


[8:]
[21]
200-23-12

10/1
Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 333

DATED 20-5-05



INDEXED.

ORIGINAL

-9-

[Despatch Abstract.]

Directing with remarks that Mr. Chatterton be Inspector of
Technical Schools in the Mufassal and of the School of Arts in
Madras as an assistant to the Director of Public Instruction.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2 Government {1905} of Madras. EDUCATIONAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1905.

Spare copies

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 333, 20th May 1905.

N. P.

MAY.

Mr. Chatterton.

Directing, with remarks, that — be Inspector of Technical Schools in the mufassal and of the School of Arts in Madras as an Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O., No. 138, Educational, dated 7th March 1905.

ABSTRACT.—Stating that Mr. Chatterton will be under the orders of the Revenue Department during the remaining period of his special duty, and addressing the Government of India on the subject of the continuance of the existing temporary arrangement for the discharge of the duties of the Superintendent, School of Arts.

II

G.O., No. 181, Educational, dated 27th March 1905.

ABSTRACT.—Stating that the duties of the Inspector of Technical Schools will, for the present, be entrusted to Mr. Brand, Superintendent of the School of Arts.

III

G.O., No. 259, Revenue, dated 18th March 1905, on G.O., No. 133, Educational, dated 7th March 1905.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue.

2. Mr. Chatterton's duties will consist in the exploitation and development of technical trades and industries. He will be entrusted with the direction of industrial and technical education so far as it is connected with the exploitation of industries. His duties will also include the superintendence of experiments in irrigation by pumping.

3. Mr. Chatterton will be under the immediate orders of the Member of the Board of Revenue in charge of the Department of Agriculture.

For the present his particular attention should be directed to the development of irrigation by pumping, and to the encouragement of the chrome tanning and weaving industries.

4. Provision cannot now be made in the budget estimates of 1905-1906 under "26-H. Scientific, etc., Departments—Experimental Cultivation", on account of Mr. Chatterton's salary and allowances and for the exploitation of industries. The Board of Revenue will be requested to meet the charges from the budget grant by re-appropriation and to apply for an additional allotment in the course of the year if found necessary.

(True Extract.)

M. HAMMICK,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement.
Copy to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.
" Educational Department.

ORDER—No. 333, Educational, dated 20th May 1905.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 259, Revenue, dated 18th March 1905, His Excellency the Governor in Council directs that Mr. Chatterton be Inspector of Technical Schools in the mufassal and of the School of Arts in Madras as an Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction. So far as experiments and the exploitation of industries are concerned Mr. Chatterton should correspond with the Board of Revenue. In the matter of the inspection of technical schools he should correspond with the Director of Public Instruction direct.

2. G.O., No. 181, Educational, dated 27th March 1905, is cancelled.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 333, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
20TH MAY 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Duties of Mr. Chatterton while on Special Duty.*]

From the Revenue Department, No. 259, dated 18th March 1905.

Please call for the notes connected with G.O., No. 259, Revenue, dated 18th March 1905. Paragraph 2 says that Mr. Chatterton "will be entrusted with the direction of "industrial and technical education so far as it is connected with the exploitation of "industries." His relation with the Educational Department will have to be defined.

Was the draft of the order sent for acceptance?

P. T. THARYAN—22-3-05.

A requisition for a copy of the notes is placed below.

2. The draft order was not sent to Educational for acceptance.

T.K.—23-3-05.

P. T. THARYAN—23-3-05.

The Revenue Department says that the notes are being printed and that a copy will be furnished when received from the Press.

T.K.—28-3-05.

The draft endorsement may perhaps now issue and orders may be subsequently taken about Mr. Chatterton's relation with the Educational Department.

Submitted that the draft of the Revenue order should have come to Educational for acceptance.

