


Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 335

DATED 20-5-05

INDEXED



[Despatch Abstract.]

Training School at Nazareth, Trinevalh.

*Acknowledging with remarks the receipt of
Informing the endorsement of the Lt General Commanding
the 9th Division (Secundarabad), No 556 of 3rd
May 1905 on the subject of the refusal of
the Govt. in Council is unable to grant any permission on account of the students drawn
by certain students of the —, who enlisted
in the 83rd Wallapabad Light Infantry.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Recd.


Regd.

1905.

Enclosures

Spare copies

Letter, No. 335, 20th May 1905.



Training School at Nazareth, Tinnevely.

Informing the Lieutenant-General Commanding the 9th (Secunderabad) Division that the Governor in Council is unable to grant any remission on account of the stipends drawn by certain students of the —, who enlisted in the 83rd Wallajahad Light Infantry.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

Letter—from Lieut.-Col. D. S. LEWIS, Commandant, 83rd Walajahbad Light Infantry.

To—the Station Staff Officer, Bellary.

Dated—Bellary, the 18th April 1905.

No.—A-535.

I have the honour to forward, herewith, correspondence regarding certain recruits who have enlisted in the regiment under my command and to request that the same may be forwarded to the Lieutenant-General Commanding the 9th (Secunderabad) Division with a view to obtaining the orders of the Madras Government thereon.

2. The Recruiting Staff officer for Madrassi Hindus and Christians at Trichinopoly enlisted for the regiment under my command eleven (11) Christian recruits at Nazareth; of these three recruits, viz., S. Theophilus, S. Jesadian, and S. Jothinayagam, were stipendiary students and drew stipends from Government till November 1904 on the understanding that, if they failed to complete their course of training or to serve as schoolmasters for three years afterwards, they would refund the stipends received. As these recruits had not complied with the terms of their agreements, the Inspector of European and Training Schools, Madras, demanded the refund of the stipends paid, but was willing to forego the demand if they were appointed teachers in the regimental school to teach the children of sepoys. Being recruits I am unable to give them such appointments, and they are unable to refund the amounts claimed from them. It will be seen from the correspondence attached that in a similar case the Government of Madras were pleased to waive their claim to the refund of the stipends paid, but the Inspector of European Schools declines to make the necessary recommendation to the Director of Public Instruction. Under the circumstance I would request that the Lieutenant-General Commanding may be pleased to obtain the necessary sanction. A list of enclosures is attached.

ENCLOSURES.

Letter—from the Rev. Canon A. MARGÖSCHIS, Manager, Art-Industrial Training School, Nazareth.

To—the Recruiting Staff Officer, Trichinopoly.

Dated—the 19th November 1904.

No.—826.

I have the honour to inform you that the undermentioned three students of the Art-Industrial Training School have recently joined His Majesty's Army as sepoys. They were stipendiary students and drew stipends from Government from January and February to November 1904 as shown below.

2. They have executed documents to the Secretary of State that they will refund the stipends received if they failed to go through the full course of training and serve for a period of three years as schoolmasters.

3. They did not fail to fulfil the conditions of the agreement from any fault of their own, and only on account of their joining the Army to serve the Government.

4. In these circumstances will you be so kind as to recommend to the Director of Public Instruction to excuse the refund of the stipends received by them and to cancel the bonds—

Name.	Grade.	Period.	Amount.
1. S. Theophilus	Lower Secondary grade.	3rd February to 1st November.	RS. A. P. 35 11 7
2. S. Jesadian	Primary.	25th January. "	27 10 10
3. S. Jothinayagam	"	8th February. "	26 4 5
Total ..			89 10 10

5. The importance of encouraging young men to take part in the defence of their country and to serve His Majesty the King-Emperor in the Army is so great that I am sure you will draw special attention to the patriotism of these young men, and as an encouragement to them and to others kindly recommend what is proposed.

6. It may be presumed that the Educational department will not do anything to discourage lads enlisting under your banner, but on the contrary that they will encourage such volunteers and aid the movement in every way. I am proud to say that besides the three lads mentioned eight others from Nazareth, not connected with Educational department, have also joined the Army and I hope others will follow. In future we can arrange for boys who evince a martial spirit not to join the school for training as teachers, but the circumstances mentioned above are unique and unknown in these parts, so that it will not be necessary to ask for exemptions in future.

