[E.]

800—17-12-02.

Educational (1904) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 753

DATED 21. 12-04

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption from the Ed. rules.

Declining to exempt. Mr. Soundararaja aiyangar from the Ed. rules for employment as Sub inspector in the Salt. dept.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E]
500—10-2-04.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
Board of Reve.	381 Settl	23/11/04	8

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. __________

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, &c., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
623	தி 14-11-04			
333	தி 3-6-04			
86	தி 18-2-03			
340	தி 7-6-02			
282	தி 21-5-01			
218	தி 28-5-00			
381	தி 9-7-97			

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (=S. C.).	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

PRICE, 1 pie.

500
20-11-01.

Educational ~~Revenue~~ (1904) Department.

CURRENT No. 2069/3

From

The Secretary to the Commissioner of
Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,
Madras.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
25 NOV 1904
SECRETARIAT.

papers put up 21/11

Ref on P.R. No. 381/salt
Dated 23rd November 1904.
Enclosures __________

Received __________ 190 .
Registered 25-11-1904.

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government with support petition from M.S. Soundararaja Aiyangar, praying for a reconsideration of the order passed in G.O.No.623, dated 11th October 1904 regarding his exemption from the Examination rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O. 176 தி 26-3-01 Mis " 623 தி 11-10-04 " " 86 Mis தி 18-2-03 " 333 " தி 3-6-04	282 Mis தி 21-5-01 Mis 218/ தி 28-5-00 Mis 381 Est தி 9-7-97

RM
25-11

FURTHER PAPERS.

3

PRICE, 1 *pie*. 500/10-7-03.

on P.R.
Reference No. 381/salt. dated 23rd November 1904.

In replying the above should be quoted.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE, MADRAS.

4

(Separate Revenue.)

The Hon'ble Mr. H. BRADLEY,
1st Member, Board of Revenue, &
Commissioner of Salt, Abkari & Separate Revenue.

READ

Read petition from M.S. Soundraraja Aiyangar son of M.R.Ry.R.Srinivasa Aiyangar, Inspector, Salt, Abkari and Customs Department, Negapatam Circle, dated 2nd November 1904.

Reference:-

In forwarding a petition from M.S.Soundraraja Aiyangar to His Excellency the Governor in Council the Board begs to request that the Government will be pleased to reconsider the order passed in Government Order No.625, Educational, dated October 11th 1904.

Flag O.

2. The petitioner is a young man of excellent physique 5 feet 5 inches in height with a chest girth of 36 inches, can ride and cycle, and is physically far superior to most of the recruits who join this Department.

3. Mentally he is quite equal to the average of Matriculates. He read in the National High School, Trichinopoly, from February 1897 to December 1899, in the 5th Form

of the S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly, for the next year, in the Matriculation class at the Victoria College, Palghat, for the year ending January 1902 and in the Basel Mission High School, Tellicherry, until August 1905. It will be seen that these frequent changes of schools which were due to his father's transfers have made it more than usually difficult for him to pass the Matriculation Examination. It may be added that he was until comparatively recently an only child.

What's the point? that he was spoilt in consequence?

4. The Commissioner has seen the petitioner twice and considers that he has profited by his five years' experience in the Matriculation Classes of his various schools, and that he is in no way inferior in his educational qualifications to the ordinary Matriculate.

5. The Board therefore requests that the Government will be pleased to exempt the petitioner from the Examination rules for service in the Salt Department.

R A Graham

SECRETARY.

24/11/04

To the Secretary to Government,
Educational Department (with 1 enclosure).

C.K.

Exd. by
24.11.04

24/11/04

5

To

HIS EXCELLENCY
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
FORT SAINT GEORGE.

Through

The Board of Revenue, (Separate Revenue),
CHEPAUK, Madras.

The humble petition of M. S. Soundraraja Aiyangar, son of M.R.Ry. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Inspector of Salt, Abkari and Customs Department, Negapatam Circle, most respectfully showeth:-

May it please His Excellency,

Flag. O

In obedience to Government Order, communicated to the petitioner's father with Southern Division Deputy Commissioner's D. No. 2847, dated 26th October 1904, declining to grant the petitioner exemption from the examination rules, he most respectfully ventures to approach His Excellency with the following humble prayer, which under the circumstances herein set forth, he trusts will be granted.

