

[E.]
500-1-8-05.

8 ✓
Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 622

DATED 14-9-05

INDEXED

For Secondary & N

[Despatch Abstract.]

During the opinion of the D.P.J. that
of this is required is a *medical* *union*
no *consequence* upon the *union*

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
Cur. 1210.	abstract			
Co. 511	28.7.26	7-05		
" 143	21.8.29	6-05		
" 215	16.8.26	4-04		
" 171	172.8.11	3-04		
" 568	8.10-11	03		
" 366	7.28-6	01		
" 552	8.23-8	29		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

[E.]
[9.]
250 1-12-01.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.



Memorandum No. 372 dt 3-7-05

READ the following paper :—

With ref. to G.O. no. 443 Gdt
dated 29th June 1905 sanctioning
the abolition of the Lower
Secondary examination, the
D.P.I. is requested to be good
enough to prepare and submit
a draft of the consequential
alterations to be made in the
Madras Gdt. Rules.

add
for admission to
the Engineering
College and the
Medical Schools

74
30-6-05

1.7.05


3-7-05

The D.P.I. Dept.

Memo 372/05 ✓
to 443/05 ✓
Stock Form No. 135
3,700-12-5-05.

CURRENT No 1569

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received  7 - SEP 1905 190 , Registered 7-9 1905

Subject.

Stating that no change is required in the Educational Rules immediately consequent upon the abolition of the Lower Secondary Examination.

ENCLOSURES.
No. _____
Spare copies _____

Ref on Cur No. 5812 /Of 05

Station Madras , Dated the 5th September 1905.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sr,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 372 Educational dated 3rd July 1905 I have the honour to submit my opinion that no change is required in the Educational rules immediately consequent upon the abolition of the Lower Secondary Examination.

* G.O. no. 44381
9/29-6-5/S.

Page 6: 869.

S.

The Examination itself is referred to twice in the rules viz., in rule 13 (i) and in rule 85 and I have dealt with both these cases in para 7 of my letter read in G.O. No. 443 Educational dated 29th June 1905. The mere insertion of the word "late" in these rules seems hardly worth taking up as a separate question.

In so far as the abolition of the examination is a part of the policy which I hope to develop of separating Vernacular /Rural Education/ from English Education

it is correlated with many changes in the rules but these must follow later.

2. With regard to the rules for the College of Engineering the proposals of the Committee whose report was submitted to Government with my N. Ref on Cur No. 7291/04 dated 23rd June 1905, raise the standard of general educational qualifications for the "Suboverseer and Surveyor" and "Draftsman" classes and if these are approved by Government the modification required in rules 45, 49 (c) and 50 will be obvious. In the meantime as the Principal has pointed out to me the abolition of the Lower Secondary Examination will not affect the supply of candidates for next year's examination for admission to these classes.

3. In the Medical College the Lower Secondary Examination has been used in connection with the Chemist and Druggist department only and as the mere continuance of this department has to be considered next year /vide G.O. No. 568 Educational dated 20th November 1903/ no change in the rules requires to be made now.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. M. S.

For Director of Public Instruction.

[F. 2.]

1,500-3-12-04

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. From the No., dated 190

SUBJECT.

ABOLITION OF THE LOWER SECONDARY EXAMINATION.

G.O. No. 443 Edl., dated 29th March 1905.

Consequent alterations should now be made in the Educational Rules.

H.D.T.
29-6-05.

Secretary.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T.K.
30-6-05.

A.G.
1-7-05.

[Memo: no. 372 of 3-7-05.]

From the S.P.I., Memo. 5812 of 5-9-05.

In reply to the memo. above the Director states that in his opinion no changes are at present required in the Educational Rules, and the rules for admission into the "Suboverseer and Surveyor and Draftsman" classes of the College of Engineering and the "Chemist and Druggist" department in the Medical College in which the late Lower Secondary Examination was prescribed as a necessary qualification for admission. For orders as to these proposals when accepted, 2. To allay any discontent which may possibly exist

no. 585, Est: 7/4/15.

exist among past students, the Commissioner
Govt. Examinations ~~and~~ D.P.D. has been ask-
ed to submit a report as to whether in his opinion
the Lower Secondary Examination should once
more be held and if so in what centres. His
reply has not been received yet. ~~Perhaps~~
~~this may also, it is submitted, be avoided.~~

Re 11/9/15 The words used in rule 85 of the Educational Rules
are "who have passed the Lower Secondary Examination, or
"have reached a corresponding stage of instruction". It is
submitted that the latter portion provides for all that is wanted.
In rule 13 (i) it is stated that the teacher of an upper primary
class should possess a certificate of having passed the
Lower Secondary or the late middle school examination.
The mere insertion of the word "late" before "Lower Secondary"
in this rule is not sufficient as stated by the Director.
The words "or having reached a corresponding stage of instruction"
should also be inserted after "the late middle school examination".
It is submitted, however, that this change may be considered
with others which the Director proposes to bring up in due course.

Secy 12/9/15 12/9/15

The matter may be dealt with after receipt of
D.P.D. general proposals and the
next revision of the Ed. Rules
Meanwhile Ed. Co. always except
special case

The current may perhaps be now recorded
A draft is submitted below for approval.

Ru 12/9/5
PT 13/9/5

W 19/5

[Enter in Extraordinary
arrivals list.]

Enter in.

W 14/9/5

[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting at the top of the left page.]

The words used in rule 82 of the Constitution
one who has passed the Secondary Examination,
"have reached a corresponding stage of instruction" this
submitted that the latter phrase provides for all that is needed.
In rule 13 (ii) it is stated that the teacher of an upper primary
class should possess "a sufficient amount of training passed the
Secondary Examination or the equivalent school examination."
The same intention of the word "adequate" before "Secondary Examination"
in this rule is not sufficient as stated by the Director.
The words "or having reached a corresponding stage of instruction"
should also be inserted after "the equivalent school examination."
The Director has shown that this change may be considered
with others which the Director proposes to bring up in the future.

[Faint handwriting at the bottom of the left page.]

[Faint handwritten note on the left page.]

8

1.000-14-6-05

99 99 99 99 99 190

C. No. 1569, From the D. P. J., No. 5812, dated 5-9-1905

190

190

ORDER No. 622, dated 14-9- 1905.
hni

Recorded

Rec
13/9/5

13/9/5

dep
14/9/5

John S. P. S. 18/9/5

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

190

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
190

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

recorded

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.