P. T. THARYAN—28-3-05.

Sentence 2 of paragraph 2.—What will Mr. Chatterton's relations with the Inspector of Technical schools and the Superintendent of the School of Arts and the headmasters of technical schools be? If Mr. Chatterton backed up by the Board of Revenue gives one order and the Inspector of Technical schools backed up by the Director gives a contradictory order, what happens? Why could not Revenue be content to say "industrial experiments" instead of "industrial and technical education so far as, etc"? Submitted that unless and until a separate department of technical education is created the undivided direction of technical education should rest with the Director of Public Instruction; and the form of expression "technical education so far as it is concerned with the exploitation of industries" involves what some people, *e.g.*, the Government of India, would regard as a heresy: the phrase begs a whole big question.

A. GALLETTI—29-3-05.

Please complete the file. Proposals to appoint Mr. Brand, Inspector of Technical schools, are in circulation. I also understood that, apart from the fact that he remained on the Educational establishment, Mr. Chatterton's connection with the Educational Department would entirely cease. He would not therefore be in a position to issue orders either to the School of Arts or to the Inspector of Technical schools. He would merely consult and advise?

2. Please put up the list of technical schools under inspection.

H. D. TAYLOR—30-3-05.

G.Os., Nos. 138, 139, Educational, dated 7th March 1905, No. 259, Revenue, dated 18th March 1905, and No. 181, Educational, dated 27th March 1905, are submitted with notes. The notes have not all been printed. [The Revenue Government Order and notes were received only to-day.]

2. Pages [80] to [85] of the subsidiary tables in volume II of the Public Instruction report for 1903-1904 contain a return of all the Technical and Industrial schools in the Presidency.

P. T. THARYAN—6-4-05.

Mr. Chatterton was only anxious that he should have rooms given him at the School of Arts for experimenting. He was assured on that point. That is all he can ask for or will get.

J. T[THOMSON]—14-4-05.

I understand Mr. Chatterton has asked, or intends to ask, for the transfer of the School of Arts to the Revenue Department. Submitted that if he is given leave to advise the Superintendent, there is likely to be friction if his advice is not taken.

A. GALLETTI—6-4-05.

So long as Mr. Chatterton works under the Revenue Department and the technical schools remain under the Educational Department I do not think that Mr. Chatterton should have any direct power of control, or be authorised to issue orders in respect of such institutions. There is, however, no objection to his being consulted by, or offering advice to, such schools, through the Director of Public Instruction, but his advice need not necessarily be followed. He might be made an *ex-officio* visitor of all technical schools.

H. D. TAYLOR—8-4-05.

Will Revenue please explain paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 259, Revenue, of 18th March 1905?

My understanding has been that Mr. Chatterton was removed from Educational control for *exploitation* of industries and for *experiments*, and to advise in these regards. When Honourable Member and I were discussing his employment in February last, pages 10 and 11 of notes G.O., Nos. 138-139, we contemplated his having charge of technical and industrial education. Then His Excellency objected to his having charge of the School of Arts; and, as I would gather from his note, pages 17 and 18 did not favour the creation of a separate department of education but desired that he should continue to experiment—for technical and industrial purposes.

2. Obviously, final orders in this regard required thorough consideration in Educational because to put Mr. Chatterton or anybody else in direct charge of technical and industrial education is not for Revenue to transact of itself. The second sentence of paragraph 2 of the Government Order is not intelligible to me and it may be the case that Mr. Chatterton is taking more on himself than is permissible at present. If he is confined to exploitation and development of trades and industries I imagine he is confined to experiment only and has no charge of technical and industrial education though he is of use to advise the Educational authorities on that subject. It is because the bulk of his work as outlined on page 1 of the notes to G.O., Nos. 138-139 is towards agricultural development that he should be under the Board of Revenue; but the Board of Revenue cannot at present, by Mr. Chatterton or by itself, have charge of technical and industrial education as a branch of educational work.

3. I am quite willing that so far as Mr. Chatterton is to advise on technical and industrial education communication between him and the Director of Public Instruction should pass through the Board of Revenue because Mr. Chatterton's time is mostly due to agricultural work.

J. T[THOMSON]—14-4-05.

I understood that this matter was to be dealt with as part of the general question of technical education. What I understood was settled was that, as the Director of Public Instruction had more than he could do in connection with general education, technical education was to be split* off from general education, its methods, subjects and material for instruction being all distinct and unlike: that Mr.