Flag. S

2. The petitioner in the first instance submitted a petition to the Board of Revenue, (Separate Revenue), as per G.O. No. 456 (Public), dated 15th May 1902, which, he presumes, received kind and strong support from the hands of Mr. W.A. Fowler, the Deputy Commissioner, Southern Division, and the Hon'ble Mr. Herbert Bradley, I.C.S., after their being personally and fully satisfied as to the petitioner's capability and fitness to enter life as a Sub-Inspector. But the Government, to the petitioner's great

Flag. O

great regret, refused the exemption sought for. If the prayer for exemption was refused on the ground that the petitioner is an out-sider and does not belong to the Department, the petitioner begs to submit that if they had thought it worth while the above two officers who so strongly recommended him for his permanent exemption would not have in the least hesitated to offer him any place below the rank of a Sub-Inspector in which case he would in his own turn be recommended for exemption from the examination rules as Petty Officers and Amins of the Department are now being done. But the position which his father holds stands as an impediment in the way of his entering life as a Petty Officer or any such appointment equal to it and it would also be much beneath his dignity and social position in life. Further he begs to submit that he is in no way inferior to these Petty Officers and Amins who are now being exempted and appointed Sub-Inspectors and who are more than 95 in number. A perusal of the Establishment List by His Excellency will prove the fact. Further there are something like 45 permanent Sub-Inspectors, who are all exempted.

In what dignity can a failed matriculate aspire! Ky

3. In this connection, the petitioner humbly begs to invite the attention of His Excellency to the exemption granted to Mr. R.V. Krishna Rao Naidu, son of Mr. Dharma Rao Naidu Garu, late Deputy Commissioner of Salt, Abkari and Customs Department, Northern Division, who was appointed as an Assistant Inspector to start with evidently in consideration of his father's meritorious work in the Department. Another instance, so far as the petitioner is aware of, is one A.A. Manikkam Pillay, son of a certain Subadar (Military), who was recently exempted by His Excellency on the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner, Southern Division, and the Board, and appointed as Sub-Inspector. When the son of a Deputy Commissioner was

In G.O. No. 381 Ext. 9-7-97 F.

K. Tiruvenkataswami?
In G.O. No. 86 Ext. 18-2-03 G

appointed

appointed as Assistant Inspector and a Subadar's son a Sub-Inspector, it is not, the petitioner begs to submit, too much for him to pray and crave the mercy of His Excellency to provide him with a Sub-Inspector's place to start with duly exempting him from the examination rules. If a concession of this kind is not granted in the department in which the petitioner's father has worked hard and established a name, about which the petitioner's father has dwelt at length in his petition, dated 1st September 1904, where can he possibly hope to get it?

4. If His Excellency so desires as to be personally satisfied regarding the capability and fitness of the petitioner to enter life as a Sub-Inspector, the petitioner is at any time prepared to appear before the Council.

5. In consideration of the fact that the petitioner is fit both mentally and physically to enter life as a Sub-Inspector, to which he was strongly recommended both by the Deputy Commissioner, Southern Division, and the Hon'ble Mr. Herbert Bradley, I.C.S., that he has learnt something of the departmental work, that he will not in any way find it difficult to cope with the hardy out-door life, that he is an able horseman, about which he has already stated in his and father's petitions to the Board and His Excellency on 8th July 1904 and 1st September 04, respectively, that the Petty Officers and Amins who are exempted from the examination rules are numerous, that there are already something like 45 such permanent Sub-Inspectors in the department, that exemption is being granted to sons of officers of the Government as in the cases of ~~Mr~~. R.V. Krishna Rao Naidu and Subadar's son, as explained in para 3 supra, and lastly that he is the only son of mature age to his father who has rendered good services in the department and who desires to see him (the petitioner) make a decent start in the department before

he

he retires from service, the petitioner humbly begs to approach His Excellency to reconsider the order passed on his father's petition and exempt him from the examination rules.

For which act of kindness and charity the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

The petitioner begs to remain,
His Excellency's most obedient and
humble servant,

Madras,
2nd November 1904.

K.S. Soundrarajam

SALT

DEPT REGR. No. 381 88

From

M. S. Soundraraja Aiyangar,
Son of M.R.Ry. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar,
Inspector of Salt, Abkari and
Customs Department,
Negapatam Circle.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY
The GOVERNOR in COUNCIL,
FORT St. GEORGE, MADRAS.

Through

The Board of Revenue,
(Separate Revenue),
Chepauk, Madras.

Put up pre papers

2.11.04

RSG
2/11/04

Dated the 2nd of November 1904.

2 NOV 1904

Subject.

Praying His Excellency the Governor in Council to reconsider the order passed on the petition of M.R.Ry. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar, dated 1st September 1904, for the reasons stated.

10

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

[$\frac{\text{E.}}{2}$]
1,500—7-10-03.

C. No. 2069 From the Board of Reve: S.R. No 381/Salt, dated 23-11-1904.

SUBJECT. Exemption from the examination rules.

