800—13-8-04.

Educational (1906) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 20

DATED 16-1-06

N. P.

(17)

For Record only

[Despatch Abstract.]

emption from the Exn. rules

Sanctioning the temporary — of V. Lakshmi-
kantam, acting second clerk, Landing and Shipping Dues
fice Masulipatam and amending para 4 (3) of the revise
Public Service Notification to include the Presidency
Port officer in the list of those who are empowered to
rant temporary Exemptions

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

FOR RECORD ONLY.

Recd.	} 1906.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 20, 16th January 1906.

N. P.

Exemption from the Examination rules.

Sanctioning the temporary — of V. Lakshmikantam, acting second clerk, Landing and Shipping Dues Office, Masulipatam, and amending paragraph 4 (3) of the Revised Public Service Notification to include the Presidency Port Officer in the list of those who are empowered to grant temporary exemptions.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

Letter—from Commander W. Mitchell, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 6th December 1905.
No.—947/458-G.

In paragraph 6 (3) of the Revised Public Service Notification published in G.O., No. 757, Educational, dated 21st December 1904, Heads of departments are permitted to entertain unpassed men in acting vacancies of not more than three months' duration if the acting allowance available is not more than Rs. 15 per mensem, and this power of exemption was extended, in G.O., No. 570, Educational, dated 18th August 1905, to the entertainment of unpassed men in acting and sub. *pro tem.* vacancies and in temporary posts, without limit of time, provided the pay or acting allowance is not more than Rs. 20 per mensem.

But in the Public Service Notification revised up to 31st August 1905 and published in Part I-B of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 19th September 1905, pages 532—547, the powers of exemption for "Heads of departments" are not defined.

2. I submit herewith, for the sanction of Government, a letter from the Vice-Chairman, Landing and Shipping Fees Committee, Masulipatam, together with its enclosures, recommending the exemption from examination rules for a further period of twelve months, from 1st January 1906, of the second clerk of the Landing and Shipping Fees Office on pay Rs. 15.

3. Under the order of the 18th August 1905 referred to above, I think I am empowered to sanction the exemption sought for, but in the absence of provision to this effect in the Public Service Notification, dated 19th September 1905, in paragraph 4, sub-section 3, which is apparently an omission, I submit the case for the sanction of Government.

ENCLOSURES.

Letter—from Lieutenant W. L. Kelly, R.N.R., Port Officer and Vice-Chairman, Masulipatam.
To—the Presidency Port Officer, Madras (through the Collector of Kistna).
Dated—the 24th November 1905.
No.—O.R. 105/L. & S.

In forwarding herewith a copy of the minutes of the Landing and Shipping Dues Committee passed at a meeting held on the 24th idem, I have the honour to invite your attention specially to minute No. VI and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the temporary exemption of the present acting second clerk V. Lakshmikantam from the examination rules for another period of twelve months from 1st January 1906 as no passed candidate has applied for the vacancy though the same was advertised both in the Fort St. George and the local District Gazettes.

2. An exemption roll is herein enclosed.

Application for exemption from examination rules of unpassed candidate for employment in the Office of the Port Officer and Vice-Chairman, Landing and Shipping Dues Committee, Masulipatam.

Name, age, educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose employment sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the employment, *i.e.*, whether acting or sub. *pro tem.* or temporary.	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? In the latter case, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	Recommendations of the head of the department.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
V. Lakshmikantam, 24 years and six months. Studied up to the Matriculation class.	Sub. *pro tem.* second clerk, Landing and Shipping Dues Office, Masulipatam.	Not known.	Twelve months from 1st January 1906 to 31st December 1906.	(1) Third application. (2) G.O., No. 332, Educational, dated 21st June 1905. (3) Six months from 1st July 1905 to 31st December 1905.	The vacancy was already duly notified in the District and the Fort St. George Gazettes but no passed candidate has applied for the same.	Temporary exemption for twelve months from 1st January 1906 may be granted.	Forwarded to the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, with reference to this office minute No. VI of the 24th instant.

Extract from Minutes of Proceedings of the Landing and Shipping Dues Committee, dated 24th November 1905.

* * * * *

The Port Officer invites the Committee's attention to minute No. VIII of the meeting held on the 5th September last and informs them that the exemption from the examination rules for six months from 1st July last, granted to the present acting second clerk V. Lakshmikantam of this office in G.O., No. 332, Educational, dated 21st June 1905, will expire by the 31st December next and recommends that the above said acting second clerk V. Lakshmikantam who works satisfactorily may be exempted from the examination rules for another period of twelve months from 1st January 1906 as no passed candidate has applied for the vacancy up to date though the same was advertised in the Fort St. George and the local District Gazettes.

