[E.]

500—1-8-05.

D. 8

Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 613

DATED 12-9-05

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from Examination rules

Informing M. John of Markapur, that Govt. see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed in his case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O	No.	Department.	Date.

[E.]
1,500—12-1

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

2

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
Petition from John of Markapur		3-7-5	1-6
O.M. to the Collr. of Kurnool	401	20-7-5	7
Collr. of Kurnool	1288	25-8-5	8-20

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. ______

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

1

Markapur.
Dated 3/7/05
1273
3

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
-7 JUL 1905
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Ed

The Secretary to the Government of
Madras.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
6 AUG 1905
KURNOOL.

The humble Representation of M. John.
Markapur.

Respectfully Sheweth;

In obedience to your Excellency's proceeding R. No. 323 dated 14th June 05, the applicant humbly begs to represent the following.

Educational

2. That he on 27th May 05 presented a copy of the petition to the Tahsildar of Markapur (in whose Office he wa acting as a clerk) for favour of Submission through the proper Chan The Tahsildar endorsed "I decline to Submit this". Then the Divisional Officer of Markapur was addressed direct for favou of the Submission of the same. His honor was not however please to do this much favour but on the other hand, directed the appellant to Submit his explanation why he Should not be dismis for the direct Submission of the petition. The petitioner Submitted his explanation. Then the Divisional Officer made these remarks on the explanation "I cannot accept John's explanation. Does he withdraw his appeal to the Board?" The petitioner was therefore obliged to withdraw his petition through proper Ch 2 days back as he thought that Severe punishment would be inc

Board Standing Order no. 172 para 3 lays down that appeals in every case should be submitted through the Officers whose orders are appealed against. In spite of the clearness of the Honorable Board's order regarding this the Superior Officers here, are quite against it and my petition could not reach through proper Channal.

DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
31. JUL. 1905
MARKAPUR.

The poor Christian has therefore been deprived of the opportunity of being exempted

Boards Standing Order no. 163 Page 521 para 3 (a) clearly shews, that communications addressed to Government should be sent through the Board except in the case of endorsements on applications for permanent exemption from the Examination rules &c.

The petitioner therefore prays that your Excellency graciously be pleased to order the Divisional Officer, Markapur for the submission of the petition and after kind perusal to sanction the exemption prayed for,

I beg to remain;
Honoured Sir;
Your most obedient Servant
M. John
3/7/05

Sy
3/7/05

Markapur,
Dated, 3.5.05.

To
The Collector of Kurnool,
Through
The Thasildar of Markapur,
Markapur.

The Humble pitition of M. John
Subprotem 7" Clerk.
Taluq Office, Markapur.

Honoured sir;

I have been acting as subprotem 7" clerk in the Taluq Office at Markapur since 7".October 1903.

I passed Lower secondary examination in the year 1899 in Telugu and English.

I am a poor Punchama Christian and permanent resident of Dupad, Markapur Taluq and as such my means for my higher education were not sufficient and so I had to stop my education with the Lower secondary examination.

Since passing the above examination I have been improving my hand writing and capacity and fitness to work as a clerk any where and during my employment in this office, I have been doing the work entrusted to me to the satisfaction of my superiors.

I beg to submit that I am able to work in any branch of Taluq Office clerical business to entire satisfaction of my superiors.

I therefore request that your honor may in consideration of my poor circumstances be pleased to recommend my exemption from passing the requisite examination for a clerk in a Taluq Office on Rs.15.

I beg to remain,
Honoured sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(True Copy)

M. John
27/5/05

American Baptist Mission,
Markapur,
Kurnool District,
Dated 3rd. May 1905.

Revd.
C. R. Marsh.

Recommendation of an American Baptist Missionary.

Muktipudy John is a Christian boy connected with the American Baptist Mission in Markapur. He is from the village of Dupad . I have known him for some years. and I think he is a good and worthy young man. He read in the High school conneceted with the second grade A. B. Mission College at Ongole. I would be glad if he was made permanent in his present position.

(Signed) C. R. Marsh.
A. B. Mission,

(True copy)

Recommendation of Markapur Thasildar.

Respectfully submitted through the Divisional Officer Markapur. The applicant has been doing very good work in the Taluq Office and deserves encouragement. He is well behaving.

(Signed) R. Narasinga Row,

(True copy)

Ag. Thasildar.

3/5/05.

D. Dis. No. 692/ver of 05.

Present.

R. Ramachendra Row Esquire,

Acting Collector Kurnool.

