[E.]
800—17-12-02.

Educational (1904) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 755

DATED [illegible]

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Gov

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E]
500—10-2-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
Qadri Badshah Miyan		12-9-04	1—4
memo.	525	26-9-04	
D.P.I.	8438	13-12-04	5-7

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

umber.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
13	24-10-99	O.		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

[E./1]

1,000—23-12-01.

1

Educational (1904) Department.

3

CURRENT No. 1652

From

[Name] Qadir Badshah Miyan

[Designation] Triplicane

[Station]

N

~~Lodged~~. Endorsement no. 5258 26-9-04

Referred to the D.P.I. for enquiry & rep

PH 24-9-04

Desp 24/9/4

Ru 24/9/04

Despd 28/9/4

PH 23/9/4

~~[A Copy of the petition is stated to have been forwarded to the D.P.I.]~~

No.

Received 15—9—1904

Dated 12—9—1904.

Enclosures 1

Registered 16—9—1904

SUBJECT.

Complaining against ~~Regarding~~ the appointment of Saiyid Ab Rahman as 3rd Asst: master, Govt: Muham Training School, Madras.

2

To

The Chief Secretary

to Government

Respected Sir

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
15 SEP 1904
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

I most humbly beg to bring the following few lines to your Honor's kind consideration and justice.

That in 1899 or 1900 Mr. A. A. Hall the Principal of Teachers' College Saidapett published in the Fort St. George Gazette in 3 issues wanted a matriculate candidate for a 3rd Asst's master's post in Govt. Muhdn Training School Mount Road Madras. Several matriculates applied all Muhammadans among whom one Mirzan Hyder Hussain was also an applicant. But that one Saiyid Abdur Rahman who passed the late Middle School Examinations in the Second class & he was employed in Lord Harris School Royapetta to teach ~~the~~ 2nd Standard on Rs. 14. He was also an applicant for the above post. Mr. A. A. Hall laid aside the petitions of University passed candidates and thus recommended the above middle school man.

2. If Mr. A. A. Hall's intention was to appoint a Middle School candidate of 2nd class, there was no necessity of publishing in the above said Gazette. [illegible] there were several [illegible]

& so also of Mirza Hyder Husain's, a Matriculate, whose petition may be found in the Director's Office record.

3. After rejecting the petitions of capable passed candidates, the petition of one Saiyid Abdur Rahman, a middle school of the 2d class was unjustly taken into consideration and was at once exempted from the Govt. Examinations and immediately sent to the Teachers' ~~[illegible]~~ college for training within the period of six months. There were many teachers waiting for this post of Rs. 20. who were not only passed Higher grades & also already trained.

I in consequence respectfully crave that the above Saiyid Abdur Rahman who is a menial by birth & whose relations are all notorious drunkards, vagabonds and gamblers, never taking into consideration the post was awarded to him by merely partiality and bribery and a sum of money presented to the Head clerk of Inspector of European training Schools. All these facts of injustice and bribery was then committed and th is unaware

all these Rea le ummie

P. 7132 A
24-11-79

tuition to the children of Big & wealthy merchants named Sardar and Dada Khan who pay him monthly Rs 20 and thus he amasses nearly Rs 40 per mensem.

Further I request that your worship and Excellency may be pleased as to enquire the matter & get rid off the above insignificant person.

With regard to his private tuitions the Head Master is aware of all facts and his conduct is such that he never cares the head master or any body he is so proud and he has given a false ~~settlement~~ statement to the head master that he is not at all going on any private tuitions. Pray that enquiry may be made for the truth of the above facts through the Director of Public Instruction and the Inspector of European & Training Schools. Copies same has been forwarded

to the ab In enquiri

case of Said Abdur Rahman who merely fit for a mastership in outstation Municipal Schools on Rs 9 or 10. and thus give room to the passed Muhammadan Candidates who are longing for the job of Rs 20. and encourage the Muhammadan Education.

