D-b

Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 702-03

DATED 30-10-05

N. P.

10

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr Braithwaite, Vice Principal of the Teacher's College, Saidapet ——— Addressing the Govt of India on the subject of the allowances to be given to ——— while acting as Inspector of European & Training Schools.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd. } 1905. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

ORIGINAL

G.O., &c., Nos. 702, 703, 30th October 1905.

N. P.

Mr. Braithwaite, Vice-Principal of the Teachers' College, Saidapet.

Addressing the Government of India on the subject of the allowances to be given to — while acting as Inspector of European and Training Schools.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Accountant-General).
Dated—the 17th August 1905.
No.—6953.

I have the honour to submit for the consideration of Government the question of the allowances to be given to Mr. P. P. Braithwaite while acting as Inspector of European and Training Schools.

2. Mr. Braithwaite holds the substantive appointment of Vice-Principal of the Teachers' College, Saidapet, one of the special appointments of the Indian Educational Service, to which a special pay, Rs. 400—20—500, is attached and a free house.

When Mr. Stone proceeded on leave in March last Mr. Braithwaite was appointed to act as Inspector of European and Training Schools, an officer holding one of the regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service and, in accordance with article 105 of the Civil Service Regulations, drew pay and allowances at the rate of Rs. 500 per mensem made up of Rs. 420, his substantive pay, and Rs. 80 the maximum acting allowance admissible, regarding Rs. 500 as the pay of the appointment.

He has since been informed by the Accountant-General that he is not entitled to any acting allowance and has been requested to refund the amount which he has already drawn.

3. The Accountant-General bases his decision on the ground that the pay of officers in the regular appointments of the Indian Educational Service is fixed on a personal basis and not attached to particular appointments and that accordingly there is no "pay of the appointment" within the meaning of article 105 of the Civil Service Regulations on which acting allowance can be calculated.

4. I understand that the Accountant-General's decision has been taken after a reference to the Government of India on the subject and have much hesitation in questioning it but it appears to me that article 39 gives a perfectly general definition of the term "pay of an appointment" which applies to offices held by members of the Indian Educational Service and that for such offices there is a definite "pay of the appointment" within the meaning of article 105.

If in fact article 105 is not applicable to officers of the Indian Educational Service the provision of article 104 (2) making section I, Chapter VI of the Civil Service Regulations, applicable to officers of that service is meaningless, for article 105 is the only article in the section which can possibly apply to the Indian Educational Service.

5. If my view is right the pay of the appointment of the Inspector of European and Training Schools is for the present purpose the pay which Mr. Braithwaite would receive if he held the appointment substantively and this I take to be Rs. 500 the initial pay of an officer appointed to the regular Indian Educational Service.

If, however, this view is not accepted and Mr. Braithwaite is not permitted to receive any acting allowance he will, I venture to submit, experience a real hardship. His acting promotion so far from improving his position will entail the loss of a free house equivalent to Rs. 80 per mensem.

6. I may observe that if Mr. Braithwaite had belonged to the Provincial instead of the Indian Educational Service he would have been entitled to an acting allowance of Rs. 100 under article 139 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Endorsement of the Accountant-General, No. G. 761-6569, *dated 5th September* 1905.

Forwarded to Government with a copy of this office letter to the Government of India referring the question of the admissibility of acting allowance to Mr. Braithwaite and of the reply of the Government of India.

2. With reference to the statement that the pay of officers holding regular appointments in the Indian Educational service is fixed on a personal basis, I would point out that each officer is appointed under an agreement in which his pay is fixed not merely for the term of probation but for the future also if his services are retained after the completion of the term of probation. The pay of the regular appointments of the Indian Educational Service is fixed by the agreements of the officers appointed to those posts.

