[Edl. / 6.]

800—15-7-01.

1/8

Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 819

DATED 13-12-05

12

INDEXED.

C

12

[illegible] 13/12

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the Examination rules

~~Sanctioning~~ Sanctioning as a special case the Exemption ~~of~~ from the Precis writing test of certain probationary Inspectors of Police for in view to their as confirmation as Inspectors under the new System of Police-reorganisation.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G./1]
6,000—[illegible]3-3-05.

Esl: ~~Judl~~ DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[E. Edn 2003] ~~Cl 5211~~ J. G. Colin	88	13. 11-05	1-~~4~~ & 8 ples
Judl Deptt Encl-.	4833	16-11-05	5

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging return of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.

Stock Form No. 148
8,000—8-6-05.

Ed CURRENT No. 2003

~~C. No 5211~~

14 NOV 1905

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 17-11-1905, Registered 14-11-1905.
Ed 17-11-05

Subject.

Recommending the permanent exemption from the Precis writing test of certain 4th class Inspectors now on probation in order to their confirmation as Inspectors under the new system of Police re-organisation.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 8 bundles of diaries.
Spare copies

No. 88

Station Madras, *Dated the* 13th November 1905.

From (Name) W. O. Horne, Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Inspector-General of Police, Madras.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to report that, when the new system of Police re-organisation commences to be started working in the districts, the number of Inspectors will be considerably reduced and it will be necessary to transfer a considerable number of those now in the 4th grade of Inspectors to the Sub-Inspector grade, which can be done without causing them to lose pay.

2. In making these transfers I would propose to be guided, in selecting the men who are to be retained as Inspectors and those who are to be transferred to Sub-Inspectors, not solely by seniority but also to a great

extent by merit, choosing for retention as Inspectors those men in the 4th grade who have shown the greatest aptitude for the post and who have done the best work.

3. Among the latter there are, however, a good many who are still on probation, owing to repeated failure to pass the precis writing test, and some of them are those whom, if they were confirmed Inspectors, I should select for retention in the Inspector's grade. I consider it a pity that some of these men, who have done conspicuously good work and who are excellent Inspectors, should cease to be Inspectors solely owing to an incomprehensible failure to pass this apparently most capricious test. If they continue to be on probation it will, I fear, be unavoidable that this should occur.

4. I have therefore, after consultation with the Deputy Inspectors-General, picked out a few men who have been for a long time on probation, who are good and successful Inspectors, of approved character and conduct, who are in every way suited to the work of the department, and who have as yet not been confirmed as Inspectors solely owing to failure to pass the Precis test, a failure which is in several cases incomprehensible, and I now ask that the Government will be pleased to exempt these men permanently from passing the Precis writing test, in order to their confirmation as Inspectors.

5. In order that it may be seen that their failure is not owing to incapacity to write a concise and intelligible report, I forward six consecutive diaries of each of them, taken at hazard, for perusal.

place below

6. I append their names and the particulars relating to them.

(1) C. Kulandai Reddi, B.A. - Kistna district, appointed on probation in November 1900, passed Vellore School and Criminal Lower. Of him the Deputy Inspector-General writes:-"I am well acquainted with this Inspector and consider him one of the most promising of the junior Inspectors in the Northern Range. He has done consistently good work wherever he has served, is a good disciplinarian and takes a keen and intelligent interest in his work generally. His character and conduct are above reproach."

(2) M. S. Somasundara Mudaliyar, B.A., Chingleput district, appointed on probation in October 1902. Of him the Deputy Inspector-General writes that he is an intelligent officer of good character, keen at his work and very promising.

(3) C. M. Babusadasiva Mudali, B.A., North Arcot district, appointed on probation in January 1898, nearly 8 years ago!; reported by the Deputy Inspector-General to be one of the best Inspectors in the district, to be unexceptionable in conduct and character and to have done very good work in a very difficult division.

(4) R. Guruvappa Nayudu, Kurnool district, appointed on probation in June 1901. The Deputy Inspector-General reports that he inspected him last year and was very pleased with his work, that he is one of the best Inspectors in the district, is very hard working and takes an intelligent interest in his work.

