[E.]
800—17-12-02.

D-1

1

Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 516

(10)

DATED 27-7-06

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Accounts

Directing that the sum of Rs 163-8-9, overdrawn by K. Alwar Chetti, as Inst asst, Govt Training School, Bellary, be written off the accounts.

1

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G/1]
6,000—3-3-08.

Fin
Educ
DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

2

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
~~1408~~ A.G.	T252.Bl 2972	13.6.05	1–36

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 1408

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
239	25-3-04	O		
628	5-7-00	S.C.		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging return of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.

Stock Form No. 122
2,000—17-~~10-02~~. ~~Financial~~ Educational Cir. 1369

PAGE 1

~~Public~~ (1905) Department.

3

CURRENT NO.
1408
FINANCIAL

CURRENT No.

From

[Name] W. Dobbie Esqr.

[Designation] Acctt. General

[Station] Madras

14 JUN 1905

No. T 252/Bil 2972

Dated 13 June 1905

Enclosures 13

Received ~~16~~ 24 - ~~6~~ 7 - 1905.

Registered ~~16~~ 24 - ~~6~~ 7 - 1905

SUBJECT.

Submitting the case of one K. Alwar Chetty who has overdrawn increments to the extent of Rs 163-8-9, for the orders of Government under art. 972(1) C. A. C Vol II

BACK PAPERS.

239/04

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Financial ~~*Public*~~ */ Department.*

Sir,

p 24 gct

I have the honour to forward herewith Ref. Cur.No.6912 of 1904, dated 31st March 1905, from the Director of Public Instruction with its enclosures, and to state that it has now been brought to my notice that one K. Alwar Chetty has overdrawn increments to the extent of Rs.163-8-9 as shown below.

Amount overdrawn
Para 2 . . . . . . Rs 10
" 3 . . . . . . Rs 10
" 4 . . . . . . {Rs 24 / Rs 125-8-9
Total 169-8-9
Para 1 — 6-0-0
163-8-9

1. He was entitled to draw a salary of Rs.32 (Rs.28+4) from 1st March 1897, as he was drawing Rs.30 continuously for 12 months, since 1st March 1896; but he drew Rs.32 (Rs.30+2) from 1st June 1897. Thus Rs.6 is further due to him for these three months.

2. As he was holding an acting appointment on Rs.40-4-60 from 12th November 1897 to 29th June 1898, this period should have been excluded in determining his increments for acting in the appointment 30-2-40 and he should have been allowed his 2nd increment (i.e., salary Rs.34) from 1st November 1898, but he has drawn it from 1st June 1898. The amount overdrawn from 1st June 1898 to 31st October 1898 is thus Rs.10. Here I may explain that the increment from 1st July 1898 to 31st September 1898 was drawn on a supplemental bill in December 1898 and the increment certificate which was countersigned by the Director of Public Instruction showed that he acted continuously in the appointment (30-2-40) from 1st June 1897 and that he was on leave without allowances from 26th January 1898 to 24th February 1898. The increment for June 1898 was drawn on a supplemental bill in February 1899 and the revised increment certificate also countersigned by the Director of Public Instruction attached to that bill showed simply that he was holding the acting appointment (30-2-40) from 1st June 1897 continuously. In neither of these certificates was it mentioned that the man acted in an appointment on Rs.40-4-60 from 12th November 1897 to 29th June 1898 and not in an appointment on Rs.30-2-40. The audit in my office as regards the pay of establishments is sectional and not personal and under Article 818 my office examines increment certificates so as to see that the increments claimed are according to rule and supported by facts stated and have actually accrued. The facts stated in the increment certificates were incorrect and my office was not therefore in a position to disallow the increments overdrawn.

4

C.A. Code

3. As the 2nd increment was drawn five months before it was due, so the 3rd increment (salary Rs.36) was also drawn 5 months in advance, i.e., from 1st June 1899 instead of from 1st November 1899. The amount overdrawn for these five months was Rs.10.

4. As the permanent pay of Alwar Chetty was progressive (20-2-30) as well as the pay of his officiating appointment (30-2-40), his maximum salary in his officiating appointment was only Rs.36 under Article 155(c), Civil Service Regulations. He however drew salary at Rs.38 from 1st June 1900 and at Rs. 40 from 1st June 1901 to 12th January 1904, and I am sorry to say that my office omitted to check properly the increment certificates as if this had been done the excess acting allowance should have been retrenched at the time. He has thus overdrawn Rs.24 from 1st June 1900 to 31st May 1901 at Rs.2 per mensem and Rs.125-8-9 from 1st June 1901 to 12th January 1904 at Rs.4 per mensem. The amount overdrawn from 13th January 1904 was recovered by this office.

125-8-9
24-0-0
149-8-9

5. As it is now over six months from the date of payment of these sums, I submit the matter for the orders of Government under Article 972(1), Civil Account Code, Volume II.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L. Dobbie

Accountant-General.

[G./3]
5,000—17-3-04.

Overdrawel of increments to the salary Alvar Chetty of the Educational Dept.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1408, From the Accountant General, No. T.52Bil, 2972., Dated 13 - 6 - 1905.