3. Article 39 of the Civil Service Regulations defines the term "pay of an appointment" as the pay which any particular officer would receive if he held the appointment substantively. According to Mr. Braithwaite's agreement the pay of the appointment of Inspector of European schools would be, for Mr. Braithwaite, that which he was receiving in accordance with paragraph 5 of his agreement. By his agreement Mr. Braithwaite was appointed to the Indian Educational Service and by paragraph 2, if required by Government to do so, must proceed to any part of the Province within which he may be serving and there perform the duties of any specified office in the cadre of the Indian Educational Service of the Province. Paragraph 5 of his agreement provides that so long as he remains in the said Indian Educational Service his salary shall be that mentioned in that paragraph of the agreement. It is to this salary that the ruling of the Government of India confines him.

Enclosures.

Letter—from W. Dobbie, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras.
To—the Secretary to the Government of India, Finance Department.
Dated—the 26th May 1905.
No.—G. 231/2208.

I have the honour to submit for the orders of Government the question of the salary to be paid to an officer, sent out under agreement with the Secretary of State to fill one of the special appointments in the Indian Educational Service to which special rates of pay are attached when appointed to act in one of the regular appointments whether the allowances of the acting officer should remain unaffected by his officiating appointment or whether an acting allowance may be granted on Rs. 500 the minimum pay of the regular appointments or on the minimum *plus* the increments earned under the progressive pay rules as the case may be.

2. I may mention that in the Indian Educational Service the pay is personal and not attached to the appointments, and that as a general rule the allowances of one officer acting for another are not affected by the acting appointment. On the other hand, with the exception of the special appointments with special rates of pay, there is a uniform scale of pay for all officers filling the regular appointments of the service.

3. I make the enquiry in connection with the case of Mr. Braithwaite who has been sent out to fill the appointment of Vice-Principal of the Madras Training College, and who according to the terms of his agreement is expected to perform the duties of any specified office in the cadre of the Indian Educational Service as may be required by the Local Government, and so long as he should remain in the service draw a salary of Rs. 400 per mensem rising by annual increments of Rs. 20 to Rs. 480 in the 5th year and if he should remain in the service beyond that period Rs. 500 per mensem for the 6th and subsequent years. I enclose a copy of his agreement for reference. Mr. Braithwaite is now officiating as Inspector of European and Training Schools, which is one of the regular appointments of the Indian Educational Service carrying a pay of Rs. 500—50—1,000, and he has according to precedent been allowed to draw his substantive pay with acting allowance on Rs. 500 per mensem, the minimum pay of the regular appointments of the Indian Educational Service. I am doubtful, however, whether this is correct, especially with reference to the terms of his agreement, and therefore request the favour of orders of Government as to what salary should be passed to Mr. Braithwaite.

4. Pending receipt of a reply to this reference, I have informed Mr. Braithwaite that the rate of salary admissible to him is under enquiry, and the allowances now passed to him are only provisional and liable to revision.

Letter—from H. Heseltine, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Finance Department.
To—the Accountant-General, Madras.
Dated—Simla, the 4th July 1905.
No.—3832-P.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. G-231-2208, dated the 26th May 1905, in which you enquire, with reference to the case of Mr. Braithwaite, Vice-Principal of the Madras Training College and Officiating Inspector of European and Training Schools, whether acting allowance is admissible to an officer sent out under agreement with the Secretary of State to fill one of the special appointments in the Indian Educational Service to which a special rate of pay is attached, if he is appointed to act in one of the regular appointments of the service.

2. In reply I am to say that in the case stated acting allowance is not admissible, in view of the terms of the officer's agreement, and also because the pay of officers holding regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service being fixed on a personal basis and not attached to the appointment there is no "pay of the appointment" within the meaning of article 105, Civil Service Regulations, on which acting allowance can be calculated.

Order—No. 702, Educational, dated 30th October 1905.

The Government of India will be addressed.

(True Extract.)

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Letter—No. 703, Educational, dated 30th October 1905, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.

I am directed to address the Government of India on the subject of the allowances to be given to Mr. P. P. Braithwaite while acting as Inspector of European and Training Schools.