(5) Muhammad Khasim Ali Sahib, Madura district, appointed on probation in November 1902. The Deputy Inspector-General reports him a very promising young officer and thinks very highly of him, considers that he has a special aptitude for police work, and says that

he bears an excellent character. He is very keen and energetic, and belongs to a good family.

(6) F. X. Vas, South Canara district, appointed on probation in February 1898, has several times been specially recommended for confirmation, and is reported to be of unexceptionable conduct and character.

(7) V. Rajagopala Chari, B.A., South Canara district, appointed on probation in September 1901. Also specially recommended for good work, suitability for the department, and good conduct and character.

(8) T. V. Krishnaswami Aiyar, B.A., Coimbatore district, appointed on probation in June 1901. Is reported by the Deputy Inspector-General to be a very keen, smart and energetic officer, thoroughly honest and straightforward, was recently selected to put a heavy division, which was out of hand, into order and has done good work.

7. I may observe that there are a great many more probationary Inspectors whose confirmation is delayed owing to failure to pass the Precis writing test, but I have selected for this recommendation only the pick of them and only those who have been an unreasonably long time on probation.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Inspr.-Genl. of Police.

Exd. V.K.

N.K.S.

[G./3]
10,000—11-8-05.

Exemption from Precis-Writing Test Judl DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 5211, From the I. G. of Police No. 88, Dated 13. 11 1905.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT.
17 NOV 1905

Endorsement No. 4833 dated 15th November '05.

Transferred to the Educational Department.

C A Souter
for Under Secy.

No. 6
14-xi-05

[E/27]
1,500—3-12-04

6/

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2003 From the I. G. of Police No. 88, dated 13-11-1905.
" Judl. " 4883, " 15-11-05

SUBJECT.

Exemption from the Precis writing test of certain probationary Inspectors of Police

In connection with the proposed introduction of the scheme of Police reorganization in the districts the I. G. of Police submits the names of eight 4th grade Inspectors of Police who have been on probation for a long time and recommends that they may be permanently exempted from the Précis-writing test for confirmation as Inspectors. He reports that they are good and successful Inspectors of approved character and conduct and are in everyway suited to the work of the police department.

Para. 4 of the letter on page 2 of the ct.

2. In transferring the current to this Departt. Judicial did not express any ~~remarks~~ opinion on the I. G's. recommendation. Perhaps the~~y~~ opinion of that Departt may now be ~~invited and the~~ specially asked for. ~~file sent on to that departt. as in the first instance~~.

Endt. on page 5 of ct.

Secretary.

20/11/5

21.11.5

20/11/5

BOX No. 82

C. 27. 11. 05
R. 30. 11. 05

2

Mr Horne has given sound reason for the selection of these men for exemption. Five of these are B.A's, No 5 is a Muhammadan & no 6 apparently [illegible].

The only one about whom there seems to be any doubt is No 7: nothing is said as to his character.

If Judicial are prepared to accept the recommendation in the special circumstances, Educational need not object.

22.XI.05

as/4

desp 23/11/05

Put up for remarks. Judl. Dept

G.

Edl no no. 793 D
23-11-05

Judicial DEPT
U.O. No 663 R.
Dated 24-11-1905.

The only man with whose work I am acquainted is Khandu Reddi who was in charge of Nandigama while I was in Bezwada. I must say that I did not find him all that the D.I.G. says he was. He was certainly honest, but I thought him very stupid. Submitted: Jud. may accept the D.I.G.'s recommendation.

C.A.S.
24/11/05

H.M.

Mr. Horne's idea apparently is to confirm these men as Inspectors so as to allow them to remain Inspectors under the new scheme. The Precis writing has always been an immense stumbling block to Inspectors in the Police. I never could understand it, but men who could write excellent diaries & sum up cases well on paper failed time after time at Precis writing. I always thought that the examination of so many & such long papers must be hurried & in consequence men sometimes were not fairly dealt with – by examiners – I think myself Mr. Horne's proposal might be carried out.