Letter—from J. H. STONE, Esq., M.A., Inspector of European and Training Schools.

To—the Manager, Art-Industrial Training School, Nazareth.

Dated—Madras, the 28th November 1904.

No.—A. Tr/111.

With reference to your letter No. 837, dated 24th November 1904, I have the honour to state that I regret that I am unable to take your view of the enlistment of stipendiary students.

2. Similar cases have occurred and in one I insisted on the terms of the bond being fulfilled and was supported by the Director of Public Instruction. The matter eventually went up to the highest Military authorities, and in the end the Government of Madras, as a very special case, waived their claim. It was, however, distinctly understood that such enlistments would not be allowed in future, and I request that you will be good enough to let me know, at your earliest convenience, what Military officer is responsible for those which are the subject of your letter.

3. You will see that I cannot in the circumstances make the recommendation to the Director for which you ask.

Letter—from the Rev. Canon A. MARGÖSCHIS, Manager, Art-Industrial Training School, Nazareth.

To—the Inspector of European and Training Schools, Madras.

Dated—the 7th December 1904.

No.—867.

With reference to your letter No. A. Tr/111, of the 28th ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that the idea of any objection being raised to the enlistment of the three lads never occurred to me, and I felt confident that Government as represented in the Educational department, or any department, would only be too glad to recognise and to encourage spontaneous loyalty such as that reported. On this account I did not explain to the Recruiting officer the details of the stipendiary grants.

2. You will also kindly observe that the stipends are of the lowest rates sanctioned by Government, being only Rs. 4 for one lad and Rs. 3 per mensem for two lads each, and the total amount drawn as stipends is only Rs. 89-10-10. I did not think that so small and insignificant a sum should or could be a bar in the way of these volunteers to become soldiers of the King, and personally I am alone responsible for the action of the Military authorities in enlisting the lads.

3. With these three lads, eight others from my schools have recently joined the Army, and as this movement in Tinnevely is unique and spontaneous, I am hoping it will continue and grow. Nothing will encourage such evidence of loyalty and patriotism so much as sympathy from Government officials like your worthy self, whilst any repressive act, such as making the lads reported refund their stipends, will act detrimentally and tend to suppress the movement.

4. A special reason for the three lads in question being allowed by me to go was that, being more educated than the others, they were the leaders of all and if they had held back, the other lads would not have been willing to enlist.

5. His Excellency the Viceroy has expressed his views on what His Lordship termed "Government by water-tight compartments," as if each department was perfectly independent of the others and had no duties or relations to them. His Excellency condemned such a view of Government, and I feel sure that, with your kind approval, when this matter is represented by you to Government, satisfactory orders will be passed.

6. I recognise of course that a Training school is not a nursery for the Army, but as these three cases are entirely exceptional and are not likely to recur I beg to ask that a refund of Rs. 89-10-10, being the total amount of the stipends received, be not claimed.

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
To—the Recruiting Staff Officer for Madrassi Hindus and Christians, Trichinopoly.
Dated—the 4th February 1905.
No.—Ref. on Cur. No. 9683, of 1904.

With reference to your endorsement No. R.S./85, dated 13th December 1904, I have the honour to state that I approve of the suggestion contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the enclosed letter No. D/15, dated 9th January 1905, of the Inspector of European and Training Schools, to whom the endorsement under reference was forwarded for remarks. If the school referred to is intended for the children of sepoys, the ex-students will not be called upon to refund the stipends provided they continue to teach the boys for three years, the stipulated period. In this case, half-yearly reports showing that the ex-students are engaged in teaching should be sent regularly to the Inspector of European and Training Schools.

Letter—from the Rev. Canon A. MARGÖSCHIS, Manager, Art-Industrial Training School, Nazareth, Tinnevely.
To—the Commandant, 83rd Wallajahbad Light Infantry, Bellary.
Dated—the 13th April 1905.
No.—220.

I have the honour to return herewith the correspondence referred to in your No. A 484 of the 7th instant.