2. Mr. Braithwaite holds the substantive appointment of Vice-Principal of the Teachers' College, Saidapet, one of the special appointments in the Indian Educational Service to which a special pay of Rs. 400—20—500 with a free house is attached. In March last he was appointed to act as Inspector of European and Training Schools, an officer holding one of the regular appointments in the said service on Rupees 500—50—1,000. The question then arose whether Mr. Braithwaite was entitled to any acting allowance during the period he officiated as Inspector of European and Training Schools. This question was referred to the Government of India in the Accountant-General's letter No. G.-231–2208 of the 26th May last, and in Mr. Heseltine's letter No. 3832-P., Finance Department, dated 4th July 1905, it was decided that in view of Mr. Braithwaite's agreement and also because the pay of officers holding regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service was fixed on a personal basis and not attached to the appointment, no acting allowance was payable. There is, however, one point in regard to which, it seems to the Governor in Council, Mr. Braithwaite's case is deserving of some special consideration.

3. As Vice-Principal of the Teachers' College Mr. Braithwaite is entitled under his special agreement to free quarters. While acting as Inspector of European and Training Schools Mr. Braithwaite is deprived of this privilege and so suffers a

pecuniary loss by performing duties which are no less and are probably more responsible and onerous. In this respect his case deserves consideration, and I am accordingly directed to request that the Government of a India will be pleased to sanction, as a special case, the grant to him of a personal or house-rent allowance of Rs. 80 per mensem during the period that he may act as Inspector of Training Schools in the present arrangement.

4. In this connection I am to invite the attention of the Government of India to the correspondence ending with my letter Nos. 620–21, Educational, dated 13th September 1905, in which it is proposed to raise the pay of the appointment held by Mr. Braithwaite from Rs. 400—20—500 to Rs. 500—50—1,000. Should the Secretary of State accord sanction to that arrangement with retrospective effect from 15th March 1905 as a special case, the present difficulty would disappear.

H. D. Taylor,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., Nos. 702, 703, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 30TH OCTOBER 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Allowances to be given to Mr. Braithwaite while acting as Inspector of European and Training Schools.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 17th August 1905, *No.* 6953.

The Accountant-General has ruled that Mr. Braithwaite is not entitled to any acting allowance during the period he acts as Inspector of European and Training Schools and has restricted him to Rs. 420, his permanent pay. This ruling appears to have been given in consultation with the Government of India—paragraph 4 of the Director's letter.

2. The Director questions the correctness of the ruling. Article 105 provides for the case of an officer officiating in a higher grade. There are officers in the Indian Educational Service on Rs. 400—20—500 and on Rs. 500—50—1,000. Mr. Braithwaite belongs to the former and Mr. Stone. for whom he acts, to the latter class. These two classes are, however, not formally divided into "grades" and this appears to be the Accountant-General's difficulty.

3. It seems unlikely that the Accountant-General will reconsider his decision in this matter. The only alternative is to address the Government of India, but *they too* seem to have made a pronouncement in the matter.

4. If Mr. Braithwaite had belonged to the Provincial service, he would have been entitled to an acting allowance of Rs. 100 under article 139 of the Civil Service Regulations, and, if he had belonged to the subordinate service, he would have got Rs. 75. As it is he only loses free quarters.

5. It is submitted, however, that he will be a loser for only two months and twenty-one days, the period for which Mr. Stone will be on privilege leave—G.O., R. No. 1, dated 3rd January 1905. For the remaining period of nine months and nine days he can, under the Secretary of State's Despatch No. 163, Public, dated 7th December 1899, in G.O., No. 70, Educational, dated 13th February 1900, apparently draw the balance available out of the personal * allowance of Rs. 300 drawn by Mr. Stone. Mr. Stone's average emoluments out of the allowance up to 7th March 1905—the date on which his privilege leave began—are Rs. 254. [article 16, Civil Service Regulations, note (3)]. He was drawing the maximum of one of the junior allowances of Rs. 200—10—250 for more than two years till 24th November 1903 inclusive—G.O., Nos. 143, 144, dated 12th March 1901—and then he was granted one of the senior allowances of Rs. 250—50—500—G.O., No. 73, Educational, dated 28th January 1904. He will thus draw during furlough Rs. 127 of his allowance and the balance of Rs. 173 should be available for payment to Mr. Braithwaite. The Accountant-General may be asked whether the view taken in this paragraph and the calculations are correct, but Financial may perhaps like to see the papers before they go to the Accountant-General.

