

Educational (1905) Department.


ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 822

DATED

13-12-05

INDEXED.



14

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reformatory School, Chimpaput

Directing the Superintendent of the
to release ~~from the school~~ Gudwada Thotipadu,
~~who was~~ ordered to be detained in the ~~Reformatory~~
School for a period of six years by the ~~Master~~
Deputy Magistrate, Vizapatnam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[276] The D.P.S.	9627	1-12-05	1-9

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 2076

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
Cero 2060 Ed for				

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Stock Form No. 135
3,700-17-6-05.

ED! CURRENT No. 2076.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received  1905, Registered 2 - 12 - 1905.
Subject.

Submitting for orders of Government the question as to the legality
of the admission of a boy named Gudivada Thotigadu into the

Reformatory School, Chingleput.

*He delay in this case is until the Trial Sup. Reformatory.
Remedy there are guarantee that attaches to the
Reformatory. I think that the boy must be released.*

*Ref of Cur No. 9627/05
New seen to have been since delay & it is not of the
Appeal. The date when the appeal was prepared in
Station Madras, Dated the 1 December 1905.
in the appeal report*

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to request the orders of
Government on the following case.

2. A boy named Gudivada Thotigadu was sentenced
by the Second Class Magistrate of Vizagapatam to undergo rigorous
imprisonment for three months from 30th June 1905. On appeal
this sentence was commuted to one of detention in the Reformatory
School for a period of six years. The Superintendent of the
Reformatory School Chingleput informed the Superintendent of the
Central Jail Rajahmundry on the 12th September
accommodation for the boy was available but that
in the jail till the 9th October and was admitted
Reformatory School only on the 11th
after the expiration of the sentence.

2

Rajahmundry, a copy of which is submitted herewith, it will be seen that at the time the boy should have been transferred from the jail he was in the cholera camp and that he was detained beyond the date of expiry of the original sentence under the orders of the Inspector-General of Prisons. The Superintendent of the Reformatory School enquires whether the admission of the boy under these circumstances can be considered legal with reference

to the terms of section 12 of the Reformatory Schools Act of 1897. *the warrant of commitment and the Magistrate's order are submitted in original for reference and favour of return.*

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. N. Siva

For Director of Public Instruction.

*B.I.
20-11-05.*

9

Copy of a letter from the Superintendent, Central Jail Rajahmundry to the Superintendent, Reformatory School Chingleput No. 3792 dated 21st November 1905.
R

With reference to your letter No. 230/P dated 17th November 1905 I have the honour to state that the section of the Reformatory Schools Act quoted by you does not appear to apply to this case, in as much as in your telegram dated 12th September 05 you have reported that accommodation was available for the said juvenile. Owing to an outbreak of cholera in the jail this juvenile was with others sent to the cholera camp and when the camp was inspected by the Inspector-General of Prisons on 26th September 1905 it was brought to his notice by me that a juvenile should be transferred to the Reformatory School immediately but as the camp was not free from cholera at the time I was told to send him on later and this was done immediately on receipt of orders /9th October 1905/ to transfer the juvenile.

/True Copy/

J. N. Siva

For Director of Public Instruction.

*B.I.
29-11-05.*

C. No. 2076 From the Director of Public Instruction No. 9627, dated 1-12-1905.

SUBJECT.

Legality of the admission of juvenile offender
Gudivada Thotigadu into the Reformatory
School, Chingelput.

The delay in this case is with the Jail Superintendent, Rajahmundry. Presumably, there are quarantine sheds attached to the Reformatory. I think that the boy must be released. There seems to have been some delay in disposing of the appeal. The date on which the appeal was preferred is not noted in the appeal judgment.

H.D.T.

2-12-05.

Cus. 2060 B/A

A similar case was received from the Director on the 28th ultimo and was submitted to the Honble Member for orders yesterday through Judicial.

