[illegible]

Educational (1905) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 85

DATED 15.2.05

(13)

ORIGINAL

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Building grant.

Forwg with remarks a grant of Rs. 10,000 towards the cost of the construction of the building for the High School dept of St Joseph's College, Trichinopoly.

1

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1905} of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

Recd. } 1905. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

ORIGINAL.

G.O., No. 85, 15th February 1905.

N. P.

FEBRUARY.

Building grant.

Sanctioning with remarks a grant of Rs. 10,000 towards the cost of construction of buildings for the high school department of St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 3rd August 1904, Ref. on C. No. 8943 of 1902.

I have the honour to submit the enclosed plans with estimates amounting to Rs. 86,970 for the construction of buildings for the high school department of St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly, and to recommend that, under rule 118 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, a grant of Rs. 28,990 be sanctioned to be paid after completion of the buildings in two or more instalments as the funds at the disposal of the department would permit.

2. The whole grant is, as a special case, recommended for payment from Provincial funds for two reasons :—(1) because the Trichinopoly Municipality is not able to contribute the proportionate amount which is strictly payable from Municipal funds ; (2) because the grants hitherto sanctioned for buildings for this important institution have not been commensurate with its extensive educational operations and the large amount the Mission itself has already spent on buildings of its own.

3. A building grant amounting to Rs. 9,250 was sanctioned in 1872 for the high school when it was at Negapatam, and when the college was transferred to Trichinopoly in 1882 the Mission was given to understand that no grant would be sanctioned for buildings for the high school department on account of the lien which the Government had on the grant of 1872 which did not expire till 1892. In 1884 a grant of Rs. 10,750 was sanctioned for buildings for the college classes alone and in 1901 a small grant of Rs. 1,000 was given for the erection of buildings for two classes for the high school department. The total building grant therefore which St. Joseph's College has received up to date amounts to Rs. 21,000 of which only a sum of Rs. 1,000 relate to the upper secondary department.

4. Since 1884, when the last large building grant was sanctioned the college has undergone important developments. The strength of the college department itself has risen from 136 in 1883–1884 to 343 in 1903–1904, while that of the upper secondary department has risen during the same period from 281 to 504. Equally striking is the development of the lower secondary department which has increased from 279 to 465. The institution occupies an almost unique position among aided colleges in point of efficiency and influence.

5. The accommodation now provided for the secondary classes is reported by the Inspector to be both inadequate and unsuitable. The classes are held at present in two separate buildings, not in class rooms separately built for the purpose but in dormitories, study rooms and refectories thus causing considerable inconvenience. Even this accommodation not being found sufficient, some of the classes are being held in temporary sheds. The proposed arrangement not only brings all the high school classes in one building but also provides separate class rooms for the lower secondary and primary departments, besides giving facilities for more effective supervision and discipline. Several of the rooms set free in the old buildings will be used for the legitimate purposes for which they were intended, and the remaining accommodation is proposed to be utilized for lodging students.

6. The original plan and estimate have been scrutinized and revised by the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, and the Manager has promised to construct the building in accordance with the revised plan and estimate.

7. I do not think that any portion of the grant will be required to be paid out of this year's budget out of which a sum of Rs. 2,222 has been authorized to be paid up to date.

Memorandum No. 420, Educational, dated 12th August 1904.

With reference to paragraph 2 of his letter read above, the Director is requested to be so good as to state what proportion of the grant recommended by him is strictly debitable to Municipal funds and how he proposes to pay the grant by instalments, unless the deeds are executed in advance.

2. The Director is also requested to ascertain and report—

(1) to whom the land on which it is proposed to construct the new buildings belongs;

(2) who is the responsible Manager of the institution ;

(3) whether he is duly authorized to execute the necessary deeds ; and

(4) whether he or his principal has a clear title to the land.

(By Order.)

A. GALLETTI,
Assistant Secretary to Govt.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

From the Revd. J. D. W. SEWELL, S.J., Manager, St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated 29th August 1904.