*This is purely a personal allowance, attached to Mr. Stone, not to his office.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-9-05.

Article 314, Civil Service Regulations.

Proposals are also going up to India for increasing Mr. Braithwaite's permanent pay to Rs. 500—50—1.000.

P. T. THARYAN—11-9-05.

The Accountant-General may be asked un-officially whether Mr. Braithwaite can draw the balance of Mr. Stone's allowance. As the allowances have again become personal under recent orders, it seems doubtful whether the despatch of 1899 still applies.

A. GALLETTI—12-9-05.

The allowance is personal to Mr. Stone and cannot be held to be attached to the appointment for purpose of acting allowance. I think that the Accountant-General's decision would, in the altered circumstances, clearly be that the despatch of 1899 does not apply.

2. The case is a hard one, and a reference may be made to India. The best solution of the difficulty would be to ask India to obtain retrospective sanction from the Secretary of State for the enhancement of the pay of Mr. Braithwaite's appointment to Rs. 500—50—1,000 from the date when he began to act for Mr. Stone. As a special case the Secretary of State might accord sanction. I see no other solution. Financial u.o. for remarks.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-9-05.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the only course if Mr. Braithwaite is to be admitted to acting allowance is to address India. It would be well to get a general ruling to cover similar cases should they occur in the future.

C. M. SCHMIDT—22-9-05.

We do not want a *ruling*, the Government of India have already given that—(Financial department letter No. 3832-P., dated 4th July 1905) and we cannot dispute it. What apparently is wanted is to represent to India that Mr. Braithwaite lost house allowance and so was actually a loser though doing more responsible work and that his case is thus a hard one.

This letter should, I think, go from Education—as it is not to ask for a ruling that has been given already.

2. Education can point out that the hardship may result again in other cases and ask for some general ruling that officers in such cases are not to suffer loss, as actualy occurred in this case.

M. HAMMICK—26-9-05.

A representation may go of individual hardship on the ground of loss of house-rent. According to Mr. Braithwaite's agreement he apparently has no claim.

J. T[HOMSON]—27-9-05.

Forwarded to the Educational Department.

M. HAMMICK—29-9-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—30-9-05.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. If approved Financial may be asked to accept it.

P. T. THARYAN—30-9-05.

A. GALLETTI—2-10-05.

H. D. TAYLOR—3-10-05.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

May be accepted, but copy of the Accountant-General's G. No. 761/6569, dated the 5th September 1905, should under standing rules accompany the letter to India.

Note this.
H. D. TAYLOR—10-10-05.

C. M. SCHMIDT—4-10-05.

Yes. Accepted.

M. HAMMICK—9-10-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-10-05.

I cannot accept this draft. Personally I see no hardship whatever in the matter of pay. The Government of India have distinctly ruled that pay is personal and covers any work to which the officer may be deputed: a sound principle. The hardship, as I understand, is on the ground of loss of house-rent; is it not the intention to ask for an equivalent local (?) allowance to make this up—not for an *acting* allowance, which would be in the very teeth of India's ruling. As it has been decided by the Hon'ble Sir James Thomson to make a representation, it might be made on this ground—though predoomed to be rejected. What sort of case could be made out for such a local allowance?

G. S. F[ORBES]—11-10-05.

There appears to be no cases of *local* allowances in the Indian Educational Service, but Messrs. Middlemast, Keess, Jones and Hall draw "special" allowances of Rs. 100 each. For what purpose are these allowances granted?

2. Please put up also India's recent letter and our reply suggesting that the pay of the Vice-Principal Teachers' College should be graded on Rs. 500—50—1,000.

3. Could not Mr. Braithwaite draw Rs. 500, the full pay of the post, in advance of the due date, with the special sanction of India?

H. D. TAYLOR—11-10-05.

The special allowance of Rs. 100 drawn by Messrs. Middlemast, Keess, Jones and Hall is that granted to members of the Indian Educational Service who have attained fifteen years' service and whose total emoluments do not then exceed Rs. 1,000 under paragraph 9 of the resolution of the Government of India—page 7 of G.O., No. 479, Educational, dated 10th August 1896.