Endorsement on the application of M. John, acting clerk Markapur Taluq Office, requesting to be permanently exempted from examination rules for a 15Rs clerk's place in the District, forwarded with the endorsement N. Dis. No. 340/sub of 05.dated 4.5.05 of the Deputy Collector of Markapur Division.

I have never applied for permanent exemption from general examination qualifications in the case of any individual and do not propose to do so in the case of the applicant.

(Signed) R. Ramachendra Row,
Acting Collector,

Kurnool Collector's
Office.
Dated 13" May 1905.

(Sd) P. Dorasway,
for Acting Collector,

To

The pititioner thro' the Deputy Collector Markapur.

C. No.520/collr of 05.
Forwarded.
(Sd) J. Narayana Reddi,
Acting Deputy Collector.
23. 5. 05.

N. Dis. No. 1054/Eng of 05.
Forwarded.
(Sd) R. Narasinga Row,
Acting Thasildar,
24/5/05.

(True copy)

poor

7 Educational Dept.

8

JC 1547/05

Olsp
20/7/5

Cno 1273

O.M. no. 401 d/ 20-7-05

With reference to the accompanying petition from M. John, the Collector of Kurnool is informed that all petitions addressed to Govt. must be forwarded to them. ~~and he~~ is accordingly requested to call for the petition presented by M. John to the Tahsildar of Markapur on the 27th May 1905 and to forward it with his remarks and the explanation of the Tahsildar for his failure to forward the same in the first instance.

T.K.
18.7.05

20/7/05

P.H.
19/7/5

To
The Coll of Kurnool
with Cno 1273 in original
to be returned with reply.

20/7/5

Educational Dept.

Cue 1273

Mem

With reference to the accompanying petition from M. John, the Collector of Kurnool is informed that all petitions addressed to Govt. must be forwarded and he is requested to call for the petition by M. John to the Tahsildar of Markapur on the 27th May 1905 and to forward it with his remarks and the explanation of the Tahsildar for his failure to forward the same in the first instance.

19/7/05

To the Coll. of Kurnool with Cue 1273 as required & the note and enclosures.

Memo 401/05 Ed CURRENT No. 1547

8

Sto Form No. 135
5,000—10-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 3-9-1905, Registered 4-9-1905.

9

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT
3 - SEP 1905

Subject.

Submitting with remarks the petition of M. John, acting clerk in the Markapur taluk office for permanent exemption from examination rules, and the explanation of the Tahsildar of Markapur for his failure to forward his petition in the first instance.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

D. Dis. No. 1288/Ver., of 1905.

Station K U R N O O L., *Dated the* 25th August 1905.

From (Name) R. Ramachandra Rao, Esquire,

(Designation) Acting Collector of Kurnool.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 401, dated 20th July 1905, I have the honour to resubmit the petition, dated 3rd July 1905, from M. John forwarded therewith and to enclose as directed therein his original petition, dated 27th May 1905.

on page 7 of ct.

on pages 17 & 18 of ct.

2. I am aware that I should forward to Government all petitions addressed to them. I have never kept back any such petition. In this case the acting Tahsildar of Markapur, M.R.Ry., R. ~~Narayana~~ Narasinga Rao, took on himself the responsibility of stopping the petition. His explanation dated 2nd August 1905 is enclosed. It is not satisfactory. If the Tahsildar considered that the petition 'contained aspersions against the Divisional Officer and the Collector'

on page 20 of ct.

and 'was not couched in temperate language', it was nevertheless his duty to submit it to his Divisional officer with his remarks. On reading the petition, I find that it contains no aspersion -- whatever against me; and the language is not intemperate. There is only one remark against the Divisional Officer -- viz., an inference that he shows special favour to Brahmins as the petitioner is forced to think. The Tahsildar's explanation is an afterthought and his conduct will be taken due notice of.

3. After the Tahsildar returned the petition declining to forward it, M. John submitted it direct to the Deputy Collector. I regret that the Divisional officer M.R.Ry. J. Narayana Reddi-- Garu took a similar view of the language of the petition and called on him to explain why he sent it direct when the petitioner had explained that the Tahsildar had declined to forward it. John pleaded that he did not intend any impertinence, and that he is imperfectly conversant with the English language; nevertheless the Deputy Collector returned the petition with a request to be informed if he withdrew his petition; and John did. I must say this does not reflect credit on the Deputy Collector.

