

7/6
Educational (190) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 609.

DATED 11-9-05

N. P.

INDEXED.

ORIGINAL

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inspection of Colleges.

Referring the Director of Public Instruction
and the Accountant General of the decision of the Govt.
of India in regard to the acceptance by the Honble Dr. Boudh
and Mr. Sathianathan of an honorarium of Rs 2500 per
for inspecting affiliated Colleges.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

FOR RECORD ONLY.

Recd.

Regd.

1905.

Enclosures

Spare copies

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 609, 11th September 1905.

N. P.

Inspection of Colleges.

Informing the Director of Public Instruction and the Accountant-General of the decision of the Government of India in regard to the acceptance by the Hon'ble Dr. Bourne and Mr. Satyanathan of an honorarium of Rs. 2,500 each for inspecting affiliated Colleges.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

I

G.O., Nos. 447, 448, Educational, dated 1st July 1905.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting the sanction of the Government of India to the acceptance by Mr. A. G. Bourne and M.R. Ry. S. Satyanadhan of an honorarium of Rs. 2,500 each in addition to travelling expenses offered by the University of Madras for the inspection of Colleges affiliated thereto.

II

Letter—from W. S. MARRIS, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Education).

To—the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department.

Dated—Simla, the 21st August 1905.

No.—566.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 448, dated the 1st July 1905, in which the Government of India are asked to sanction the acceptance by the officers named on the margin of an honorarium of Rs. 2,500 each in addition to travelling expenses offered by the University of Madras for the inspection of colleges affiliated thereto.

2. In reply I am to observe that so long as regulations in this behalf had not been framed it was not possible to forecast the expenditure which would be involved in the inspection of colleges and for this reason the Government of India contented themselves at the outset with suggesting (*vide* paragraph 7 of the Home Department letter No. 192, dated the 14th March 1905), that a specific sum (Rs. 15,000) should be set aside as a grant-in-aid for this purpose. But they are now inclined to think that if the expenditure on inspection is to be kept within reasonable limits, some control must be exercised over it, and that care should be taken to see that the grants made to the gentlemen authorized to make the inspections are moderate and not in excess of the reasonable requirements of the case. It appears therefore to the Government of India that it would be advisable if possible to arrange that all proposals for the grant of honoraria or travelling allowance to persons who may be deputed by the University to make such inspections, whether servants of Government or not, should be referred to Government for sanction.

3. In dealing with proposals made by the University for the remuneration of the gentlemen selected by the Syndicate under section 23, sub-section (2), of the Act, the Government of India think it desirable that definite principles should be recognized. They consider that no person, within the scope of whose ordinary official duties the inspection of colleges clearly falls, should receive special payment for undertaking inspections on behalf of the Syndicate. On the other hand any person, official or non-official, of whom this cannot be said should be remunerated for inspection duty.

4. If the principle is applied in the present case the proposal of the Government of Madras to grant the Director of Public Instruction an honorarium of Rs. 2,500 in addition to travelling expenses cannot, in the opinion of the Government of India, be accepted. They are not prepared to admit that the work of inspecting affiliated colleges can be described as outside the ordinary course of the duties of an officer who is responsible for all educational matters within the Presidency. They consider therefore that no honorarium can be admitted to Dr. Bourne on account of work done in inspecting affiliated colleges. The charges for travelling would in any case be properly debitable to his departmental budget and not to the special grant.

5. The Government of India do not wish to be understood as disapproving of the employment of the Director on such duty. On the contrary it seems to them most important that that officer, where he can do so without detriment to his other duties, should be permitted and encouraged to undertake this work. He is presumably better qualified than any one else for the task; his voice will carry greater weight with the local Government than that of any other inspector; and his own usefulness and authority as Director will be increased by the wide range of knowledge that he will thus acquire.

6. The case of Mr. Satyanadhan does not present the same difficulty as it falls into the second category to which reference is made in paragraph 3 of this letter. The Government of India have therefore no objection to the grant to that officer of the honorarium and travelling expenses mentioned in your letter on the understanding that the Madras Government are satisfied that the amount of the former is not excessive.

Order—No. 609, Educational, dated 11th September 1905.