Referring to your Proceedings No. 8943/02, dated 23rd August 1904, I have the honour to furnish the information requested—

(1) The Rector of St. Joseph's College for the time being is the owner of the land, but the Superior of the Mission for the time being has to be consulted before any property can be either acquired or disposed of.

(2) I am, myself, the responsible Manager of the institution.

(3) I am duly authorised to execute the necessary deeds, etc., and if necessary I will procure a legal power of attorney.

(4) The Rector of the College has a clear title to the land, it having been purchased partly from Government and partly from the Municipality.

I regret that my absence from Trichinopoly and the necessity for a reference to Trichinopoly has unavoidably delayed this reply.

Ref. on C. No. 8943/1902, dated 2nd September 1904.

Submitted to Government, Educational Department, with reference to Memorandum No. 420, Educational, dated 12th August 1904.

2. As only three out of eleven classes to be accommodated in the proposed building are eligible for grant from Provincial funds, the grant strictly payable from Municipal funds amounts to Rs. 86,970 $\times \frac{8}{11} \times \frac{1}{3}$ or Rs. 21,083-10-2.

A. G. BOURNE,
Director of Public Instruction.

Note by the Chief Engineer on the plans and estimates for constructing buildings for the High School Department of St. Joseph's College at Trichinopoly.

The plan and estimate for the main buildings are not very clear about the foundations, but apparently there is rock below at varying depths and it is proposed, where the depth is considerable to build up from rock level cut-stone columns, to support arches carrying the walls. This seems to be a most extravagant form of foundation. It would be much cheaper to clear away the soft black rock earth down to rock level and then fill in with sand to 6′ below ground level, and on this lay 3′ concrete foundations.

2. The flooring of the rooms should be $2\frac{1}{2}''$ cement concrete laid on $3\frac{1}{2}''$ ordinary concrete over sand filling, and that for the verandahs Cuddapah slabs laid on sand filling instead of on a bed of concrete.

3. The plans show the height of basement to be 2′ 6″, whereas the estimate provides only 2′ 0″, the former is probably a mistake.

4. The cross walls in the upper floor of No. 2 block should be 1′ $1\frac{1}{2}''$ thick and not 2′ 0″ as estimated.

5. The tiffin rooms are far too near the latrines. They should be placed either on the opposite side, facing the latrines, in extension of the covered passage or leading out of the covered passage.

6. A cheaper wood than teak would do for the doors and windows of the tiffin rooms. All external woodwork should be painted.

7. The latrines are impossibly small and are very badly placed, the screen wall in front should be built solid to a height of 6′ and the iron railings omitted. It would be better to adopt the Sanitary Board's type design No. 93 for these latrines.

8. It would be cheaper to use wooden posts instead of iron for the covered passage.

9. The sizes of doors and windows, viz., 3′ 6″ × 9′ 0″ for doors and 3′ 6″ × 6′ 0″ for windows, are unusual. They should be made 4′ × 8′ and 4′ × 6′ respectively.

10. The cross wall in the upper storey of block No. 1 extends over the back verandah without any provision for its support. The same remark applies to the six cross walls in block No. 2. Steel girders must be provided.

11. The following scantlings of roof timbers should be altered :—

	Proposed.		Estimated.
Joists in class rooms	$3'' \times 6\frac{1}{2}''$	against	$3'' \times 5''$
Rafters in staircase	$3'' \times 5''$	do.	$2\frac{1}{2}'' \times 3\frac{1}{2}''$
Principal rafters	$4'' \times 6''$	do.	$4'' \times 7''$
Purlins	$5'' \times 6''$	do.	$5'' \times 7''$
Bressummer over columns	$6'' \times 8''$	do.	$5'' \times 6''$

12. The section of the rolled steel girder should be 15″ × 5″ weighing 42 lb. per square foot against 14″ × 6″ weighing 57 lb. as estimated.