4. The petition is really an appeal against my order D.Dis. No.692/Ver., of 1905 dated 13th May 1905 (herewith forwarded). As Government is aware, there is considerable difficulty to get --- passed candidates for taking up service in this district. My policy is never to confer permanent appointments on unpassed candidates (there has been only one exception to this in the case of my typist clerk who had passed a technical examination and who already held a permanent appointment in the Judicial department). My object is to confer one of the permanent appointments on people who apply from outside. If I have no appointment thus vacant, I shall not be able to get a qualified man when one is available. I need hardly say no one cares to come to the district without a permanent footing. The result of this policy persevered in for four years has been the importation of several graduates from outside and the lower ranks of the district establishment are far better now than what they were four years ago. I have yet a few appointments kept open for ---- qualified men when they choose to come. The bulk if not all of these unpassed men are mostly unfit for work; and whenever I have

page 16

V.

[illegible]

10

to call for explanation for delay or mistakes, the almost --- invariable explanation is that the work was being looked after by an unpassed man.

5. It is true that 22 persons were permanently exempted in 1901. This wholesale exemption was not on my recommendation. It is equally true that this exemption put back district efficiency and I am ruing it at the present day.

at para 5.

[illegible] 22-4-[illegible]

6. Government is aware that certain posts in taluk --- offices are declared typists posts and candidates for such -- posts are not required to pass any examinations. For one of such posts, the Deputy Collector has appointed one K. Krishna Rao. I called on him to report why he preferred him to John. He explains that Krishna Rao had put in longer acting service and was strongly recommended by the Tahsildar. John's ----- obvious course was to have appealed to me. He did not so -- appeal. Though the appointment of an unpassed candidate as a typist in taluk and divisional offices is permissible under existing rules, I personally consider such an appointment is a circumvention of the Examination rules unless a typewriter is furnished to the office. But such appointments have been made in almost all the subordinate offices in the district on the authority invested in Divisional Officers without reference to the Collector though not a subordinate office possesses a type-writer.

[illegible]

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Acting Collector.

H.S/29-8-05.

17

Markapur,

Dated 27" May 1905.

11

To

The Secertary to the Government of ~~India~~,

Madras.

~~Calcutta~~.

The Humble pitition of M. John,

Subprotem 7" clerk,

Markapur Taluq Office,

Markapur.

Most Respectfully sheweth;

That your humble applicant respectfully begs to state that endorsement herein enclosed, was given by the Collector, Kurnool, when permanent exemption was sought for

That the applicant, was well recommended by the Thasildar and Divisional Officer for permanent exemption. The copy of the Thasildar's recommendation and together with an American Baptist Missionary's recommendation is herewith enclosed.

Twenty two persons of Lower secondary and Primary grade were permanently exempted by the Honourable Board in 1901.

That the Copyst's post was applied for by me and a Brahmin K. Kristna Row, who is also a Lower secondary candidate (vide B. P. No. 32. L. R. dated 23 May 1889). He has no knowledge of Type-writing as myself. The qualifications of both the candidates are on the same level, yet for reasons inexplicable, perhaps owing to his special~~ly~~ favour to the Brahmins as I am forced to think, Kristna Row was preferred and was favoured with the said appointment by the Deputy Collector, Markapur

The case of the applicant has not been considered as he is a Punchama Christian. No endorsement on his application has yet been given by the Divisional Officer. Thus it will be seen by the Honourable Board or Government that no kindness has been shown though as poor Punchama Christian, he is the more deserving of the two applicants.

That the applicant belongs to the poor

poor

Punchamas who on account of their general backwardness in education position in society and means of general livilihood deserve the greatest encouragement and kindest treatment. The case of the applicant is specially poor and pitiable in as much as he is very poor and helpless.

He therefore prays, the Honourable Board or Government to confer an appointment of a clerk in the Taluq Office, either here or in any Taluq in the District, exempting him permanently from passing the requisite examination.

As the benign British Government is kind enough to improve that class (Low caste people) and as there is strong recommendation (exemption) of the Officers under whom the applicant has been serving, The applicant most humbly prays that the Honourable Board or Government may graciously be pleased to exempt him permanently and appoint him as prayed for above.

For this act of kindness and charity that your humble applicant be as in duty bound, — Ever pray,

He begs to remain,
Most respected sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Rjohn
27/5/05
Ag 7th clerk Tq office
Markapur.

~~1905.~~

To

The Secretary to the Government of ~~India,~~

~~Calcutta.~~ Madras

Through

The Collector of Kurnool,

Kurnool.

Through

The Secretary to the Commisioner (Board of Revenue)

Land Records and Agriculture, Chepauk

Madras.

