instant.

P. T. Tharyan—3-1-06.

Wait.

C. A. Souter—3-1-06.

India's reply to telegram No. 57, dated 31st ultimo, has not yet been received. For orders as to whether the draft telegram placed below may issue.

N.L.R.—5-1-06.
P. T. Tharyan—5-1-06.

Issue.

C. A. Souter—5-1-06.

[Telegram No. 3, dated 5th January 1906.]

Telegram from the Government of India, No. 7, dated 7th January 1906.

The Government of India say that it will take some little time before a reply can be sent to our telegram regarding the proposal to raise stipends of students in the Primary departments of Training schools. The file may, it is submitted, therefore lie over till the reply is received.

N.L.R.—8-1-06.

Submitted that there is no use keeping the file open. The papers may be shown to the Director of Public Instruction and simply recorded.

P. T. Tharyan—8-1-06.

C. A. Souter—8-1-06.

The Director of Public Instruction should know at once. He should see the file u.o.

H. D. Taylor—8-1-06.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

I note that Rs. 5 only can be offered and, given (for the present), to the incoming stipendiaries of the primary grade.

A. G. Bourne—8-1-06.

Submitted to the Honourable Member for information.

H. D. Taylor—10-1-06.
G. S. F[orbes]—11-1-06.

Further order awaited.

H. D. TAYLOR—11-1-06.

Nothing should be "recorded" on which a reply is due and to which we can give a reply, merely in order to close the current.

H. D. TAYLOR.

May not these papers be now recorded and the file closed for the present? The letter to India regarding the re-organization of the Training schools is in the call book. The matter will not then be lost sight of. If approved the draft placed below may be passed.

P. T. THARYAN—12-1-06.

How can a file be closed *for the present*? If closed it cannot be reopened except on a fresh current.

H.D.T.

There seems no use in keeping the file open.

C. A. SOUTER—12-1-06.

The question is whether any orders are to be passed on this current. We are not in a position to pass any orders till we receive a definite communication from India. On receipt of such communication we can reopen the question. At present Mr. Orange is in hospital which doubtless delays matters.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-1-06.

Telegram from the Government of India, dated 23rd December 1905.

The Government of India sanction the proposal to raise the stipends of primary training students from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 per mensem subject to two conditions—

(1) that the total additional expenditure does not exceed Rs. 6,000 per annum;

(2) that the matter should be reconsidered if it is found that funds will not be available for reforms in training system.

2. As regards the first of these conditions the total extra cost exclusive of the increase involved in the extension of the course from one to two years (paragraph 4 of letter No. 185, dated 27th March 1905), and of any increase caused by an increase in the number of students was estimated at Rs. 8,760 per annum—please see paragraph 4 of the office-note of 19th December 1905—page 1 *supra*. If the increase should be restricted to Rs. 6,000, the number of students should not exceed * 500 on the whole, but it may perhaps be possible to pay the higher rate to students, in schools in the backward districts, leaving the amount of stipends to students in other schools, unaltered. The Director may be consulted on this point.

	RS.
* Increase per annum	6,000
" per mensem	500

The increase per head being Re. 1, this amount will suffice to pay only 500 students.

P. T. THARYAN.

3. If, however, the matter is liable to be reconsidered is it worthwhile to disturb the existing arrangements at present? The Director may be consulted on this point also.

P. T. THARYAN—26-1-06.

If the proposal cannot now be sanctioned in its entirety, submitted that it would be better to await the Government of India's final decision.

C. A. SOUTER.

C. A. SOUTER—29-1-06.

It seems little use to sanction the proposal in part, and then to withdraw the concession later on if funds are not forthcoming. This would only cause soreness in the minds of those who do not receive the concession in the first instance, and discontent in the minds of those who receive it, only to lose it again. I would let matters remain as they are.

H. D. TAYLOR—29-1-06.

To the Director of Public Instruction for very early remarks.

I should certainly let matters alone now. The men who are now coming in for training are expecting Rs. 5 as stipend. It would be very inadvisable to raise the rate if there is any chance of lowering it again later on.

