

Urgent


Educational (190⁵) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 310

Case
8/12/5

DATED 12-5-05



INDEXED

ORIGINAL

[Despatch Abstract.]

University of Madras.

Approving, with remarks, the rules framed by the
Syndicate of the — for the payment of travelling expenses of
Fellows and of members of Faculties and Boards of Studies
visiting Madras to ~~me~~ attend meetings of the Senate, Faculties
and Boards of Studies respectively and also approving the proposal
of the Syndicate with reference to the inspection of Colleges.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1905} of Madras. EDUCATIONAL.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1905.

Enclosures

Spare copies

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 310, 12th May 1905.

N. P.

MAY.

University of Madras.

Approving, with remarks, the rules framed by the Syndicate of the — for the payment of travelling expenses of Fellows and of Members of Faculties and Boards of Studies visiting Madras to attend meetings of the Senate, Faculties and Boards of Studies, respectively, and also approving the proposals of the Syndicate with reference to the inspection of Colleges.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated 28th March 1905.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting the provisional Syndicate of the University of Madras to frame a set of rules prescribing the conditions and scale on which travelling allowance will be granted to the Fellows of the University residing in the mufassal for travelling to head-quarters to attend the meetings of Fellows and Syndics.

READ—also the following paper:—

From C. A. PATERSON, Esq., M.A., LL.B., Registrar of the Madras University, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated Senate House, 14th April 1905, No. 1103.

With reference to G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated the 28th March 1905, I have the honour, by direction of the Syndicate, to submit, for the approval of His Excellency the Governor in Council, a set of rules (printed in an Appendix) framed by the Syndicate for the payment of the travelling expenses of Fellows and of Members of Faculties and Boards of Studies visiting Madras to attend meetings of the Senate, Faculties and Boards of Studies, respectively.

2. I have also the honour to communicate, for the approval of the Government, a resolution (printed in the Appendix) passed by the Syndicate proposing to appoint a Commission to inspect, and report on, all the Colleges at present affiliated to the University of Madras, and to request that the sum of Rs. 15,000, referred to in the Order quoted above, may be made available for the purposes of the Commission.

3. If the Government approve of the proposal of the Syndicate, a number of arrangements will have to be made, and it will be necessary for the Commission to start at the beginning of July. I have the honour, therefore, to request the favour of very early orders on the subject.

APPENDIX.

I. Rules framed by the Syndicate for the payment of travelling expenses.—The travelling expenses of Fellows and of Members of Faculties or of Boards of Studies visiting Madras to attend meetings of the Senate, Faculties and Boards of Studies, respectively, shall be paid at the following rates:—

For all journeys performed by rail—one first-class fare each way for a Fellow or a Member of a Faculty or Board of Studies and one third-class fare each way for one servant.

For all journeys performed by road, canal or steamer—the actual cost of transit for a Fellow or a Member of a Faculty or Board of Studies, and for one servant.

For each day spent in Madras on which a meeting is attended and for each day on which detention in Madras appears to the Syndicate to be necessary—Rs. 6.

II. Resolution passed by the Syndicate with reference to the inspection of Colleges.—With reference to the inspection of Colleges the Syndicate resolves to take early action under section 23 (2) of the Indian Universities Act, 1904, and to cause every College now affiliated to the University to be inspected during the ensuing July, August and September.

The Syndicate considers that such a preliminary inspection must be made before the regulations contemplated in section 25 (2) (i) of the Act can be drafted and laid before the Senate for approval.

The Syndicate considers that it will be necessary to have before it a report with regard to each affiliated College together with proposals for the future made in consultation with the management; and that a representative Commission should be appointed to conduct the inspection.

