[R.]
590—?-8-04.

Educational (1904) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 732

DATED 14. 12. 04

(12)

C

N. P.

INDEXED

ORIGINAL

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reformatory School, Chingleput.

Stating that the request of R. Muniswami Nayudu, head peon, —, that he may be treated as belonging to Superior Service, cannot be complied with.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1904} of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

2

Recd. } 1904. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 732, 14th December 1904.

N. P.

DECEMBER.

Reformatory School, Chingleput.

Stating that the request of B. Muniswami Nayudu, head peon, —, that he may be treated as belonging to superior service cannot be complied with.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 678, Educational, dated 10th August 1895.

Read—the following paper:—

From the Hon'ble Mr. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated Madras, 1st July 1895, No. 6999.

The Superintendent, Reformatory school, Chingleput, requests that the service of the head peon of his school may be considered superior under section 435 of the Civil Service Regulations as his pay exceeds Rs. 10—the pay of the appointment being Rs. 15—and as his position is quite equal to that of a head warder in the Jail department, whose service is considered superior under the section quoted above. I beg to submit herewith a statement furnished to me by the Superintendent showing the nature of the work done by the head peon of his school as compared with that of a head warder in the Jail department, from which it will be seen that the duties and responsibilities of the former do not seem to be in any way inferior to those of the latter. It seems to me, therefore, that the non-inclusion of the head peon's appointment in the schedule annexed to the section quoted above is merely an omission. If my surmise is correct, I beg to request that the service of the head peon of the Reformatory school may be considered superior under the Civil Service Regulations.

Enclosure.

Nature of work done by the head peon of the Reformatory school, Chingleput, as compared with that of a head warder in a Jail.

Nature of head peon's duties and responsibilities.	Nature of chief warder's duties as laid down in the Jail Code.
The head peon, or chief peon, as he is designated in the school rules, is subject to the general control of the Deputy Superintendent, the head of the peon establishment and responsible for its working and for the due carrying out of all rules relating to it. He sees that the peons are at their respective posts during the day and that they perform their duties bringing at once every irregularity he may observe to the notice of the Deputy Superintendent. He maintains the peons' night duty roster. 2. He is invariably present at the first or principal locking-up hour to help the Deputy Superintendent in counting the boys and locking them up, and he is also present at the unlocking hour. 3. He has to call the roll of the boys three times a day when they are not engaged in general education or industries. 4. He weighs every boy on the first Sunday of each month and enters his weight in the weighment register which he produces to the Deputy Superintendent on the following day. 5. He keeps the dhoby's account as regards the thin clothes of the boys. 6. On every alternate Sundays he takes charge of the boys on their evening walks from and to the school. 7. He obtains from the bazaar all petty ordinary articles of school use such as kerosine-oil, ground-nut oil, lamp wick, chalk, ropes, etc., and all petty materials for the manufactory departments, such as nails, paddy husk, bratties, sizing rice, etc., all of which he is in charge of. 8. He superintends the shaving of the boys' heads once a month, and also their oil baths. 9. He is generally the Deputy Superintendent's assistant in looking after the cleanliness of the premises and of the latrines, and in such other duties as the Deputy Superintendent may need help.	*Rule* 225 *of the Jail Code.*—"The chief warder is, subject to the general control of the Jailor, the head of the warder establishment and responsible for its working and for the due carrying out of all rules relating to it. He shall supervise the drill and practice in musketry of the men, the attendance of the standing guard, the mounting of sentries, reliefs, etc. He shall keep the attendance, duty, clothing and other rosters and rolls of the warder establishment. He shall be responsible for the smartnes and cleanliness of his men and for their being at all times properly dressed. He shall invariably be present with the Jailor and, if necessary, assist at unlocking and locking up. He shall visit the guards and sentries frequently both by day and night, and make an entry of the hours of these visits and of any irregularities he may discover in his report book, which he shall maintain in form similar to that of the Jailor and submit daily to the Superintendent." *Rule* 226.—"He shall have charge of the whole of the arms, ammunition, uniform and equipment of every description of the warder establishment and of the accounts relating thereto. He shall be responsible for the cleanliness of the warders' lines, shall satisfy himself that the line latrines are kept in a thoroughly sanitary condition, and shall report the inhabitants of any hut which he finds to be dirty."

