[E. / 500—8-05.]

Educational (190) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 53

DATED 30-1-06

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Accounts

Directing that the Sum of Rs 96/ overdrawn by M.R.Ry Seshagiri Prabhu, First Asst, Govt. College, Mangalore, be written off the —.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	EC 2/8/07						

[G./1]
5,000—7-10-05

E&L.
~~Financial~~ DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[Cto. ~~2831~~ 59.A] From the Accountant General	T. 7282 / 9941	24—11—05	1—2

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
375	2-6-03	O		
628	5 7.00	Sc		
1234	10-11-99	O		
434	11-5-98	O		
911	21 .1-95	Sc		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging return of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.
E&L.	576	27-7-05	O	
11	350	23-5-05	O.	

Treasury Account Department.

1

CURRENT NO.
2831
FINANCIAL

Stock Form No. 132
2,000—17-6-05.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 25 NOV 905 190 , Registered 27 11 1905.

3

Subject.

Submitting for the orders of Govt. under article 972 (1) C.A.C, Volume II the case of M.R.Ry Seshagiri Prabhu, First asst. Govt. College, Mangalore who has drawn ~~pay [illegible]~~ higher rate of pay from 1st april 1904 to 31st March 1905.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

No. T.72 S.C 996

Station Madras, *Dated the* 24 November 1905.

From (Name) K.B.Wagle Esq.,

(Designation) Offg: Accountant-General, Madras.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to the notice of Government that M.R.Ry.Seshagiri Prabhu was drawing a salary of Rs.127/ as acting First Assistant Government College Mangalore before his confirmation in that appointment on 1st April 1904. The pay of the appointment being progressive from Rs.125 to Rs.175/ rising by biennial increments of Rs.10// his initial pay on confirmation on 1st April 1904 should have been fixed at Rs. 127/ and he should have drawn that pay for one year i.e. until 1st April 1905 when he would be entitled to draw Rs.135/ (Vide article 157 (b) Civil Service Regulations). He however drew for the period from 1st April 1904 to 31st March 1905 at Rs. 135/ per mensem. The amount thus overdrawn by him amounts to Rs.96-0-0.

12(135-127) = 12×8

2. The increment certificate claiming the 1st increment ~~certificate claiming the 1st increment~~ (pay Rs.155) from 1st April 1904 appears to have been passed by the Director of Public Instruction under some misconception and as the explanatory memo attached to it is not forthcoming in my Office, I am not in a position to say whether it was submitted to and approved by this Office. I regret, however that the higher rate of pay was passed by this Office without any objection.

3. The matter has now come under my notice when checking the Officer's 2nd increment certificate and as a period of six months has expired since the date of the last payment, I submit the matter for the orders of Government under article 972 (1) Civil Account Code Volume II.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. B. Wagle

Offg: Accountant-General.

NOTES.

[G./3]
10,000-3-05

Overdrawal of pay by M.R.Ry Seshagiri Prabhu, First asst. Govt College Mangalore

Financial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2831, From the Accountant General, No. T.728./9941, Dated 24 — 11 — 1905.
Rd. 27 — 11 — 05

On 1st april 1904, Seshagiri Prabhu was substantively appointed First assistant, Govt College, Mangalore [pay ~~of~~ of the appointment Rs 125-10-175, increment biennial]. As at that time he was drawing Rs 127 p.m, his salary should have been, under article 157(b) C.S.R, fixed at Rs 127 for one year i.e. for half the period θ during which, in this case, an increment could be earned. That is, until 1st april 1905, he should have drawn Rs 127 p.m and thereafter he was entitled to Rs 135 per mensem. But from 1st april 1904 to 1st april 1905, he actually drew salary at Rs 135. Thus, a sum of Rs 96 ~~135 being~~ was overdrawn by him.

θ 2 years

12 × (135-127) = 96.

