[B. /]

500—12-8-04.

Educational (1905) Department.

1

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 392

DATED 9-6-05.

N. P.

INDEXED.

ORIGINAL

-9-

[Despatch Abstract.]

Teachers' certificates ~~&~~ Examinations.

Recording with remark Letter from the Director of Public Instruction about the conduct of the practical test for Teachers' certificates by Revd. J.J.B. Palmer at ~~of~~ Kottayam ~~instead~~:

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1905} of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

Recd. } 1905. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 392, 9th June 1905.

N. P.

JUNE.

Teachers' Certificates Examinations.

Recording, with remark, letter from the Director of Public Instruction about the conduct of the practical test for teachers' certificates by Rev. J. J. B. Palmer at Kóttayam.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, Ref. on Cur. No. 1479, dated 17th March 1905.

Mr. Logan, Inspector of Schools, Western Circle, having been unavoidably prevented from going to Kóttayam to conduct the practical test for teachers' certificates on the 23rd February the day appointed and as it was very undesirable to alter the date fixed the examination was at his request conducted by the Rev. J. J. B. Palmer, Principal of the Cambridge Nicholson Institution, Kóttayam, who acted as a temporary member of the Board on the occasion. I have the honour to request that the examination conducted by the Rev. J. J. B. Palmer may be accepted as valid.

2. In this connection I beg to request that I may be empowered to appoint temporary members of the Board for similar emergencies.

Memorandum No. 247, Educational, dated 28th April 1905.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to state why Mr. Logan could not go to Kóttayam in February last to conduct the practical test for teachers' certificates and whether the relaxation of the rule he asks for would not be properly met by enlarging the committee.

(By Order.)

A. GALLETTI,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

From the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, Ref. on Cur. No. 1479, dated 1st June 1905.

In reply to memorandum, No. 247, Educational, dated 28th April last, I have the honour to state that the date of the examination had been fixed some time previously and a practical examination to which candidates are summoned from many distant places cannot well be postponed. Mr. Logan was under medical treatment when the date came round and was operated upon for swollen sub-maxillary glands on the 25th February.

2. As to the enlargement of the committee I do not think that such a course is at present necessary or desirable. So far as I can learn this is the first time such an emergency has arisen.

3. Apart altogether from the present case I think that the time has arrived when the conducting of these examinations in Native States may be given up. Attempts are being made in all directions to subordinate examinations to inspection or at any rate to give the latter its due prominence and it is not satisfactory to examine students from training schools over the working of which our Inspector has no control. The centres in question are Cochin, Kóttayam and Tangasséri. This is a matter within my jurisdiction and I am addressing the authorities concerned. I mention it here to add force to my statement that there is no necessity at present to strengthen the Board.

ORDER—No. 392, Educational, dated 9th June 1905.

Recorded with the remark that the action of the Director of Public Instruction in the special circumstances of the case is approved.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Exd. C. Parthasarathy.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 392, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 9TH JUNE 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Regarding the practical test for Teachers' Certificates held at Kóttayam.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 1479, *dated* 17*th March* 1905.

Under rule 3, chapter VIII of the Educational Rules as they now stand, the Director has power to appoint additional examiners to assist the Board in conducting the practical tests in the mufassal. The Director and not Mr. Logan could thus have appointed Mr. Palmer. The Director may perhaps now make a formal appointment and validate the examination conducted by Mr. Palmer.

2. The Director of Public Instruction's request in paragraph 2 of his letter as to his being empowered to appoint temporary members of the Board of Examiners for Teachers' certificates in case of emergencies is not very clear. If he can appoint additional members at any time, he may do so in case of emergencies also. The rule makes no distinction between permanent and temporary additional members.

3. The provision in the revised edition now under issue is the same as in the existing rule—*vide* rule 120 on page 83.

P. T. THARYAN—28-3-05.

The Director seems to have overlooked the rule. His attention may be drawn to it?

A. GALLETTI—29-3-05.

Yes.

H. D. TAYLOR—1-4-05.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Rule 132 reads as if they were really "additional *members*" like additional members of Council.

A. GALLETTI.

It is doubtful whether it does. It says "may be".

A. GALLETTI.

Additional examiners are not considered to be even temporary members of the Board. Rule 132 (similar rule exists in old editions) *insists* upon one member of the Board being present. Mr. Logan was suddenly indisposed upon this occasion and had to act in anticipation of sanction.

Under a strict interpretation of the rules I have no power to validate this examination. If Government say I had the power, it is enough and means that a wider interpretation may be put upon the term "additional examiners" in rule 120 than is obviously intended in rule 132. This would be a simple solution: it is not a matter of much consequence except that it is undesirable to alter the rule in any way so as to lead an Inspector to think that he may make a practice of deputing this work to some one else.