13. The rate for archwork in the upper floor is only Rs. 19 per 100 cubic feet, whereas Rs. 20–8–0 are provided for the ground floor. The former rate is too low. Rs. 200 per ton for rolled steel girders is an excessive allowance and should be reduced to Rs. 160. The rate of Rs. 50 for carriage of steel girders including hoisting and fixing is high and should be reduced to Rs. 40 per ton.

W. B. de WINTON,
Chief Engineer.

27th January 1905.

Order—No. 85, Educational, dated 15th February 1905.

The Chief Engineer's note on the plans and estimates for the construction of buildings for the high school department of St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly, will be communicated to the Director of Public Instruction with the request that he will call on the Manager of the institution to arrange for the revision of the plans and estimates in accordance therewith.

2. The Government are pleased to sanction a maximum grant of Rs. 10,000 towards the work subject to the conditions—

(1) that the authorities of the college carry out all the alterations suggested by the Chief Engineer ;

(2) that they execute the deed of agreement prescribed in rule 119 of the Grant-in-Aid Code ;

(3) that the work is completed before 30th September 1906 and the deed of agreement executed before 30th November 1906.

3. The Director of Public Instruction should not pay any part of the grant till the completion certificate has been received.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

No. of Copies to be Printed.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that a grant of R10000 for the Jesuit College at Trichinopoly does not yet exist. Should this be withheld pending settlement of the Palamcottah case?

A.H.?
8. 2. 07

There is no hurry for issue and issue may as well stand over till the Palamcottah case is settled

4/9103

To be Stitched with 80.

.85 Edl
15.205

On His Majesty's Service.

To

4/9203

Confidential.

...mber of Council.

Me...

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 85, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 15TH FEBRUARY 1905.

[SUBJECT.—*Building grant for St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 3rd August 1904.

Submitted that before the grant of Rs. 28,990 recommended by the Director is sanctioned, the plans and estimates received with his letter read above for the construction of buildings for the high school department of St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly, may be forwarded u.o. to the Public Works Department for scrutiny.

N. LAKSHMANA RAO—6-8-04.

The buildings are estimated to cost Rs. 86,970. Before the plans and estimates are forwarded to the Public Works Department, would it not be well to call for fuller information as to the necessity for such *costly* buildings? Information seems also necessary as to the apportionment of this cost among the Upper Secondary, Lower Secondary and Primary departments. The Director's recommendation is that the whole grant should be paid from Provincial funds, though a portion of it—on account of the Lower Secondary and Primary departments—is ordinarily payable * from Municipal funds. In case this recommendation is accepted, Government would apparently like to know how much they will have to pay for the Municipality.

But the institution educates 1,300 boys, and only educated 700 when the last big building grant was made. Rs. 87,000 to accommodate 600 additional pupils does not seem extravagant.

A. GALLETTI.

* Rules 6 (ii) (2) and 7 (ii) (1) of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

[The Government orders sanctioning grants on previous occasions have been called for from Madras, but it seems unnecessary that the file should wait for them just now.]

P. T. THARYAN—8-8-04.

A. GALLETTI—9-8-04.

Please draft official memorandum to the Director of Public Instruction with reference to paragraph 2 of his letter to state what proportion is strictly debitable to Municipal funds. Also how he proposes to advance the grant by instalments, unless the deeds are duly executed in advance. To whom does the property belong, and who is the responsible manager? Is he duly authorised to execute the necessary deeds? Has he a clear title?

2. After issue of above reference, send file to Local and Municipal for remarks as to the financial condition of the Trichinopoly Municipality and its ability to make a contribution.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-8-04.

A draft reference is submitted below for approval.

N. LAKSHMANA RAO—10-8-04.

The manager of the institution is Father Sewell, who has signed the plans and estimates.