No. I.A.E. 182, dated 18th July 1895.

Submitted to Government. Service as "messengers, orderlies and peons and other petty officers" under article 434 of the Civil Service Regulations is inferior; but the Government, if it so desires, can move the Government of India to include the head peon in the list given in article 435, or when the time arrives for pensioning him it can take action in accordance with article 440 (*a*) of the Regulations.

F. C. HARRISON,
Offg. Accountant-General.

Order—No. 678, Educational, dated 10th August 1895.

The Government considers that sufficient cause has not been shown for moving the Government of India to include the head peon of the Reformatory school in the list given in article 435, Civil Service Regulations.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant-General.

G.O., No. 408, Educational. dated 7th July 1896.

Read—the following papers :—

From Surgeon-Major H. A. F. NAILER, M.B., Superintendent, Reformatory school, Chingleput, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated 20th February 1896, No. 310.

I have the honour to state that in its order, dated 10th August 1895, No. 678, the Government considers that sufficient cause has not been shown for moving the Government of India to include the head peon of this school in the list given in article 435, Civil Service Regulations. I have since placed myself in communication with the other Reformatory schools in India to ascertain how the head warders in those schools are treated. The Superintendents of the Reformatory schools at Hazaribagh, Alipur, Yerrowda and Bareilly have written to say that all their head warders are in superior service; and this is clear from article 435, Civil Service Regulations, because head warders in the Jail department are included amongst the officers in superior service under that article.

2. As all other Reformatory schools in India continue to be managed by the Jail department, the term " head warder " which corresponds with the term " head peon " in this school, has been retained in those schools; and the officers holding the former appointment are considered to belong to superior service ; but because the officer who holds a corresponding post in this school is called " head peon " in order to avoid the nomenclature used in jails, that he should be deprived of the privilege given to the same class of officers in other Reformatory schools, is, I submit, an anomaly which has only to be pointed out to Government to be rectified. In these circumstances I request you will be so good as to address Government once more on the subject of having the head peon of this school placed on the superior service schedule.

No. 3742, dated 26th March 1896.

Submitted to Government for favourable consideration.

D. DUNCAN,
Director of Public Instruction.

Memorandum No. 854, Educational, dated 22nd April 1896.

The Director of Public Instruction will be requested to ascertain whether the duties of the head peon in the Chingleput Reformatory school are entirely similar to those of head warders in other Reformatory schools, and if not to detail such differences as exist between them.

(By Order.)

W. FRANCIS,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

From Surgeon-Major H. A. F. NAILER, M.B., Superintendent, Reformatory school, Chingleput, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated 9th May 1896, No. 1073.

I have the honour to reply to your endorsement No. 4867, dated 27th April 1896, on the enclosed memorandum No. 854, dated 22nd April 1896, from Government. The work of head warders is not the same in all the other Reformatory schools. At Yerrowda there is one chief head warder on Rs. 25 and two head warders on Rs. 15 each ; at Alipur there are three head warders on Rs. 30, Rs. 15 and Rs. 12, respectively ; at Hazaribagh there are a chief head warder on Rs. 20, and two head warders on Rs. 10 each, and at Bareilly there is only one head warder whose salary is not stated in the Superintendent's letter to me. All these chief and head warders belong to the superior service. There is, however, only one head peon in this school on Rs. 15. The special constitution of this school which works on lines different from those of the other Reformatory schools, does away with the necessity of such a highly paid officer as one in the position of a chief head warder, but the duties and responsibilities of the head peon in this school are greater than those of a head warder.

2. The chief duties and responsibilities of the head peon are those detailed in the comparative statement forwarded with this office letter, dated 1st May 1895, No. 816. As there is only one head warder at Bareilly it would be well to compare the duties of that officer with those of the head peon in this school. At Bareilly the Superintendent reports the head warder is " the head of the warder establishment and is under the Deputy Superintendent, responsible for the discipline of the warders and of the boys in the school. He also teaches the boys drill. In a general way his duties are similar to those of a senior head warder in a jail." It is too much to expect the head peon to be responsible for the discipline of the boys. This responsibility is shared by the whole establishment from the Deputy Superintendent down to the last peon ; the head peon of course has his share of the responsibility also. The head peon does not teach drill nor does any of the head warders at Hazaribagh, because there, as in this school, there is a separate drill instructor. In other respects the duties of the head warder correspond with those of the head peon ; but in addition to those duties the latter has to call the roll of the boys three times a day, weigh the boys once a month and register their weights, is in charge of petty stores, attends to sanitary arrangements and in short he is the only assistant of the Deputy Superintendent in all matters except office work and literary and technical education.