2. The Inspector of European and Training Schools in his letter No. A. Tr./111, dated 28th November 1904, said "the Government of Madras as a very special case waived their claim" (copy enclosed).

3. As an exception has been made in a case similar to this under reference, it forms a valuable precedent, and there seems every reason for making an exception also in this case.

4. I have no doubt that if you refer this matter to His Excellency the Governor in Council a favourable order will be issued, because Government is not likely to sanction any proceedings which will discourage recruiting and cut off the supply of suitable men. As already pointed out in my letter No. 867, dated 7th December 1904 (a copy of which is enclosed), if the lads concerned had not been allowed to go, you would have lost the whole party of eleven recruits. One department of Government (Educational) may be expected to work in unison with and to encourage another department of Government (Military).

5. In any case, I am not willing that the lads should suffer in any way, and if on further consideration it is decided that the stipends received from Government must be refunded I hold myself responsible for the amount, an account of which is enclosed, and I will refund the money.

6. I am much gratified at your excellent opinion of these lads, and I feel sure they will be of much more use to the State as soldiers of the King than as village pedagogues.

Endorsement No. 2256, dated 20th April 1905.

Forwarded and very strongly recommended.

J. B. EDWARDS, Lieut.-Col.,
Commanding Bellary.

No. B. 56, dated 3rd May 1905.

Forwarded and very strongly recommended for favourable consideration as a special case. I am told that this school has supplied many good recruits for the Army and as will be seen from the Reverend Father's letter such case will not recur in future.

C. C. EGERTON, Lieut.-Genl.,
Commanding 9th (Secunderabad) Division.

Letter—No. 335, Educational, dated 20th May 1905, to the Assistant Adjutant-General, 9th (Secunderabad) Division.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of the endorsement of the Lieutenant-General Commanding the 9th (Secunderabad) Division, No. B. 56-Camp of the 3rd May 1905, on the subject of the refund due from certain students of the Training school at Nazareth, Tinnevely, who enlisted in the 83rd Wallajahbad Light Infantry.

2. In reply, I am to state that in the analogous case of Moideen Kutti disposed of by G.O., No. 68, Educational, dated 27th January 1904, a remission was granted as a very special case in consideration of the fact that he was a Mappilla youth with a very good character for smartness and intelligence. It was not however intended that the remission should serve as a precedent, and His Excellency the Governor in Council regrets his inability to grant any further remission of the kind. I am to point out that, if the Military authorities are satisfied that the recruits in the present case are worthy of retention in the Army, it seems only reasonable that the Military department should adjust the amounts due from the funds at its disposal.

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 335, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
20TH MAY 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Refund of tuition fees by certain Training school students who enlisted
in the army.*]

*From the Assistant Adjutant-General, Ninth (Secunderabad) Division, No. 56-B.,
dated 3rd May 1905.*

Please put up the previous case referred to by the Inspector of Training Schools.

2. The presumption is that these stipended students enlisted, not out of any intense spirit of loyalty, but in order to better themselves. The previous exemption would cease to be "special" if it were acted on as a precedent to be observed in all future cases. The Government of India does not make special allotments to the Educational department for the purpose of assisting the Military department.

3. Submitted that the agreements should be enforced. It will be open to the Military department to refund to the Educational department Rs. 89-10-10 out of its own allotment. There would then be no "discouragement to recruiting."

H. D. TAYLOR—5-5-05.

As to the previous case please see G.O., No. 68, Educational, dated 27th January 1904.

P. T. THARYAN—5-5-05.

It will be seen that a very special exception was made in the previous case because the man was an educated Moplah. If "Military" are satisfied that the men should be exempted, they should themselves remit the money. Educational should not be made to suffer.

H. D. TAYLOR—8-5-05.

The Canon is entirely responsible. I somewhat sympathise with him, but it is not right that the Educational department should go to the wall between him, and the youths and the army. I would not hold the recruits responsible, but would point out to the Lieutenant-General Commanding that the army should make restitution of the money. Circulate to His Excellency the Governor.

J. T[HOMSON]—9-5-05.

I agree.

A[MPHILL]—11-5-05.

