(2)

Department of Agriculture

1



செப்பமிடுபவர் பெயர் அ. பாண்டி

செப்பமிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் மார்ச் 97

கே 9.7

சரிபார்க்கும் உ. பா. பி. கையொப்பம்

ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

Government {1904} of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

102/2

Read. *Regd.* } 1904. *Enclosures* *Spare copies*

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 102, 11th February 1904.

FEBRUARY.

Tamkam Bungalow.

Making arrangements for the payment to the University of Madras of Rs. 180 a year from the rent income of the — for the maintenance of the Johnston of Carnsalloch scholarships.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 365, Educational, dated 20th June 1902.

Abstract.—Stating that orders will issue in the Public Works department for a thorough inspection of the Tamkam bungalow at Madura and for drawing up a report showing the present value of the property, and the amount required for the repair of the building and for the provision of such additional accommodation as may be necessary to render it a suitable residence for the Collector.

Read—also the following papers:—

From C. J. USSHER, Esq., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, Trichinopoly, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works department, dated 5th August 1903, Dis. No. 490-M.D.

With reference to G.O., No. 2277 W., dated 19th August 1902, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of a report together with an estimate received on the 3rd instant from the Executive Engineer, Madura division, regarding the Tamkam bungalow.

2. The Executive Engineer estimates the value of the existing building and the land at Rs. 8,000 and states that a sum of Rs. 1,200 would be required for small improvements and alterations. The estimate submitted provides for the construction of a new dining and bed room raised on arches at a cost of Rs. 11,500.

3. With regard to the design for the proposed addition to the building I forward it as received, both in order to avoid further delay, and because what is required is merely a report as to the amount necessary to provide the additional accommodation. The objections to the design as it stands are (1) want of adequate means of communication between the dining room and the kitchen, and (2) no provision for store or matey rooms. The brick pier between the two large arches, if only 2′ 6″ thick, would have to support at its base a pressure of nearly 3·8 tons per square foot. The thickness of this pier would therefore have to be increased so that the pressure may not exceed 3 tons per square foot. A more suitable design for providing the additional accommodation would, I consider, be to have the dining room downstairs with the bed room above. This would involve the construction of another room or hall for the staircase between the drawing and dining rooms, but the cost would probably not exceed that of the design under reference. The cost of the two additional rooms required may, I consider, be taken to be Rs. 12,000.

4. The Executive Engineer does not explain the delay which has occurred in the submission of his report. I would, however, request that it may be overlooked as his time was greatly occupied with the Peranai suit, and latterly his health broke down from overwork.

102/3

Enclosure.

From H. T. KEELING, Esq., A.M.I.C.E., Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, Trichinopoly, dated 11th and 29th July 1903, No. 385-S.E.

[*Reference*—Your No. 1208/M.D., dated 22nd August 1902.]

I have the honour to forward, herewith, an estimate for improving the Tamkam bungalow. This estimate gives an additional two rooms (dining and bed rooms). But there is a certain waste of space in order to put the drawing room, present dining room (which will become a bed room) and the new rooms on the same level. An additional expenditure of Rs. 4,000 and slight alteration in design would give two more bed rooms on the ground floor and the total cost of the additions would become Rs. 15,500.

2. I estimate the value of the land and existing building at Rs. 8,000. This figure was agreed to as a fair figure by the Collector and the Director of Public Instruction in March last.

3. A sum of Rs. 1,200 would be required for small improvements and alterations.

4. The total cost, therefore, will be Rs. 20,700 under the abbreviated scheme or Rs. 24,700, say Rs. 25,000 under the larger scheme.

5. The estimate for the new bungalow comes to Rs. 32,000. The accommodation given by this estimate is drawing and dining room, three bed rooms, an office room and boudoir. With the larger scheme for extending the Tamkam the accommodation would be six bed rooms and a dining room *plus* drawing room and office room. With the smaller scheme now submitted the accommodation will be four bed rooms, dining and drawing and office rooms.

6. It seems to me therefore that it will be better to buy the Tamkam bungalow and add on to it than to build a new bungalow. The work will cost less and more accommodation will be obtained.

7. Supposing that the extensions do not meet with approval and it is determined to build a new bungalow, I still consider that Government should purchase the Tamkam bungalow as a residence or circuit house.

No. 1865 D., dated 14th August 1903.

Transferred to the Educational department with reference to G.O., No. 365, Educational, dated 20th June 1902.

S. B. MURRAY,
Under Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Endt. No. 464, Educational, dated 27th August 1903.

Referred to the Collector of Madura for remarks.

2. The trust-deed of the Tamkam property gives the Government absolute discretion to improve and manage the premises. It is therefore within the power of the Governor in Council to carry out the suggested additions from Provincial funds, raise the rent to Rs. 140 *per mensem*, pay out of this rent Rs. 480 a year to the University for scholarships and credit the balance to Provincial funds in repayment of the cost of improvements and for taxes, gardener and repairs. The Collector is requested to state whether he sees any objection to taking action in this direction.