US.

The Accountant General reports the case of Alwar Chetty, Head Master, Government Training School, Rayachoti, who has overdrawn increments to his salary to the amount of Rs.163-8-9. This was due partly to the submission of incorrect increment certificates by the Director of Public Instruction and partly to the omission on the part of the Accountant General's office to check these certificates properly.

Date of 1st appointment on Govt. service. Item 1 in service register

Item 2(a) in service register

Items 3, 7 & 8 Do

Item 9 in service register

2. The facts of the case briefly are as follows: Alwar Chetty was on the 25th March 1892, appointed Subprotem Second Assistant Master of the Govt. Training School, Anantapur (pay Rs.20-2-30). He was confirmed in that appointment on 22nd June 1892 and received increments of Rs.2 on the 1st June 1893, 1st June 1894 & 1st June 1895. On the 1st March 1896, when he was drawing Rs.26 as permanent pay, he was appointed to act as First Assistant of the same school (pay Rs.30-2-40) and continued to act in that appointment till the 14th October 1896. Under article 155(c) of the C.S.R. he began to draw from 1st March 1896 a salary of Rs.30 (viz., pay of his permanent appointment Rs.26 + ag. allowance restricted to Rs.4 for the first year of the ag. appointment). On the 1st June 1896 Alwar Chetty became eligib for an increment of Rs.2 in his permanent appointment and under the article quoted above, his salary from that date was calculated as pay Rs.28 plus acting ~~allow~~ allowance of Rs.2.

In transit from 15-10-96 to 22-10-96
Item 9 in service register

Item 10 in the service register

(15th to 22nd Octr. in transit)

Items 10 & 11 in the service register

On the 14th October 1896, he was transferred t act as First Assistant of the Government Training

School

He was pri.leave from 19-10-97 to 11-11-97,note under item 12 in service book.
Items 11 & 12 of s.book

⊠
Rs.28 + 2 = 30

School, Rayachoti,on the same pay as that of the first Assistant of the Anantapur School viz.,Rs.30-2-40 and he continued to act in that appointment till the 11th November 1897. He continued to draw at Rayachoti the same ⊠ pay and acting allowance as he was drawing at Anantapur.

⊠
He began to draw Rs.30 from 1-3-96, I portion of para.2 of notes supra.

Item 12 in service register

Under article 155(c) of the C.S.R., Alwar Chetty became eligible for a salary of Rs.32 on the 1st ⊠ March 1897, on which date he completed one year in the acting appointment on Rs.30-2-40, but he actually drew Rs.32 with effect from the 1st June 1897. A sum of Rs.6 is therefore due to him for the months of March,April and May 1897.

Item 12 in Do
" 13 in Do

items 14+15 in " "

Article 155(c) of the C.S.R.

Note under item 14 in the service register.

3. On the 1st June 1897 he had attained the maximum pay(Rs.30) of his permanent post. On the 12th November 1897 he was appointed to act as Head Master of the Rayachoti school(pay Rs.40-4-60) and continued to act in that appointment until the 29th June 1898 when he reverted to the acting appointment of 1st Assistant Master in the same School which appt he held till the 31st December 1898. He was entitled to draw Rs.30 plus an acting allowance of Rs.8 (total Rs.38) from the 12th November 1897 to the 29th June 1898. Owing to a reduction of the Anantapur Training School from the Lower Secondary to the Primary grade in 1898 he was, with retrospective effect from the 1st January 1898, confirmed as the first assistant of the Anantapur school on the same pay(Rs.20-2-30)as his former permanent post of Second Assistant.

Last sub para of para 2 supra

para 3 supra

4 In his acting appointment as first assistant, Rayachoti school(pay Rs.30-2-40),Alwar Chetty had on the 1st March 1897,earned a salary of Rs.32. Had he continued in that post he would have been entitled to Rs.34 on the 1st March 1898,but the period, during which he acted as Head Master of the same school did not, under the note to article 155 of the C.S.R. count for increments in the acting appointment

of

7

⊗ See article 57 C.S.R. also.

1. 3. 97 to 11. 11. 97 = 8 m. 11 dys
leaving 3 m. 19 days to complete the year i.e. 19th Octr 1898 counting from 29. June 1898
Item 15 in the Service Regr.

of 1st assistant and he should therefore have reached the stage of Rs.34 in the first assistant's appointment on the 1st November 1898, But he drew this Rs 34 from the 1st June 1898, ⊗ and thus overdrew Rs.10.

Item 16 in the Service Regr.
16-19 — " "
⊕ due to reduction to primary grade in Novr. 98. vide remarks on p. 29, 82 & 243 Papers 17.12.98
× 13th to the 17th in transit

Item 20 in the Service Regr

× 12th to 15th in transit

Item 21 in the Service Regr.
" 22 "

⊗ 11th & 12th in transit

5 From the 1st January 1899 he acted as Head Master of the Rayachoti school(pay Rs.30-2-40)till the 17th June 1902 when he acted as Head Master of the Anantapur school(same pay Rs.30-2-40). This acting appointment he held until the 11th March 1903 when he was appointed as acting 1st Assistant Bellary School (same pay Rs.30-2-40). ~~For~~ From this appointment he ~~wente~~ Went on the 13th January 1904 as first assistant Chittoor school on the same pay.

