

Educational (1904) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 283

DATED 2-5-05

[Despatch Abstract.]

Delegation of Powers.

Informing the S.P.I. that he has sanctioned
to all officers of Sweepers, scavengers
& conductors of the line is not
subject to the same rules as the
other officers of the line.

G.O.	No.	Department.	D.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

part-time servants on pay up to a limit

Telephone message from the Asstt. Secretary to *for* to the Director of Public Instruction d/ 13th March 1905.

In the revised proposition statement for training schools provision has been made for a night watchman on Rs 3 for each of the Vizagapatam and Chicacole schools and one on Rs 2 for the Kurnool school. Please say whether full time men can be obtained for this salary and if not whether these may be omitted from the statement.

□ □ □

Telephone reply from the D.P.I. d/ 16-3-5.

Ref. your telephonic message of the 13th instant regarding the reorganization of training schools. Full time men cannot be obtained for the pay now attached to the post of night watchmen in these schools referred to, but the Accountant General's view is that these appointments should be included in the proposition statements as, under article 98 A of the Civil Account Code, **no** salary charges of the kind may be charged as contingent expenditure **or** included in contingent Bills.

□ □ □

Better ascertain from Accountant General on what he basis his view. The orders are clear. Servants who are not full timed should be charged to contingencies.

□ □ □

H.D.T.
16-3-5.

In his u.o. note dated 13-12-04. recorded in connection with G.O.No.1636 L. d/ 24th December 1904 the Accountant General stated that there was no account objection to requiring that the wages of all servants whose whole time was not given to Local Fund service should be debited to "contingencies", the limit of wages being Rs 3. But in this letter No. 101 Ch /12773 d/ 20th February 1905 recorded in G.O. 136 Edl. d/ 6-3-05, the Accountant General stated that the proposal to charge the pay of a gardener on Rs 5 p. m. to contingencies was contrary to article 98(a) of the C.A.C. and required the sanction of the Govt. of India.

As these two opinions seem to be inconsistent with each other the Accountant General may, it is submitted, be asked to state on what his former opinion was based & whether the practice of debiting the wages of all part-time servants to contingencies is not contrary to article 98(a) of the C.A.C.

T.K.
17-3-5.

Financial

Please put up the final order regarding delegation of powers & the orders of the Govt. of India thereon.

P.T.T.
17-3-5.

Please see G.Os. No.829 Edl. d/ 9th December 1889 & G.O. No.158 Edl d/ 29th March 1900.

At present the Director possesses the power of sanctioning the entertainment of sweepers, scavengers and conductresses whose whole time is not devoted to public service and of charging their wages to contingencies, if the wages are less than Rs 3 vide item 46 on page 16 of letter No.1326 Finl. d/ 2nd December 1899 and the reply of the Govt. of India in

* This was the limit fixed by the Decretariat.

PH
17/3/5

if the wages are less than Rs 3? AG.

Submitted with please item 46 on p. 16 of letter 1326 Finl d/ 2-12-99

291 Finl. d/ 21st March 1900.

The Accountant General's objection is in respect of the entertainment of gardeners but the principle is the same. It is likely that the old correspondence was not brought to his notice when he raised his objection and he may perhaps now reconsider his decision. If not a further application may be made to the Govt. of India in regard to the entertainment of servants of the classes other than those mentioned above.


P.T.T.
17-3-5.

The proposal in the Training school file was for servants on Rs 4?

A.G.
18-3-5.

Rs 3 & Rs 2 - not Rs 4.

Ph *20/3/5* With the Acct Genl advise gardeners, sweepers, scavengers and conductresses on Rs 3 and Rs 2 can be charged to contingencies by the Director of Public Instruction.
By order *Hj* 21.3.05



B.D.

82

sup *21/3/5* *217*
Edl. A.G. 21-3-05

A.G. u.o.

The pay of sweepers, scavengers and conductresses may be charged to contingencies as in their case the Govt. of India have sanctioned this arrangement. But there is no such sanction in the case of gardeners and ~~and apply~~ in their case the provisions of Art. 98(a) apply.

B.D.
23.3.05.

Adm. 26.3.12.231

In para: 2 of the Letter from the Govt of India in G.O. No 291 Fint. dt 21st March 1900 that Govt said that they would be glad to consider any further specific proposals regarding delegation of powers to Heads of Departments which the Govt of Madras might deem ^{fit} to put forward. In pursuance of this promise we may ask for the delegation to the D.P.P. of power to appoint part time servants generally up to a limit of Rs. 100 and pay them from contingencies. Fint may perhaps be consulted ^{by} ~~in~~ before anything further is done.

Secy 29/3/05 ^{36/3/05}

3-4-05

Int. co. for remarks.

Delivered by Secretary without order. 1/4/05

Edl. no D 264 3-4-05

Financial R. 493 3 4 5

XXI

I am ^X in appen to letter no 1326 Fint, 2-12-99

G.O. No. 539 Educational, dated 26th June 1895 delegated to the Director of Public Instruction the power to sanction the entertainment of Sweepers, Scavengers, and Conduccers whose whole time is not devoted to the public service and to charge their wages to contingencies. This is one of the delegation of powers reported to the Government

in 1899

of India for Confirmation. That

foot while confirming the delegations already made, stated that they would be glad to consider any further specific proposals which the Government of Madras might deem fit to put forward.

2. The Acct: General now ~~misses~~ in the sanction of the Govt of holds that the pay of gardeners should not be charged to contingencies unless with the sanction of the Govt of ^{acceding} India. The Educational department, proposes to ask for the delegation to the D. P. I. of power to appoint part-time servants generally upto a limit of Rs 4 & pay them from Contingencies. This proposal may it is submitted be accepted in principle.

15/4/00 C.S.

The proposed Delegation is I submit too wide - to empower the D.P.I. to appoint part-time servants generally up to a limit of about Rs 4 & to pay them from Contingencies. ~~As proposed~~ ^{The} case which gave rise to this

Letter from the Govt. of India, no 942.28. of 3.3.00 - for 291 Rs of 21.3.00 L

Note of 23.3.05, page 3 supra

Notes was the employment of a
gardener - but a general delegation
as regards the appt of gardeners
seems to be inadvisable. If & when
required they should be sanctioned
by Govt.

Ans
17. 4. 05

Lopez - There is a great temptation to needlen &
employ men - and if they can be employed
from Contingencies, there is certainly to be
abuse. I should say the sanction which
exists for enough -

Ans
24. 10. 05

This seems rather a
stupid mess. How did the
S. P. D. ever come to transfer
a gardener on Rs 5 to
"Contingencies"?

Now comes a night watch-
man on Rs 3 & Rs 2 with a
statement that such are not
"full time" men. What is a
night watchman if he is not
a full time man?

On the specific question re

Ans

ferred to the A.F. he
corrected and I saw
none to alter

26/4/05



20/4/05

To Ed. no

Sept 6/05

He was
27/4/05

1/05
28/4/05

The draft placed below is submitted for

Secy approval.

28/4/05

1/5/05

2/5/05

us
H

To Mr. P. J. D. P. 5/5/5

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

190.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.