A. G. BOURNE—5-4-05.

Submitted that, if additional examiners are not temporary members, there is no authority in the rules as they stand for the appointment of such members either by Government or by the Director. The Government can easily alter the rules, but should not such alteration be first made before an appointment is made?

Don't think they were meant to be permissive; it's probably only bad drafting.
A. Galletti.

2. The provisions of rule 132 so far as the mufassal is concerned are permissive.

3. Inspectors have no power at present to depute some one else to conduct examinations nor should, it is submitted, the rules be altered so as to give them this power.

P. T. Tharyan—7-4-05.

Rules 132 and 120 are ill-drafted. I suppose when the person who drafted rule 132 wrote "elsewhere they may be one member of the Board, etc."; he meant (1) "they may be one member instead of two members, etc." and not (2) "it is at the discretion of the Director to let the examiners be members of the Board or outsiders"; though (2) is the more natural interpretation. If he meant (1), he should have written "shall be", not "may be". The person who drafted rule 120 should have made it clear that "additional examiners" are not "additional members of the Board".

Approved. Please draft accordingly.
H. D. Taylor—21-4-05.

2. The Director's action may be validated with the remark that in rule 132 "shall be" should be read for "may be".

A. Galletti—10-4-05.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

2. Should not the delegation asked for in paragraph 2 of the Director's letter be granted or expressly refused?

P. T. Tharyan—22-4-05.

The Director's request is that he should be given power to relax rule 132 (Chapter VIII, rule 14, in the old edition). The Educational rules are rules binding the Director. What he asks for can only be given him by inserting a proviso to the effect that in emergencies the Director may appoint an outsider to examine. For orders whether the rule should or should not be altered.

A. Galletti—25-4-05.

The following proviso may be added to rule 132:—

Provided that in any case of emergency the Director shall have power to appoint any suitable person as an examiner without reference to the Chairman of the Board.

H. D. Taylor—26-4-05.

I do not follow your emendation of the rule. It would appear that no member of the Board was at the Kóttayam examination and that that is the emergency the Director is concerned about. On the contrary, you make the presence of one member obligatory—which is what it is not at present nor according to the draft new rules—though no doubt it is intended that one member shall always be present.

2. And I should say it was no proper examination at all if one Board Member was not present.

3. If you wish to give the Director the power to provide an impromptu Board the proviso will serve. But in that case I would be prepared to find Mr. Logan pleading urgency frequently.

4. Ask the Director why Mr. Logan couldn't attend and whether the relaxation of the rule he asks for would not be properly met by enlarging the Committee.

J. T[homson]—27-4-05.

Please draft reference accordingly.

H. D. Taylor—27-4-05.

A draft reference is submitted for approval.

P. T. Tharyan—27-4-05.

Issue.

A. Galletti—27-4-05.

[Memorandum No. 247, dated 28th April 1905.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 1479, *dated* 1*st June* 1905.

In paragraph 1 of his letter No. 1479, dated the 1st instant, the Director explains the circumstances under which Mr. Logan could not go to Kóttayam in February last to conduct the practical test for Teachers' certificates.

2. As regards the enlargement of the Committee, the Director does not consider such a course necessary or desirable.

3. The question now for consideration is whether rule 132 of the Educational rules should be altered so as to provide for emergencies by inserting the proviso suggested by the Secretary in his note of the 26th April last. Attention is, however, invited to the Honourable Member's note of the 27th April on page 2.

N.L.R.—5-6-05.

T. Kanakasundaram Pillai—5-6-05.

A. Galletti—6-6-05.

For orders.

2. The Director of Public Instruction says that the present is the only case in which such an emergency has arisen, and he proposes to give up generally the conduct of these examinations in Native States.

3. Mr. Logan had a reasonable excuse for non-attendance on the present occasion.

4. Perhaps it will suffice to record the papers without any present alteration of the rules, the Director's action in the special case being approved by Government.

H. D. Taylor—7-6-05.

Yes.

J. T[homson]—8-6-05.

Recorded, with the remark that the action of the Director of Public Instruction in the special circumstances of the case is approved.

H. D. Taylor—9-6-05.

The order has been typed and is placed below.

T. Kanakasundaram Pillai—5-6-05.

Issue.

A. Galletti—9-6-05.

[G.O., No. 392, Educational, dated 9th June 1905.]

1

Stock Form No. 135
3,000—6-10-04.

Educational (1905) Department.