Minute No. VI.—Resolved that the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, be addressed on the subject.

* * * * *

N. Dis. No. 6475-Gl., dated 27th November 1905.

Forwarded.

F. C. Parsons,
Collector.

Order—No. 20, Educational, dated 16th January 1906.

The following temporary exemption from the examination rules is sanctioned with retrospective effect :—

V. Lakshmikantam for one year from the 1st of January 1906.

2. Paragraph 4 (3) of the Revised Public Service Notification of 12th September 1905 from which the powers formerly exercised by the Presidency Port Officer were omitted, will be amended by the insertion of the words "The Presidency Port Officer" between "Inspector-General of Prisons" and "and the Inspector-General of Registration".

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
,, Marine Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 20, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 16TH JANUARY 1906.

[SUBJECT.—*Temporary exemption from the Examination Rules.*]

From the Presidency Port Officer, dated 6th December 1905, *No.* 947/458 *G.*

In their orders No. 112, Educational, dated 23rd February 1905, and No. 352, Educational, dated 21st June 1905, the Government sanctioned the temporary exemption of V. Lakshmikantam from the Examination rules continuously for one year from the 1st of January 1905, for employment as second clerk in the Landing and Shipping Fees office, Masulipatam. This exemption will expire on the 31st instant. The Presidency Port officer, therefore, requests his further exemption for a further period of one year from the 1st of January 1906. For orders as to whether the exemptiom may be granted and the draft placed below issued.

2. The Presidency Port officer enquires why the provision in the public service notification published under G.O., No. 757, Educational, dated 21st December 1904, giving heads of departments power to sanction temporary exemptions, which power was subsequently extended in G.O., No. 570, Educational, dated 18th August 1905, was eliminated in the revised public service notification published in the Gazette of the 19th September 1905. In this connection please see the notes connected with G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated 12th September 1905, especially the portion marked in pencil on pages 7 and 8 of these notes. It will be seen therefrom that these extended powers of exemption were to be exercised only by *certain* heads of departments and not by *all* heads of departments. Hence the omission of the Presidency Port officer in article 4 (3) of the Public Service Notification. For orders as to whether this may be pointed out to the Presidency Port officer and an addition to this effect may be made in the draft below.

N. LAKSHMANA RAO—11-12-05.

The draft may issue. No addition seems necessary?

P. T. THARYAN—12-12-05.

I think the Presidency Port officer had better be told that the omission of his name from the list of heads of departments having powers to exempt was intentional. If he thinks he ought to have the powers, he can then write again, giving his reasons.

A. GALLETTI—13-12-05.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-12-05.

To the Marine Department for acceptance.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

I cannot find that the proposal to deprive the Presidency Port officer of his powers of exemption was accepted in the Marine Department. The draft order now sent for acceptance seems rather curt and may be taken to imply that the Presidency Port officer was not considered fit to exercise the powers of exemption formerly conferred on him. Mr. Taylor's original proposal in his note in the margin of the office-note, dated 17th July 1905, on page 2 of the notes K.W. G.O., No. 570, Educational, dated 18th August 1905, was to restrict to heads of departments the powers of exemption in respect of temporary or acting posts up to Rs. 20. The remark did not apply apparently to the powers of exemption in respect of vacancies which do not exceed three months in duration and cases in which the acting allowance available is not more than Rs. 15 *per mensem*. The Presidency Port officer has now been deprived of these powers which he has apparently exercised for some years—at least they do not seem to have been conferred for the first time in G.O., No. 757, Educational, dated 21st December 1904, which is the earliest paper put up. I cannot find any reason put forward for depriving the Presidency Port officer of his powers, and am inclined to think that the powers having been conferred should not be taken away unless there is reason to suppose that they will be abused.

A. Y. G. CAMPBELL—14-12-05.

I quite agree and I don't see what the principles followed by Mr. Galletti in the notes to G.O., No. 612, dated 12th September 1905, were. Marine should certainly have been consulted. We cannot accept this order which is almost rude in its abruptness. I would include the Presidency Port officer. He has large establishments under him and there seems no reason why if others have the power he should not have it.

