[E.]
200—17-12-02.

Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. [illegible]

DATED 8-5-05

N. P

ORIGINAL

[Despatch Abstract.]

School of Arts, Madras.

ssing the Govt of India on the subject

[illegible]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

√

Government {1905} of Madras.
EDUCATIONAL.

296-297

Recd. } 1905. Enclosures
Regd. } 1905. Spare copies

ORIGINAL

G.O., &c., Nos. 296, 297, 8th May 1905.

N. P.

MAY.

School of Arts, Madras.

Addressing the Government of India on the subject of the continuance of the appointment of Mr. Brand as Acting Superintendent of the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From W. S. MARRIS, Esq., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Education), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Educational Department, dated Calcutta, 30th March 1905, No. 231.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 139, dated the 7th March 1905, in which you propose that the arrangements, under which Mr. G. E. Brand was placed in charge of the Madras School of Arts during Mr. Chatterton's absence, should be allowed to continue during the remaining portion of Mr. Chatterton's special duty extending over sixteen months.

2. It appears from your telegram No. 12, dated the 23rd January 1904, that the arrangement under which Mr. Brand was placed in charge of the School of Arts was thought necessary only for the first two months of Mr. Chatterton's deputation but not for any later period. I am therefore to ask that, with the permission of the Governor in Council, the Government of India may be informed what arrangements were contemplated for the latter period in 1904 and why they are now considered unsuitable.

Order—No. 296, Educational, dated 8th May 1905.

The following letter will be despatched to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Letter—No. 297, Educational, dated 8th May 1905, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 231, Education, dated 30th March 1905, on the subject of allowing the arrangement under which Mr. G. E. Brand was placed in charge of the Madras School of Arts during Mr. Chatterton's absence, to continue during the remaining portion of Mr. Chatterton's special duty extending over sixteen months.

2. I am to state in reply that the arrangement referred to in paragraph 2 of your letter is not the one to the continuance of which sanction was sought in my letter No. 139, Educational, dated 7th March 1905. The arrangement to which you refer and which was mentioned in Mr. Cardew's telegram No. 12, dated the 23rd January 1904, to be necessary only for the first two months of the extended period of Mr. Chatterton's deputation was the one sanctioned by the Secretary of State in his despatch No. 40, Public, dated 22nd March 1901, communicated to this Government with Home Department letter No. 162, dated 30th April 1901. Under this arrangement the appointment of Superintendent of the School of Arts was left unfilled and a temporary Assistant Superintendent on Rs. 400 a month in charge of the Industrial department of the school was employed for a period of three years. During this period Mr. Chatterton who was employed in furthering the progress of technical and industrial education in this Presidency was in charge of the school without prejudice to his special duty. The continuance of this arrangement for two more months or until the beginning of Mr. Chatterton's leave in April 1904 was sanctioned by the Secretary of State in his despatch No. 64, Public, dated 8th April 1904, which was communicated to this Government under Home Department Endorsement No. 392, dated 16th May 1904, and it ceased on the 11th April 1904, Mr. Chatterton having proceeded on leave with effect from the 12th.

3. The arrangement to the continuance of which the sanction of the Government of India has been sought in my letter No. 139, Educational, dated 7th March 1905, is the one under which Mr. G. E. Brand of the local Public Works Department has been appointed to act as Superintendent of the School of Arts on a monthly salary of Rs. 500. This arrangement was sanctioned in the Home Department telegrams Nos. 344 and 486, Education, dated 21st April 1904 and 12th June 1904, respectively.

4. With reference to the concluding portion of your letter, I am to state that it was contemplated in 1904 that Mr. Chatterton should, during the remaining period of his deputation, have charge of the school without prejudice to his special duty. But on the return of Mr. Chatterton from leave in February last it was found necessary that he should devote his whole time to the development of industries in this Presidency. He was not therefore given charge of the School of Arts.

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

Exd. P. Cunniah.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., Nos. 296, 297, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 8th MAY 1905.

[Subject.—*Mr. Brand's appointment as Acting Superintendent of the School of Arts.*]

From the Government of India, No. 231, *dated* 30*th March* 1905.

Submitted that the Government of India is labouring under a misapprehension in this matter. The arrangement referred to in Mr. Cardew's telegram No. 12, dated the 23rd January 1904, was the one under which the appointment of Superintendent of the School of Arts was left unfilled and a temporary Assistant Superintendent on Rs. 400 a month was employed for a period of three years. During this period Mr. Chatterton, who was employed in furthering the progress of technical and industrial education in this presidency, was in charge of the school. This was sanctioned by the Secretary of State in his despatch No. 40, Public (Educational), dated 22nd March 1901, on page 3 of G.O., No. 269, Educational, dated 15th May 1901. This arrangement ceased on the 11th April 1904, when Mr. Chatterton went on leave and Mr. Brand became Acting Superintendent of the school.

Page 2 of G.O., No. 325, dated 31st May 1904.

Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the despatch from the Government of India, to the Secretary of State, on page 2 of G.O., No. 269, dated 15th May 1901.