M
26th Dy

I am not at all astonished at so many failures in the Precis test. It is a most valuable test: & it catches the Native ~~educate~~, & the educated Native just as much as any other class, in his weakest point, that is inaccuracy.

The mere fluency & clear statement of a Diary is little or no test ~~until~~ unless we can compare his conception of things with the facts. If the Diary purports to give the result of the evidence available in a certain case, what we want to compare with this statement ~~are~~ the depositions themselves of the witnesses; & of separating in his account fact from surmise.

However I am quite willing to accept Mr Hammick's recommendation, supporting Mr. Hume's, in the case of the men now nominated for exemption.

But as it is, in my opinion, undesirable to minimise the Precis test the exemption ought to be ~~[illegible]~~ conceded as a very special ~~case~~ case, & connected somehow with the exigencies of the reorganization: also that ~~[illegible]~~ & recognizing the importance of the test have had considerable difficulty in acceding to the recommend.n but do so

G.T.F. 29/11

50

C.S.

The file may now go to Edn.

C.S.
1/12/05

Edn. u.o.

C.S.
2/12/05

Educational Depth

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
5 - DEC 1905
SECRETARIAT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
12 DEC 1905
SECRETARIAT.

Please draft.
5-12-05.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.
7.12.05

Secretary.
5/12/5

6/12/5

Jud. u.o. for acceptance
8/12.05

Desp
9/12/5

Edl. u.o. no. 823 D
9-12-05

Judicial DEPT.
U.O. 697 R
Dated 12 — 12 — 1905.

Judicial Depth

Accepted in Jud.

C.S.
11/12/05

Edl. dept. u.o.

~~11~~

[E. 12.]
1,000—14-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ...., dated .... 190 .

" " " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2003, From the I. G. of Police, No. 88, dated 13 — 11 — 1905

" " ...., " " Jud. Depts., " 4833, " 15 — 11 — 1905.

" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " .... 190 .

ORDER No. 819, dated 13 - 12 - 1905.

Mis.

desp. 14/12/05

The Govt. are pleased to sanction, as a very special case, the exemption from the Précis writing test of the eight men now recommended by the I. G. of Police for such exemption. ~~in view to their confirmation as Inspectors of Police under the new scheme~~. Recognising the importance of the Précis writing examination the Govt. have ~~had~~ considerable difficulty in ~~accepting~~ acceding to this recommendation, but view of the exigencies of ~~police~~ the reorganise

12

of the policeᵉ force now in progress, ~~reorganisation in the districts~~ they have finally decided to grant ~~considered~~ (the exemptions asked for ~~necessary~~ ~~for~~ in ~~the present~~ cases.

6/12/5

7.12.5

8 Dec

To.
/200
The I.-G. of Police.
Thro' the Judicial Departt
(with appls etc)

Despd with 8 bundles of diaries 15/12/5

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 190 .

READ AGAIN G.O , No. , DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E.]
1,500—12-12-

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[2086] The Collector of Madura	316	30-11-05	1-3

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 2086

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
357	29-6-97	s.c.		
458	4-8-96	O		
287	8-5-96	s.c.		
540	27-7-94	do.		
635	28-8-94	do.		
555	2-8-94	do.		
505	13-7-94	do.		
317	9-5-94	Sc		
60	24-1-90	~~do~~ O.		
770	11-12-89	O.		
402	3-12-75	S.C.		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

[illegible]

1,000—30-11-00.

Educational (1905) Department.

CURRENT No. 2086

From

[Name] E. L. Thornton Esquire

[Designation] Acting Collector

[Station] Madura.

Roc No. 316 Audit

Dated 30th November 1905

Enclosures

Received 190 .

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT 4 - DEC 1905

Registered 4 - 12 - 1905.

SUBJECT.

P. Sundaram Aiyar Sub protem L. F. Audit Head Clerk Collector's office Madura — Recommending the exemption of — from passing the Hand Writing Test for reasons stated, and requesting to obtain the sanction of Government in the matter.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

2

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the following case for the orders of Government.