Chatterton was to continue to be Inspector of Technical schools and to run technical education: that he was to inspect, report and deal with technical schools of whatever nature: that he was also to be charged with the exploitation and development of industries, agriculture, weaving, tanning, etc., and was to endeavour to develop technical education in connection with such: that he was to be under the Board of Revenue though I confess I would rather see him under Government direct.

2. I must say that the Secretariat arrangements in regard to this matter have been deplorable. The order of the 18th March should have gone to Educational for acceptance, and there have been three files in circulation in regard to this matter at one time.

G. S[STOKES]—17-4-05.

Please see my note of 3rd May 1904, in which it was urged that the sphere of Mr. Chatterton's duties should be settled in advance. The matter was subsequently allowed to lie over pending orders on the subject of industrial education, which is still unsettled (*vide* note of 6th July 1904).

Page 7 *ibid*.

2. Let Revenue see.

H. D. TAYLOR—18-4-05.

To the Revenue Department.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

The Honourable Member in charge of Revenue has already noted on page 2 above.

F. B. EVANS—25-4-05.

The present position of affairs seems to be as follows. In paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 259, Revenue, dated 18th March 1905, Mr. Chatterton has been "entrusted with the direction of industrial and technical education so far as it is connected with the exploitation of industries. This order, which was through inadvertence not sent to Educational for acceptance before issue, is based on the note of the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes, dated 25th February 1905, accepted by the Hon'ble Sir James Thomson in note, dated 27th February 1905.

2. Meanwhile orders have issued in Educational, G.O., No. 181, dated 27th March 1905, placing Mr. Brand in charge of the duties of Inspector of Technical schools for the present, the Director of Public Instruction remarking that it would be inconvenient for Mr. Chatterton to do the work as he would then be under the orders of two different departments.

3. There is thus some slight danger of Mr. Chatterton and Mr. Brand clashing, as the Educational Department points out, and it may be desirable either to modify the Revenue G.O., No. 259, so as to limit Mr. Chatterton to industrial and economic work outside the scope of education, or to reconsider Educational G.O., No. 181, and put the Technical Inspectorship directly into Mr. Chatterton's charge.

4. But before it is decided which of these courses should be taken, it seems absolutely necessary that some definite orders should be issued in the Educational Department on the very big question referred to in the two Honourable Members' note, dated 25th and 27th February 1905, as to whether technical education is to be separated from the control of the Director of Public Instruction and placed under the Revenue Department. The Hon'ble Mr. Stokes now says (note, dated 17th April 1905) that he understood this was settled, and certainly the Honourable Member in charge of Education distinctly noted (note, dated 27th February 1905) that he accepted the proposal. The change is, however, so important that it should not, I think, be carried out without being laid down in a formal order of Government (perhaps after consulting the Director of Public Instruction) and it would seem that the Educational Department is the proper department to formulate such an order.

5. If, however, the Educational Department states that this very question is under consideration there and that it is not yet in a position to issue a definite order, there will be no objection in Revenue to cancel the second sentence of paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 259, Revenue, dated 18th March 1905, as an *ad interim* arrangement, so as to prevent any possible risk of Messrs. Chatterton and Brand issuing inconsistent instructions to Managers of Technical schools.

A. G. CARDEW—26-4-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The matter has been referred to in the draft letter to India on the subject of industrial education which went into circulation yesterday. The exact wording of that draft will, to some extent, depend on the exact sphere of Mr. Chatterton's duties as now to be defined. Until some definite decision is arrived at, the second paragraph of the Government Order in Revenue may be cancelled.

2. In the letter to India on the subject of industrial education it is half suggested that there should be a separate officer, either as a special technical assistant or as co-ordinate with the Director of Public Instruction for technical and industrial education, though for the present his duties will be to experiment and investigate.

[I write from memory only.]

H. D. TAYLOR.

I have proposed a draft on this question which is quite plain.