P. T. THARYAN—10-8-04.

A. GALLETTI—11-8-04.

H. D. TAYLOR—12-8-04.

[Memorandum No. 420, dated 12th August 1904.]

The file may now go to Local and Municipal.

P. T. THARYAN—13-8-04.

A. GALLETTI—13-8-04.

To the Local and Municipal Department.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

The estimated closing balance of the current year, as revised with reference to the actual opening balance, is Rs. 6,190. Of this sum, the Municipal Council proposes to allot Rs. 5,000 for the construction of drains in certain parts of the town which are most in need of them. The plans and estimates for these drains have been referred to the Sanitary Engineer for remarks—*vide* G.O., No. 1457 M., dated 17th August 1904.

Vide para. 3 of the note in G.O., No. 1457 M., dated 17th August 1904.

2. Deducting the sum of Rs. 5,000 required for the construction of the drains, the balance at the disposal of the Municipal Council is only Rs. 1,190. It is not therefore likely that the Municipal Council will be able to make a contribution towards the building in question in the current year.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—29-8-04.

V. RAGHAVA CHARLU—29-8-04.

H. D. TAYLOR—1-9-04.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The file may lie over till the Director's reply to memorandum No. 420, dated 12th August 1904, is received.

RAMAN UNNI NAYAR—1-9-04.

P. T. THARYAN—2-9-04.

A. GALLETTI—2-9-04.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 2nd September 1904, *No.* 8943.

In reply to the reference* made to him, the Director states that as only three out of the eleven classes to be accommodated in the proposed building are eligible for grant from Provincial funds, the grant strictly payable from Municipal funds amounts to Rs. 21,083-10-2. He also furnishes information regarding the owner of the land on which it is proposed to construct the new buildings, the responsible manager of the institution, his authority to execute the necessary deeds, and his or his principal's title to the land. The Director, however, has not replied to the point as to how he proposes to pay the grant he recommended by instalments unless the deeds are executed in advance.

* Memorandum No. 420, dated 12th August 1904.

2. In regard to the contribution from Municipal funds, it appears from the Local and Municipal Department note above that the financial condition of the Trichinopoly Municipality is far from satisfactory. It is therefore clear that in the present state of its finances the Municipality cannot contribute so large a sum as Rs. 21,083-10-2 or even any part of it. If at all any grant is to be paid, it should be from Provincial funds.

3. It is now for consideration whether the plans and estimates should be forwarded to the Public Works Department for scrutiny.

N. LAKSHMANA RAO—7-9-04.

Submitted that the plans and estimates may be forwarded to the Public Works Department for scrutiny. On their return the amount of grant which Government will pay on their own behalf as well as on behalf of the Municipality may be settled.

2. Father Sewell's statement that he is authorized to execute the necessary deeds is apparently wrong. The property stands in the name of the Rector of the College for the time being, and the Rector or one who holds a power-of-attorney from him can alone therefore execute the deed. The Rector is one Revd. L. Besse, S.J.—page 60, volume II of the University Calendar for (1903-1904), but Father Sewell promises to procure a "legal" power-of-attorney. The question may be considered when the grant is sanctioned. The grant or any portion of it will be drawn only after the building is completed or fairly advanced, and this will be long after the date of sanction.

He means that he has his principal's approval.
A. GALLETTI.

P. T. THARYAN—7-9-04.

A. GALLETTI—8-9-04.

To the Public Works Department for favour of scrutiny of plans and estimate.

H. D. TAYLOR—9-9-04.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

To the Educational Department with note by the Chief Engineer, dated 27th January 1905.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The estimates should be revised accordingly.

2. Meanwhile it is submitted that the amount of building grant to be paid by way of contribution may be fixed.

3. The Municipal Council is not able to make any contribution. The Director of Public Instruction recommends a full one-third grant, Rs. 28,990.

4. In other Presidencies there is a maximum of Rs. 10,000 for building grants, and the Hon'ble Mr. Forbes in another connection suggested that some such limit should be fixed for Madras also. The amount of grant to be paid is however entirely optional with Government. It is submitted that a grant of Rs. 10,000 may be made.