M. HAMMICK—18-12-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Marine accepted rule 5 (3) as it stood originally (*vide* notes on G.O., No. 612, Educational, dated 12th September 1905). This rule was rule 5 (4) in the notification actually passed (*vide* B) and in the latter "heads of departments" do not come in. B was not seen by Marine.

C. A. SOUTER—21-12-05.

The revised public service notification approved in G.O., No. 612, dated 12th September 1905, was circulated to Marine and accepted in that department. Please see page 2 of notes attached to that Government Order.

The public service notification was in that order revised and rearranged so as to embody the extended powers conferred under G.O., No. 570, dated 18th August 1905; but it was not intended to take away any powers of exemption that already existed. It was apparently overlooked that the Presidency Port officer was regarded as the head of a department, for the purpose of rule 6 (3) of the notification of 21st December 1904. The words "head of a department" which was specifically used in the notification of December 1904 was omitted in the new notification, certain heads of departments being specified as exercising the extended powers conferred by G.O., No. 570, dated 18th August 1905.

Will Marine please state whether they merely desire to restore the old powers, and specify the Presidency Port officer under clause 4 (4) of the revised notification of 12th September 1905, or whether they desire to confer on him the *extended* powers and place him in the list of officers specified in 4 (3). I do not think that there would be many cases in the Marine department in which the extended powers would be required.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-12-05.

To the Marine Department.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Will Secretary please look at my note on the margin?

C. A. SOUTER—21-12-05.

To the Educational Departments.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Yes. That seems correct. I thought it had been circulated to all departments concerned. The omission is regretted. The omission of the Presidency Port officer's powers is due to an attempt to redraft the rules on a more logical basis.

H. D. TAYLOR—23-12-05.

To the Marine Department.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

For orders. The extended powers were conferred on the Presidency Port officer in G.O., No. 570, Educational, dated 18th August 1905. He may perhaps be allowed to retain them and his name entered with those of heads of certain other departments in paragraph 4 (3) of the notifications.

A. Y. G. CAMPBELL—2-1-06.

Yes, I agree; we may continue the extended powers.

M. HAMMICK—5-1-06.

To the Educational Department.

A. Y. G. CAMPBELL—5-1-06.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please retype draft.

This has to be dealt with in connection with a further revision as to powers of Revenue officers.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-1-06.

The draft has been retyped.

2. The file about the further revision of the notification is linked with this.

P. T. THARYAN—10-1-06.

C. A. SOUTER—10-1-06.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—12-1-06.

[G.O., No. 20, Educational, dated 16th January 1906.]

Read G.O. 757/04 Ed.
G.O. 570/05
G.O. 332 R 21/6/05

Ed. CURRENT No. 2109.

6 18

Stock Form No. 155
2,000—[illegible]-6-05.

~~MARINE~~ DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 7 DEC 1905

Received 190 , Registered 7-12-1905.

Subject.

Recommending exemption from Examination Rules for a further period of 12 months of the 2d. Clerk, Landing and Shipping dues office Masulipatam:-

ENCLOSURES.
No
Spare copies

No. 947/458 G

Adopted

Station Madras, Dated the 6 December 1905.

From (Name) COMMANDER W. MITCHELL, R.I.M.,

(Designation) PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER.

To The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Page 13 of the G.O. Q

In para 6 (3) of the Revised Public Service Notification published in G.O. No.757 Educational dated 21st December 1904, Heads of Departments are permitted to entertain unpassed men in acting vacancies of not more than three months duration if the acting allowance available is not more than Rs.15 per mensem, and this power of exemption was extended, in G.O. No.570 Educational dated 18th August 1905, to the entertainment of unpassed men in acting and sub pro tem vacancies and in temporary posts, without limit of time, provided the pay or acting allowance is not more than Rs.20/- per mensem. O

But in the Public Service Notification revised up to 31st August 1905 and published in Part I B of the Fort

Fr

2

1

Fort Saint George Gazette dated 19th September 1905 pages 532-547, the powers of exemption for "Heads of Departments" are not defined.

I submit herewith for the sanction of Government, a letter from the Vice Chairman, Landing and Shipping Fees Committee, Masulipatam, together with its enclosures, recommending the exemption from Examination Rules for a further period of twelve months from 1st January 1906, of the 2nd clerk of the Landing and Shipping fees office on pay Rs.15.

0

Under the order of the 18th August 1905 referred to above, I think, I am empowered to sanction the exemption ~~south~~ sought for, but in the absence of provision to this effect in the Public Service Notification dated 19th September 1905 in para 4 sub section 3 which is apparently an omission, I submit the case for the sanction of Government.

