

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 770

DATED 26 12-04

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Laurence Beal, G.O. Command.

Sundry proposals of the Committee of the
for raising the price of the fund
Rs 30 to Rs 50, & requesting the Committee to state
how it proposed to meet this charge during the
current year.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
[2179] The Director of Public Instruction	9309	15-12-04	1-6

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
224	6-4-04	O		
160	8-3-04	S.C.		
103	11-2-04	O		
449	17-9-03	O		
689	22-12-00	S.C.		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

CURRENT No. 2179

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., 1889

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction, 1889

[Station] Madras 1889

N. Dis. on Cur No. 9309 of 04

Dated 15 December 1904

Enclosures one in original

Received 1904

Registered 1904



SUBJECT.

Submitting letter from the Principal, and Secretary, Lawrence
Asylum, Ootacamund, requesting sanction for raising the pay of
the Junior Sergeant from Rs 30 to Rs 50/.

BACK PAPERS.

10/60/04 Copy ✓
80 no 449 17-9-03 ✓
80 no 103 11-2-04 ✓
80 no 224 6-4-04 ✓

FURTHER PAPERS

N. Dis. 17-11-04

*The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department.*

Sir,

LOVEDALE P. O.,

Nilgiri District, 31st October 1904

No. 1765

FROM

THE PRINCIPAL AND SECRETARY,

OOTACAMUND LAWRENCE ASYLUM,

LOVEDALE,

TO

The Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

By direction of Committee of this Institution I have the honour to bring to your notice that the experiment of getting effective soldiers from the Regiment at Wellington, as Junior Sergeants, has proved a failure, as the men, on the slightest provocation, or when directed to perform any other work, request to be remanded to their Regiments, thus entailing constant changes and much inconvenience to the Asylum in consequence.

2.

Effective soldiers received Rs.30 per mensem from the Asylum and in addition, the pay of their regimental rank from the Regiment. But as the Committee has determined to return to the former usage of employing pensioned soldiers, the above mentioned salary will not be sufficient to secure an efficient and respectable man to take up the appointment. I am therefore directed to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to raise the pay of this appointment to Rs.50 a month as in former years. The Committee, in anticipation of sanction, have engaged the services of a Sergt. Instructor in the Nilgiri Volunteer Rifles who is retiring on pension.


I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Aug. W. H. Kinson

Principal and Secretary.



N. Dis on Cur No. 9309 of 04 d/15 December 1904.

Submitted to Government.

2. There is no provision for this additional charge in the sanctioned budget for the current year.

S. Sathyanathan
For Director of public Instruction.

S.P.
14-12-04

C. No. 2179 From the Director of Public Instruction No. 9309 dated 15-12-1904.

SUBJECT.

Increase of pay of the junior
Sergeants in the Lawrence Asylum,
Ootacamund.

Page 3 of the Current file

The Committee of the Lawrence Asylum, Ootacamund, represents that the present system of getting effective soldiers from the Regiment at Wellington as junior Sergeants has proved a failure and therefore propose the employment of military pensioners in their stead. The reasons for the proposed change are contained in para. 1 of the Committee's letter on page 3 of the current file. The effective soldiers employed as junior Sergeants now draw in addition to their regimental salary an allowance of Rs. 30 per mensem and this amount of Rs. 30 p.m. is, it is stated, insufficient to secure the services of an efficient and respectable military pensioner. The Committee therefore request the raising of this sum to Rs. 50 p.m. & states that in anticipation of sanction it has engaged the services of such a pensioner.

2. There are now 2 junior Sergeants in the Lawrence Asylum and both of them used to draw in former years Rs. 50 per mensem. vide portion marked with blue chalk in page 13 of G.O. no. 106 Educational, dated 10th March 1903. But in its letter no. 1121 of the 28th August 1903, the Committee stated that the pay allowed by the Asylum to these officers had been reduced from Rs. 50 to Rs. 30 a month.

Please see the portion marked
on page 9 of G.O. no. 1608 B
8-3-04

D

① G.O. no. 4492 C
17-9-03

his decision of the Committee, however, operated adversely only in the case of the junior of the two Sergeants and left untouched the pay of the Senior. This inconsistency was pointed out in the notes connected with G.O. no. 449 Educational, dated 17th September 1903 and a reference ^{to the Committee} was proposed. It was then considered unnecessary and the proposal as submitted by the Committee was approved - vide G.O. no. 449 Educational, dated 17th September 1903.