6 ✓

CURRENT No. 512

From

[*Name*] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] Madras

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
20 MAR 1905
SECRETARIAT

Ref. on Cur No. 1479 of 05

Dated 17th March 1905.

Enclosures

Received 190 .

Registered 20-3-1905.

SUBJECT.

Requeting that in the circumstances explained the practical test for teachers' certificates conducted by the Rev. J.J.B. Palmer on the 23rd February at Kottayam may be accepted as valid.

BACK PAPERS.

~~G.O. no. 375 Edn 5-7-04~~
G.O. no. 414 Edn 6-7-04. Copy ✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

N.L. 4-3

2

1.P

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Mr. Logan, Inspector of Schools, Western Circle having been unavoidably prevented from going to Kottayam to conduct the practical test for teachers' certificates on the 23rd February the day appointed and as it ~~is~~ was very undesirable to alter the date fixed the examination was at his request conducted by the Rev. J.J.B. Palmer Principal of the Cambridge Nicholson Institution Kottayam who acted as a temporary member of the Board on the occasion. I have the honour to request that the examination conducted by the Rev. J.J.B. Palmer may be accepted as valid.

2. In this connection I beg to request that I may be empowered to appoint temporary members of the Board for similar emergencies.

to permit an additional member to preside?

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

D.S.
16-3-05

5

4 3

1,000—16-11-00.

Educational (1905) Department.

7

CURRENT No. 1045

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

[Designation] Director of public Instruction,

[Station] Madras

Mz 601

Ref on Cur No. 1479/05

Dated 1st June 1905

Enclosures

Received 3 - JUN 1905 *190* .

Registered *190* .

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SEC

SUBJECT.

Explaining the circumstances under which Mr. T.T. Logan could not proceed to Kottayam in February last to conduct the practical test for teachers' certificates.

BACK PAPERS.

Mfm 247 = 28-4-05

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Page 3, ct file

In reply to Memorandum No. 2 4 7 Educational d/28th April last I have the honour to state that the date of the examination had been fixed some time previously and a practical examination to which candidates are summoned from many distant places cannot well be postponed. Mr. Logan was under medical treatment when the date came round and was operated upon for swollen sub maxillary glands on the 25th February .

2. As to the enlargement of the Committee I do not think that such a course is at present necessary or desirable . So far as I can learn this is the first time such an emergency has arisen.

3. Apart altogether from the present case I think that the time has arrived when the conducting of these examinations in Native States may be given up. Attempts are being made in all directions to subordinate examinations to inspection or at any rate to give the latter its due prominence and it is not satisfactory to examine students from Training Schools over the working of which our Inspector has no control. The centres in question are Cochin, Kottayam and Tangasseri . This is a matter within my jurisdiction and I am addressing the authorities concerned. I mention it here to add force to my statement that there is no necessity at present to

strengthen

[E. 12]
1,000—24-9-04.

8

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190 .
" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 572 , From the D.P.I. , No 1479 , dated 17 - 3 - 1905.
" " , " " , " , " 190 .
" " , " " , " , " 190 .

Do not print

[not to issue]

ORDER No. , dated 190 .

P.S.

In the circumstances stated the examination conducted by the Rev: J. J. B. Palmer will be accepted as valid.

2. In rule 132 of the Educational rules the words "shall be" should be read for "may be" in line 3. & the following proviso wi[illegible] be added

"Provided that in ~~the~~ any case of emergency the Director shall have power to appoint any suitable person as an examiner without reference to the Chairman of the Board

P.S.
22/4/5

25/4/5

26/4/05

To
the D.P.I.

In the circumstances stated
the examination conducted by
the Rev J.J.B. Palmer will be accepted
as valid.

In rule 13? of the Examination
rules the words "[illegible]" should be
read for "[illegible]" in line 2.

following persons will be asked
"Provided that is the [illegible]
surgeon the [illegible] that
have power to appoint any
qualified person to [illegible]
[illegible]

[E. / 12] 6

1,000—24-9-04.

6

9

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190 .

" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 512, From the D.P.I. , No. 1479, dated 17-3-1905.

" " 1045, " " do. , " 1479, " 1-6-1905.

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

ORDER P.S. No. 392, dated 9. 6.5 1905.

Desh 9-6

Recorded with the remark that the action of the Director of Public Instruction in the special circumstances of the case is approved.

H.D.T.
8-6-05.

To

The Director of Public Instruction.

Despd
11.7.5.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 35
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

6P

Notes - 1 - 7

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 190 .

CURRENT No. 512 — A

Estt 247 — A

1045 — A

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

80 notes

CMG
12/6/05

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. — A ..

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.