Page 538 of the Gazette

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Mitchell

Commander R.I.M.,

Presidency Port Officer.

x^d M.

3

Read

P 1732 ~~Landing & Shipping Dues~~ ~~PORT~~ OFFICE,

Landing & Shipping Dues OFFICE,

MASULIPATAM.

O. R. No. 105/L&S

Enclosures 2.

Dated 24th November 1905.

PRESIDENCY 1 DEC 05 PORT OFFICE

FROM Lieut. W. L. Kelly. R. N. R.

Port Officer, & Vice Chairman

MASULIPATAM.

To

The Presidency Port Officer,

MADRAS.

Thro' The Collector, Kistna.

SIR,

In forwarding herewith a copy of the minutes of the Landing and Shipping Dues Committee passed at a meeting held on the 24th Idem, I have the honor to invite your attention specially to Minute No. VI and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the temporary exemption of the present acting 2nd Clerk V. Lakshmikantam from the examination rules for another period of 12 months from 1st January 1906 as no passed candidate has applied

Cus 6475/26 fos

4

applied for the Vacancy though the Same was advertised both in the Fort Saint George and the local District Gazettes.

2) An exemption roll is herein enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

W.H. Kelly
Lieut. R.N.R.
Port officer & Vice Chairman

24.11.05

N. Dis 6475/05

Forwarded

Collector

To
The Presidency Port officer
Madras.

27.11.05

27/11/05

Application for exemption from examination rules of unpassed candidate for employment in the office of the Port officer, and Vice Chairman, Landing & Shipping Dues Committee, Masulipatam.

Name, age, educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose employment sanction is sought.	1	V. Lakshmikantam. 24 years & 6 months. Studied upto the Matric-culation Class.
The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the employment i.e., whether acting or substitute or temporary.	2	Sub protem 2nd Clerk, Landing and Shipping Dues office Masulipatam.
The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	3	Not known
The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination)	4	Twelve months from 1st January 906 to 31st December 906.
Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? In the latter case, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted	5	(1) 3rd application (2) G.O. No. 332 educational dated 21st June 905 (3) Six months from 1st July 905 to 31st December 905.
What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	6	The Vacancy was already duly notified in the District and the Fort Saint George Gazettes but no passed candidate has applied for the same.
Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	7	Temporary exemption for twelve months from 1st January 906 may be granted.
Remarks.	8	Forwarded to the Presidency Port officer, Madras, with reference to his office memo No. VI of the 24th instant.

W.H. Kelly
Lieut. R.N.R.
Port officer & Vice Chairman

Landing & Shipping Dues office, Masulipatam
24th November, 1905.

5

Recd

6

Extract from Minutes of Proceedings of the Landing and Shipping Dues Committee held at Masulipatam on 24th November 1905.

x x x

Minute VI.

P

The Port Officer invites the Committee's attention to Minute No. VIII of the meeting held on the 5th September last and informs them that the exemption from the Examination rules for six months from 1st July last, granted to the present Ag. 2nd Clerk V. Lakshmikantam of this office in G.O. No. 332, Educational, dated 21st June 1905 will expire by the 31st December next and recommends that the abovesaid Ag. 2nd Clerk V. Lakshmikantam who works satisfactorily may be exempted from the Examination Rules for another period of 12 months from 1st January 1906 as no passed candidate has applied for the vacancy up to date though the same was advertised in the Fort Saint George and the local District Gazettes.

Resolved that the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, be addressed on the subject.

x x x

(True Copy)

F.H. Baker

for Commander, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer.

[E./2.]
1,500—3-12-04

Notes connected with G.O. No. 20 Edl. d/ 16-1-06

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2109 From the Presidency Port Officer No. 947/458 G, dated 6-12-1905.

[SUBJECT.— Temporary exemption from the Examination Rules.]

R In their orders no. 112 Educational, dated 23rd
P February 1905 and no. 332 Educational, dated 21st June 1905, the Government sanctioned the temporary exemption of V. Lakshmikantam from the Examination Rules continuously for one year from the 1st of January 1905, ~~This exa~~ for employment as 2nd clerk in the Landing and Shipping Fees office, Masulipatam. This exemption will expire on the 31st instant. The Presidency Port Officer therefore requests his further exemption for a further period of one year from the 1st of January 1906. For orders as to whether the exemption may be granted and the draft placed below issued.