G. S[TOKEs].

3. The difficulty is that Mr. Chatterton's full time may not be occupied in experiments and investigation, and his knowledge and experience, especially that gained during his special deputation while on leave, may be lost to the Educational Department if he be not allowed to advise in matters which belong to that Department rather than to the Revenue Department, viz., technical education as apart from the development of industries. In matters apart from those specially assigned to him it should be made clear whether he should initiate proposals, or be merely consultative. Should he address the Director of Public Instruction, or should the Director of Public Instruction consult him through the Board? and should proposals that he makes come up to Government through the Board to the Revenue Department or through the Director of Public Instruction to Educational?

4. We do not want to lose in power or in specialist advice, but there must be no possible room for friction.

H. D. TAYLOR—26-4-05.

Will His Excellency please say what his wish or opinion is on this matter?

Hon'ble Mr. Stokes and myself were minded to separate our "technical" and industrial education from charge of the Director of Public Instruction, and put it under Mr. Chatterton. Please see my note, page 2, hereof. I gathered that His Excellency thought Mr. Chatterton should be left untrammelled for experimenting purposes; and as his special duty is shortlived (under the existing sanction) there is reason (1) that he should be given every scope in it without tying him down in any way by other duties, and (2) in his present unsettledness, that we should not upset the existing control of "technical" and industrial education by removing it from the Director of Public Instruction since that might prove an arrangement which could not be continued.

G. S[TOKEs]—3-5-05.

2. The discussion of the question would have worked itself out naturally if Revenue had not issued its order No. 259 of 18th March 1905, without the necessary reference to Educational.

3. In the file on technical education which I dealt with yesterday I represented the matter to India as one pending immediate or definite settlement.

4. Perhaps the proper solution of the question would be to place our schools—that is our District schools and not our Technical colleges—under Mr. Chatterton's control as Assistant to the Director leaving Mr. Brand at the School of Arts. This would not add very materially to Mr. Chatterton's work and would not put Mr. Brand any more under his orders than Mr. Brand is under the orders of the Director. It would pave the way to a separate Directorship if that seems eventually proper and would not divorce the Director from work which he may eventually have to continue in charge of, while it would to some extent lighten his hands at present.

5. If it is decided to reduce the status and work of the School of Arts as I suggested in my note on Technical education, we may not require Mr. Brand very much longer.

6. Whether the College of Agriculture resettles itself into a college or into a school, it will not be in Mr. Chatterton's province I take it but entirely under the Board of Revenue. The College of Engineering must continue to be so. Mr. Chatterton's role as Experimenter-in-general may continue or may not; but I very much doubt whether all the work that can eventually be called Technical and Industrial education will give sufficient employment for a man of Mr. Chatterton's calibre.

G. S[TOKEs]—3-5-05.

J. T[HOmSON]—1-5-05.

I think Mr. Chatterton should retain the duty of inspecting technical schools including the School of Arts in the same manner as he did before he went on leave, leaving Mr. Brand in direct charge of the School of Arts. If His Excellency thinks it best, I would not object to his being under the orders of the Director of Public Instruction in that connection. I hope India may accept our proposals for separating off industry from education. If Mr. Chatterton is to retain the Inspectorship of Technical Schools, it will not be necessary to alter the Revenue Government Order.

2. I do not see why Mr. Chatterton should not take the place of the Director of Public Instruction in regard to the College of Engineering, etc., being nominally under the Director of Public Instruction. It would enable us to see how the proposal which is going to India would work.

G. S[TOKEs]—3-5-05.

I have approved of the arrangement proposed in our letter to the Government of India on the subject of industrial education, but I do not see how we can take action until this is approved. Will the Secretary please speak to me about this on Saturday.

A[MPHILL]—9-5-05.

I have discussed this with the Secretary.

2. I agree to the provisional arrangement proposed by my Honourable Colleagues by which Mr. Chatterton will be in charge of Technical schools in the mufassal as an Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction. I also agree to his inspecting the School of Arts as Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction, but I am not prepared to let him have anything to do with the Colleges of Agriculture and Engineering until we hear from India. So far as experiments and the "exploitation of industries" are concerned, Mr. Chatterton should correspond with the Board; in the matter of the inspection of Technical schools, he should correspond with the Director of Public Instruction direct.

3. Mr. Brand will accordingly cease to be Inspector of Technical Schools.

A[MPHILL]—13-5-05.