No. 6125, dated 8th June 1896.

Submitted to Government with reference to official memorandum No. 854, dated 22nd April last.

D. DUNCAN,
Director of Public Instruction.

Order—No. 408, Educational, dated 7th July 1896.

The Government sees no reason to reconsider its previous orders in the case.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Read—also the following paper:—

From the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Accountant-General), dated Madras, 16th August 1904, Ref. on Cur. No. 5260.

I have the honour to submit, for the favourable consideration of Government, a petition from B. Muniswami Nayudu, head peon in the Reformatory school, Chingleput, praying that he may be treated as belonging to "superior" service as defined in article 397 of the Civil Service Regulations. Government have already been twice addressed on the subject but on both occasions the head peon's prayer was rejected on the ground that sufficient cause had not been shown—*vide* G.Os., Nos. 678, Educational, dated 10th August 1895, and 408, Educational, dated 7th June 1896. The head peon's prayer now is that, if Government are not disposed to move the Government of India to treat the appointment itself as falling under "superior" service, his case for the purpose of pension may, in view of his past good services, be treated as a special one. If the designation of his appointment were head warder he could be regarded as a "superior" servant under the Civil Service Regulations and he would as such be eligible for a pension when he retires from service. But unless this is done he could be given only a gratuity although his pay (Rs. 15) as head peon is above the maximum limit of pay for "inferior" servants. It would appear that prior to his appointment as head peon in the Reformatory school he had been employed as head warder in the Jail department for upwards of two years, that in that capacity he gave satisfaction to his superior officers, and that he even acted as Deputy Jailor for a month on a salary of Rs. 20. If he had not been transferred with the Reformatory to the Educational department and had continued as head warder in the Jail department he would clearly be treated as a "superior" servant. He has apparently now been deprived of this privilege by the mere change in the designation of his office. In the other Reformatory schools in India the designations "head guard", "chief warder" and "head warder" appear to have been retained and the appointments are still classed as "superior", while in the Reformatory school at Chingleput these designations have been given up in order to avoid the nomenclature adopted in jails. Otherwise, these appointments appear to be quite similar, the duties discharged by the head peon in the Reformatory school at Chingleput being in all important respects the same as those devolving on head warders, &c., in the other Reformatory schools, as already represented to Government in the correspondence read in the Government orders quoted above. I do not think it was intended when the change of designation was made that the incumbent of the office should lose the pensionary privileges of his appointment.

Enclosure.

Petition from M.R.Ry. B. MUNISWAMI NAYUDU, head peon of the Reformatory school, Chingleput, to His Excellency the Governor in Council (through the Superintendent, Reformatory school, Chingleput, and the Director of Public Instruction, Madras), dated 7th June 1904.

Most respectfully sheweth,—That the petitioner is the head peon of the Reformatory school on a salary of Rs. 15 per mensem. His term of service extends over 37 years, and he is prepared to retire if he is able to secure a pension under the rules.

2. Under the schools rules, the appointment of head peon rests with the Director of Public Instruction like other officers of superior service in the said school. The Reformatory school at Chingleput was originally in charge of the Inspector-General of Jails, and it was then that his services were transferred from the Jail department to the Reformatory school. He acted as head warder in the late District Jail at Chingleput and would have been confirmed in that appointment if he had remained in the Jail department.

3. In 1887, the Reformatory school was transferred to the Educational department. When this change was effected, his designation was changed into that of "head peon," in keeping with the nomenclature adopted by the department, while the duties appertaining thereto became more responsible.

4. The petitioner respectfully submits that for the mere change of designation from "head warder" to "head peon," to entail a degradation from superior to inferior service is a hardship after his long and approved service.

5. The petitioner respectfully submits that he is entitled to pension under the rules of superior service, he having practically served as head warder, although the designation was subsequently changed to suit the requirements of the Educational department.