2 The Presidency Port Officer enquires why the provision in the public Service notification published under
Q G.O. no. 757 Educational, dated 21st December 1904, ~~conferring~~ giving
heads of departments power to sanction temporary exemptions,
O which power was subsequently extended in G.O. no. 570 Edl, dated 18th August 1905, was eliminated in the revised public service notification published in the Gazette of the 19th September 1905. In this connection please
N see the notes ~~marked as passed~~ connected with G.O. no. 612 Educational, dated 12th September 1905, especially the ~~notes~~ portion marked

2 11

2 P

in pencil on pages 7 and 8 of these notes. It ~~was~~ will be seen therefrom that these extended powers of exemption were to be exercised only by certain heads of departments and not by all heads of departments. Hence the omission of the Presidency Port officer in ~~para~~ article 4 (3) of the Public service notification. For orders as to whether this may be pointed out to the Presidency Port officer and an addition to this effect may be made in the draft below.

N.L.R.
11/12/5

The draft may issue. No addition seems necessary?

12/12/5

I think the P.P.O. had better be told that the omission of his name from the list of heads of depts having powers to exempt was intentional. If he thinks he ought to have the powers he can then write again, giving his reasons.

Mallet
13.12.5

Secretary.

14.12.05

To the Marine dept for acceptance

Desp
14/12/5

E.O. U.O. no. 842 D
14-12-05 ✓

Marine DEPT.
U.O. No. 174 B.
Date 14-12-1905.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Chief Secretary.

I cannot find that the proposal to deprive the Presidency Port Officer of his powers of exemption was

12

38

accepted in the Marine dept. The draft order now sent for acceptance seems rather curt & may be taken to imply that the P. P. O. was not considered fit to exercise the powers of exemption formerly conferred on him. Mr Taylor's original proposal in his note in the margin of the office note dated 7. 7. 05 on p. 2 of the notes

Flag O

K. W. G. O 570 Edl. 18 Aug. 1905. was to restrict to heads of depts. the powers of exemption in respect of temporary or acting posts up ~~the~~ to Rs. 20. The remark did not apply apparently to the powers of exemption in respect of vacancies which do not exceed 3 months in duration & cases in which the acting allowance available is not more than Rs. 15 per mensem. The P. P. O. has now been deprived of these powers which he has apparently exercised for some years — at least they do not seem to have been conferred for the first time in G. O. 757. Ed. 21. 12. 04

Q

which is the earliest paper put up. I cannot find any ~~[illegible]~~ reason put forward for depriving the P. P. O. of his powers, and am inclined to think that the powers having been conferred should not be taken away unless there is reason to suppose ~~that~~ they will be abused.

A. G. G. Campbell

A.G.C.
14. 12 05

I quite agree & I don't see what the principles followed by Mr Galletti in his notes to G/O 612. 12 Sept 05. were –

N.

Marine Bd Customs have been consulted. We cannot accept the order which is almost rude in its abruptness. I would include the P.P.O. He has large establishments under him & there seems no reason why if others have the power he shd not have it

M M. Hammick
18 vii 05

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
19 DEC 1905
SECRETARIAT.

To Mil Dl Dept ~~Marine~~ – W

A

Sec.
Marine accepted rule 5 (3) as it stood originally (vide note on G.O. 612 Rev. of 12/9/05). This rule was rule 5(4) in the notification actually passed (vide B) & in the latter "Heads of Depts" do not come in. B was not seen by Marine. O.

20/12/05

The revised P.S. notification approved in G.O 612 Rev. 12th Sept 1905 was circulated to Marine and accepted in that Dept. Please see page 2 of notes attached to that G.O.

The P.S. notification was in that order revised and rearranged so as to embody the extended powers conferred under G.O 570 Rev. 18th Aug. 1905: but it was not intended to take away any powers of exemption that already existed. It was apparently overlooked that the P.P.O was regarded as the Head of a Department, for the purpose of rule 6(3) of the Notification of 21 Dec 04. The word Head of a Dept. which was specifically used in the

14

578

~~Old~~ notification ~~under~~ of Decem. was omitted in the new notification, certain heads of Dept. being specified as exercising the extended powers conferred by § 6 570/18,000

Will Marine please state whether they merely desire to restore the old powers, and specify the P.P.O. under clause 4 (4) of the revised notification of 12/9/05, or whether they desire to confer on him the extended powers and place him in the list of officers specified in 4 (3). I do not think that there would be many cases in the Marine Dept in which the extended powers would be required.

