

Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 581

DATED 25-8-05

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Rajahmundry College (Attis Seeling)

Recording with remarks the letter from
 the Director Subur the Ed. Commission
 of the Principals, —, in regard to certain
 points noticed in the review of the
 report on the working of the College
 for the year 1904.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Rajahmundry College (Arts Section) Report on the working of the College for the year 1904.

Submitted to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, on 17th August 1905.

Principal, Rajahmundry College (Arts Section).

Report on the working of the College for the year 1904.

Stock Form No. 135
3,700-17-6-06.

En CURRENT NO. 1492

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received



190 , Registered 21-8-1905

Subject.

Submitting the explanation called for in connection with the review of the report on the working of the Rajahmundry College /Arts Section/ for the year 1904.

ENCLOSURES.

No. 2

Spare copies

Ref on Cur No. 4842/05

Station MADRAS , Dated the 18th AUGUST 1905

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne D.sc., F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sr,

I

With reference to Para 2 of G.O. No. 395 Educational dated 9th June 1905 I have the honour to submit for the information of Government, the enclosed copies of letters from the Principal, Rajahmundry College /Arts Section/ Nos. 294 and 300 dated 18th and 25th July 1905 respectively which furnish the explanations called for in the review of the report on the College for the year 1904.

I consider the explanations satisfactory.

I have the honour to be,

S I R ,

Your most obedient servant,

J. N. Sankar

For Director of Public Instruction.

17-8-05

2
3
Copy of a letter from the Principal, Rajahmundry College
No. 294 dated 18th July 1905

With reference to your Proceedings Dis No. 3665 dated
24th May 1905 I have the honour to state that the 20 students who
left the college in October 1904 belonged to the Senior F.A.
class. One of these left owing to illness. Of the remaining
19, 6 were not permitted to appear for the First Examination in
Arts as their work in the Half yearly and mid-term examinations
had been most unsatisfactory. The others were second year students
whose progress certificates I could not conscientiously sign.
These students left the class partly to avoid paying the last
instalment of fees and partly in consequence of an order
requiring 2nd year students either to attend the class regularly
or leave it altogether. These students appeared privately for this
examination. But the sentence in the report -that they left the
college 'to appear' is perhaps ambiguous.

2. The decline in the strength of the Junior B.A. class
of 1904 was very slight and no particular cause is assignable. The
very considerable decrease in the Junior F.A. was undoubtedly due
to the smaller percentage of passes in the Matriculation
Examination of 1903.

/True Copy/

J. A. S. S.

For Director of Public Instruction.

68
17.6.05

3
4
Copy of a letter from the Principal, Government College
Rajahmundry No. 300 dated 25th July 1905.

II
With reference to G.O. No. 395 Educational dated
9th June 1905 communicated to me under your endorsement Ref on C
No. 4841/05 dated 19th instant I have the honour to state that
the explanation called for in paragraph 2 of your review on this
college report for 1904 has already been submitted vide this
office letter No. 294 dated 18th July 1905.

2. As regards the explanation called for in paragraph 5
I beg to state that the net cost per student during the years
1901 and 1902 was arrived at after debiting a portion of the
salary drawn during these years by the Principal and some other
members of the staff who worked in the Training College to that
section. This method of calculation was discontinued in 1903.

3. The net cost per student during 1904 was 48 and not 52
as stated in the report. The mistake is a clerical error.

/True Copy/

J. Adcock

For Director of Public Instruction.

61.
17-8-05

C. No. 1492 From the DPG No. 4842, dated 18-8-1905.

SUBJECT.

Explanation of points noticed in the Director's review of the report on the Rajahmundry College - Arts section.

With reference to para. 2 of the Principal's letter no 300 dt 25.7.05 (copy of which is placed on page 3 of the current file) it is doubtful whether the old plan of calculating the net cost per student after deducting a portion of the salary drawn by the Principal & some other members of the staff of the Arts section was not the more correct one. At any rate it should have been stated whether it was altered with the Director's permission. It should not have been altered without such permission.

Should any ~~more~~ notice be taken of this point?

Secy PH 22/8/05

Wae
23/8/05

But it is known if the DGT issued by open

” ” ” ” ” ” 190

C. No. 1492, From the S.P.D., No. 4842, dated 18-8-1905

" "*****" " "*****"

190

190

No. 581, dated 25-8-1905

mi

Recorded. with the remarks

Q26 Use the system of calculating

22/8/5
The charge on which the net work
has been done was based on the work
done here altered without the
approval of the B.P.O.

W
22-807

To the D.P.I.

29/8/5.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

190

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
190

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.