2. After all, the rest of the scheme is the main consideration. We are spending lakhs in order to spread and improve elementary education and have as yet been able to do nothing towards securing better teachers.

3. A simple and obvious reform, viz., to make arrangements to impart a little more general knowledge to these very ignorant teachers has now been delayed for nearly a year, while the Government of India are seeking after some ideal. I have with the sanction of Government and by a makeshift arrangement secured a supply of imperfectly trained teachers for the new schools to be opened shortly (there are about 300 of these), but I shall have none ready for next year or indeed the year after unless the not very satisfactory makeshift is repeated. It will take two years after the coming into operation of any scheme for a batch of trained men to be turned out. I shall have hundreds of new schools staffed with teachers who are almost useless.

A. G. BOURNE—1-2-06.

No action is necessary. The papers may be "recorded".

H. D. TAYLOR—2-2-06.

Better draft an order on the telegrams, that as the estimated cost, etc., amounted to Rs. 8,760, etc., the Government prefer to await the final orders of the Government of India. The teachers now coming in for training have been promised only Rs. 5, and if the rate were increased to Rs. 6 and had afterwards to be reduced, some hardship might be caused. The Government order can be worked up on those lines and communicated to the Director of Public Instruction with the correspondence printed. I don't want to see again.

G. S. F[ORBES]—3-2-06.

Please draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—5-2-06.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

N.L.R.—6-2-06.
P. T. THARYAN—6-2-06.

C. A. SOUTER—7-2-06.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—8-2-06.

[G.O., No. 74, Educational, dated 9th February 1906.]

[illegible] [illegible]
9/2/06

[E.]
1,500—12-12-

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

6

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[2157] The D.P.I.	9173	13-12-05	1-2
Telegram to the Govt. of India, Home Dept	57	31-12-05	3
do	3	5-1-06	4
[29] Telegram from do	7	7-1-06	5
[104] Govt. of India	32	23-1-06	6-7

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 2157

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
184-85	27-3-05	S.C.		
625.26	15-9-05	do		
797	5-12-05	do.		
217	4-4-05	do		
178	14-3-04	do		
666-30203	17-5-02	do		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

G.O. no 797 Edl. d/ 5-12-05
G.O. nos. 184-85 Edl/05
G.O. nos 625-626 Edl/05

Stock Form No. 135
3,700—17-6-05.

Madras Edl. Rules

Ed CURRENT No. 2157

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 14 DEC 1905 190 , Registered 14-12 1905.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 14 DEC 1905

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 26 DEC 1905

1P

Subject.

Requesting sanction of Government for the introduction from the beginning of next calendar year of the enhanced stipend of Rs. 6 to provincial stipendiaries admitted into the Primary Departments of Training Institutions.

ENCLOSURES.	
No.	
Spare copies	

R.C No. 9173/05

Station Madras, *Dated the* 13th December 1905

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to request the sanction of Government for the introduction from the beginning of next calendar year of the enhanced stipend of Rs. 6 to provincial stipendiaries admitted into the Primary departments of Training institutions. This is the rate of stipend admissible under Rule 98 Chap. VI of the Madras Educational Rules, 4th Edition, and though the chapter has not yet been brought into force it is very desirable that this portion of it should come into effect from the beginning of next year, so as to attract a greater number of students for training in the Primary grade. As observed by Government in G.O. No. 797, Educational, dated 5th December 1905

Page 76 of the Edl. Rules.

P

qualified

qualified primary teachers are urgently required in greater numbers; and an improvement in the rate of stipends is one of the first steps to be taken for improving the supply. It may be pointed out that this portion of the Training school scheme, if sanctioned, does not affect establishments and is within the sanctioning power of the local Government. There is also necessary provision for the purpose in the budget. Out of the lump provision of Rs. 15,000 to meet the cost of reorganization of training schools in the calendar year Rs. 4600 has been retained to meet the contingency of the scheme coming into force in January next. The enhancement of the stipend from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 if it is put into force from the beginning of next calendar year can be met from this source. Provision for enhanced stipends for 1906-07 has been budgeted for under the sum of Rs. 41,640 lump provision for reorganization of Training schools.