Item 17 in The Service Regr.

6 Continuing the mistake indicated in para. 4 supra, Alwar Chetty began to draw at the rate of Rs.36 from the 1st June 1899. The amount fell due only on the 1st November 1899. A further overdrawal of Rs.10.

Art. 155(c), C.S.R.

Item 18 in Service Regr.
" 19 " "

7. In the appointments he held up to the 13th January 1904, ~~whole~~ all of which were on pay of Rs.30-2-40, his maximum salary should have been limited to Rs.36 i.e. his permanent pay Rs.30 and acting allowance of Rs.6. But he was allowed to draw Rs.38 from the 1st June 1900 and Rs.40 from the 1st June 1901 to the 13th January 1904-He thus overdrew :-

	Rs. A P
1-6-1900 to 31-5-01 at Rs. 2...........	24-0-0-
1-6-1901 to 12-1-1904 at Rs,4=.........	125-8-9
$= 31\frac{12}{31}$ months.	
	149-8-9

8 To sum up Alwar Chetty overdrew :-

Rs.10(para. 4 of notes) + Rs.10 (para. 6 of notes)
+ Rs 149-8-9(para. 7 of notes)-Rs.6(para 2 of notes) =163-8-9

Para.2 of his letter No.T. 252Bil/2972.d/13-6-05 pages 2 & 3 of C.F.

9. The Accountant General states that the fact that Alwar Chetty was acting in an appointment on Rs.40-4-60 from the 12th November 1897 to the 29th June 1898 was not mentioned in the increment certificate countersigned by the D.P.I. and that his office ~~were~~ was not therefore in a position to disallow the amount of

Rs.20

8

Para 4 of his letter No. T 252 Bil, 13.6.05, p. 3 of 2972 G.O.

Rs.20 overdrawn. With regard to the overdrawal of Rs.149-8-9 the A.G. states that the mistake was due to the omission on the part of his office to check properly the increment certificates as [redacted] if this had been done, the excess acting allowance drawn would have been retrenched in time.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
19 JUL 1905
SECRETARIAT.

10. There is nothing in the file of papers submitted by the A.G. to show what the opinion of the D.P.I. is as to who is responsible for the mistake. The A.G. however admits that his office was to ~~be~~ blame~~d~~ for the major portion of the overdrawal.

11 The amounts have been overdrawn six months before the mistake was found out by the A.G's office. The A.G. therefore submits the matter for the orders of Government under article 972(1) of the Civil Account Code. It is for orders whether the amount should be recovered from Alwar Chetty or be remitted under article 279A of the C.A.Code. If the latter course is accepted, the order remitting the amount which is less than Rs.500 can, under para.261 of the Treasury Manual, be sanctioned by the Educational Department.

Page 82, Madras Treasury Manual. also G.O. 628 Finl., 5.7.00. A

Q

12 The mistake seems to have been due to a pardonable blunder and to have been made in good faith. Educational may be advised to write off the amount, asking the D.P.I. to draw the attention of all his subordinate officers to the rules regarding progressive salaries & to take steps to ensure that similar mistakes are not repeated

CWS
17.7.05

Yes. I think this is a case for writing off - but does Ed. agree?

18. vii. 05.

To Edl

Agreed.
19.7.05.

Edl: no. 219 R of 19-7-05

Finl no.

U.S. M. 419 18/7/15

Finl no.

9

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 24 JUL 1905

CS

Edl. may be asked to issue orders accordingly (please see at A on p. 4)

Cms
20. 6. 05.

Yes. Will Edl. kindly issue orders?

23. vi. 05

Fuil W.O.D.
424
24.7.5

Ed. Dept

Edl: Depto

Please draft for approval.

H.W.
24.7.05.

A draft is submitted below for approval.

Secy

Ru
24/7/5

25/7/5

P.H.
25/7/5

H.W.
26.7.05.

Approved

27.7.05

Issue

27.7.05

US
7/6

10

[E. / 12.]

1,093—14-6-03.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. .... , dated .... 190 .

" " " " .... , " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1349, From the A.G. .... , No. 7. 252/2972 dated 13-6— 1905.

" " .... , " " .... , " .... , " .... 190 .

" " .... , " " .... , " .... , " .... 190 .

ORDER No. 516, dated 27-7- 1905.

Mis.

U
27705

Desp
29/7/5

Under article 279A of the C.A. Code the Govt: direct that ~~in the circumstance~~ the sum of Rs. 163-8-9 overdrawn by K. Alwar Chetti be written off the accounts.

2. The Director will draw the attention of his subordinates to the rules regarding progressive sala-:ries and take steps to ensure that similar mistakes do not recur.

To.
The D.P.I.
" A.G. with 20 Exts.
" Fnl: Depart:

Desp
3/8/5

Rn
24/7/5

PH
25/7/5

U
25/7/5

26-7-05

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
190 .

Read again G.O., No. , dated
190 .

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter to Government.

Statement with ditto

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.