The Syndicate proposes to request the following gentlemen to inspect the various Colleges and to draw up such a report:—

- (1) The Hon'ble Dr. A. G. Bourne (*President*).
- (2) Mr. H. J. Bhabha.
- (3) Dr. A. C. Mitchell (Dr. A. W. Bishop to be asked if Dr. Mitchell declines).
- (4) The Rev. E. M. Macphail (The Rev. J. D. W. Sewell to be asked if the Rev. Mr. Macphail declines).
- (5) Dr. S. Sathianadhan.
- (6) The Hon'ble Mr. P. S. Sivaswami Aiyar (Mr. K. B. Ramanathan to be asked if Mr. Sivaswami Aiyar declines).

The Syndicate anticipates that this inspection will occupy between two and three months and proposes that an honorarium of Rs. 2,500 be paid to each member of the Commission in addition to actual travelling expenses.

The Registrar will forward a copy of the resolution to Government with a request that the sum of Rs. 15,000 referred to in the Government Order may be made available for the purposes of the Commission.

ORDER—No. 310, Educational, dated 12th May 1905.

The rules framed by the Syndicate for the payment of travelling expenses of Fellows and of Members of Faculties and Boards of Studies visiting Madras to attend meetings of the Senate, Faculties and Boards of Studies, respectively, are approved. The same rules will be applicable to Members of the Syndicate, if any, who visit Madras to attend meetings of the Syndicate.

2. The proposals of the Syndicate with reference to the inspection of Colleges are also approved. The grant of Rs. 15,000 promised in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated 28th March 1905, will, under orders issued in the Financial Department, be now placed at the disposal of the Syndicate.

3. The question of the acceptance by Dr. Bourne of special remuneration in connection with the inspection of Colleges will be separately dealt with.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Registrar, Madras University. }
" Financial Department. } paras. 1 and 2 only.
" Accountant-General. }
" Director of Public Instruction. }

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 310, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
12TH MAY 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Travelling expenses of Fellows of the University.*]

From the Registrar, Madras University, dated 14th April 1905, No. 1103.

Demi-official from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 18th April 1905.

The Syndicate submitted proposals the other day with regard to travelling expenses. If I remember aright we did not include travelling expenses to Members of the Syndicate. We should have done so but doubtless forgot it as Members of the Syndicate have always hitherto been residents in Madras.

I suppose you will continue the practice of referring all University matters to the Director of Public Instruction before orders are passed thereon. I will note upon this matter if the papers come to me.

The matter has just occurred to me while drafting new regulations in regard to the Syndicate.

Please put up file — received yesterday. I think.

H. D. TAYLOR—18-4-05.

File submitted.

2. First as regards the travelling allowance rules. Should any distinction be

Submitted this should be done.

A. GALLETTI.

H. D. TAYLOR.

drawn between officials and non-officials, the rates proposed by the Syndicate being applied to non-officials while officials may draw allowances according to the Civil

Service Regulations? Otherwise some of the officials will be drawing more than the amounts admissible under the Civil Service Regulations. For instance under article 1002 of the Civil Service Regulations M.R.Ry. S. Subrahmanya Aiyar, a member of the Provincial Educational service on Rs. 400 per mensem, is a second-class officer and under articles 1011 and 1012 he can draw only double second-class railway fare. Double second-class fare on the Madras and South Indian railways is equal to a single first-class fare and the third-class fare for a servant which he can draw under the proposed rules will be in excess of the Civil Service Regulations rates. Then again

Is not a halt at Madras more expensive than a halt at most other places? Rs. 6 might be kept for non-officials, officials to draw what is admissible under the Civil Service Regulations.

A. GALLETTI.

H. D. TAYLOR.

the daily allowance of Rs. 6 proposed by the Syndicate is higher than the rate admissible to most of the officials under article 1063 and appendix 25. Under this article first-class officers other than those named in appendix 25 can draw only Rs. 5. There may be no such officers but the rule, it is submitted, should be

framed on the supposition that there are. On the other hand, Inspectors like Nagoji Rao and Williams Pillai and Principals like Mr. Duncan can, under item 93, appendix 25, draw only Rs. 4. The Government of India desire that the rules regarding travelling allowances should be in conformity with those in the Civil Service Regulations. (Paragraph 6 of letter in G.O., No. 190, dated 28th March 1905.)