(By Order.)

A. T. PRINGLE,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

From C. G. TODHUNTER, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to Government, Educational department, dated 31st October 1903, R. on C. No. 938-Bd. & Govt.

Replying to Government Reference No. 464, dated 27th August 1903, on the proposals for the improvement of the Tamkam bungalow at Madura, I have the honour

to report that, so far as concerns the financial part of the scheme referred to in paragraph 2 thereof, I consider that the proposals are most decidedly for the benefit both of the University, the Government and the occupant of the bungalow.

2. As regards the estimate I would beg permission to make a few remarks. The improvements and alterations hitherto made in this old building have, as the old correspondence shows, all been of the nature of makeshifts, and the net result is one of the most inconvenient and incommodious dwelling places for Europeans imaginable. The existing house consists of one fine reception room on a solid base which is reached by a steep flight of stairs with only a tatti covering, a dining room with its roof on three levels and pillars obstructing the centre of it, one good bed room with three dressing rooms above the reception room, and above that a small room, 100 steps from the ground, appropriately called the crow's nest. There is one steep, uneven and winding staircase for occupants, servants, waterman and sweeper. The upper rooms are in a state of dilapidation, and I believe it would cost more to thoroughly repair them and make them fit to serve permanently for European habitation than to build new ones.

3. Madura is a place where the Collector has many visitors, and I do not think it is too much to ask that his house should have a drawing room, dining room and office room, and five bed rooms, *i.e.*, two for himself and family, one for an assistant and two for guests. It will be seen therefore that the accommodation provided by the Executive Engineer's plan, which only gives one bed room in addition to those already existing, is insufficient.

4. After much thought and several consultations with the Executive Engineer I have come to the conclusion that the best means of providing this accommodation is to make one double bed room of the existing dining room, which is unsuited to its present purpose, leaving the room at the top of the house for a second for bachelors and to convert the rest of the existing upper storey, a great part of which I understand will have to come down in a year or two, into an office room, making a separate entrance from outside.

5. The rest of the accommodation necessary could then be afforded by adopting the more expensive of the Executive Engineer's plans and throwing out a wing at the back with a dining room and bed room above and two bed rooms below.

6. This plan would give a workable living house on one floor (and without the incessant travelling up and down stairs that the present house involves) with two rooms below and an office room and bachelors' quarters above. If any less accommodation is to be given I think a new house on the same plan as the District Judge's would be much to be preferred. The present upper storey is absolutely unsuited for a lady. I enclose a plan and estimate which the Executive Engineer has given me embodying the above proposals with the addition of some minor improvements, notably the addition of three more stalls and another coach-house to the stables, the present accommodation of four stalls being insufficient in a place where horses tied outside are very liable to suffer from a stroke of the wind and where the occupant frequently needs to find accommodation for the horses of assistants and others coming into head-quarters. The cost works out to Rs. 17,200 which would mean a rent of Rs. 160, but I consider that the extra accommodation would be well worth it and I think that the great majority of Collectors would agree with me. If Government considers it extravagant it could be reduced by the omission of provision for a covered way to the kitchen and part of the provision for additional stable accommodation to Rs. 16,000.

7. I would request the favour of very early sanction of these proposals as it is one of the greatest drawbacks of this Collectorate that the Collector has no suitable place to work in either in his office or his house. I have more than once been reduced to working in a tent in my own head-quarters. I trust therefore that it will be possible to put the work in hand by January at latest.

8. The enclosures to the Government reference under reply are herewith resubmitted.

102/4

Order—No. 102, Educational, dated 11th February 1904.

In a letter No. 516, dated 18th February 1901, the Registrar of the University drew the attention of Government to the fact that the University had of late years received no receipts from the rents of the Tamkam bungalow towards the payment of the Johnston of Carnsalloch scholarships. The Registrar stated that the bungalow would apparently be shortly given up as a residence for the Collector of Madura, and suggested that the bungalow should either be sold and the proceeds handed over to the University or that the Government should take over the property and guarantee to the University an annual income sufficient to maintain the scholarships.

2. Under the Deed, dated 6th May 1872, by which the Tamkam bungalow was conveyed to the Secretary of State for India in trust, the Government is required to maintain, and, if necessary, improve, the property, and to apply the residue of the rent, if any, after defraying maintenance charges, to the purposes of the scholarships. After carefully considering the suggestions conveyed in the letter of the Registrar of the University, the Government has determined to maintain the bungalow in accordance with the terms of the trust-deed. The bungalow will continue to be used as the residence of the Collector of Madura, and the Public Works department will be informed that no further action need be taken in regard to the proposed construction of a fresh residence for that officer. Such additions as are reported to be necessary will be made to the accommodation in the bungalow, and the rent to be levied from the Collector will be proportionately enhanced as soon as these additions are made, but the Public Works department will be requested in framing the estimates for these additions, if possible, to limit them to such an amount as will not raise the monthly rental above Rs. 160. The whole rent will, as hitherto, be credited to Provincial funds, the cost of subsequent maintenance and municipal rates and taxes being charged against it, but as far as possible the payment to the University of an annual sum of Rs. 480 for the maintenance of the Carnsalloch scholarships will be regarded as a first charge on the rents, and future maintenance charges should, if possible, be so regulated as to enable this contribution to be regularly paid as soon as the rent is raised.