I beg to remain
Most Respected Sir
Your most obedient servant
Qadir Badsha Mean
At No 29 B. Puranah Bagh, Triplicane
Madras

12th September 1904

5

Stock Form No. 151
5,000—13-7-03.

Educational

Local & Munl (1904) Department.

5

CURRENT No. 2168.

From
[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,
[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,
[Station] Madras

A/ m12

Ref on Cur. No. 8438 of 04
Dated 13 December 1904.
Enclosures one in original

Received 190 .
Registered 15-12-1904

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT
14 DEC 1904

SUBJECT.

Submitting with remarks Government Endorsement No. 525 Edl. d/ 26th September 1904 regarding the appointment of Saiyyad Abdur Rahman as third master in the Government Muhammadan Branch Training School, Madras in the year 1899.

BACK PAPERS.

Orn 525 /69

HER P APERS

6

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.
~~*Local and Municipal Department.*~~

Sir,

In returning the petition referred to me for remarks with endorsement No. 525 Educational dated 26th September last, I have the honour to state that when the post of third master, Muhammadan Branch Training School, Madras, fell vacant in 1899, the vacancy was advertised in the Fort St. George Gazette by the Principal of the Teachers' College and applications from qualified candidates were invited. Five candidates applied - two being Matriculates and three men who had passed either the Lower Secondary or the Middle School examination - and the applications of all these candidates were submitted to this office by Mr. Hall with the request that one of them might be appointed. Of the two Matriculates, one - Mirza Haidar Hussain - was then a student of the F.A. class and Dr. Duncan appointed the other man who was a teacher in a school in Secunderabad. But this man having subsequently declined the post on the ground that the Manager of the school in which he was employed was not -- willing to relieve him, Saiyid Abdur Rahman, who was then a teacher in the Harris High School, Rayapet was appointed, his exemption from the examination rules having been sanctioned in G.O. No. 713, Educational dated 24th October 1899. He was first appointed on probation subject to the condition of his undergoing training and qualifying for a trained -- teachers' certificate. This he did and as Mr. Hall reported favourably of his work, he confirmed in the appointment. Mr. Stone who was re lted also speaks of Saiyid Rahman as a fa

on page 19 ct. file

A.

---

7 6

2. In regard to the latter portion of the petition alleging that the teacher has undertaken private tuition, I beg to state that Saiyid Abdur Rahman denies that he does any such private work.

The Headmaster says that Saiyid Abdur Rahman is doing private work but that he cannot prove it.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Satthianadhan
For Director of Public Instruction.

V.I.
13-12-04.

[E. / 2]
1,500—7-10-03.

7

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1652 From ~~the~~ Qadir Bashah Miyan No ...., dated 12-9- 1904.

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Saiyid Abd-ur-Rahman as third Assistant, Govt. Muhammadan Training School, Madras.

In this petition a serious allegation is made against the head Clerk of the Inspector of European & Training Schools, that he has taken a bribe. It seems desirable that the petitioner should either substantiate the statement or take the consequence. Copies of the petition are stated to have been sent to the Director & the Inspector. Orders are solicited whether the Director should be asked to call upon the petitioner to substantiate his statement and if he cannot to have him prosecuted.

2. Saiyid Abd-ur-Rahman was however appointed as third master in the Muhammadan Branch Training school with effect from the 15th September 1899 - G.O. No 713 Edl dt 24th October 1899 - whereas the Inspector of ~~Training~~ European & Training Schools began work only towards the close of 1903 - page 523 History of services of Gazetted officers / but the man who is now Head Clerk to the Inspector was no doubt in Govt service in 1899.

A.

RJ
21/9/4

3. If so [illegible]

applied for

made in the application for Saiyid Abdurahman's exemption that "no qualified candidates were available" was a false statement.

A

4. There are thus two matters to be investigated

(1) Did a matriculate apply for the post?

