[E.]

200—17-12-02.

P

Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 263.

DATED 20-4-05

PRINTED.

ORIGINAL

[Despatch Abstract.]

Law College, Madras:— Directing that a certain rule be substituted for rule 3 of the Rules regulating the constitution & working of the — printed as an appendix to G.O. No 483 Edl. dated 15th August 1902.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1905} of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

Recd. } 1905. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 263, 20th April 1905.

N. P.

APRIL.

Law College, Madras.

Amending rule 3 of the rules regulating the constitution and working of the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 483, Educational, dated 15th August 1902.

Abstract.—Approving, with certain modifications, the revised rules submitted by the Director of Public Instruction regulating the constitution and working of the Law College and the grant of the Morehead law scholarships.

G.O., No. 353, Educational, dated 8th June 1904.

Abstract.—Recording, with remarks, the report on the working of the Madras Law College during 1903.

Read—also the following papers:—

Memorandum No. 681, Educational, dated 4th February 1905.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to be so good as to state whether he has received any communication from the Principal of the Madras Law College in regard to the revision of rule 3 of the Law College Rules, and if so, when the proposals on the subject may be expected by Government.

(By Order.)

A. GALLETTI,
Ag. Assistant Secretary to Govt.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

From the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 13th February 1905, Ref. on Cur. No. 912.

With reference to Memorandum No. 68, Educational, dated 4th February 1905, I have the honour to state that a copy of the following resolution of the College Council was the only communication received in this office on the subject of the revision of Rule 3 of the Law College Rules and that it was recorded.

"Proposed by Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar and seconded by Mr. Justice Boddam that the President be asked to address Government with a view of substituting the following rule for the existing *rule* 3 of the Law College Rules:—

'3. (*a*) The Principal shall at the end of each term circulate a report to the Members of the Council on the state of affairs of the College.

'(*b*) The President shall call a meeting of the Council at such times as he may deem fit.'

"Carried *nem. con.*"

Memorandum No. 113, Educational, dated 24th February 1905.

It is observed that the proposed new rule does not require that the Council should meet at stated intervals and puts the power of calling a meeting into the hands of the President. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to ascertain whether the Council would object to each member being empowered to require the President to call a meeting to consider any matter which in his opinion demands attention.

(By Order.)

A. GALLETTI,
Ag. Assistant Secretary to Govt.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

From the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 3rd April 1905, Ref. on Cur. No. 912.

With reference to Memorandum No. 113, Educational, dated 24th February 1905, I have the honour to state that, with exception of Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar and Mr. C. E. Odgers, the Law College Council has no objection to each member being empowered to require the President to call a meeting to consider any matter which in his opinion demands attention. Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar says: "I do not think that a meeting should be convened on the requisition of any one of the members. He can always suggest the convening of a meeting to the President who, if he considers the matter to be of sufficient importance for the consideration of the Council, will of course convene a meeting." Mr. Odgers "would ordinarily leave the convening of meetings of the Council entirely to the discretion of the President with however a proviso that he *shall* call a meeting on the requisition of (say) any three members of the Council."

Order—No. 263, Educational, dated 20th April 1905.

Under the new rule proposed by the Council of the Law College the power of convening a meeting of the Council rests entirely with the President, but the Government consider that any two members of the Council should have the power to require the President to call a meeting to consider any matter which in their opinion demands attention. His Excellency the Governor in Council is accordingly pleased to direct that the following rule be substituted for rule 3 of the rules regulating the constitution and working of the Madras Law College printed as an appendix to G.O., No. 483, Educational, dated 15th August 1902:—

"3. (*a*) The Principal shall at the end of each term circulate a report to the Members "of the Council on the state of affairs of the College.

"(*b*) The President shall call a meeting of the Council at such times as he may deem "fit; or at any time on the requisition of any two members of the Council."

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Exd. W. Kandasawmy.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 263, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 20TH APRIL 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Revision of rule* 3 *of the Law College Rules.*]

Demi-official from the Hon'ble Sir Charles ARNOLD WHITE, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 14*th November* 1904.

With reference to order No. 353, Educational, dated 8th June 1904, I beg to inform you that a meeting of the College Council was held on the 9th instant, and the following resolutions were passed :—

"Proposed by Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar and seconded by Mr. Justice Boddam that the President be asked to address Government with a view of substituting the following rule for the existing rule 3 of the College Rules :—

'3. (*a*) The Principal shall, at the end of each term, circulate a report to the members of the Council on the state of affairs of the College.