2. The overdrawal occurred six months before the mistake was found out by the A.G's office. The A.G. therefore submits the case for orders under art. 972 (b) of the Civil account Code. The amount may either be recovered from the payee or remitted under article 279A of the C. A. Code. If the latter course is accepted, the order remitting the amount which is less than Rs 500 can, under para 261 of the Madras Treasury Manual

page 82 of the Madras Treasury Manual & G.O. 628 Finl d/ 5-7-00

Hag G

2

Hay P

Gr.O. 516 Edl d/ 27-7-05
" 350 Edl d/ 23-5-05

Hay H

issue in the Educational dept. In two recent cases of overdrawal of pay by officers of the Educational dept, orders remitting the amounts were passed by that dept.

3. orders are solicited

al
8.1.06.
Chief Secretary.

The two cases of last year quoted above together with this case seem to show that there is some slackness in the Ed. Dept. as regards the operation of account rules. This dept may it is subd agree to the amount being written off ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ The attention of the D.P.I. was

Hay P

drawn in para 2 of G.O. 516 Ed. d/ 27. 7. 05 to the necessity for his subordinates observing the rules regulating progressive salaries. This case occurred before April 1905

9.1.06.

I agree.

12-1.06

Fint No 2831-1
12.1.06

Edl No. R no 17
12.1.06.

Edl m

The rules were changed recently & this may have led to some misunderstanding - ~~but I do not~~ Under the old rule 157(a) Mr S. Prabhu ~~as I [illegible] the [illegible]~~ could claim to draw the next highest increment over his actual pay at the time of the reorganization, but would have had to serve 2 years for increment. The question is what rule was in force on 1st April or the time of the reorganization. Was it old rule 157(a) – or new rule 157(b)? When did the revised rule come into force?

Km. 16.1.06

Km.

The revised rules came into force on the 14th March 1904 the date of receipt by the Govt. of India of the Despatch from the Secretary of State conveying sanction to the revised rules regulating progressive salaries. – Please see para: 2 of the Despatch from the Govt of India on page 2 of G.O. No. 461 Financial dated 3rd July 1905.

N

The Correction Slip to the C.S.R. relating to this was issued by the Govt of India on 28-3-04.

p. 37 of the C.S.R.

KKM
17.1.06

PM 17/1/06

See
Ces
12/1/06

This does not appear to have been a deliberate case at the beginning. It is doubtful whether the man himself was aware of the change in the rules – but the D.P.I. should have received the slip –

~~[illegible]~~ Submitted that in the

4

cies. recovery of the R 96 overdrawn need not be ordered

HW
18.1.06

HM

Very well but, as a special concession, point out that this is the third case of overdrawal since — & that the S.P.I. must issue such instructions as will prevent the recurrence of such losses

17/1

Draft
20.1.06.

KM

A draft is submitted below for approval.

K.K.M.
20.1.06.

If approved Finl may be asked to accept it.

20/1/06

Edl no. no 670
22.1.06.

desp
20/1/06

C.S.
20/1/06

Fin. C.O.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
20 JAN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

CS

May be accepted

C.W.L.
21.1.06

24.1.06

Finl
no 2831
24.1.06

[E. 12.]
1,000—14-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 628 Finl, dated 5-7- 1900.
" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 59. A, From the Accountant General, No. J. 72 SC / 9941, dated 24 - 11 - 1905
" " , " " , " : " 190 .
" " , " " , " , " 190 .

ORDER Mis No. 53, dated 30-1 1906.

desp 29/1/06

Under article 279. A of the Civil account Code, the Govt. direct ~~that~~ as a special case the sum of Rs 96/- overdrawn by M.R.Ry. Seshagiri Prabhu be written off the accounts.

2. The D.P.I.'s attention will be drawn to the fact that ~~such~~ the overdrawals in the present case ~~have been of frequent occurrence of late & the present case~~ is the third of its kind since the 1st of June 1898. ~~and~~ He is requested to issue such instructions to his subordinates as

Paras 4 quoted in G.O. No 516 Edl dt. 27-7-05. P.

2

9

as will prevent the recurrence of such losses.

K.K.M.
20.1.06

P.M.
21/1/06

C.O.S.
22/1/06

The D.P.I. ✓
" A.G. para: 1. only. Forwd.
" F.D. ✓

31/1/06

When given for copying——
When copied——
When examined——
When signed—— } 190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 190 .							
CURRENT No.							
ENCLOSURES TO C. No.							
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.