Remarks column on page 196 of last Quarterly Civil List.

2. The arrangement for the continuance of which sanction has been sought in letter No. 139, Educational, dated 7th March 1905, is the one under which Mr. Brand acts as Superintendent of the school and draws a salary of Rs. 500 a month. This was sanctioned in Home Department's telegrams, Nos. 344 and 486, Educational, dated 21st April 1904 and 12th June 1904, respectively—*vide* G.O., No. 371, Educational, dated 14th June 1904.

3. A draft on these lines is placed below for approval.

T. Kanakasundaram Pillai—29-4-05.

P. T. Tharyan—1-5-05.

A. Galletti—2-5-05.
H. D. Taylor—3-5-05.
J. T[homson]—4-5-05.
A[mpthill]—6-5-05.

Issue.

H. D. Taylor—8-5-05.

[G.O., Nos. 296, 297, Educational, dated 8th May 1905.]

[E./1]
1,000—23-12-01.

1

Educational (1905) Department.

4

CURRENT No. 642

From

[Name]

[Designation] Govt. of India

[Station] Calcutta.

No. 231	*Received* 3—4—1905
Dated 30—3—1905.	
Enclosures	*Registered* 190

SUBJECT.

Requesting this Govt. that they may be informed of the arrangements that were contemplated for the latter period of Mr. Chatterton's special duty & why they are now considered unsuitable.

BACK PAPERS.

No 138 - 9-7/3/03 [illegible] 325 - 31-5-04 488 - 12-6-04	[illegible] 371 - 14-6-04 Received on the [illegible] after [illegible] 7/3

FURTHER PAPERS.

7R. 3-4

[E./12]
1,000—24-9-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190 .

" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 642 , From the Govt of I. , No 231 , dated 30 – 3 – 1905.

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

ORDER No. 296 , dated 8 · 5 · 1905.

P. S.

Desp letter in this from here & then print
P.S.
8/5/5

The following letter will be despatched to the Govt of I.

P.S.
1/5/5

2.5.5

3.5.05.

Letter to no. 297 d 8.5.05.

The Secy to the Govt. of I.
Home Dept.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter no. 231, Education, dated 30th March 1905 on the subject of allowing the arrangem

Desp
8/5/5

arrangement under which Mr G. E. Brand was placed in charge of the madras School of arts during Mr Chatterton's absence, to continue during the remaining portion of Mr Chatterton's special duty extending over 16 months.

2. I am to state in reply that the arrangement refered to in para. 2 of your letter is not the one to the continuance of which sanction was sought in my letter no. 139 Edl. dated 7th March 1905. The arrangement to which you ~~have~~ refer~~red to~~ and which was mentioned in Mr Cardew's telegram no 12, dated the 23rd January 1904 to be necessary only for the first two months of the extended period of Mr Chatterton's deputation was the one sanctioned by the Secy of State in his despatch no. 40, Public, dated 22nd March 1901 communicated to this Govt. with Home Deptt letter no. 162 dated 30th April 1901. Under this arrangement the appointment of ~~the~~ Supdt of the School of arts was left unfilled

5

unfilled and a~~n~~ temporary Asstt. Supdt. (in charge of the Industrial departt of the School on Rs 400 a month) was employed for a period of three years.

During this period Mr Chatterton who was employed in furthering the progress of technical and industrial education in this Presidency was in charge of the School without prejudice to his special duty.

The continuance of this arrangement for two more months or until the beginning of Mr Chatterton's leave in April 1904 was sanctioned by the Secy of State in his despatch no. 64, Public dated 8th April 1904 which was communicated to this Govt under Home Dept. Endt. no. 392 dated 16th May 1904. and it ceased on the 11th April 1904 ~~when~~ Mr. Chatterton having proceeded on leave with effect from the 12th.

Remarks column on page 196 of the last Quarterly Civil List (for January).

3. The arrangement to the continuance of which the sanction of the Govt. of India has been sought in my letter no. 139 Edl dated 7th March 1905 is the one under which Mr G. E. Brand of the local P.W.D. has been appointed to act as Supdt. of the School of arts on a monthly salary of Rs 500. This arrangement was sanctioned in the Home Dep telegrams nos 344 & 486, Educatn date

V. 1. 3

T

C pages 6 & 7

dated 21st April 1904 and 12th June 1904 respectively.

4. With reference to the concluding portion of your letter I am to state that it was contemplated in 1904 that Mr Chatterton should, during the remaining period of his deputation, have charge of the School without prejudice to his special duty. But on the return of Mr Chatterton from leave in February last it was found necessary ~~that he~~ ~~to place him under the Rev. Dept. to superintend experiments in irrigation by pumping without prejudice to his special duty in furtherance of the progress of technical and industrial education. As it was considered necessary that Mr Chatterton~~ should devote his whole time to the development of industries in this Presidency ~~to his duties under the new arrangement~~ He was not therefore given charge of the School of Arts.

7H
29.4.05

2.5.5

3.5.05

1/5/5

5.05

6-5-05

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

EAD AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT W DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.