In their Proceedings No. 623 Edl: of 11-10-04 the Govt: declined to accept the Board's recommendation in regard to the exemption of M. S. Soundararaja Aiyangar from the examination rules for Employment in the Salt, Abkari and Customs Depart: Please see ~~their~~ remarks on page 4 of the G.O.

2. The Board of Revenue now forwards a petition from Soundararaja Aiyangar and requests that the Govt: will be pleased to reconsider the order above and exempt him from the examination rules on the ground that he is a young man of excellent physique and far superior to most of the recruits who join the Department, and that mentally he is quite equal to the average of matriculat

Paras: 2 & 3 of the Bd's: Procs: on page 3 of the cl. file.

3. The petitioner bases his claim entirely on his fathers' services. Against the preceden quoted by him in para: 3 of his petition, may be cited the cases of (1) Purushottama Pillai, son of Rao Bahadur T. Pattabirama Mudali, a retired Deputy Collector and (2) T. P. Subra-many

at Page 6 of the cl. file

B.

Subrahmanya Pillai, son of T.V. Ponnuswami Pillai, formerly a judge of the Court of Small Causes - in both of which the Govt: refused to grant the exemption - Vide G.O. no. 218 Edl: d/ 28-5-'00 and no. 282 Edl: d/ 21-5-'01. Attention is specially invited to the notes on page 7 of the former G.O. In the view of the decision arrived at therein there seems, in the present case, to be no sufficient ground for a reconsideration of the order passed in Proceedings no. 623 Edl: d/ 11-10-'04 as applied for. Orders are solicited.

E.

B

E

B.

O.

HDS

MR

Sec 4

Ru
1/12/04

Please see the man's petition: he thinks his social standing should be set off against his inferior education; he says it would be "beneath his dignity" to "enter life as a petty officer". Submitted that social standing is no excuse for failure to pass examinations; on the contrary. His father was drawing a good pay and so was able to keep him for five years in Matriculation classes; if he could not pass the examination; that is probably to be put down to laziness or stupidity.

Q
2/12/04

If a man enters on his duties in this spirit, he is scarcely fit for the public service

HDS
10/12

Aiyanga

Submitted that the Commissioner's testimonial to his mental capacity - after seeing him

twice - is not of much value; the Commissioner's opinion, however, as to special physical fitness, deserves consideration; and the man might be appointed a petty officer on the ground of special physical fitness and promised exemption for promotion if, after a period of say two years, he is favourably reported on. - If he wants a commission and can't pass his exams, he should prove his value by good service in the ranks; and airs of dignity in boys who have been failures at school should, it is submitted, not be encouraged, whatever the merits of their fathers.

AG 3.12.04

AG

Hon. Mr. Bradley personally mentioned the case to me as one deserving of reconsideration. I fully agree with Mr Gillett's remarks, that, if the applicant is to be exempted, he should prove his personal fitness for promotion by hard work as petty officer.

HDS
10.12.04

HM.

I agree

Draft please

MR
12/12/04

1123

16.12.1904

The draft placed below is submitted for approval

Submitted that the draft go to R

accch

Sec 4

Edl Eto no. 626
15-12-04

Ru
13/12/04

Returned on 20-12-04

Desp 15.12

Q
13/12/04

4

1123R

Revenue Dept.

To Secy:

Submitted that there is no objection in this Dept. to the acceptance of the Draft.

Cdb.
17.12.04

Accepted.

17.12.04

Issue Draft.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
20 DEC 1904
SECRETARIAT.

4

[E./12]

1,000—24-9-04.

12

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

10

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 623 Edl. dated 11—10—'04. 190 .

" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2069, From the Board of Reve: S.R., No 381/Salt, dated 23—11—1904.

" " , " " , " " , " 190 .

" " , " " , " " , " 190 .

ORDER No. 753, dated 21.12.1904

Mis.

Desh. 21-12

The Board is informed that the question of exempting the applicant from the Examn. rules of as a Sub-Inspector for employment in the Salt, Abkari and Customs Department, will be considered, should he first prove his ~~personal~~ fitness for promotion by good service in ~~the~~ any subordinate ~~hard work as a Petty Officer in the~~ post ~~position~~ in the Department ~~for~~ ~~Departt. in the first instance~~.

which he is qualified to fill

13/12/4

4

Revenue Dept.

To Secy.

Submitted that there is no objection in this Dept. to the acceptance of the appl.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT . . A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

No.

The Board is informed that the question of exempting the [illegible] as a sub-inspector [illegible] for employment in the Salt [illegible] and Customs Department will be considered, should he pass ~~[illegible]~~ for promotion ~~good conduct in the duly subordinate [illegible]~~ ~~[illegible] in the Department~~ ~~[illegible] in the first [illegible]~~ which he is qualified to

[illegible]