Page 136 of the CBE for 1905-06

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E W Middlemast

For Director of Public Instruction.

E.S.
12-12-05

Telegram to the Govt. of India, dated 31st December 1905 No. 57.

"Reference my letter 185 Educational, dated 27 March '05 and 626 Educational, dated 15th September '05 regarding reorganization (of) Training Schools. Government propose to raise stipends in Primary Departments from Rs 5 to Rs 6 from 1st January.

Sanction solicited [to] this increase in anticipation [of] sanction to general scheme for reorganization (of) Training Schools.

(Sd) H.D. Taylor.

31. 12. 05.

4 9 3P

Educational Dept.

Telegram Deferred

To Calcutta

Home.

No. 3. My telegram thirty first ultimo

Please state when ~~the~~ orders ~~[illegible]~~ on proposal to raise stipends of students in Primary departments of training schools, may be expected.

N.A.R.
3/1/6

5/1/6

C.W.
5/1/06

Desp
5/1/6

Desp
5/1/6

Post copy desp
5/1/6

Recd. }
Regsd. } 8-1-06

Ed CURRENT No. 29 48

Code Class. } D 5

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. }

To (Office) Madras			From (Office) Calcutta	6924
Words. 40/8	Day. 7	Hour. 23	Minute.	Official Instructions. } Sfd

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT
-8 JAN 1906

To (Person) secretary to govt of madras educational deptt

From* (Person) home

number 7 education your

Pages, c/file telegrams of 31st ultimo

Page 4-do - and 5th instant regarding primary departments training schools matter will be expedited but short delay inevitable before reply can be sent

64 Word Form.

F. C. 2.

Ws
8/1

MADRAS 8-1-06

Recd. from LN.	Sent to LN.	
At Hrs. Mts. by	At Hrs. Mts. by	Telegraph Master.

* In foreign telegrams the Person from, if telegraphed, should be written after, but separated from the text.

G. F.-No. 1. T.-31 [illegible]—50000

Pr

Cuo 104

6

Cuo 104 11 58

6

Code Class. 13 INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

To (Office) Madras | From (Office) Calcutta

23944

Words. 112 103/3 | Day. 23 | Hour. 19 | Minute. 16 | Official Instructions. 1

7

To (Person)
Secretary.
to the Govt of
Madras. Educational
Department.

From (Person) Home

Number 32 educational
please refer to correspondence
ending with home department
telegram 7 of seventh
January, scheme primary
Training schools Govt
india sanction proposal
made in your telegram
of 31st december to raise
stipends from rupees.
five to six a month
with effect from first January
on understanding that total
expenditure on this account

64 Word Form.

2

Recd from LN. Sent to LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master

MADRAS 23-1-06

* In foreign telegrams the *Person from*, if telegraphed, should be written after, but separated from the text.

G. I. P.—No 21 T—31-8-1905—

7 12 6P

Code Class. INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

To (Office)			From (Office)		
Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.	

To (Person)

From* (Person)

2

does not excel rupas
six thousand and
that their opinion
is reserved on merits
of scheme as a whole
and that matter must
be reconsidered if it
is found that funds
will not be available
for reforms in training
system which they regard
as of more importance

84 Word Form.

F.C. 2

Recd from   I.N.   Sent to

At   Hrs.   Mts. by   Hrs.   Mts. by

MADRAS 23-1-06

Telegraph Master.

* In foreign telegrams the *Person from*, if telegraphed, should be written after, but separated from the text.

G C P—No 21 T—31 8 1905

Q

13 1P

[E. 2]
1,500—3-12-04

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT 26 FEB 190

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

[Notes connected with G.O. No. 74 Edl. d/ 3-2-06]

C. No. 2157 From the D.P.I. No. 9173, dated 13-12- 1905.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT 2 - FEB 1906

SUBJECT.

Introduction from January 1906 of the enhanced Stipend of Rs. 6 p.m. to Provincial Stipendiaries admitted into the Primary Department of the Training Institutions.