2. P.Sundramier permanent 2nd clerk in the District Board's Office,Madura, on ₹ 30 to 40 grade and drawing the maximum of ₹ 40 was promoted as Local Fund Audit Head clerk on ₹ 70 in September 1903 by Mr. C.G.Todhunter. He now holds this appointment sub-protem. The clerk's appointment under the District Board is pensionable under Article 802 Civil Service Regulations and hence he is transferable to the Provincial line under Article 805 Civil Service Regulations.

3. He has passed the Matriculation Examination held in December 1874 and the Tahsildar's Test, but not the Hand-writing Test. He is therefore not qualified technically, by examination, for the sub-protem appointment he now holds. He has had over 25 years' service. He passed the Matriculation Examination held in December 1874 and the Hand-writing test was instituted about December 1875. As he had passed the Matriculation before the institution of the Hand-writing test, he states, he thought that it was not necessary that he should pass the Hand-writing test in order to get an appointment in the Provincial line.

Go no 402 Edn D
3-12-75

4. He has had considerable experience in Local Fund work, writes a good hand, and his work during the two years he has held the appointment of Local Fund Audit Head clerk has been satisfactory.

(3)

satisfactory. He has put in a service of over 25 years and is now 50 years old.

5. In these circumstances, I ~~very strongly~~ beg to recommend his exemption from passing the Hand-writing test and ~~accordingl~~ request that the sanction of Government may be obtained in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Atkinson

Ag.Collector.

30/11/05

[E. / 2.]
1,500—3-12-04

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2086 From the Collector of Madura No. 316, dated 30-11-1905.

SUBJECT.

Permanent exemption from the handwriting and dictation test of P. Sundaram aiyar Sub pro tem L. F. Audit head clerk, Madura.

D

In their order no. 402 Educational, dated 3rd December 1875, the Govt. declared that henceforward matriculated students should be required to pass the General Test Examination in Spelling and writing before being declared eligible for the public Service.

2. P. Sundaram aiyar, 2nd clerk on Rs. 40 in the Dt. Board's office, Madura, and now acting as Local Fund Audit head Clerk, Madura, on Rs. 70, passed the matriculation examination in 1874 before the handwriting test was instituted and prescribed as a qualification for entrance into Govt. Service. If Sundaram aiyar had entered * Service before 3rd December 1875, the date ~~on~~ of the order in which the test in question was instituted, he would not have ~~been liable~~ come under ~~for~~ the new rule. There is, however, + no information on the Subject. But from the fact that he has put in a service of over 25 years, it may be inferred that he had entered Service only after the new rule came into operation. If it be so, Sundaram aiyar now requires exemption from the handwriting and dictation test. The Collector ~~accordingly supports~~ recommends his permanent exemption from that test. For orders as to whether it may be granted. The man is 50 years old, but as yet no necessity has arisen for the exemption.

* Govt. Service – not Local Fund Service.
PY

+ ~~But it seems certain that~~ he ~~has~~ has not entered Govt. Service as yet – only he is now transferable to the Provincial line.
PY

Secretary.

NAO
9/12/5

PY
9/12/5

11.12.5

5

FR

The exemption cannot be granted unless coupled with a specific application for the main appointment to some Govt post.

KR
2.12.05.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

13/

NAG
22/12/5

PH
12/12/5

6

[E. / 12.] 4

1,000—14-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ...., dated .... 190 .

" " " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2086, From the Collector of Madura, No. 316, dated 30-11-1905

" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " .... 190 .

" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " .... 190 .

ORDER mis

No. 820, dated 13-12-1905.

The Collector will be informed that the exemption applied for cannot be ~~granted~~ considered unless it is coupled with a specific proposal to transfer ~~application for permanently appointing~~ permanently Sundaram Aiyar to ~~same~~ a Government post.

13

NRG 12/12/5

PH 12/12/5

Desp 13/12/5

To the Collector of Madura.

Despd 15/12/5

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.