Page 4 of the notes connected with G.O., No. 766, dated 26th December 1904.

H. D. TAYLOR—30-1-05.

The maximum grant will be Rs. 10,000 under the full conditions governing such grants as now established.

J. T[HOMSON]—31-1-05.

Draft please.

H. D. TAYLOR—1-2-05.

A draft is submitted below for approval.

N. LAKSHMANA RAO—1-2-05.

P. T. THARYAN—1-2-05.

A limit of time may be fixed for the execution of the work in consultation with Public Works Department (*vide* Grant-in-Aid Code 118).

A. GALLETTI—2-2-05.

Yes. The grant should be paid in the official year 1905-1906, if possible. Could the work be reasonably completed by 31st December 1905 ?

H. D. TAYLOR—3-2-05.

To the Public Works Department for remarks.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Submitted.

P. K. SUBBA AIYAR—4-2-05.

Educational want to know if it would be reasonable to expect the work to be completed by 31st December 1905. Seeing that the estimate and plans have to be revised and that there is approximate Rs. 40,000 to spend, I should say there is no probability of the work being completed till about the middle of 1906 at the earliest.

S. B. MURRAY—6-2-05.

If the building has not been commenced, and will not be commenced until plans and estimates are sanctioned, the date fixed for completion should not be less than 18 months from date of final sanction.

W. B. DEWINTON—6-2-05.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The date for completion of the work may be put at 30th September 1906 and for execution of deed, 30th November 1906. This will bring the grant within the budget for 1905-1906 and allow two months after date of completion of the work to satisfy the Director of Public Instruction as to the amount actually expended. For approval.

H. D. TAYLOR—7-2-05.

Yes.
H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-05..

September-November 1906 surely belongs to the budget of 1906-1907. The money cannot be paid till completion is certified.

J. T[HOMSON]—9-2-05.

Draft please.

H. D. TAYLOR—10-2-05.

Please see the pencil addition made to the draft. If approved, the order with the addition will be typed.

P. T. THARYAN—10-2-05.

See rule 120 (119) of the Code.

Paragraph 1 of Director's letter on page 3 of G.O., No. 468, dated 1st August 1904.

Honourable Member's intention is that payments should not be made on account before completion. I am not sure rule 119 meant to give authority for this, but office tell me that in practice it has been so interpreted.

A. GALLETTI—11-2-05.

Approved. The grant is discretionary with Government, and Government can impose conditions, as to time of payment.

H. D. TAYLOR—14-2-05.

The draft has been typed fair and may now issue.

P. T. THARYAN—14-2-05.

Yes.


A. GALLETTI—15-2-05.

[G.O., No. 85, Educational, dated 15th February 1905.]

Stock Form No. 127
2,000—12-2-03.

1

Educational (190 4) Department.



CURRENT No. 1379

[*Name*] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] Madras.

Ref No. Cur No. 8943 of 02 *Received* 5-8 *190*4.

Dated 3rd August *190* 4

Enclosures plans and estimates. *Registered* 5-8- *190*4.

SUBJECT.

Recommending that a grant of Rs 28,990/ be sanctioned for the construction of buildings for the High School Department of St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly.

BACK PAPERS.

Ec 1380/04	

FURTHER PAPERS.

Stock Form No. 127
2,000—12-2-03.

Educational (1904) Department.

CURRENT No. 1580

From

[*Name*] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., M.R.S.,

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] Madras.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
5 SEP 1904
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Ref. No. Cur No. 8943/02 *Received* 5-9- 1904.

Dated 2nd September 1904.

Enclosures

Registered 5-9- 1904.

SUBJECT.

Submitting the information called for in Memo No. 420 Edl. dated 12th August 1904 in regard to the ~~payment of~~ building grant recommended for the St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly.

BACK PAPERS.