The Standard rate of Provincial stipends per mensem in the Primary Department of Training Schools is now Rs. 5. In rule 98 of the Madras Educational Rules as last revised this rate has been fixed at Rs. 6. But the Chapter in this Edition relating to Training institutions has not been brought into force (pending the reorganization of the Govt. Training Schools for masters) - footnote on page 69 of the Madras Educational Rules, 4th Edn.

Rule 17 of chapter VI of the Edl. Rules - 3rd Edn. - Page 75.

Page 76 of the Rules - 4th Edn.

2. The Director of Public Instruction now requests that meanwhile the proposed increase in the rate of stipends may be given effect to from January next year so as to attract a larger number of students for training in the Primary grade. In regard to this question of Stipends, the Director originally suggested that if funds were not available, the proposal might be deferred for future consideration. In his u.o. note of the 16th November 1904, however, Dr. Bourne stated that he consulted Mr. Stone about the raising of the stipend, that Mr. Stone considered it a very important matter and that Dr. Bourne quite agreed with him in this respect. Hence this proposal also was included in the general scheme of reorganization of Training Schools submitted to the Govt. of India with letter no. 185 Educational, dated 27th

Page 1, ct file

Para. 6 of the D.P.I.'s letter in G.O. nos. 184-85 Edl. 27-3-05. Q

Page 13 of the notes in G.O. nos. 184-85 Edl., 27-3-1905. Q

Q

R

March 1905.

R 3. In their letter in G.O. nos. 625-626 Educational, ~~date~~ dated 15th September 1905, the Government of India desire to have some additional information on the subject an

R this has been furnished - Letter no. 626 Educational, dated 15th September 1905. No intimation has yet been receive that the Govt. of India have sent home the proposals. In regard to the raising of the rate of stipends generally, th Government of India said that they were prepared to accep the views of the Govt. of Madras - para. 6 of letter in G.O.

R para 6 nos. 625. 626 Educational, dated 15th September 1905 - page 3

The present proposal need not, however, be necessarily

M Connected with the question of the reorganization of Training Schools, the main object of which was to provide an independent staff of teachers for the practising departments of those schools and to extend the period of training of primary teachers from one to two years.

4. For orders as to whether the Director's present proposal may be accepted. The Director does not give us an estimate of the cost involved in this proposal. But

Q on page 18 of the notes in G.O. nos. 184 - 185 Educational, dated 27th March 1905, the number of stipendiaries in the Primary Departments of Training Schools was assumed to be 730. The extra cost of the increase in stipends of primary students will therefore be ~~Rs 730 x 12~~ Rs 730 x 12 = Rs. 8,760 per annum or Rs. 1,460 in 1905-06. (Charges for January and February alone will have to be paid in 1905-1906). This figure, however, does not include any increase in the number of stipendiaries which is likely to arise from an increased rate of stipends. The D.P.I. States that the sum required in 1905-06 can be met from the balance of Rs. 4,600 specifically reserved for this purpose out of the lump provision of Rs. 15,000 in the current year's budget for the reorganization of Training Schools. For next year there is a provision of Rs. 41,640 for the reorganization of Training Schools.

Page 2, ct file

N.S.R.
19/12/5

Sec.

There seems no objection to the D.P.I's proposal. He has money.

A.H.
19/12/5

C.B.S.
20/12/05

The A.G. may be asked to state u-o if he has any objection. The total additional cost is well below Rs 25000 in any year, and may apparently be sanctioned independently of the general scheme for the reorganisation of training schools.

With A.G. please treat this as very urgent.

A.G. u.o.

H.D.J.
21.12.05

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
22 DEC 1905
MADRAS

Desp 21/12/5

Enc. no. 859 D of 21-12-05

Acctt. Genl's office

The increase of the Stipends having been included as a part of the scheme which has been submitted to the Govt. of India for transmission to the Secretary of State for his sanction I think the rules on the subject of anticipating sanction should be held to apply to this portion of the scheme. It is not I think permissible to dissociate this portion of the scheme from the rest on the grounds that if taken by itself it would be within the powers of Govt. to sanction. If the urgency of the case is such as to require the anticipation of the sanction that has been applied for I think the confirmation by the Govt. of India of the action of Govt. should be obtained by telegram.