(*b*) The President shall call a meeting of the Council at such times, as he may deem fit.'

Carried, *nem. con.*"

Copies of these resolutions will be forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction by the Principal.

This may await the receipt of the formal communication made through the Director of Public Instruction.

T.K.—15-11-04.

V. KRISHNASWAMI—15-11-04.

A. GALLETTI—16-11-04.

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 912, *dated* 13*th February* 1905.

It was stated in paragraph 3 of the report on the Law College for the year 1903 that the College Council did not meet during the year, there being nothing to call for any meeting. With reference to this the Government observed that, under rule 3 of the college rules, the Council should have met at least once a quarter and requested the Director to invite the attention of the President of the Council to the natter. It was also observed that, even if there was no specific business for special consideration it was desirable that the Council should meet to hear the report of the Principal on the state of affairs—G.O., No. 353, Educational, dated 8th June 1904.

2. After this the College Council met on the 9th November 1904 and passed the following resolution :—

"That the President be asked to address Government with a view of substituting the following rule for the existing rule 3 of the college rules :—

'3. (*a*) The Principal shall, at the end of each term, circulate a report to the members of the Council on the state of affairs of the College.

'(*b*) The President shall call a meeting of the Council at such time as he may deem fit.'"

3. Sir Charles Arnold White, President of the College Council, communicated the resolution demi-officially to Government and said that copies thereof would be forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction by the Principal. This demi-official was ordered to lie over pending the receipt of a formal communication from the Director of Public Instruction.

4. No communication having been received from the Director of Public Instruction he was asked on the 4th instant to state whether he had received any communication from the Principal of the Law College in regard to the revision of rule 3 of the college rules and if so, when the proposals on the subject might be expected by Government—Official memorandum No. 68, dated 4th February 1905.

5. The Director states in reply that the only communication he received on the subject of the revision of rule 3 was a copy of the resolution given in paragraph 2 *supra* and that it was merely recorded. He does not say why he took no action on it or whether he approves of the proposed revision or not.

Apparently because the Council asked its President to communicate the resolution to Government with a view to have the rule amended.

P. T. THARYAN.

Orders are solicited.

T.K.—17-2-05.

The question for consideration now is whether the proposed amendment should be approved. It may perhaps be advisable not to ask the Director's opinion in the matter. If his opinion should be asked for it is submitted that it should be done unofficially and confidentially.

P. T. THARYAN—17-2-05.

Submitted that the rule may be altered as suggested. The Council is composed of persons whose position entitles them to be treated with deference.

A. GALLETTI—17-2-05.

I agree.

H. D. TAYLOR—20-2-05.

Is the meaning of all this that the Council cannot meet without risk of quarrelling ?

2. It must be in the power of any member of the Council to require the President to call a meeting since the proposed alteration now puts all power to that end in the President's hands, without any fixed provision for a meeting.

Ask the Director of Public Instruction.

J. T[HOMSON]—20-2-05.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T.K.—21-2-05.

P. T. THARYAN—21-2-05.

A. GALLETTI—22-2-05.

H. D. TAYLOR—23-2-05.

[Memorandum No. 113, Educational, dated 24th February 1905.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.C. No. 912, *dated* 3*rd April* 1905.

The Director says that, with the exception of Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar and Mr. Odgers, the members of the Law College Council have no objection to each member being empowered to require the President to call a meeting. But there seems to be some force in the objection of Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar and Mr. Odgers.

Orders are solicited.

T.K.—7-4-05.

The proposed new rule puts the power of calling a meeting into the hands of the President. The Honourable Member thought that "it must be in the power of any member of the Council to require the President to call a meeting." Seven out of the nine members of the Council have no objection to the Honourable Member's proposal.

P. T. THARYAN—7-4-05.

Perhaps Mr. Odgers' suggestion might be accepted ?

A. GALLETTI—8-4-05.

Sir Bhashyam Aiyangar thinks it sufficient to leave the question of calling meetings to the discretion of the President, with whom any member of the Council may communicate.

2. Mr. Odgers suggests that an extraordinary meeting should be called on the requisition of three members. The latter proposal may be approved.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-4-05.

Substitute two for three in Mr. Odgers' proposal and issue.