(2) Did the Head Clerk take a bribe?

Hg 22.9.4

Sec 9

The petn may be referred to the D.P.I. for enquiry and report. There should be no difficulty in ascertaining the truth of the first point.

HWD
23.9.04

Please see draft on the docket of the current.

PH
23/9/4

Yes
Hg
24

[Endt. no. 525 d/ 26.9.04].

From the D.P.I. no. 8438 d/ 13.12.04.

With reference to the Endt: above, the Director reports that when the post of third master in the Muhammadan Branch Training School, Madras, fell vacant in 1899, the vacancy was advertised in the Fort St. George Gazette by the Principal of the Teachers' College, ~~and~~ that applications from bona fide candidates were received ~~in two~~ from

who had passed

Would there be a case? He says 'Head Clerk', he does not specify, does not refer specifically to the present head clerk
Hg

passed either the Lower Secondary or the Middle School Examination — and that these applications were duly submitted by the Principal to the Director. Of the two matriculates — one declined the offer and the other, viz., Mirza Haidar Husain was then a student in the F.A. Class and was presumably not available. Unless this were so the statement in Col: 6 of the application disposed of in G.O. no. 713 Est. d/ 24—10—99 cannot be considered as strictly correct ~~though~~ Even if the latter matriculate had been chosen he too should have been exempted from the requisite teachers' certificates.

He should have been asked in any case
Hg

A.
Hg

2. The Director does not report on the allegation made by the petitioner against the Head clerk of the Inspector of European and Training schools that he has taken bribe. Should the Director be now asked to call upon the petitioner to substantiate this statement and if he cannot, to have him prosecuted?.

Would there be a case? He says 'Head Clerk', he does not specify; does not refer specifically to the present head clerk
Hg

Rn
19/12/4

There seems no necessity to refer this point again to the D.P.I. The Director's letter shows that when the appointment in question was made, i.e. in Sept: 1899 the Muhammadan Branch Training School, Madras, was under the supervision of Mr. Hall, Princi

Teache

[Against this argument please see the A.S.'s answer last 1 of the notes] of the Inspector of European and Training schools whose office came into existence only in November 1903. The allegation as regards bribery is therefore malicious and the question to be considered now is whether it is worth the while of Govt: to have the petitioner prosecuted for bringing in a false charge of corruption against a public servant.

Rubbish
Q.

against whom?
H

As regards the charge that the teacher in question has been ~~engaging himself in~~ giving private tuition without permission no substantial proof is, it is stated, forthcoming.

H 20.12.4

Q 20/12/4

Sec 4

Order Mis No 755 dt 21-12-04

Recorded with the remark that it does not appear that the appointment was offered to Mirza Haidar Hussain as it should have been.

2. As the ~~[illegible]~~ work of Sayed Abdurrahman is reported to be satisfactory there is now no ground for interference. The D.P.I. will doubtless take steps to warn the man against taking up private tuition without proper sanction.

W 21-12-04

Please type office copy in a separate paper.
TB

Would then be a copy of the page "that [illegible]" in does not specify; does not refer [illegible] of the [illegible] what [illegible]
H

2152

[E/12]
1,000—24-9-04.

9

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. .... , dated .... 190 .
" " " " .... , " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1652, From the Qadir Bashah Naigar, No ...., dated 12—9— 1904.
" " 2168, " " D.P.I., " 8638, " 13—12— 1904.
" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " .... 190 .

ORDER Mis No. 755, dated 21-12 1904.

Recorded with the remark that it does not appear that the appointment was offered to Mirza Haider Husain as it should have been.

2. As the work of Sayed Abd-ur-Rahman is reported to be satisfactory there is now no ground for interference. The Director of Public Instruction will doubtless take steps to warn the man against taking up private t

Despd 23-12-04

for copying ______
ed ______
nined ______ 190 .
ned ______

O., No. , DATED
19 .

r No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.