H. D. TAYLOR.

2. Please see G.O., Nos. 620, 621, Educational, dated 13th September 1905.

3. The sanction of the Secretary of State is necessary to the raising of Mr. Braithwaite's pay from Rs. 400—20—500 to Rs. 500—50—1,000. If Mr. Braithwaite should draw pay on the latter scale from the date of his taking charge of the appointment of Inspector of European and Training Schools in March 1905, the Secretary of State will have to give retrospective effect to his own sanction.

I referred to increments in advance of due date under article 153 (*a*), Civil Service Regulations.

H. D. TAYLOR.

P. T. THARYAN—11-10-05.

A. GALLETTI—11-10-05.

Forwarded to the Financial department for acceptance or remarks.

H. D. TAYLOR—12-10-05.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The only ground on which the grant of an extra allowance to Mr. Braithwaite can, it is thought, be supported is the loss of house-rent. The allowance might be proposed as "personal" to be drawn during the time that he occupies his present post.

C. M. SCHMIDT—15-10-05.

The draft may, I think, be accepted. It asks for a special house-rent allowance during the period he acts as Inspector.

M. HAMMICK—18-10-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Resubmitted for approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—24-10-05.

Draft approved with slight alterations.

G. S. F[ORBES]—25-10-05.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—28-10-05.

[G.O., Nos. 702, 703, Educational, dated 30th October 1905.]

Stock Form No. 135
8,700—17-6-05.

INDEXED No. 1564

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT — Received 6-SEP1905 190 , *Registered* 6-9-1905

Subject.

Submitting for orders of Government the question of the allowances to be given to Mr. P.P. Braithwaite while acting as Inspector of European and Training Schools.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 3
Spare copies

Current No. 6953 /05

Station MADRAS , *Dated the* 17th AUGUST 1905

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 18AUG1805 MADRAS

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne , D.Sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir, /Through the Accountant-General/

I have the honour to submit for the consideration of Government the question of the allowances to be given to Mr. P.P. Braithwaite while acting as Inspector of European and Training Schools.

2. Mr. Braithwaite holds the substantive appointment of Vice-Principal of the Teachers' College Saidapet, one of the special appointments of the Indian Educational Service, to which a special pay Rs 400-20-500 is attached and a free house.

When Mr. Stone proceeded on leave in March last Mr. Braithwaite was appointed to act as Inspector of European and Training Schools, an officer holding one of the regular appointments in the Indian Educational Service, and in accordance with Article 105 of the Civil Service Regulations

drew pay and allowances at the rate of Rs 500/ per mensem made up of Rs 420, his substantive pay, and Rs 80 the maximum acting allowance admissible regarding Rs 500 as the pay of the appointment.

He has since been informed by the Accountant-General that he is not entitled to any acting allowance and has been requested to refund the amount which he has already drawn.

3. The Accountant-General bases his decision on the ground that the pay of officers in the regular appointments of the Indian Educational Service is fixed on a personal basis and not attached to particular appointments and that accordingly there is no "pay of the appointment" within the meaning of Article 105 of the Civil Service Regulations on which acting allowance can be calculated.

4. I understand that the Accountant-General's decision has been taken after a reference to the Government of India on the subject and have much hesitation in questioning it but it appears to me that Article 39 gives a perfectly general definition of the term "pay of an appointment" which applies to offices held by members of the Indian Educational Service and that for such offices there is a definite "pay of the appointment" within the meaning of Article 105.

If in fact Article 105 is not applicable to officers of the Indian Educational Service the provision of Article 104 (2) making Section I Chapter VI of the Civil Service Regulations applicable to officers of that service is meaningless for Article 105 is the only Article in the Section which can possibly apply to the Indian Educational Service.

5. If my view is right the pay of the appointment of the Inspector of European and Training Schools is for the present purpose the pay which Mr. Braithwaite would receive if he held the appointment substantively and this I take to be Rs 500/ the initial pay of an officer appointed to the regular Indian Educational Service.