Dated, 27" May 1905.

Subject.

Submitting appeal against Collector's order D. Dis. No.692/ver of 05. for refusing to ~~submit~~ recommend him for permanent exemption.

I decline to submit this

[illegible]
Ag Tah
29/5/05

Markapur Tahsildar's Office
Dated 25 August 1905

From
Mr R. Nursingarao
Ag. Tahsildar of Markapur

To
The Dy Collector
Markapur

Sir,

With reference to your R Ref No 779/Collr of 05 dated 31.7.05 (resubmitted) I beg to report that as John's petition contained aspersions against the Divisional Officer and the Collector, I declined to submit it. Further the petition was not couched in temperate language. John is a permanent peon of this Taluk and ought to have known etiquette better in drawing up his petition. The petition presented on 27.5.05 is in your office.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obdt servant,
[illegible]
Ag Tahsildar

1009
2/9/05

From
The Tahsildar
Markapur

To
The Dy Collector
Markapur

Dated 2nd August 05

Despatched

Extra No 4 905

Reporting that as John's petition contained aspersions against the Dy Collr and the Collr and as it was not in temperate language, & had declined to submit it etc

---

[E./2.]
1,500—3-12-04

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1273 From ~~the~~ John of Markapur No. ...., dated 3. 7. 1905.

SUBJECT.

Petition for exemption from the Examination Rules.

Under para. XI of the C. petition rules, heads of offices or departments are bound to forward to Government through the ordinary official channel, petitions addressed to Govt: But in the case of petitions for exemption from the Examination rules, which are, under para. XIII (5), liable to summary rejection when addressed to Government direct, heads of offices or departments are not under any obligation to forward them to Government. In the circumstances, orders are solicited as to whether the petitioner may be informed that Government cannot interfere.

7.K.
13.7.05

Surely the rule means summary rejection by Govt not by subordinates?
Mg

The petition has already been summarily rejected under Rule XIII (5). But it is submitted the District officers should have forwarded it.
Mg
15/7/05

G.0323
14.6.05
Flag x

Pcy

2

13

All petitions addressed to Govt. must be forwarded.

The Collr. Kurnool will now call for and forward the petition with his remarks, and with the explanation of the Tahsildar for his failure to forward the same in the first instance.

H.D.T.
17.7.05

W.A.
15/7

A draft is placed below for approval.

T.H.
18.7.

P.H.
19/7/5

Issue
H.
20/7/05

[Memo: no. 401 of 20-7-'05.]

From the Collr. of Kurnool no. 1288 of 25-8-'05.

Is there not some special ruling with reference to Kumbakonam? Surely a man put in must be qualified in typewriting.

H.D.T.
3-9-'05.

S.

on page 4 of -do

Please see the notes and orders in G.O. no. 419 Jud. of 2nd July 1904 put up.

2. Under G.O. no. 228 Est: of 22nd April 1899 ~~the~~ one post on Rs. 15 in each of the Divisional and Taluk Offices was declared a copyist's appointment and candidates for appointment to these posts

examinatio

to those who have passed the Elementary examination in typewriting.

3. The Collector's letter submitting his remarks on the explanation of the Tahsildar for his failure to forward John's application to Govt in the first instance and on the conduct of the Divisional officer in asking John to withdraw his petition when submitted to him, may, it is submitted, be perhaps recorded. John's petition for exemption from the Examination rules has already been rejected and there appears to be no ground for a reconsideration of the orders already passed. He may be informed accordingly.

SP. 323 R X
14-6-05

Ru 8/9/15

Bn 8/9/15

9/9 15

Scty

Go.

11.9.15

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

Ru 12/9/15

Bn 12/9/15

12/9/15

[E./12.]

1,000—14-6-05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

, read abstract,

READ AGAIN G.O., R/h No. 323 Est., dated 14 - 6 - 1905.

" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1273, From ~~the~~ M. John, Markapur, No. ✓, dated 3 - 7 - 1905.

" " 1547, " the Collr. of Kurnool, " ~~1288~~, " 25 - 8 - 1905.

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

ORDER No. 613, dated 12 - 9 - 1905.

Mis.

Recorded.

2. The petitioner should be informed that Govt. see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed in his case.

12/9/5

Dsp 12/9/5

12/9/5

12/9/5

To.

The Collr. of Kurnool. 1800

(with his dis. no. 692/05 d/13 - 5 - '05 returned.)

Despd with Encl. 15/9/5

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.