A.G's No. 145
d/ 25/12/05

S. D.
25.12.05.

The matter is one of considerable urgency and the expenditure proposed is within the sanctioning powers of this Govt. I think the A.G. is a little over cautious in this matter. Will Financial please submit this to the Hon Mr Stokes with their remarks

H.W.L.
26.12.05

Endo No. 868D
26-12-05-

Financial U.O.

Desp today
26/12/05

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Financial DEPT.
U. O. No. 1970 R
Dated 26-12-1905-

S/

Subd. that the question turns upon the fact whether the proposal to raise the rate of stipends of primary students in Training Schools from Rs. 5 to Rs 6 p.m. is a part and

Letters to India No. 185 Educl. d/ 27.3.05 Q
" " " + 626 Educl. d/ 15.9.05 R

and parcel of the Scheme for the reorganization of training schools which has been forwarded to the Govt. of India for obtaining the sanction of the Secretary of State. It is now pointed out by the Educl. Dept. that the proposal to raise the stipend is not necessarily ~~connected~~ with the question of the reorganization of training schools. ~~while the Acct. Genl. contends the~~

Para: 3 of Educl. office note d/ 19.12.05 (Portion marked H) on page 2 supra

The increase of the stipends has, however, been included as a part of the scheme which has been subd. to the Govt. of India for transmission to the Secy. of State for his sanction. As the matter is urgent, it is subd. that it seems advisable that as pointed out by the Acctt. Genl. the confirmation by the Govt. of India of the sanction to be accorded by this Govt. should be obtained by telegram.

Paras. 11 + 13 of Letters to India No. 185 Educl. d/ 27.3.05; Q
+ Para: 6 of Home Dept. letter 509 d/ 3.8.05 on page 3 of file 625-6 Educl. d/ 15.9.05. R
+ A. G.'s note d/ 25.12.05 on pp. 3-4 supra

V. G. L.
27.12.05.

27.12.05

Hon. Mr. Stokes C. S. I.

Yes. I think orders sd. be asked by Telegram. The raising of stipends is part of the Scheme.

28 xii

Yes. Telegraph to India. The A. G. [illegible]

29.12

18 67

Edn. no

Final No. D. 942
30/12/05

Cml
30.12.05

Recd in Edl
at 5 p.m.
XKW

Telegram No. 57:

~~[illegible]~~ Urgent

The following telegram will be despatched to India (Home)

"Reference my letters 185 Edl. dated 27th March 05 and 626 Edl. dated 15th Sept 05 regarding reorganisation [of] Training schools. ~~[illegible]~~ Govt. propose ~~with sanction of India~~ to raise stipends in Primary Department ~~of primary students~~ from R5 to R6 from ~~with effect [from]~~ 1st January. Sanction solicited [to] this measure in anticipation [of] action to general scheme for reorganisation [of] Training schools.

31-12-05

Despd
NAR
31/12/5

Post Copy despd
2/1/6

The Govt. of India have not yet replied to the above telegram. For orders as to whether they should be reminded. If so, a draft telegram is placed below for approval.

NAR
3/1/6

We may perhaps wait till the 5th instant.

BH 3/1/6

Wait.

Cy
3/1/06

India's reply to the telegram on page 6 supra has not yet been received. For orders as to whether the draft telegram placed below may issue.

NSR
5/1/6

PH
5/1/6

Issue
Cas
5/1/06

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
10 JAN '06
SEC.

[Telegram no. 3 dated 5th January 1906].

Telegram from the Govt. of India, no. 7 dated 9th January 1906.

Pages, ct file

The Govt. of India say that it will take ~~some~~ little time before a reply can be sent to our ~~telegrams~~ telegram regarding the proposal to raise stipends of students in the Primary Departments of Training School ~~can be sent~~. The file may, it is submitted, therefore lie over till the reply is received.