2. Orders are solicited whether information should be called for ^{in the present case} regarding

(1) the date on which the appeal ^{from} of the judgment of the 2nd class Magistrate of Vizagapatam was preferred by the accused Gudivada Thotigadu;

(2) the date on which the deputy Magistrate's order was received (a) by the Superintendent, District Jail, Vizagapatam; (b) by the Superintendent of the Central Jail, Rajahmundry;

(3) the date on which the Superintendent of the

Why? The 2nd Magistrate's judgment was in plenty of time - there was still a month of the original sentence to run. H.

As this is unnecessary information that accommodation was available was received in plenty of time. H. 10 days.

Central Jail asked the Superintendent of the Reformatory School whether there was accommodation for the boy in the School; and

(4) the date on which cholera broke out in the Central Jail and Thotigadu was removed to the cholera

camp.
PH
1/12/5

Reference may be made as to point (4) - but I believe the reply may be, I do not see how the case where we have to move can be affected. I think that the boy should be released at once. Any departmental action that may be necessary, and the apportionment of blame can be settled afterwards.

MS7
4.12.05

HM - through Judicial. Judl. dept.

But under S. 12 of the Reformatory Schools Act, 1897, the boy might be released. This looks rather a force of the case: if the boy might be in the School, the fact of his getting cholera does not seem a valid reason for his release, but apparently nothing can be done.

Cus.
5/12/05

The boy must be released - The delay was

This question may be asked perhaps

1/12/5

MS7

as
ER no. 815D
4-12-05

Judicial
684 R.
12. 5

He didn't get Cholera
He was in a Cholera
Camp -

1/12/5

due apparently to the Jy Jails who
apparently did not wish to
release from the jail until all danger
of this conveying Cholera with him was
over - I don't think the fault of the
jail was to blame -

h
6 x 11
Jy

To. Secy
h
Jy

Please Mr. Secy. despatch the following
telegram (copy) to Secy. Refractory School.

Tele. No. 54 d/ 9-12-05

Release Pudwada Thotigadu admitted
for Razil number 11 1/2 Oct 1905 - after
24 hours of ~~detention~~ ^h
S. No.

[Telegram No. 54 d/ 9-12-05]


The ~~draft~~ telegram has been despatched. The
draft placed below is submitted for approval. If approved,
it may go to Just. for acceptance.
2. In the draft nothing has been said about the delays.
From the Medical Department note above it will be seen
that the ^{blame} ~~delays~~ ultimately rested on the Jy. of



resp. telegram
return file to Secy
draft
9/12/05

unnecessary
in this case

The responsibility
not the blame.
h



prep.
12/12/05

Edl no. 8368
12-12-05.

Judicial	DEPT.
U. O. No. 702 B.	
Dated 12-12-1905.	

13 4

Prisons. ^{Forwards (shells)} ~~Should~~ anything ^{should} be said of this in the draft?

hab
9/12/05

9/12/05

Draft submitted.
Dec. 12. 05

Tud. 4. for acceptance

12/12/05

Accepted.

Tudl. dept.

Cus

12/12/05

Edl. dept. U. O.

12/12/05

12/12/05

C. No. 2076, From the D.P.S., No. 9627, dated 1-12-1905

No. 822 dated 13-12-1908.

Gudivada Thotigadu who was
 ordered to be detained in the Reformatory
 School, Chingleput, for a period of six
 years under orders of the Deputy Ma-
 gistrate, Vizagapatam, ~~will~~ ^{thru} ~~appeal case~~
~~no. 10~~ ¹⁹⁰⁵ on the file of his case dated 30th
~~August-1905, should be released im-~~
~~mediately~~ from the Reformatory School
 at Chingleput, where he is now kept. The
 NDR
 9/11/05. Superintendent of the School has
 been directed accordingly by telegram.

To the D.P.D. with 2 Encls. ref
" Judicial Deptt. ✓

PH
9/12/5

11.12.5

~~112~~
1220

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 190 .

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

[Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to bleed-through and orientation. Some legible words include: "The... of the..."]

[Handwritten notes at the bottom left of the page.]

[Faint handwritten text at the bottom center of the page.]