

[1.]
100-11-8-94.
R-10
Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 660

DATED 6-10-05



ORIGINAL

INDEXED

Not for despatch

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Service Notification

Recording with reference to the revised
—, the Proceedings of Govt, Judicial
Dept., regarding the Educational Qualification
of Sub-inspectors of Police

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

1905.

Enclosures

Spare copies

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 660, 6th October 1905.



Public Service Notification.

Recording with reference to the revised —, Proceedings of Government, Judicial Department, regarding the educational qualifications of Sub-Inspectors of Police.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

READ—the following papers:—

I

G.O., No. 1119, Judicial, dated 14th July 1905.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the proposals of the Inspector-General of Police for the conversion of the Police Training school at Vellore into a Provincial Training school.

II

Letter—from W. O. HORNE, Esq., I.C.S., Inspector-General of Police, Madras.
To—the Chief Secretary to Government.
Dated—the 18th July 1905.
No.—2967.

In reply to paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 1119, Judicial, dated 14th July 1905, I have the honour to propose that, for candidates for direct appointment as Sub-Inspector, the educational qualification should be Matriculation. I think that, in the Tamil districts at least, there will be no difficulty in obtaining a sufficient number having that qualification. I would also propose that for confirmation in the Sub-Inspector grade no qualification, beyond Matriculation and the passing of the Provincial Training school final examination, be required. For promotion to the grade of Inspector, Government may pass further orders as to the qualifications required. There is no hurry about that.

2. While suggesting Matriculation as the qualification for direct appointment as Sub-Inspector, I would propose that this be not held to apply to men who may be considered fit for promotion to that grade from the lower grades of the department. There are a certain number of head constables extremely suitable therefor, who would be barred, if this qualification were insisted on.

3. As there remains but a short time within which to select candidates, I request the favour of very early orders.

4. I propose to confine the selection of candidates for the first session to those able to read and write Tamil, since I presume that some of the southern districts will be the first to be reorganised.

III

Order, No. 1242, Judicial, dated 7th August 1905.

The Inspector-General's proposals are approved and His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that for candidates for direct appointment as Sub-Inspector in the Police department, the minimum educational qualification should be Matriculation and that for confirmation in that grade, no qualification beyond Matriculation and the passing of the Provincial Training school final examination be required. This restriction will not, however, apply to Police officers who, at the date of this order, hold permanent posts as head constables. Such officers may be selected for promotion as Sub-Inspectors without the above qualifications and without special exemption. The qualifications mentioned above should, however, be required in the case of head constables who, not being head constables at the date of this order, may thereafter be selected for appointment as Sub-Inspectors. The Précis-writing Test need not be insisted on for appointments to any grade of Sub-Inspector. It should be dispensed with accordingly.

2. The amendments in the Public Service notification rendered necessary by these orders will be issued in the Educational department.

(True Extract.)

M. HAMMICK,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police,
Educational Department.

Order—No. 660, Educational, dated 6th October 1905.

Recorded with reference to the revised Public Service notification issued with the Gazette of the 19th September 1905.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 660, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
6TH OCTOBER 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Educational qualifications of Sub-Inspectors of Police.*]

From the Judicial Department, dated 7th August 1905, No. 1242.

The amendments rendered necessary by paragraph 1 of the Judicial order above have already been made and are incorporated in the revised Public Service and Special Test notifications published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 19th instant—*vide* entries under Police department in column B of the table under article 2, and in column 1 under A—General, of the table under article 3 of the Public Service notification on pages 533 and 534, and entry against Précis-writing test in column 2 of the schedule appended to the Special Test notification on page 545 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*. The current may therefore be lodged. Please see the disposal on the docket of the current.

R.N.—22-9-05.

Should we not insert at the beginning of note (2), article 1, the words “except “in the case of candidates for the post of Sub-Inspector in the Police Department?”

As regards the Précis test Sub-Inspectors of Police are not ministerial officers and they are therefore exempt under article 3.

P. T. THARYAN—23-9-05.

I do not find that the Members of the Government ordered that Sub-Inspectors should not be required to pass in handwriting. Orders may now be taken?

A. GALLETTI—25-9-05.

Please see the orders of the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes, agreed in by His Excellency, on page 3 of the notes in G.O., Nos. 1242, 1243, Judicial, dated 7th August 1905, the current in the present case.

P. T. THARYAN—25-9-05.

I saw them. The Hon'ble Mr. Stokes specifically said Précis-writing was not to be required. Handwriting goes with Matriculation which was to be kept.

A. GALLETTI—26-9-05.

For orders.

H. D. TAYLOR—27-9-05.

I can't make out what the office means. Will it be good enough to explain?

J. T[HOMSON]—28-9-05.

I take it the Under Secretary raises the question whether the Handwriting test should be required in the case of Sub-Inspectors. I think it should be required under note (2) to article 1 of the Public Service notification.

G. S[TOKES]—28-9-05.

Please see Hon'ble Mr. Stokes' remark on page 3 of notes in G.O., Nos. 1242, 1243, dated 7th August 1905, in which Matriculation and the Final Training school test were prescribed as the qualifying tests for Sub-Inspectors' posts.

4. Resubmitted.

H. D. TAYLOR—29-9-05.

J. T[HOMSON]-30-9-05.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-10-05.

To the Judicial Department.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Seen, thanks.

J. F. GRAHAM—3-10-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The draft placed below may be passed.

P. T. THARYAN-4-10-05.

A. GALLETTI—5-10-05.

H. D. TAYLOR-6-10-05.

[G.O., No. 660, Educational, dated 6th October 1905.]

READ AGAIN G.O., No., dated 190

99 99 99 99 99 190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1643, From the Judicial Department, No. 1242, dated 7-8-1905

ORDER

No. 660, dated 6-10-1905

Recorded with reference to the revised Public Service notification issued with the Gazette of the 19th September 1905.

September 1905.

Prz	4/10/05	5.10.5	6.00.0
-----	---------	--------	--------

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
190

, DATED

PRINT No.

1643

A

48
1-4

So notes

CRV
9-1005

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESTRUCTION
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.