3. In the case of officials there is the further question whether they can draw Why not? It's only part of the fee.

A. GALLETTI.
H. D. TAYLOR.

travelling allowance from the University when their pay for the period is drawn from general revenues.

Officials—Civil Service Regulation rates; non-officials—actual expenses not exceeding first-class Civil Service Regulation rates?

A. GALLETTI.
H. D. TAYLOR—20-4-05.

4. For journeys by road, canal or steamer should not some maximum be fixed?

5. As regards the resolution about the deputation of members of the Syndicate for the inspection of Colleges, the remuneration proposed is Rs. 2,500. In the case of the officials, the Hon'ble Dr. Bourne and Dr. Sathianadhan, the acceptance of this remuneration will require the sanction of the Government of India under article 74 of the Civil Service Regulations. Under the resolution of the Syndicate the above remuneration of Rs. 2,500 is "in addition to actual travelling expenses". The amount required for travelling expenses will then have to be paid to the University in addition to the Rs. 15,000 promised in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated 28th March 1905, i.e., if the proposed rate of remuneration (Rs. 2,500) is approved by Government and the whole of the Rs. 15,000 promised is thus required for payment of remuneration alone. Any balance which may be required can be paid from the Rs. 50,000 which still remains unallotted.

P. T. THARYAN—18-4-05.

Only in the Madras Presidency.

Dr. Bourne inspects already and it will be his duty to inspect in future.

There seems to be no case for the fee for inspecting. If he writes a report for the Syndicate out of his ordinary working hours, perhaps there is a case for a fee for this. Submitted, however, that the fee should be smaller than the fee given to non-officials, as the touring and inspecting are already paid for by Government when they pay the Director's salary. As to educational officers who do not usually inspect: if they go on tour, otherwise than during vacations or privilege leave, they are presumably giving to the Syndicate time Government has paid for and Government should take the fee under Civil Service Regulations, article 74 (b); any report in writing certified to have been drawn up out of office hours might perhaps be paid for by the Syndicate. Travelling allowance seems to be unobjectionable. The resolution says "actual travelling expenses". Would it not be safer to say travelling allowance expenses at rates not exceeding Civil Service Regulations first-class rates? Any member of the Syndicate being an official classed as a second-class officer, to draw travelling allowance at second-class rates?

A. GALLETTI—19-4-05.

A copy of the Syndicate's proposal will be sent to Director of Public Instruction for very early remarks.

2. As to the proposed commission I take it to be the intention of the Syndicate that the commission should travel together as a body, not independently, and will visit affiliated institutions in Ceylon, Hyderabad and elsewhere. I do not well see how the Director of Public Instruction, or any other Government officer could undertake this work without great prejudice to his own duties.

H. D. TAYLOR—20-4-05.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

I think that the rules framed for the payment of expenses may be approved with the addition of the words "of the Syndicate," between the word "members" and the word "of" in line 1, and of the word "Syndicate," between the word "Senate," and the word "Faculties" in line 2.

This has occurred to me since the meeting of the Syndicate at which the rule was framed as the new regulations will probably provide for the abolition of the by-law requiring members of the Syndicate to be resident in Madras and there may be members residing outside Madras. There

The proposals may be accepted.

H. D. TAYLOR—25-4-05.

can be no objection to providing for this contingency.

2. The journeys performed will all be simple journeys to and fro, journeys by train as a rule, it therefore seemed unnecessary to provide the double fares of the Civil Service Regulations which are, I presume, intended to cover incidental expenses not likely to be incurred in respect of these journeys.

3. With regard to the resolution as to the inspection of colleges I have few remarks to make. The proposal originated with me and received the Syndicate's full approval.

The tour is partly experimental and undertaken in order that we may realize what importance and what difficulty will attend the fulfilment of the inspection duty which now becomes the business of the University.

It is partly intended to secure full and authentic information in regard to the colleges now affiliated in order to lay before the Senate definite proposals as to the lines upon which reform is necessary.

