

E. 1
17-12-02
Educational (1905) Depar

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 285

DATED 8-5-08

[Despatch Abstract.]

*...
...
...
...
...*

[E]
500-10-2-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. 609

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

Educational (1905) Department.

CURRENT No. 609

From

[Name] W. O. Horne, Esq., I.C.S.,

[Designation] Inspector-General of Police,

[Station] Madras.

No. 1333

Dated 28th March 1905.

Enclosures nil.

Received 30 MAR 1905

Registered 30-3-1905

SUBJECT.

Submitting an application for permanent exemption from passing the Precis writing test on behalf of M. Venkoba Rao, Inspector of Police, Guntur district.

BACK PAPERS.

500
359:23-7-02 R ✓
257:21-5-02 R ✓
425:16-6-02 R ✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

KR-303

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose employment sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. <i>pro tem.</i> or temporary.	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination)	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? In the latter case quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	What steps have been taken to procure the services of passed candidate and with what result.	Recommendation of the Head of the department.	Remarks.
M. Venkoba Rao. Age 36. Matriculation examination. Criminal Test (Lower).	4th class Inspector of Police.	Permanent.	Permanent exemption.	In G.O., No.251, Educational, dated 21st May 1904, the probationary Inspector was exempted from passing the Precise test until the results of the examination held in October 1904 were known.	The Proby. Inspr. was recommended in July 1904 for a permanent exemption but the Govt. in their order No.359, Educl., dated 23rd July 1904, deferred orders on this recommendation until the results of the examination held in October 1904 were known. He failed in this examination. The Dist. Supdt. of Police considers that the Inspr. will never pass the test as he is not sufficiently well educated but that he is an efficient officer and has been doing consistently good work. My application for the temporary exemption of this Proby. Inspr. asked for in letter No.1179, dated 18th March 1905, may be considered cancelled and he may be exempted permanently.		

OFFICE OF THE INSPR.-GENL. OF POLICE,

MADRAS,

Dated 28. March 1905.

100-18-7-03.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

for Asst. Inspr. Genl. of Police.
The examination in Precise will pass anyone who shows any common sense. Precise is not an exercise in good English but a test of intelligence (and efficiency).

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

Sir,

C. No. 609 From the I. G. of Police No. 1333, dated 28.3.1905.

SUBJECT.

Exemption from the précis-writing test.

M. R. V. Venkoba Rao, probationary Inspector of Police, was exempted from the précis-writing test ^{just} until the publication of the results of the examination held in October 1903 - 92. He was dtd 16-6-02 and then the results of the examination in October 1904. ~~for R. 251~~ ^{for R. 251} ~~28~~ ²¹ May 1904. The Inspector-General applied for his permanent exemption in July last. ^{admission} ~~for R. 359~~ ^{for R. 359} ~~28~~ ²³ July 1904, it was stated that the question of permanently exempting him would be deferred until the publication of the results of the examination held in October last 1904. ^{It is reported that} ~~that~~ Venkoba Rao has failed in the examination & the Inspector-General now comes up for the permanent exemption of the man.

Orders are solicited as to whether

Secy he may be exempted permanently.

2/4/05
8.4.05
9/4/05
10.6.5

P.T.D.

I do not think it desirable to grant any further exemptions pending the reorganization of the Police. - nor do there appear to be any special grounds for exemption in the present case.

W.D.
Lambert

Ind. no. for release



Ed no no. 291 D
14-4-55

Post Department.

C.S.

In order. There do not seem to be sufficient grounds for the permanent exemption.

all
18. 4. 55

W.D.

Under the new system there will be a large reduction in the number of Inspectors. from about 363. (present no) to 293. The pay will be much raised. No doubt all these Inspectors are very anxious how to be confirmed as Inspectors so as to get the rank before the reduction. On the other hand the new Sub Inspectors will get better pay than the present 1st Constables. & there will be a great number of them. The qualifications for traps of Sub Inspectors has not been settled yet. The post of driver want a report on the subject -

Meanwhile I submit that none of these men should be exempted. They will

probably most of them become Sub Inspectors
eventually - but until the scheme is
worked out I don't think any Probationary
Inspector should be confirmed, nor should any
exceptions of men be granted to enable
them to become Inspectors.

I think the Hq. might be told now
that pending the revision of the Inspector
staff, it will be well if he does not
fill up permanently any post of
Inspector. Other wise we shall have
difficulties in providing for men later on.

Mh
23 W. 4

Looking again with the Lt. The 1st
The 1st to instruct.

1237415

To Asst Secy

The file may, it is said, be returned
us to Sol with a request that a copy
of the order that may be passed on the
file with copy of notes may be commd
to Sol in view to taking action with
reference

reference

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes on the left page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.]



Please comply

[Signature]
1-5-05

Done
[Signature]

reference to Csd remarks at A on the previous page.

Yrs.

[Signature]
28.4.05

Recd.
26.4.05

Est. A.O. Copy of order passed, & notes may be communicated to Judd in order to take action on remarks of C.S. A. *[Signature]*

Draft please refusing exemption.

[Signature]

1-5-05

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

Recd.
2/5/05 *[Signature]*

[Signature]
2/5/05

Miss

Am
2/5/5

५५
२५५

The J. G. of Police ^{200.} ✓
" Judicial Department in the
Copy of the notes. ✓

6/8/8-

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____ 190
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED
190

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.