3. The present proposal therefore ~~proportionally~~⁴ tantamountly to the restoration of the original scale with this difference that when the pay of one of the posts in question was reduced the saving effected was distributed between the Senior Sergeant and the Gymnastic Sergeant, and that if the proposal is sanctioned it will increase the expenditure by about Rs. 240 per annum as pointed out by the D.P.S. There is no provision in the Lawrence Asylum budget for meeting this specific charge and reappropriation will be necessary. The Committee

with ^{may} have to be asked to state how it proposes to meet this charge.
As to whether the increases in pay allowed to the Senior Ryugasaki Sergeant, or? he continued.

4. The question for consideration now is whether the increase should be sanctioned. It is submitted that as the officer has already been engaged there ~~does not~~ appears to be no other ^{alternative} ~~for~~ but to sanction the proposal. The Director does not, it is pointed out, however give his opinion on the proposed increase. orders are requested as to whether his opinion should be obtained before sanctioning the proposal.

ms6

2012/4

21/1/20

Merits of proposal: submitted

that the Committee are the best judges of

Sec 4

that woman may have a son

this out. \$21.12 04

子

[3 E.]

1,500-7-10-03.

C. No. 2179 From the D.P.O.

8
No 9309, dated 1572 1904

SUBJECT.

Increase of pay of the junior Sergeants in the
Lawrence Asylum, Ooty.

Page 3 of the Current file.

The Committee of the Lawrence Asylum, Ooty, represents that the present system of getting effective soldiers from the Regiment at Wellington as junior Sergeants has proved a failure and therefore proposes the employment of Military pensioners in their stead. The reasons for the proposed change are contained in para 1 of the Committee's letter on page 3 of the current file. The effective soldiers employed as junior Sergeants now draw in addition to their regimental salary an allowance of ₹30 per mensem & this amount of ₹30 per mensem is, it is stated, insufficient to secure the services of an efficient & respectable Military pensioner. The Committee therefore requests the raising of this sum to ₹50 p.m. & states that in anticipation of sanction it has engaged the services of such a pensioner.

2. There are now 2 junior sergeants in the Lawrence Asylum & both of them used to draw in former years ₹50 per mensem-vide portion marked with blue chalk on page 13 of G.O.No.106 Edl. d/10th March 1903. But in its letter No.1121 of the 28th August 1903, the committee stated that the pay allowed by the Asylum to these officers had been reduced from ₹50 to ₹30 a month. This decision of the Committee, however, operated adversely only in the case of the junior of the two sergeants & left untouched the pay of the senior. This inconsistency was pointed in the notes connected with G.O.No.449

* Please see the portion marked
on page 9 of G.O. no. 160 Edl. B
8-3-04

⊙ In G.O. no. 449 Edl. C
17-9-03

G.O. No. 449 Edl. d/ 17th September 1903 & a reference to the Committee was proposed. It was then considered unnecessary and the proposal as submitted by the committee was approved. G.O. No. 449 Edl. d/ 17th September 1903.

3. The present proposal therefore is tantamount to the restoration of the original scale with this difference that when the pay of one of the posts in question was reduced the saving effected was distributed between the senior Sergeant & the Gymnastic Sergeant & that if the proposal is sanctioned it will increase the expenditure by Rs 240 per annum, as pointed out by the D.P.I. there is no provision in the Lawrence Asylum budget for meeting this specific charge and reappropriation will be necessary. The Committee may be asked to state how it proposes to meet ^{this} charge during the current year & whether the increases in pay allowed to the Senior & Gymnastic Sergeants should be continued.

4. The question for consideration now is whether the increase should be sanctioned. It is submitted that as the officer has already been engaged there appears to be no other alternative but to sanction the proposal. The Director does not, it is pointed out, however give his opinion on the proposed increase. Orders are requested as to whether his opinion should be obtained before sanctioning the proposal.

N.L.R.
20-12-04.

V.K.
21-12-104.

Merits of proposal. Submitted that the Committee are the best judges of the matter.

Source of payment: Submitted that Committee may be asked to point this out.

Secretary.

A.G.
21-12-04.

ADJ
22/12/04

Agreed
23/12/04

401. Left blank
22/12/04

23/12/04
23-12-04

23/12/04
9

The proposal of the Committee of the Lawrence Asylum is approved.
2. The Committee will be requested to state how it proposes to meet this charge during the current year.

C. No. 2179, From the D.P.D., No 9309, dated 15-12-1904.

ORDER No. 770, dated 26.12.1904

The proposal of the Committee
the Lawrence Asylum is ^{Sanctioned} ~~approved~~

2. The Committee will be request
State how it proposes to meet the
charge during the current year.

$\frac{w2R}{23.174}$
 $\frac{19.6}{23.12-4}$

3/1/05

To the Committee of the Lawrence Asylum, Oct.,
thru' the D. P. S.

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

The proposal of the Committee
the Lawrence Asylum is approved.
The Committee will be
asked how it proposes to meet
change during the current year.