The Fellows proposed should be able to frame a report which would carry weight with the Senate, but except to give, or decline to give, permission so far as the Government officials are concerned the Government will, I presume, leave the choice to the Syndicate.

Dr. Sathianadhan will be on leave and in India at the time.

The Director of Public Instruction must always, I think, take a leading part in these inspections, but it will be quite unnecessary to make so comprehensive a tour on future occasions. The colleges receiving departmental aid are of course visited by him in his ordinary inspection tours, and he will have to bring to the notice of the Syndicate any necessity for action.

But is not inspection part of the ordinary work of the Director of Public Instruction?

H. D. TAYLOR—25-4-05.

The amount of honorarium proposed is less than the amount paid to examiners for a similar amount of work.

Whether I should be permitted to accept any honorarium is for the decision of Government but as I shall carry on other inspection work during the greater part of the tour and shall have my usual office work in addition, I venture to suggest that it may be allowed. Application for this will form a separate communication.

The inspection must take place at a time when the colleges are in full working order and could thus commence at the beginning of July when a number of colleges reopen after the vacation. This time would suit me as the inspection could be concluded before the probable date of the conference of Directors. The Senate meetings to discuss the new regulations, which must be in part based upon the results of this inspection, will, I think, take place in October—November.

4. Very early orders are solicited as the other Fellows to be invited will require to make arrangements for their ordinary work.

A. G. BOURNE—22-4-05.

Original file please.

H. D. TAYLOR—25-4-05.

Submitted.

P. T. THARYAN—25-4-05.

A. GALLETTI—25-4-05.

Dr. Bourne apparently considers that he will be able to serve on the committee without prejudice to his ordinary work. If this be permitted the question as to whether he should be allowed to accept any honorarium is for consideration. A separate application is being made on this point, and may be separately dealt with. It will be easier to decide when the exact tour and scope of the inquiry is settled: but at present I do not see how such work could be held to be outside the ordinary inspection work of the Director of Public Instruction—nor could he leave the Presidency without prejudice to his own work.

Dr. Sathianadhan will be on leave.

2. The amendments to the rules on the subject of travelling expenses suggested by the Director of Public Instruction may be accepted and referred to the Syndicate for approval.

3. As to the rates of travelling allowance, the question is whether any distinction should be made between official and non-official members, *i.e.*, whether the former should be allowed the rates specified in the Civil Service Regulations for their class: and whether that rate should be adopted as a maximum in other cases, or whether the rates proposed by the Syndicate should hold good in all cases. Dr. Bourne considers that the rules may be accepted.

H. D. TAYLOR—25-4-05.

I do not see much room for finding fault with the Syndicate's rules and resolution.

2. As to travelling expenses, we cannot distinguish by colour and rates of official pay as in the Civil Service Regulations. Native fellows will save thereon, Europeans will be out of pocket. All fellows are equal.

3. The visitation of colleges is a thing to be done at once and thoroughly, and I do not see much objection to the constitution of the committee. The work must interfere with the Director's ordinary work, but it is an imperative necessity that he consider this matter in full in order to efficiently fill his *role* in University reorganization. It is a pity he proposes the honorarium for himself though it will give himself as much work and more than it will give the others, and though he will thereby have work which will be quite outside his own ordinary duty. I should have preferred that he had left the point entirely to Government. All we need say now—as he is coming up over it separately—is that it will be separately dealt with.

J. T[HOMSON]—26-4-05.

I agree. Meanwhile we can approve the proposals of the Syndicate as they stand.

A[MPHILL]—1-5-05.

Draft please, accepting the proposals of the Syndicate.

Paragraph 2 regarding acceptance of honorarium by Dr. Bourne will go to the Director of Public Instruction only.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-5-05.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval. If approved it may be forwarded to Financial for acceptance.

2. Out of the grant of Rs. 70,000 for University education, Rs. 5,000 was paid away last year. The remaining Rs. 65,000 has merged in the Provincial balance. Financial will therefore have to reappropriate from some head in the budget. It will not be safe just now to reappropriate from 22. EDUCATION.