Please draft for approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—12-5-05.

A draft is submitted for approval.

P. T. THARYAN—13-5-05.

A. GALLETT—13-5-05.

H. D. TAYLOR—16-5-05.

J. T[HOMSON]—18-5-05.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-5-05.

[G.O., No. 335, Educational, dated 20th May 1905.]

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
Asstt. Adjutant General; (Secunderabad Dn.)	B. 56	3-5-5	11

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
No. 68 of 27-1-05 - O - his				

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

I.A.F. 22010.

Gratis Departments.

Payment - Corps.

Office of Adjutant, 83rd, Walla-
jahbad Light Infantry.

Bellary, Dated 18th April 1905.

From

LIEUTENANT-COLONEL D.S. LEWIS,

Commandant, 83rd Wallajahbad Light Infantry.

To

THE STATION STAFF OFFICER.

BELLARY.

Enclosures 5.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith correspondence re-
garding certain recruits who have enlisted in the regiment
under my command and to request that the same may be forwarded to
the Lieutenant General Commanding the 9th (Secunderabad) Di-
vision with a view to obtaining the orders of the Madras Govern-
ment thereon.

2. The Recruiting Staff Officer for Madrassi Hindus & Christ-
ians at Trichinopoly enlisted for the regiment under my command
eleven (11) Christian recruits at Nazareth, of these three re-
cruits viz:- S.THEOPHILUS, S.JESADIAN, and S. JOTHINAYAGAM,
were stipendiary students and drew stipends from Government
till November 1904 on the understanding that if they failed
to complete their course of training or to serve as school-
masters for three years afterwards they would refund the sti-
pends received. As these recruits had not complied with the
terms of their agreements the Inspector of European and Train-
ing Schools, Madras, demanded the refund of the stipends paid,

but

was there a
contract agree-
ment
H.D.T.

Please see note
27 of Chapter
VI in pp
72-78 of
the records
P.T.T.

but was willing to forego the demand if they were appointed teachers in the regimental school to teach the children of sepoys. Being recruits I am unable to give them such appointments, and they are unable to refund the amounts claimed from them. It will be seen from the correspondence attached that in a similar case the Government of Madras were pleased to waive their claim to the refund of the stipends paid, but the Inspector of European Schools declines to make the necessary recommendation to the Director of Public Instruction. Under the circumstance I would request that the Lieut-General Commanding may be pleased to obtain the necessary sanction. A List of enclosures is attached.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

D.S. Lewis Lieut-General.

Command, 83rd Wallajahbad Light Infantry.

No. 2256.

11.

A.A.G., 9th Division, Secunderabad.

Forwarded and very strongly recommended.

John Edward Lieut-Colonel, 11?

Bellary,)
dated 20th April 1905)

Commanding Bellary.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras

Forwarded and very strongly recommended for favourable

consideration as a special case. I am told that this school has supplied many good recruits for the army and as will be seen from the Reverend Fathers letter such case will not be received in future.

W. Egerton Lieut-General,
Commanding 9th (Secunderabad) Division.



No. 826.

Nazareth,

19th November 1904.

From

The Revd: A. Margoschis,

Manager, Art-Industrial Training School,

Nazareth.

To

Captain N.M.C. Stevens,

Staff Recruiting Officer,

Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform that the undermentioned three students of the Art-Industrial Training School have recently joined His Majesty's Army as sepoys. They were stipendiary students and drew stipends from Government from January and February to November 1904 as shown below.

2. They have executed documents to the Secretary of State that they will refund the stipends received if they failed to go through the full course of training and serve for a period of 3 years as schoolmasters.
3. They did not fail to fulfil the conditions of the agreement from any fault of their own, and only on account of their joining the Army to serve the Government.
4. In these circumstances will you be so kind as to recommend to the Director of Public Instruction to excuse the refund of the stipends received by them and to cancel the bonds:-

No.	Name	Grade.	Period	Amount
1.	S. Theophilus.	L.S. Grade.	3rd. Feb: to 1st Nov:	35 11 7
3.	S. Yesadian.	Primary.	25th Jan: ,,	27 10 10
3.	S. Jothinayagam.	,,	8th Feb: ,,	26 4 5

89-10-

5. The importance of encouraging young men to make part in the defence of their country and to serve H.M. the King - Emperor in the Army is so great that I am sure you will draw special attention to the patriotism of these young men, and as an encouragement to them and to others, kindly recommend what is proposed.