If however this view is not accepted and Mr. Braithwaite is not permitted to receive any acting allowance he

[E. 12]
1,000—21-9-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ..... dated ..... 190 .

" " " " ..... " ..... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1564, From the Dpt
" " Ag.

, No 6953, dated 17-8- 1905.
" 4/764/6569 " 5-9- 1905.

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... 190 .

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... 190 .

ORDER
As.

No. 702, dated 30-10 1905.

despatches
& Tem print
28/10/05

the Govt. of India will be addressed.

HSD
3 copies.

Pty
30/9/5

Letter No: 703 dy 30-10-5.
To the Secretary to the Govt. of India
Home Department

Despd
30/10/5

W. Copies
despd
16/11/5

Sir,

I am directed to address the Govt. of India on the subject of the allowances to be given to Mr. P.P. Braithwaite while acting as Inspector of European Training Schools.

2. Mr. Braithwaite holds the substantive

appointment of Vice. Principal of the Teachers' College, Saidapet, one of the special appointments in the Indian Educational Service to which a special pay of Rs400-20-500 with a free house, is attached. In March last he was appointed to act as Inspector of European & Training schools, ~~when~~ an officer holding one of the regular appointments in the said service on Rs500-50-1000. The question then arose whether he was entitled to any acting allowance during the period he officiated as Inspector of European Training schools. This question was referred to the Govt. of India in the Accountant General's letter No G. 231-2208 of the 24th May last and in Mr. Heseltine's letter No 3832-P. Finance Departt. dt 4th July 1905 it was decided that in view of Mr. Braithwaite's agreement and also because the pay of officers holding regular appointments in the Indian Educational service was fixed on a personal basis and not attached to the appointment, no acting allowance was payable.

3. While acting as Inspector of European & Training schools Mr. Braithwaite is deprived of this privilege and so although he draws the acting allowance he suffers a pecuniary loss by performing duties which are no less responsible than those appertaining to his substantive appointment.

There is however one point in regard to which it seems to the Govt., Mr B's case is deserving of some special consideration.

3 as Vice Prin. of the Teacher's Coll Mr B. was entitled under his special agreement to free quarters

5

personal

during the period that he may act as
~~do~~ a Inspector of Training schools
~~a~~ the present arrangement.

In this connection I am to invite
the attention of the Govt. of India
to the correspondence ending with
my letter No 620.621 Ed^l dated
13th Sept 1905, in which it is
proposed to raise the pay of the
appointment held by Mr Braithwaite
from Rs 400-20-500 to Rs 500-50-1000.
Should the Secretary of State accord
sanction to that arrangement with retrospective
effect from 15th March 05 the
present difficulty ~~with regard to~~ T.
would disappear.

87

deserves consideration
his case ~~is a hard one~~, and I am
accordingly directed to request that the
Govt of India will be pleased to sanction,
as a special case, the grant to him of
a ~~Personal~~ House rent
~~Allowance~~ allowance of Rs 80 per mensem

4. Under the Secretary of State's
despatch No 163 Public dt 7th December 1899
forwarded with Mr Hewett's letter No 56
(Education) dt 3rd February 1900 Mr
Braithwaite could have drawn the balance
available out of the personal allowance of
Rs 300 drawn by Mr Stone, the permanent
Inspector of European Training Schools,
but as under the Secretary of State's despatch
No 96 Public dt 24th June 1904 forwarded
with Home Dept Endorsement No 619 of
the 20th July 1904, the allowances have become
personal ~~to the holders~~ decrees to His Excellency in Council that
no longer
the ruling in the despatch of 1899 ~~still~~ applies.

30/9/15 2/10/15 3/10/15

opy sent to Press.

ted for signature.

patched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

30 with annexure
35
20

AGAIN G.O., No. 190 , DATED

15641 — A

9 P
Rot 1-8

Hlgs Encl 6
With Encls } A

ERRES TO C. No.

GOVERNMENT .. .. A Portsea

OF GOVERNMENT.

MENT WITH DITTO.

ET FIXED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

CRW
1-21-05

= Print in full.
= Not to be printed.
= Print abstract only.
= Print heading only.