J. T[HOMSON]—15-4-05.

Draft.

H. D. TAYLOR—16-4-05.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

P. T. THARYAN—18-4-05.

A. GALLETTI—19-4-05.

Approved.

H. D. TAYLOR—20-4-05.

[G.O., No. 263, Educational, dated 20th April 1905.]

Stock Form No. 135
3,000—6-10-04.

Educational (1905) Department.

CURRENT No. 261

From

[*Name*] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] M a d r a s.



Ref. *No.* on Cur No. 912 of 05 *Received* 190 .

Dated 13 February 190 5 .

Enclosures *Registered* 14-2-1905.

SUBJECT.

Submitting report called for in Memo No. 68 Edl. d"/4th Feb. 05 regarding the revision of rule 3 of the Law College Rules.

BACK PAPERS.

Memo 68/05
Law College subsp 480/02

FURTHER PAPERS.

MR

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to memorandum No. 68 Educational dated 4th February 1905 I have the honour to state that a copy of the following resolution of the College Council was the only communication received in this office on the subject of the revision of Rule 3 of the Law College Rules and that it was recorded.

"Proposed by Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar and seconded by Mr. Justice Boddam that the President be asked to address Government with a view of substituting the following Rule for the existing Rule 3 of the Law College Rules." 3 (a) The Principal shall at the end of each term circulate a report to the members of the Council on the state of affairs of the College."

(b) The President shall call a meeting of the Council at such times as he may deem fit".

Carried nem. con."

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

E. Satthianadhan

for Director of Public Instruction.

B.S. 13-2-05

1,000—16-11-00.

Educational (1905) Department.

CURRENT No. 663

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 5 - APR 1906 SECRETARIAT.

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

[Designation] Director of public Instruction,

[Station] M a d r a s

Ref our No. 912 of 05

Dated 3 A p r i l 1905.

Enclosures

Received 5—4—1905

Registered 6—4—1905

SUBJECT.

Replying to memorandum No. 68 Educational regarding the revision of Rule 3 of the Rules of the Law College, Madras.

BACK PAPERS.

Memo 113/05

FURTHER PAPERS.

T.R. 64

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to memorandum No. 113 /Educational dated 24th February 1905 I have the honour to state that, with the exception of Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar and Mr. C.E. Odgers, the Law College Council has no objection to each member being empowered to require the President to call a meeting to consider any matter which in his opinion demands attention. Sir V. Bhashyam Aiyangar says-"I do not think that a meeting should be convened on the requisition of any one of the members. He can always suggest the convening of a meeting to the President who if he considers the matter to be of sufficient importance for the consideration of the Council will of course convene a meeting." Mr. Odgers "would ordinarily leave the convening of meetings of the Council entirely to the discretion of the President with however a proviso that he shall call a a meeting on the requisition of (say) any three members of the Council".

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,
E. Sathianadhan
for Director of Public Instruction.

[E. 12]
1,200—7-10,05.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No 483 Ed, dated 15th August 1902.
" " " " 353 Ed, " 8th June 1904.

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 261, From the D.P.I., No. 912, dated 13-2-1905.
" " 663, " " do, " 912, " 3-4-1905
" " " " , " , " 190

ORDER No. 263, dated 20-4-1905.

P.S.

desp 20/4/5

Under the ~~proposed~~ new rule ~~the~~ proposed by the Council of the Law College the power of convening a meeting of the Council rests entirely with the President, but the Government consider that ~~at least~~ any two members of the Council should have the power to require the President to call a meeting to consider any matter which in their opinion demands attention. ~~His~~ Excellency the Governor in Council is ~~pleased~~ accordingly pleased to direct that the following rule ~~shall~~ be substituted for rule 3 of the Rules regulating the Constitution and working of the Madras Law College printed as an appendix to G.O. no. 483 Educational dated

G.

2 6

15th August 1902:—

" 3 (a). The Principal shall at the
"end of each term circulate a report to
" the members of the Council on the State
"of affairs of the College.

" (b) The President shall call a
"meeting of the Council at such times as
" he may deem fit; or at any time on
" the requisition of any two members of the
" Council."

PH
18/4/5

Mich 1905

HBJ
20/4/05

To the B.O.D

17/5/5

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Number of copies enquired. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

51

Read again G.O., No. , dated
190

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government .. ..

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—

*A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.