6. It may be presumed that the Educational Department will not do anything to discourage lads enlisting under your banner, but on the contrary that they will encourage such volunteers and aid the movement in every way. I am proud to say that besides the three lads mentioned 8 others from Nazareth, not connected with Educational Department, have also joined the Army and I hope others will follow. In future we can arrange for boys who evince a martial spirit not to join the school for training as teachers, but the circumstances mentioned above are unique and unknown in these parts, so that it will not be necessary to ask for exemptions in future.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) A. Margoschis,
Manager.

I fear that exemption may act as an incentive to rebellion of the conduct.

H.D.T.
5-505



Office of the Inspector of
European and Training Schools,
Madras, dated 28th November 1904.

No.A Tr/111.

From

J.H. Stone Esquire M. A.,

Inspector of European and Training Schools.

To

The Revd: A. Margoschis,

Manager, Art-Industrial Training School,

N a z a r e t h.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.837 dated 24-11-04, I have the honour to state that I regret that I am unable to take your view of the enlistment of stipendiary students.

2. Similar cases have occurred and in one I insisted on the terms of the bond being fulfilled and was supported by the Director of Public Instruction. The matter eventually went up to the highest Military Authorities and in the end the Government of Madras, as a very special case waived their claim. It was however, distinctly understood that such enlistments would not be allowed in future, and I request that you will be good enough to let me know, at your earliest convenience, what Military Officer is responsible for those which are the Subject of your letter.

3. You will see that I cannot in the circumstances make the recommendation to the Director for which you ask.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
(signed) J.H. Stone,
Inspector of European and Training Schools.



No. 867.



N a z a r e t h.

7th December 1904.

From

The Revd: A. Margoschis,

Manager, Art-Industrial Training School,

N a z a r e t h.

To

J. H. Stone Esq., M. A.,

Inspector of European and Training School.

M a d r a s.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. A. Tr. / 11 of the 28th ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that the idea of any objection being raised to the enlistment of the three lads I never occurred to me, and I felt confident that Government as represented in the Educational Department, or any Department, would only be too glad to recognise and to encourage spontaneous loyalty such as that reported. On this account I did not explain to the Recruiting Officer the details of the stipendary grants.

2. You will also kindly observe that the stipends are of the lowest rates sanctioned by Government, being only Rs. 4-0-0 for one lad and Rs. 3/- per mensem for two lads each, and the total amount drawn as stipends is only Rs. 89-10-10. I did not think that so small and insignificant a sum should or could be a bar in the way of these Volunteers to become soldiers of the King, and personally I am alone responsible for the action of the Military authorities in enlisting the lads.

3. With these three lads, 8 others from my schools have

I presume they
have enlisted
in order to better
themselves.

H. D. F.

recently joined the Army, and as this movement in Tinnevely is unique and spontaneous, I am hoping it will continue and grow. Nothing will encourage such evidence of loyalty and patriotism so much as sympathy from Government Officials like your worthy-self whilst any repressive act such as making the lads reported refund their stipends will act detrimentally and tend to suppress the movement.

4. A special reason for the three lads in question being allowed by me to go was that being more educated than the others, they were the leaders of all and if they had held back the other lads would not have been willing to enlist.

5. H.E. the Viceroy has expressed his views on what His Lordship termed "Government by water-tight compartments," as if each department was perfectly independent of the others and had no duties or relations to them. H.E. condemned such a view of Government, and I feel sure that with your kind approval when this matter is represented by you to Government, satisfactory orders will be passed.

6. I recognise of course that a Training School is not a nursery for the Army, but as these three cases are entirely exceptional and are not likely to recur, I beg to ask that a refund of Rs.89-10-10 being the total amount of the stipends received be not claimed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) A. Margoschis,

Manager.

[Pub 29-A.] 1,000-19-4-01.