NSR
8/1/6

Submitted that there is no use keeping the file open. The papers may be shown to the DPI & simply recorded.

PH
8/1/6

See.
Cas
8/1/06

Edl U.O. No 20
8.1.06.

The DPI should know at once. He should see the file u.o

DPI u.o

sesp
8/1/6

I note that Rs 5 only can be offered and, given (for the present), to the incoming stipendiaries of the Primary Grade

AEd 8.1.6

Submitted to the Hon. Member for information

HDJ
10.1.06

Further order awaited

H
11.1.06

Nothing sh^d be recorded on which a reply is due & to which we can give a reply – merely in order to close the current.

May not these papers be now recorded & the file closed for the present? The ~~orders~~ letter to India regarding the re-organization of the training schools is in the call book. The matter will not then be lost sight of. If approved the draft placed below may be passed.

BJ
12.1.06

Lee.

How can a file be closed for the present? If closed it cannot be reopened except on a fresh current.

There seems no use in keeping the file open.

CAL
12.1.06

The question is whether any orders are to be passed on this current. We are not in a position to pass any orders till we receive a definite communication from India.

On receipt of such communication we can reopen the question. At present Mr Grange is in hospital which doubtless delays matters.

Recd [illegible]

Recd 19/ii

21 9P

Pages 5 & 6 of C.f.

Telegram from the Govt. of India d/ 23-12-06

The Govt. of India sanction the proposal to raise the stipends of primary training students from Rs 5 to Rs 6 per mensem subject to two conditions -

(1) that the total additional expenditure does not exceed Rs 6,000 per annum,

(2) that the matter should be reconsidered if it is found that funds will not be available for reforms in training system.

2. As regards the first of these conditions the total extra cost* (inclusive of the increase involved in the extension of the course from one to two years and of any increase caused by an increase in the number of students) was estimated at Rs 8,700 per annum. Please see para. 4 of the Office note of 19th December 1905 - pages 2 & 3 supra. If the increase should be restricted to Rs 6,000 the number of students should ~~be~~ not exceed + 500 on the whole - but it may perhaps be possible to pay the higher rate to students ~~in the~~ schools in the backward districts - leaving the amount of stipends to students ~~in the~~ other schools, unaltered. The Director may be consulted on this point.

* Para 4 of letter no 185 d/ 27th March 1905. *Q.

+ Increase per annum Rs 6,000
" - mensem 500
The increase per head being Re 1 the amount will suffice to pay only 500 ~~[illegible]~~ students.
PJ

3. If however the matter is liable to be reconsidered is it worthwhile to disturb the existing arrangements at present? The Director may be consulted on this point also.

PJ
26/1/6

Lee
CAS
29/1/06

If the proposal cannot now be sanctioned in its entirety, submitted that it would be better to await the G. of I.'s final decision.
Cus

It seems little use to sanction the proposal in part - and then to withdraw the concession

Note No. 74 Edl
9-2-06

later on if funds are not forthcoming. This would only cause soreness in the minds of those who do not receive the concession in the first instance, and discontent in the minds of those who receive it, only to lose it again.

I would let matters remain as they are

D.P.I. no. for very early remarks

29.1.06

Edl No. No 82 D
29.1.06

Desp
29/1/6

Note No. 7/1-2-06

I should certainly let matters alone now. The men who are now coming in for training are expecting Rs 5 as stipend. It would be very inadvisable to raise the rate if there is any chance of lowering it again later on.

After all, the rest of the scheme is the main consideration. We are spending lakhs in order to spread and improve elementary education and have as yet been able to do nothing towards securing better teachers.

A simple and obvious reform viz:- to make arrangements to impart a little more general knowledge to these very ignorant teachers has now been delayed for nearly a year while the Govt. of India are seeking after some ideal. I have with the sanction of Government and by a makeshift arrangement secured a supply of imperfectly trained teachers for the new schools to be opened shortly (there are about 300 of these) but I shall have none ready for next year or indeed the year after unless the not very satisfactory makeshift is repeated. It will take two years after the coming into operation of any scheme for a batch of trained men to be turned out – I shall have hundreds of new schools staffed with teachers who are almost useless.