P. T. THARYAN—2-5-05.

A. GALLETTI—2-5-05.

H. D. TAYLOR—2-5-05.

To the Financial Department for acceptance.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

In paragraph 3 of the Home Department letter No. 192, dated 14th March 1905 (page 2 of G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated 28th March 1905), regarding the allotment in 1904-1905 of Rs. 70,000 to this Presidency for the benefit of University and collegiate education, the Government of India recognized the possibility of the grant remaining unutilised and remarked —

“ . . . it is not necessary that the grants should be utilized before the 31st instant (March 1905) and they can be held over for expenditure during the coming year (1905-1906).”

2. In fixing the final figures of the budget for 1905-1906 the Government of India have, however, added in the *revised estimate for 1904-1905*, a sum of 70 lakh to the assignment to Provincial through the Land Revenue head (page 209, Civil Budget estimate), and have increased the revised estimate (1904-1905) of expenditure (page 124, Civil Budget estimate) under 22. EDUCATION by a like sum.

3. Of this 70 lakh, a sum of Rs. 5,000 only was allotted in 1904-1905 (G.O., No. 291, Financial, dated 31st March 1905). The unspent amount, *viz.*, Rs. 65,000, has thus merged in the Provincial balance which cannot be made available for expenditure in 1905-1906 without the sanction of the Government of India (article 295, Civil Account Code). In the notes with G.O., No. 190, Educational, dated 28th March 1905, it was noted by the Financial Department that the allotment of the unspent sum (65 lakh) should easily be found from the grant for 1905-1906 under 22. EDUCATION.

4. The Educational Department remark that it will not be safe just now to reappropriate from 22. EDUCATION and ask this Department to allot the amount now required (Rs. 15,000) from some head in the budget.

Rs. (4,000 — 1,050) = Rs. 2,950.

* Unspent amount of which 15 lakh is *immediately* required.

5. The reserve with Financial now stands at Rs. 2,950 only. The only course left open is to ask the Government of India to increase the budget grant for 1905-1906 under 22. EDUCATION by 65 * lakh.

6. It is, however, submitted that in view of the fact that the budget grants under 22. EDUCATION for previous years have not been fully utilized (paragraph 5 of Finance and Commerce letter No. 7013 A, dated 10th November 1904, on page 3 of G.O., No. 819, Financial, dated 21st December 1904), it seems undesirable that an application should be made to the Government of India for an allotment of the *small* sum of 65 lakh.

V. GOPALAKRISHNA AIYAR—4-5-05.

Unless Educational are prepared to reappropriate within the budget grant under 22. EDUCATION it will be necessary to apply to India for an additional grant, and if India is applied to, it would be well to ask at once for the reallocation of the whole balance (65 lakh) of the 70 lakh grant for University Education that merged into our balances. But seeing that EDUCATION is a head under which lapses are frequent it seems desirable to avoid this course, at all events for the present.

C. M. SCHMIDT—5-5-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that India may be addressed. The grant of Rs. 70,000 was intended for a specific purpose, and the lapsed portion of it (Rs. 65,000) should not go to the general balance but should be made again available for the special purpose for which it was originally intended.

2. It is not sound to argue that because there are generally lapses under Education a similar lapse is likely to occur this year also. The past lapses have been due to the delay in obtaining sanction for, and introduction of, schemes for which budget provision was made. We are now rapidly moving towards the complete introduction of such of the schemes as have not already been introduced.

H. D. TAYLOR—6-5-05.

For every reason it is advisable to move India to replace Rs. 65,000 at the full disposal of Educational. Proposals are at present a foot to utilise Rs. 50,000 not already allotted.

J. T[HOMSON]—7-5-05.

I agree. The Honourable Member has not initialled the draft Government order approving the proposals of the Syndicate which seems to be all right.

A[MPHILL]—8-5-05.

The draft has not as yet been accepted in Financial pending orders as to the source of allotment.