6. Petitioner prays that His Excellency the Governor in Council will be pleased to admit him to superior service in view of the special circumstances of his case or if this is not practicable to treat his case as a special one.

7. The petitioner continued in this department even after the change alluded to in paragraph 3 under the impression that he would be entitled to the privileges of a head warder in the Jail department.

8. The petitioner has been discharging his duties to the best of his ability and to the satisfaction of his superiors.

For which act of kindness and charity, the petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

No. Pen. V. 285/6937, dated 19th September 1904.

Forwarded to Government.

2. This office can add nothing to the remarks already submitted on the letter from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, disposed of in G.O., No. 678, Educational, dated 10th August 1895.

W. DOBBIE,
Accountant-General.

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Memorandum No. 545, Educational, dated 4th October 1904.

With reference to his letter No. 5260, dated the 16th August 1904, the Director is requested to be so good as to report the age of B. Muniswami Nayudu, head peon of the Reformatory school at Chingleput.

(By Order.)

A. GALLETTI,
Ag. Asst. Secretary to Government.

From the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., Director of Public Instruction, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated Madras, 11th November 1904, Ref. on Cur. No. 5260.

With reference to memorandum No. 545, Educational, dated 4th October 1904, I have the honour to report that the age of B. Muniswami Nayudu, head peon of the Reformatory school, Chingleput, is 56 years and 10 months.

2. Since submitting my letter I have been at the Reformatory and feel sure that the applicant deserves consideration. He holds a very responsible position. The maintenance of discipline and order and prevention of escapes depend very largely upon the peon.

Order—No. 732, Educational, dated 14th December 1904.

The petitioner will be informed that his request cannot be complied with.

(True Extract.)

H. D. TAYLOR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Exd. P. Cunniah.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 732, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 14TH DECEMBER 1904.

[SUBJECT.—*Service of the head peon, Reformatory School.*]

I

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 6999, *dated* 1*st July* 1895.

For orders as to whether the concession sought should be granted. As the Accountant-General points out the sanction of the Government of India is necessary, and it is for consideration whether that authority should be addressed now, or action should be taken under Civil Service Regulations, article 440 (*a*), when the man's pension application comes in; the latter course seems preferable.

L. DAVIDSON—2–8–95.

J. F. PRICE—3–8–95.

There is not sufficient ground for asking the Government of India to include the head peon in the list given in article 435. His duties seem to be those of a head peon generally. The head warder has more responsibility.

J. G[ROSE]—5–8–95.

[G.O., No. 678, Educational, dated 10th August 1895.]

II

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 6125, *dated* 8*th June* 1896.

There is insufficient ground to go up to the Government of India with the recommendation that the head peon should be treated as a superior servant. There is a great difference between a head warder and the head peon. The former is in charge of the whole of the arms, ammunition, uniform and equipment of every description of the warder establishment and of the accounts relating thereto and supervises the drill and practice in musketry of the men, the attendance of the standing guard, the mounting of sentries, reliefs, etc. These are responsible duties, nothing similar to which the head peon has to perform. The head peon on the other hand is in charge of some small stores; but as there are already two store clerks in the school, there is no necessity for this arrangement.

T. KANAKASUNDARAM—19–6–96.

W. FRANCIS—29–6–96.

I do not think that a sufficient case is made out, save that at other Reformatories head peons are in superior service.

J. F. PRICE—2–7–96.

J. G[ROSE]—4–7–96.

[G.O., No. 408, Educational, dated 7th July 1896.]

III

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 16th October 1904, No. 5260.

The Director forwards, for favourable consideration, a petition from B. Muniswami Nayudu, head peon, Reformatory school, Chingleput, praying that either he may be treated as belonging to superior service as defined in article 397 of the Civil Service Regulations or his case for the purpose of pension may be treated as a special one.

2. Attention is solicited to the notes and orders in G.Os., No. 678, Educational, dated 10th August 1895, and No. 408, Educational, dated 7th July 1896. On the first occasion the Government considered that sufficient cause had not been shown for moving the Government of India to include the petitioner's appointment in the list given in article 397 [then 435] of the Civil Service Regulations. On the second occasion the Government saw no reason to reconsider their previous orders in the case.