Draft please.

2. G.O., No. 181, dated 27th March 1905, will require cancellation and a fresh memorandum of appointment for Mr. Chatterton will be necessary.

3. The draft will go first to Revenue for acceptance. It modifies and defines G.O., No. 259, Revenue, dated 18th March 1905.

H. D. TAYLOR—13-5-05.

A draft is placed below for approval. If approved, it may be forwarded to Revenue for acceptance.

P. T. THARYAN—13-5-05.

A. GALLETT—15-5-05.

H. D. TAYLOR—16-5-05.

To the Revenue Department.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

The draft may be accepted in Revenue.

F. B. EVANS—16-5-05.

Accepted in Revenue Department.

A. G. CARDEW—17-5-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—18-5-05.

J. T[HOMSON]—19-5-05.

Issue.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-5-05.

After issue I want a copy of the order to be attached to file with School of Arts; on return file to be linked with this. Also file on industrial and technical education.

H. D. TAYLOR—19-5-05.

[G.O., No. 333, Educational, dated 20th May 1905.]

Educational (1905) Department.

CURRENT No. 538



FROM

THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Encl.

Communicated to the D.D.I.

7/2
22-3-05

28/3/5

No. 259

Received 21-3-1905

Dated 18-3-1905

Enclosures _____

Registered 190

SUBJECT.

Defining the duties of Mr. Chatterton.

BACK PAPERS.

138-9-7-3-03 abstract.

FURTHER PAPERS.

7/2 22-3



2001 Educational

Handwritten signature or initials.

Communicated to the Board

Handwritten notes: 18/3/05, 18/3/05

Handwritten notes: 18/3/05

222
18

Referring the duties of Mr. Chatterton

Regd

2
Edl CURRENT NO. 538.



8

3P

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the Proceedings of the Educational Department, No.138, dated 7th March 1905.

Order thereon No.259 Revenue, dated 18th March 1905.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue.

2. Mr.Chatterton's duties will consist in the exploitation and development of technical trades and industries. He will be entrusted with the direction of industrial and technical education so far as it is connected with the exploitation of industries. His duties will also include the superintendence of experiments in irrigation by pumping.

3. Mr.Chatterton will be under the immediate orders of the Member of the Board of Revenue in charge of the Department of Agriculture.

For the present his particular attention should be directed to the development of irrigation by pumping, and to the encouragement of the chrome tanning and weaving industries.

4. Provision cannot now be made in the budget estimates of 1905-1906 under "26 H.Scientific etc. Departments Experimental cultivation", on account of Mr.Chatterton's salary and allowances and for the exploitation of industries. The Board of Revenue will be requested to meet the charges from the budget grant by re-appropriation

priation

(True Extract)

Secretary to Government.

The Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement.

Copy to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.

Copy to the Educational Department.

with 3 files in a conf corner.

$$\left[\frac{E.}{12} \right]$$
1,200—7-10-03. 

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 138

五

..., dated 7th...

Much

1905-

" " " " 181-

II 27th

March

190 5.

READ ALSO:—

III.

C. No. 538, From the Revenue Department, No. 259, dated 18-3-1905

ORDER

No. **333**, dated **20-5-** 190**5**

PS

8 cups desired
18/8/5

To the D.F.I.

" Revenue Dept. (500)

With reference to para. 2 of G.P. no. 259
Revenue, dated 18th March 1905, H.E. the Governor
in Council directs that Mr. Chatterton be
Inspector of Technical Schools in the
Mufassal and of the School of Arts in
Madras as an assistant to the Director
of Public Instruction. So far as experiments
and the exploitation of industries are
concerned Mr. Chatterton should correspond
with the Board. In the matter of the inspection
of Technical schools he should correspond
with the D.P. 2. ~~Chief~~ ^{Chief} ~~Director~~.

2. G.p. no. 181 Edl., dated 27th March 1905

is cancelled.

15,5105

405

16. 5. 00

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
190 .

, DATE

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.

NUMERA OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

50

Print with notes
of G.O. for
1887

57
Print 1-1887