Edueal
Public (1905) Department.
(MILITARY BRANCH.)

⁸²¹
CURRENT No. 267

From

[Name]

The Asst Adjutant General

[Designation]

9th (Secunderabad) Div.

[Station]

Camp (Odhavand)

No.

No 1056.

Dated

3-5-1905

Enclosures

6.

Received

5-5-1905

Registered

3-5-1905

SUBJECT.

*Forwarding for favours Consideration letter from the manager
Art Industrial Training School, Nagareth recommending
that three pupils who had been trained in the school, on condition
of subsequently serving as schoolmasters, but who ^{however} ~~were~~ joined
the Army as sappers, may be excused from repaying the stipend
they drew.*

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

Sir,

Reference on Current No.9683/04

The Old College,

Dated, 4th February 1905.



From

The Hon'ble Mr.A.G. Bourne, D.Sc.,F.R.S.

Ag.Dy. Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To

Captain N.W.C. Stevens,

Recruiting Staff Officer for Madrassi Hindus
and Christians,

Trichinopoly.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No.RS/85, dated 13th December 1904, I have the honour to state that I approve of the suggestion contained in paras 1 & 2 of the enclosed letter No.D/15 dated 9-1-05, of the Inspector of European and Training Schools, to whom the endorsement under reference was forwarded for remarks. If the school referred to is intended for the children of sepoys, the ex-students will not be called upon to refund the stipends provided they continue to teach the boys for three years, the stipulated period. In this case, half yearly reports showing that the ex-students are engaged ⁱⁿ teaching should be sent regularly to the Inspector of European and Training Schools.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) T.R. SCOTT.

for Director of Public Instruction.

(True copy)

T.S. Dallas, Captain.

Adjutant, 83rd Wallajahbad Light Infantry.

Enclosures 4.



No.220.



N a z a r e t h.
13th April 1905.

From

The Revd:A. Margoschis,
Manager, Art-Industrial Training School,
Nazareth, Tinnevely District.

To

Colonel D.S. Lewis,
Commandant, 83rd Wallajahbad Light Infantry,
B e l l a r y.

Sir,

I have the honour to return herewith the correspondence referred to in your No.A.484 of the 7th instant.

2. The Inspector of European and Training Schools in his letter No.A.K/111 dated 28-11-04, said "The Government of Madras as a very special case waived their claim" (copy enclosed).

3. As an exception has been made in a case similar to this under reference, it forms a valuable precedent, and there seem every reason for making an exception also in this case.

4. I have no doubt that if you refer this matter to H.E.the Governor in Council, a favourable order will be issued, because Government is not likely to sanction any proceedings - which will discourage Recruiting and cut off the supply of suitable men. As already pointed out in my letter No.867 dated 7-12-04 (a copy of which is enclosed) if the lads concerned had not been allowed to go, you would have lost the

whole party of eleven recruits. One Department of Government (Educational) may be expected to work in unison with and to

*Not so - if it was
"a very special
case" with further
exemption the case
will cease to be spe-
cial.
H.D.T.
5-5-05*

*at the cost of Est ?
why not bill the
Dept refund the
money.
H.D.T*

*not to be
done
T.C.H.
2-2-2*

*not to be
done
T.C.H.
2-2-2*

11. 10
encourage another Department of Government (Military).

5. In any case, I am not willing that the lads should suffer in any way, and if ~~no~~^{on} further consideration it is decided that the stipends received from Government must be refunded I hold myself responsible for the amount, an account of which is enclosed, and I will refund the money.

6. I am much gratified at your excellent opinion of these lads, and I feel sure they will be of much more use to the State as Soldiers of the King than as village pedagogues.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. Margoschis.

M a n a g e r .

Not to be
circulated
without
the
signature
of the
Manager
T. S. H.
2-2-2

12. 11 14 7
Don't
forget
List of enclosures.