3. It does not appear that the position has now been changed in any way or that sufficient cause has been shown for a reconsideration of the original orders. It is true that if the petitioner had remained in the Jail department he would have had chances of becoming a head warder and of obtaining pension on the scale admissible for superior servants, but probably his pay as head peon in the school, under the Educational department, was higher than what he was getting as warder in the Jail department and he preferred to accept the higher pay. It seems therefore that he should also accept the consequences. The pay of the warder establishment will be found on page 99 of the Civil Budget Estimate for 1904–1905.

P. T. Tharyan—29–9–04.

The previous decisions were on the ground that the duties of the Reformatory head peon were not as responsible as those of a head warder in a jail.

A. Galletti—30–9–04.

The petitioner never held the permanent post of head warder in "jails". The statement that he would be confirmed in that appointment is mere surmise.

2. The only ground for reconsideration is that urged by the Director of Public Instruction, viz., that in other Reformatory schools head warders are treated as being in superior service, but this was duly considered when passing G.O., No. 408, dated 7th July 1896.

3. The Director of Public Instruction may be asked to report petitioner's age. He has 37 years' service and must be nearly or over 55. If his post is to be treated as "superior" service he should obtain sanction for extensions after 55.

H. D. Taylor—1–10–04.

The draft placed below is submitted for approval.

Raman Unni Nayar—1–10–04.
P.T.T.—1–10–04.

Issue.

A.G.—3–10–04.

[Memorandum No. 545, dated 4th October 1904.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 11th November 1904, No. 5260.

The Director reports that the peon is now 56 years and 10 months old. Under G.O., No. 370, Financial, dated 1st May 1888, the Director has power to permit non-gazetted officers to remain in the service up to the age of 60 years.

2. The Director further states that since writing his first letter he had been to the Reformatory school; that the peon holds a very responsible position; that the maintenance of discipline and order and prevention of escapes depends very largely upon him and that his case deserves consideration.

T. Kanakasundaram—19–11–04.
V. Krishnaswami—19–11–04.

A. Galletti—22–11–04.

The opinion of the Director of Public Instruction as to the responsible nature of the head peon's duties may be accepted and India may be addressed?

H. D. Taylor—22–11–04.

Can't we call him head warder without reference to India?

2. But I doubt if we can do anything for this man now that he is nearly two years over the 55 years' limit.

3. If he is now designated head warder and retained till 60, will his back service count for pension as superior service?

G. S. F[orbes]—25–11–04.

Note please.

H.D.T.—28–11–04.

The Government can, it seems, order, without reference to the Government of India, that the post of head peon of the Reformatory school should be designated as head warder and if it is ordered that this sanction should have retrospective effect then the service of Muniswami Nayudu would count for pension as superior service. It is however better to have the opinion of the Accountant-General in the matter and the file may go u.o. to him for remarks.

T.K.—30–11–04.
V.K.—30–11–04.

A.G.—30–11–04.

To the Accountant-General.

H.D.T.—1–12–04.

The alteration of the head peon's title to that of head warder will not get over the difficulty as it is only head warders in the Jail department who are declared to be in superior service if their pay exceeds Rs. 10. Head warders in other departments are not included in the above declaration. I am afraid a reference to the Government of India will be necessary if it is desired to include the appointment in superior service.

W. Dobbie—7–12–04.

To the Honourable Member.

H.D.T.—8–12–04.

I would drop the proposal. The present man must retire shortly; he is over 55: and we cannot justly give him now the advantage of superior service. And I am not convinced that the head peon of the future need be in superior service.

G.S.F.—10–12–04.

Draft please.

H.D.T.—12–12–04.

A draft is placed below for approval.

T. KANAKASUNDARAM—13-12-04.
V. KRISHNASWAMI—14-12-04.

Issue.

A. GALLETTI—14-12-04.

[G.O., No. 732, Educational, dated 14th December 1904.]

Stock Form No. 127
2,000—12-2-03.

Educational (1904) Department.

(Through the Accountant-General)

732
14-12-04

CURRENT No. 1691

INDEXED No.....

From

[*Name*] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] M a d r a s.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
17 AUG 1904
MADRAS

1918

S.D.