H D Taylor
19.12.05

To the Marine Dept.

Sec.

Will Sec. please look at my note on the margin?

21/12/05

Sec. That seems correct. I thought it had been circulated to all Dept. concerned. The omission ~~[illegible]~~ is regretted. The omission of the P.P.O.'s power is due to an attempt to redraft the rules on a more logical basis

H Taylor
23.12.05

Marine DEPT.
U. C. No. 180 R
Dated 31 – 12 – 1905.

ER No. 867 D
26-12-05

To the Marine Dept

Desp 23/12/05

6

15

6 P

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

C.S.

For orders. The extended powers were conferred on the P. P. O. in G.O 570 EDL 18 Aug. 05. He may perhaps be allowed to retain them & his name entered with those of heads of certain other depts in para. 4 (3) of the Notification.

Hag 0

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
10 JAN 1906
SECRETARIAT.

p. 7 of B.

A. Y. Campbell
2. 1. 06

Yes I agree we may continue the extended powers

M. Hammick
5. 1. 06

Initial

D.O.

The Educational Depts. v.o.

A. Y. Campbell
5. 1. 06

Educational Depts

Received in Edn. on 9-1-06
at 12.30 p.m.

Please retype draft.

The latter to be dealt with in connection with a further revision as to powers of Revenue officers

Naylor
10.1.06

The draft has been re-typed.

2. The file about the further revision of the notification is linked with this.

P. Ragayan
10/1/06

See
~~[illegible]~~

C. A. Souter
10/1/06

Seen,
Naylor
12.1.06

Cs

In obam. The delegated powers were conferred on the P.P.O. in G.O. 570 Edl 18 Aug 05.

~~[illegible]~~

Edt Note 20

The draft has been re-typed.

2. [illegible]

Recd

[E./4]
960-3-11-04.

16

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

~~READ AGAIN G.O., No. 112 Edl, dated 23 2 1905.~~

~~" " " 320 Edl, " 21 6 1905.~~

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2109, From the Presidency Port Officer, No. 9479/458, dated 6-12 1905.

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

6 P

ORDER
P.S.

~~Routine~~ No. 20, dated 16-1-1906.

Desp: mins & print. 17/1/6

THE following temporary exemption [ ] from the examination rules is ~~are~~ sanctioned [with retrospective effect]:—

V.Lakshmikantam for one year from the 1st of January 1906.

2. Para. 4(3) of the revised Public Service Notification of 12th September 1905 from which the powers formerly exercised by the Presidency Port Officer were omitted, will be amended by the insertion of the words "The Presidency Port Officer" between "Inspector General of Prisons" and "and the Inspector General of Registration".

H.D.T.
19-12-5.

To

The Presidency Port Officer.
" Marine Depart.

Despd 18/1/6

[E. / 4]
960—3-11-04.

Madras
St. Chem... G.O. 20 / 16-1-06

17

U.D. to issue

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O.R.No. 112 Edn., dated 23-2-1905.
" " " " 332 Edn., " 21-6-1905.

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 2409, From the Presidency Port officer, No. 947 G / 458, dated 6-12-1905.
" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " ....190 .
" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " ....190 .

ORDER ~~Routine~~ No. ...., dated ....190 .

THE following temporary exemption [s] from the examination rules is ~~are~~ sanctioned [with retrospective effect] :—

V. Lakshmikantam for one year from the 1st of January 1906.

N.A.R.
11/12/05

~~2. The omission of the P.P.O. from the list of heads of Depts in ~~[illegible]~~ para 4 (3) of the Public Service Notn published in the Fort St George Gazette of the 19th Sept 1905 was intentional.~~

P.J.
12/12/05

13/12

14/12/05

2. Para 4(3/4) of the revised P.S. notification of 12 ~~Sep~~ Nov- ~~[illegible]~~ from which the powers formerly exercised by the P.P.O. were omitted, will be amended by the ~~addition~~ insertion of the words "The Presidency Port officer between (4) and Collector "I.G. of Prisons and".

To the Presidency Port officer (O.S.)

rst copy sent to Press.

turned for signature:

spatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter. 25

AD AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 190 .

80 [illegible]

RRENT No. 2109. A

Press

Please print

20/1/06

CLOSURE TO C. No. A

ER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A .. ..

G.P.

sent to G.P.

TTER OF GOVERNMENT.

ATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ERS NOT FILED [illegible] MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.