1. Letter No.826 from Manager Art-Industrial School, Nazareth, to Recruiting Staff Officer, Trichinopoly, D/19-11-04.
2. Letter No.A Tr/111 dated 28-11-04, from Inspector European and Training Schools, to Manager Art-Industrial Training School.
3. Letter No.867 dated 7-12-04, from Manager Art-Industrial Training School, to Inspector of European and Training Schools.
4. Letter No.9683/04 dated 4-2-05, from Acting Deputy Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to Recruiting Staff Officer for Madrassi Hindus and Christians, Trichinopoly.
5. Letter No.220 dated 13-4-05, from Manager Art-Industrial Training School, Nazareth, to the Commandant 83rd Wallajahbad Light Infantry.

Rev. 22/4/05
[3]
5,000-17-3-04.

Notes to go. No. 335-281
20-5-05
12

15

Public DEPARTMENT.
(only)

C. No. 267, From the A.A.G., 9th (Secunderabad) No. 1356, Dated 3.5.1905.



To the Educational Dept. u.o.

15

CR 3.3.05
Voln 4.5.05

id. Dept

Sub-secy
L.O.D. 46

to eple 4.5.05
Please see G.O. No. 68 dt. 27.1.04
put up.

57.75

para 3 of Col. Lewis' letter
to eple.

Please put up the previous case referred to the
Dep. of Training schools.

2. The presumption is that those students
students enlisted, not out of any intense
spirit of loyalty, but in order to better
themselves. The previous assumption would
seem to be 'special' if it were acted on
as a precedent to be observed in all
future cases. The G.O. of 1904 does not
make special allotment to the Ed. Dept.
for the purpose of assisting the Military
Dept.

3. Submitted that the agreement should be
enforced. It will be open to the Military
Department to refund to the Ed. Dept.
Rs 89.10.10 out of its own allotment. There
would then be no discouragement to recruiting

405
5-5-05

May 14.

It will be seen that ^{any} special exception was made in the previous case because the man was an educated Hospital. If 'Military' are satisfied that the men should be exempted - they should ~~on~~ themselves remit the money. Educational should not be made to suffer.

145/
8.5.05

HM/

~~Handwritten signature~~

The Cawson is entirely responsible. I somewhat sympathize with him but it is not right that the Educational Dept should go to the wall between him & the youths and the Army. I would not hold the recruits responsible, but would point out to the LCC that the Army should make retribution of the money circulated.

11
9.5.05

J. H. S. H. Governor.
I agree X

1409
10.1.05

17 3 14

3 P

Please draft for approval

12.507

A draft is submitted for approval

SCH

~~12/15~~

13/5/5

13/5/5

HY

16.5.08

16.5.08

J. Thomson 18-5-08

18.5.08

14

16

18

8P

orig/Ch & Encls
returned to A.H.
on 17-6-05 - vide
C.O. No 314 of 9-6-05

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

190

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

190

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 821

From the

Lieutenant General Commanding,
9th (Secunderabad) Division

No. B-56

, dated 3-5-

1905

190

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

190

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

190

Letter
ORDER
mes

No.

335

, dated

20-5-

1905

190

To the Assistant Adjutant General,
9th Division,
Secunderabad.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of the Endorsement of the Lieutenant General Commanding the 9th Division, Secunderabad, No. B. 56 of the 3rd May 1905 on the Subject of the refund due from certain students of the Training School at Nazareth, Tirunelveli, who enlisted in the 83rd Wallajahabad Light Infantry.

2. In reply I am to state that in the analogous case of Moideen Kutte disposed

Recd

7/12
20-5

Despd
20/5/05

19 H

by
 of G. no. 68 Educational, dated 27th
 January 1904, a permission was granted as
 a very special case in consideration of the
 fact that he was a mappilla youth with
 a very good character for smartness and
 intelligence. It was not however intended
 that the permission should serve as a
 precedent, and His Excellency the Governor
 in Council regrets his inability to grant
 any further permission of the kind. At the
 same time I am to point out that if the
~~military authorities are~~
~~officer commanding the 83rd Wallajahabad~~
~~Light Infantry~~ is satisfied that recruits in
 the present case are worthy ^{of retention in the army} being retained in
 the Military Dep^t

adjust the amounts due it seems only reasonable that they should
 [make the refund] ^{not to them} from the Regimental
 funds at ^{their disposal} disposal.

Ry.	14	1409
13/5/5	13/5/5	165.00

18505

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only