AEd 1.2.6

No action is necessary. The paper may be recorded

H.R.
2-2-06

H.G.,

Better draft an order on the telgms., that as the estimated cost &c amounted to Rs 8760 &c the Govt. prefer to await the final orders of the G. of I. The teachers now coming in for training have been promised at Rs 5, & if the rate were ~~reduced~~ increased to 1/6 & had afterwards to be reduced, some hardship might be caused. The G.O. can be worked up on those lines & communicd. to the D.P.I. with the correspce. forwarded. I don't want to see again

Please draft.

H.D.D.
5-2-06

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

~~N.C.D. 5/2/06~~ 6/2/06

Lee
C.S.
7/2/06

H.L.
8.2.06

AS

Read

25

[E. / 12.]
1,000—14-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ...., dated .... 190 .

" " " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2157, From the D.P.I. ...., No. 9173, dated 13-12- 1905

Tel " " 29, " " Govt. of India ...., " 7 ; " 7-1- 1906.

Tel " " 104, " " do ...., " 32, " 23-1- 1906.

ORDER No. 74, dated 9—2—1906.

PS

Page 76 of the Rules

Desp in mss
other print
9/2/6

Footnote on page 69 of the Ed. Rules

Letter no. 185 Edn, 27-3-05 Q
Also letter no. 696 Edn, 15-9-05 R

In rule 98 of the Madras Educational Rules as last revised the Standard rate of provincial stipends per mensem in the Primary departments of Training Schools has been increased from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6. But this rule ~~along~~ together with others in the Chapter relating to Training Institutions has not been brought into force pending receipt of the Sanction of the Secretary of State to the Scheme of reorganization of the Govt. Training Schools in the Madras Presidency. The scheme is still under the consideration of the Govt. of India.

74. Edl. India

Read

Pages 1-2 of c.f.

2. In his letter of the 13th December 1905 the Director requested sanction to the payment of the enhanced stipend from the beginning of January 1906. The Govt. of India were then requested to sanction this proposal in anticipation of sanction to the general Scheme for the reorganization of Training Schools. In the second of the telegrams read above this special sanction has been accorded but subject to two conditions:—

Telegram from India no. 57 of 31-12-05 – page 60 of note file

Pages 6 & 7 of C.f.

(1) that the total additional expenditure does not exceed Rs. 6,000 per annum;

(2) that the matter should be reconsidered if it is found that funds will not be available for reforms in the training system.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council anticipate that the proposed increase in the rate of stipends of normal students of the primary grade will result in an increase in expenditure of Rs. 8,760 per annum. At the same time the teachers now coming in for training have been promised only Rs 5 per mensem; and if the rate were ~~now~~ increased to Rs. 6 per mensem ~~[illegible]~~ of and ~~had afterwards to be reduced for want of funds, it is very likely that some hardship will be caused~~. In these

Para 2 of the office note on page 9 of note file

and if a subsequent reduction in the rate were found necessary owing to lack of sufficient funds some hardships might result

Rund 2

circumstances His Excellency in Council would prefer to await the final orders of the Secretary of State on the Scheme for the reorganization of Training Schools before any increase in the rate of stipends is introduced.

NAR
6/2/6

Bm
6/2/6

Cbs
7/2/06

AJ
8.2.06.

To the D.P.I.

Despd. [illegible]
9/2/06

Read

[E./12.]
1,000—14-6-05.

Govt/misc

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

2

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ...., dated .... 190 .

" " " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2157, From the DPI, No. 9173, dated 13 – 12 – 1905

" " 29, " " Govt. of India, Home Departt, " 7, " 7 – 1 – 1906.

" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " .... 190 .

ORDER No. ...., dated .... 190 .

RS

Not to issue

Recorded.

BJ
12/1/6

CES
12/1/06

BJ
2-2-06.

t copy sent to Press.

turned for signature.

spatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. {
Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

10 P
Gate 1-12

(G.O. 1056)

Press
now proof
K.A.les
1-12-11

AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190

ERENT No.

CLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. ..

ETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.