Will Financial now accept the draft, and also address India for the replacement at the disposal of this Government in the Educational Department of the lapsed grant of Rs. 65,000 for University education?

H. D. TAYLOR—9-5-05.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

A draft letter to the Government of India applying for an additional allotment of Rs. 65,000 to the Provincial budget estimate for 1905-1906 under 22. EDUCATION is submitted for approval.

Approved.

H. BRADLEY—10-5-05.

Yes.

H. BRADLEY—10-5-05.

2. The draft order of the Educational department may, it is submitted, be accepted.

V.G.K.—10-5-05.

C. M. SCHMIDT—10-5-05.

* Letter No. 2098, Financial, dated 11th May 1905, to Government of India (Finance and Commerce) issued.

Issue * the letter to India and return the case to Educational.

V.G.K.

Why not sent to me?

J. T[HOMSON].

C. M. SCHMIDT—10-5-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For approval of draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—11-5-05.

J. T[HOMSON]—12-5-05.

Issue. Then send to Financial for perusal with reference to Honourable Member's marginal note.

H. D. TAYLOR—12-5-05.

[G.O., No. 310, Educational, dated 12th May 1905.]

The Government Order has issued. The file may now go to Financial with reference to Honourable Member's remark.

T. KANAKASUNDARAM PILLAI—18-5-05.

A. GALLETTI—18-5-05.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Letter to the Government of India (Finance department), No. 2098, dated 11th May 1905, is put up.

V. GOPALAKRISHNAN—19-5-05.

With reference to the Honourable Member's query on the margin above, it is submitted that letter (Reference) No. 2098, dated 11th May 1905, was a routine letter carrying out the Honourable Member's orders.

C. M. SCHMIDT—19-5-05.

The Hon'ble Sir J. Thomson.

H. BRADLEY—20-5-05.

Seen. Thanks.

J. T[HOMSON]—20-5-05.

Return their papers to *Educational*.

C. M. SCHMIDT—22-5-05.

To the Educational Department.

Educational (190⁵) Department.

Current

D. O. No. 731

From

[Name] C. a. Patterson Esq., M.A., & L.B.,

[Designation] Registrar of the Madras University

[Station] Madras

No. 1103

Dated 14 - 4 - 1905.

Enclosures 1 H.S.C.

Received 17 - 4 - 1905.

Registered 17 - 4 - 1905.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for approval a set of rules framed by the Syndicate for the payment of the travelling expenses of Fellows and of Members of Faculties and Boards of studies visiting Madras to attend meetings of the Senate, Faculties and Boards of studies respectively.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Department.

Sir,

[E. 12]

1,000-21-0-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

dated

190

" " " "

" "

190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 731, From the Registrar in u. No 1103, dated 14-4-1905.

" " " "

" "

190

" " " "

" "

190

ORDER

No. 310, dated 12-5-

1905.

M. V. S.

The rules framed by the Syndicate for the payment of travelling expenses of Fellows and of members of Faculties and Boards of Studies visiting Madras to attend meetings of the Senate, Faculties and Boards of Studies, respectively, are approved. The same rules will be applicable to members of the Syndicate, if any, who visit Madras to attend meetings of the Syndicate.

2. The proposals of the Syndicate with reference to the inspection of Colleges are also approved. The grant of Rs 15,000 promised in para: 2 of G.O. No 190 of 1905

At 28th March 1905 will under orders issued in the Financial Departt be now placed at the disposal of the Syndicate.

3. The question of the acceptance by Dr. Bourne of special remuneration in connection with the inspection of Colleges will be separately dealt with.

PH	11.5.5	1409
21515	2.5.5	<u>2.5.05</u>

To
The Registrar, Madras University }
" Finl Departt (with copy quote) } Para 102
" Acy } only
" DPg }
Depd
18/5/5

[Faint, illegible handwriting on the left page]

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED. { Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
120 .

, DATED

CURRENT No. 731 — (A)

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. 731 — (A)

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. — (A)

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.

Press
Please put this in
type & send proof
in triplicate urgent

226
12/5/50