Memo 420/04

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the enclosed plans with estimates amounting to Rs 86,970/ for the construction of buildings for the High School department of St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly, and to recommend that, under Rule 118 of the Grant-in-aid code, a grant of Rs 28,990/ be sanctioned to be paid after ~~the~~ completion of the buildings in two or more instalments as the funds at the disposal of the department would permit.

2. The whole grant is, as a special case, recommended for payment from Provincial funds for two reasons:- (1) because the Trichinopoly Municipality is not able to contribute the proportionate amount which is strictly payable from Municipal funds; (2) because the grants hitherto sanctioned for buildings for this important institution have not been commensurate with its extensive educational operations and the large amount the mission itself has already spent on buildings of its own.

3. A building grant amounting to Rs 9,250/ was sanctioned in 1872 for the High School when it was at Negapatam, and when the college was transferred to Trichinopoly in 1882 the mission was given to understand that no grant would be sanctioned for buildings for the High School Department on account of the lien which the Government had on the grant of 1872 which did not expire till 1892. In 1884 a grant of Rs 10,750/ was sanctioned for buildings for the college classes alone and in 1901 a small grant of Rs 1,000/ was given for the

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

[E. 12]
1,000-21-9-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190 .

" " " " " 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1379 , From the D.P.I. , No 8943 , dated 3-8- 1904.

" " 1580 " " do , " do , " 2-9- 1904.

" " " " " , " 190 .

ORDER No. 85 , dated 15 2 1905.

mis

The Chief Engineer's note on the plans and Estimates for the construction of buildings for the high School department of St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly, will be communicated to the Director of Public Instruction with the request that he will call on the manager of the institution to arrange for the revision of the plans and Estimates in accordance therewith.

2. The Government are pleased to sanction a maximum grant of Rs. 10,000 towards the work subject to the conditions

(1) that the authorities of the College carry out all the alterations

suggested by the Chief Engineer.

(2) That they execute the deed of agreement prescribed in rule 119 of the Grant-in-aid Code.

(3) That the deed of agreement is executed before ~~30th Nov 06~~ 30th Nov 06 and the work is completed before 30th ~~Nov~~ 06.

Ph 2.2.5

7.2.07

To the D.P.I. with plans & Estimates & with a copy of C.E's note.

" A.G.

3. The D.P.I. should not pay any part of the grant till the completion certificate has been received.

[E. 12]

1,000—24-9-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190 .

" " " " " 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1379 , From the D.P.I. , No 8943 , dated 3- 8 1904.

" " 1580 " " " D.P.I. " " do " 2- 9 1904.

" " " " " " " " 190 .

ORDER No. 85, dated 15. 2. 1905

The Chief Engineer's note on the plans and estimates for the construction of buildings for the high school department of St.Joseph's college, Trichinopoly, will be communicated to the Director of Public Instruction with the request that he will call on the manager of the institution to arrange for the revision of the plans and estimates in accordance therewith.

2. The Government are pleased to sanction a maximum grant of ₹10,000 towards the work subject to the conditions

(1) that the authorities of the college carry out all the alterations suggested

suggested by the Chief Engineer

(2) that they execute the deed of agreement prescribed in rule 119 of the Grant in aid code.

(3) that the work is completed before 30th September 1906 and the deed of agreement executed before 30th November 1906.

3. The D.P.I. should not pay any part of the grant till the completion certificate has been received.

P.T.T.
2-2-5.

A.G.
2-2-5.

H.D.T.
7-2-5.

To. The D.P.I. with plans & Estimate & copy of C.E.'s note.
Accts Genl.

18/2/5

S. Cypress dep/5

1973/5

y sent to Press.

fer signature.

ed in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

No.

RES TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT .. ..

OF GOVERNMENT.

WITH DITTO.

OT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ON ORIGINAL.

= Print in full.
= Not to be printed.
= Print abstract only.
= Print heading only.