With reference to G.O., No. 447, Educational, dated 1st July 1905, the Director of Public Instruction and the Accountant-General are informed that the Government of India are unable to sanction the acceptance by the Hon'ble Dr. Bourne of an honorarium of Rs. 2,500 in addition to travelling expenses for inspecting affiliated colleges, as they consider that no person within the scope of whose ordinary official duties the inspection of colleges clearly falls should receive special payment for undertaking inspections on behalf of the Syndicate and as they are not prepared to admit that the work of inspecting affiliated colleges can be described as outside the ordinary course of the duties of the Director of Public Instruction—an officer who is responsible for all educational matters within the Presidency.

2. The Government of India have no objection to the acceptance by Mr. Satyanadhan of the honorarium of Rs. 2,500 in addition to travelling expenses proposed by the Syndicate.

3. Dr. Bourne's travelling expenses should be debited to the departmental budget.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction,
Accountant-General.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 609, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
11TH SEPTEMBER 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Remuneration to the Hon'ble Dr. A. G. Bourne and Dr. S. Satyanathan for
inspecting affiliated colleges on behalf of the University of Madras.*]

From the Government of India, Home Department, No. 566, dated 21st August 1905.

Circulated for the perusal of His Excellency the Governor and the Honourable
Members.

H. D. TAYLOR—30-8-05.

A[MPHILL]—31-8-05.

J. T[HOMSON]—2-9-05.

G. S[TOKES]—3-9-05.

A draft is placed below for approval.

N. LAKSHMANA RAO—5-9-05.

P. T. THARYAN—5-9-05.

A. GALLETTI—6-9-05.

With reference to paragraph 6 of India's letter may the payment of Rs. 2,500 by
way of honorarium to Mr. Satyanathan *
* Pay Rs. 700. be accepted as reasonable?

H. D. TAYLOR—7-9-05.

If it is reasonable for any one else it is reasonable for Dr. Satyanathan.

J. T[HOMSON]—8-9-05.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—9-9-05.

[G.O., No. 609, Educational, dated 11th September 1905.]

" " " " , " 190

C. No. 1518, From the Govt. of India, Home Dept, No. 566, dated 21-8-1905

" " " " , " ; " 190

" " " , " " , "

190

Desp ^{in ms + print}
9/9/15

No. 609, dated 11-9-1905

With reference to G.O. no. 447 Ed., dated 15th July 1905, the Director of Public Instruction and the Accountant General are informed that the Government of India are unable to sanction the acceptance by the Honble D^r. Bourne of an honorarium of Rs. 2,500 in addition to travelling expenses for inspecting affiliated colleges, as they consider that no person ~~like Mr. Bourne~~ within the scope of whose ordinary official duties the inspection of colleges clearly falls, should receive special payment for undertaking ^{and as} inspections on behalf of the Syndicate. They

✓ 64P

are not prepared to admit that the work of inspecting affiliated colleges can be described as outside the ordinary course of the duties of ^{the Director of Public Instruction} an officer who is responsible for all educational matters ^{within} the Presidency.

2. As regards Mr Sathyanathan, however, the Govt. of India have no objection to ^{the acceptance by Mr. Sathyanathan of} his accepting the honorarium of Rs. 2,500 ^{in addition to} and the travelling expenses.

720 proposed by the Syndicate.
59/5

3. Dr. Boman W. ^{Ph.D.} travelling expenses should be debited to the departmental budget.

46/9/5

7.9.05

To the D.P.S.
" A.S.

59/5
11/9/5

are not prepared to accept the work
 of visiting officers unless they can be
 assured of the safety of the ordinary course
 of the business of the office. It is not
 for all other things matters of the same
 nature.
 The proposed new regulations
 are not in line with the present
 practice of the office. It is not
 right to be accepting the new
 regulations without consulting the
 members of the office.
 The proposed new regulations
 are not in line with the present
 practice of the office. It is not
 right to be accepting the new
 regulations without consulting the
 members of the office.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Order complete. 25
 Letter only.
 Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 120
 DATED

CURRENT No. 1578 - A

5 p
 Note 1-2 p

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

So much

14-9-05

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. A ..

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- C = Print abstract only.
- D = Print heading only.