

21/10/2
Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 463

DATED 6. 7. 05

INDEXED

C

[Despatch Abstract.]

Non-employment of teachers.

Accepting the Director's proposals for forbidding under Rule 17 of the Madras Educational Rules, the future employment of certain teachers of aided schools in Maymaram, as teachers in or as the managers of any recognized or aided institutions in the Presidency

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Stock Form No. 135
3,000-6-10-04.

Educational (190⁵) Department.

CURRENT No. 1225

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Madras

I cannot see that there is much difference between the three. The two men who gave certificates knew it to be wrong, - did so, because they thought it would not be found out. I would debate them for five years

Ref on Cur No. 7520/04

Dated 28th June 190⁵

Enclosures

Received

Registered



SUBJECT.

Submitting for orders of Government the case of one R.S. Vaithilinga Chetty who employed fraudulent means to secure a service /Teachers/ Certificate.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

2

*The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.*

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to your notice the case of one R.S. Vaithilinga Chetty a teacher in the Lutheran Mission School, Mela Othacaragu, Kornad, Mayavaram who with a view to secure a service certificate for himself has managed to obtain from one Subrahmanya Vathiar, Manager, Aided School, Salai North Street, Mayavaram and from another Akshayalinga Naik, Manager, Mudaliar street School, Mayavaram certificates as to his past service as teacher in the schools under their management which on enquiry instituted by the Inspector of Schools, Southern Circle were proved to be false. ~~The Inspector of Schools, Southern Circle were proved to be false.~~ The Inspector was requested to call upon all three teachers to show cause why they should not be debarred from employment in any recognized or aided school under rule 17 of the Madras Educational Rules.

2. In his explanation Vaithilinga Chetty asserts without any attempt at substantiation that he was really an assistant master in the two schools and that the other two teachers Subrahmanya Vathiar and Akshayalinga Naik granted these certificates to him in good faith. This however is denied by both the teachers who state that they were induced to grant the certificates by Vaithilinga Chetty's friends and by Vaithilinga Chetty himself who assured them that the matter would not come in for enquiry and they now freely confess their fault.

3. As it is undesirable that an unprincipled individual of the stamp of Vaithilinga Chetty should be allowed to serve as teacher in any public school I beg to recommend

Page 2 of the Rules

3

-2-

3

that he be declared under Rule 17 of the Madras Educational Rules permanently ineligible for employment as teacher in or as the manager of any recognized or aided institution in this Presidency.

4. As regards the other two teachers Subrahmanya Vathiar and Akshayalinga Naik who on the persuasion of Vaithilinga Chetty issued false certificates knowing the fraudulent purpose to which they were intended to be put I consider that they are almost equally culpable with Vaithilinga Chetty but in view of the fact that they freely confessed their fault and that they are old men it would suffice if they be declared ineligible to serve as teachers in or as managers of any recognized or aided institutions in the Presidency for a period of two years each.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. W. Middleton

For Director of Public Instruction.

*88.
27-6-05*

C. No. 1225 From the D.P. 9.

No. 7520, dated 28-6-1905.

SUBJECT.

Ineligibility of one R. S. Vaitiyalinga Chetti
for employment as teacher in or as the
manager of any recognized or aided institution
in this Presidency.

I cannot see that there is much difference
between the three. The two men who gave Certificates
knew it to be wrong, and did so because they thought it
would not be found out. I would debar them for five years.

H. D. T.
30-6-05

H. M.

It is better to lie and
repeat than to lie and
lie more.

Accept the Directors
proposals, this country a
warm for South to these
old men

4/7/05

5.41 (H.D.)
4.7.05

Secy

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

4/7/05

5.4.5

4/7/05

READ AGAIN G.O., No.	dated	190
" " " "	" "	190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1225, From the *D.P.*, No 7520, dated 28-6-1905.

ORDER No. 463, dated 6. 7. 1905.

Miss.

The Director's proposals are ~~approved~~ accepted.

2. Subrahmanya
Vathiar and Bhshalinga
Nach shd be ~~same~~

informed that they have
been leniently dealt with
because they have confessed
their fault. A warning,
expressed as coming from
Gov^t, against repetition of
the offence, should be
^{addressed}
~~communicated~~ to them.

R1 54.05 R2

2. New S. P. 9 - 200
Sept
10/7/5

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 F = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only