Ref No. on Cur No. 5260 of 04

Dated 16th A u g u s t 190 4

Enclosures one

Received 21-9- 1904

Registered 21-9- 1904

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the favourable consideration of Government, a petition from B. Muniswami Nayudu, Head peon in the Reformatory school, Chingleput, praying that he may be treated as belonging to 'Superior' service as defined in article 397 of the Civil Service Regulations.

BACK PAPERS.

To 678: 10 8-95 Mis
= 408: 7-6 96 "

FURTHER PAPERS.

Perm 260
24.8.04.

Stock Form No. 127
2,000—12-2-03.

Educational (1904) Department.

CURRENT No. 1989

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction

[Station] Madras

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL
12 NOV 1904
SECRETARIAT.

Ref. No. Cur No. 5260 of 04 Received 190 .

Dated 11th November 1904 .

Enclosures Registered 12.11. 1904

SUBJECT.

Reporting the age of B. Muniswami Nayudu, Head peon of the Reformatory School, Chingleput.

BACK PAPERS.

Memo 5465/04

FURTHER PAPERS.

TR 12-11.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

(Through the Accountant-General)

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for the favourable consideration of Government a petition from B. Muniswami Nayudu, Head peon in the Reformatory School, Chingleput, praying that he may be treated as belonging to 'Superior' service as defined in article 397 of the Civil Service Regulations. Government have already been twice addressed on the subject but on both occasions the Head peon's prayer was rejected on the ground that sufficient cause had not been shown-Vide G.O.'s Nos. 678, Educational, dated 10th August 1895 and 408, Educational, dated 7th June 1896. The Head peon's prayer now is that, if Government are not disposed to move the Government of India to treat the appointment itself as falling under 'Superior' service, his case for the purpose of pension may, in view of his past good services, be treated as a special one. If the designation of his appointment were Head Warder he could be regarded as a 'Superior' servant under the Civil Service Regulations and he would as such be eligible for a pension when he retires from service. But unless this is done he could be given only a gratuity although his pay (Rs 15/) as Head peon is above the maximum limit of pay for 'inferior' servants. It would appear that prior to his appointment as Head peon in the Reformatory School he had been employed as Head Warder in the Jail Department for upwards of two years, that in that capacity he gave satisfaction to his superior officers, and that he even acted as Deputy Jailor for a month on a salary of Rs 20. If he had not been transferred with the Reformatory to the Educational

X 4

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 545 Educational dated 4th October 1904 I have the honour to report that the age of B. Muniswami Nayudu, Head peon of the Reformatory School, Chingleput is 56 years and 10 months.

2. Since submitting my letter I have been at the Reformatory and feel sure that the applicant deserves consideration. He holds a very responsible position. The maintenance of discipline and order and prevention of escapes depend very largely upon the peon.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

E. Sabhanadhan

for Director of Public Instruction.

9-11-04

5 Educational Depr.

Telephone 10

From the Head clerk, Educational Department,

To the Head clerk, P. W. D.

—

Please say whether there has been any correspondence quite recently about the utilisation of the site of the old Salt and Abkari Assistant Commissioner's office at Calicut. If so, will you please refer me to the papers?

Depr
W 1/5

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE. 6

Date, 10-1 *190*5

Hours *Minutes.* 11

From	To
H. C. P.W.D.	H. C. E.D.

Reply

The papers connected with the site of the Old Salt & Abk. Asst. Commr's office at Calicut are not available immediately for reference being in a file sent to the Secy P.W.D. on tour.

[illegible]
10/1/5

7

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

12

...N G.O., No. ...., dated .... 190 .

" " ...., " .... 190 .

...D ALSO:—

C. No. ...., From the D.P.I. ...., No 5260, dated 16th. Aug- 1904.

" " 1989, " " " ...., " " ...., " 11th Nov- 1904.

" " ...., " " ...., " " .... 190 .

7P

ORDER No. 732 dated 14. 12. 1904.

P. S.

Dest 14 12

The petr. will be informed that his request cannot be complied with.

J.R.
13-12-04

[initials] 14/12/04

[initials] 14-12-04

To

The D.P.I. Desp'd 3/1/5

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. 40 / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 190 , DATED

8P
Note 1-4

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

GOVERNMENT .. ..

GOVERNMENT.

DITTO.

ROLLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH ORIGINAL.

...ied.
... only.
... only.