3. Orders will issue in the Public Works department for the provision of the additional accommodation indicated in Mr. Todhunter's letter No. 938, dated 31st October 1903, but the plan of the proposed additions is not entirely suitable and will need revision. This will be carried out in the Public Works department.

(True Extract.)

A. G. CARDEW,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Registrar, University of Madras.
" Public Works department, with plans and estimates.
" Collector of Madura.
" Accountant-General.
" Director of Public Instruction.

Ed. A. F. Eccleston.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

102/5

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 102, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 11TH FEBRUARY 1904.

[SUBJECT.—*Tamkam Bungalow, Madura.*]

From the Public Works Department, No. 1865 *D., dated* 14*th August* 1903.

Please see the notes connected with G.O., No. 365, Educational, dated 20th June 1902. In accordance with the decision arrived at by Government on page 5 of these notes, the Public Works department was requested to arrange for a thorough inspection of the Tamkam bungalow and for a report showing the present value of the property, and the amount required for the proper repair of the building and for the provision of such additional accommodation as may be necessary to render it a suitable residence for the Collector. With the letter read above the Superintending Engineer now forwards this report together with an estimate from the Executive Engineer, Madura division.

2. The value of the existing building and the land is estimated at Rs. 8,000, but a sum of Rs. 1,200 is required for small improvements and alterations. Further, the estimate submitted provides for the construction of a new dining and bed room at a cost of Rs. 11,500.

3. The Superintending Engineer takes exception to the design of the proposed addition to the building, but this is a detail which need not now be considered. In any case the cost of the proposed addition will not, it is stated, exceed that of the design under reference.

4. The questions for consideration are (1) whether the money needed for the improvement of the building should be advanced from provincial funds in the first instance and subsequently recovered from the receipts of the Tamkam property, (2) whether the improvements should be carried out from Provincial funds and the increased rent then leviable credited proportionately to the University and the Government, or (3) whether the Secretary of State should be moved to vest the building in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments, so that it may be subsequently sold to Government for Rs. 8,000 and the proceeds, after deducting existing liabilities, invested for the benefit of the University. The objection to the first of these proposals is that it will plunge the fund into liabilities which it will take many years to clear off. The objection to the second proposal is obvious. Joint ownership will lead to complications and is undesirable. In the third case as the building and lands can fetch only Rs. 8,000 the net proceeds available for investment, after the sums now due to Government (about *Rs. 2,000) are deducted, will be only about Rs. 6,000. This will yield Rs. 210 *per annum*, but the University will not apparently mind the smallness of the amount—*vide* Registrar's letter on pages 4 and 5 of G.O., No. 365, Educational, dated 20th June 1902. In seems therefore that this proposal is the most suitable for adoption.

I still venture to think that this is desirable but understand that it has been once and for all negatived.

A. T. PRINGLE.

*In August 1900 the amount due was Rs. 3,800, since then Rs. 2,500 was paid but some additional expenditure was incurred. The exact amount of this expenditure is not known but it will not be over Rs. 700.

P. T. THARYAN.

P. T. THARYAN—19-8-03.

The Tamkam bungalow question is like "the poor," and always with Government. Will Secretary note on this case, as my proposals (3) in the past were, it seems, considered inept, and as he has personal knowledge.

A. T. PRINGLE—19-8-03.

The cost of repairs and additions to the Tamkam house is estimated at Rs. 12,700, while the value of land and buildings is placed at Rs. 8,000, or a total of Rs. 20,700, while the estimate for a new residence is over Rs. 30,000. It would, therefore, be more economical to acquire and retain the Tamkam house, and it would certainly be preferable in the interest of the occupants to do so. I believe Mr. Todhunter agrees with me that it should be retained, and I see that Mr. Weir expressed the same view in the notes in G.O., No. 305, Educational, dated 20th June 1902.

2. The sum necessary to keep up the University scholarships appears to be Rs. 480 per annum. If Rs. 8,000 are invested at 3½ per cent., the income will be only Rs. 280 per annum. In order to yield Rs. 480 per annum, a capital sum of about Rs. 13,700 is needed. Even if this sum were recognized as the value of the building, the total cost of acquisition, repair and extension would be only Rs. 26,400 as against Rs. 30,000 odd for a new house.

3. But if acquisition is considered likely to lead to legal or other difficulties, the ownership of the house can be left as it is and the additions be carried out to the Trust property. If this is done and if the Government treats the Scholarship as having only a secondary claim, the whole rent will continue to be swallowed up in Public Works charges for alterations. It should, therefore, be laid down that the Government will, apart from and without recognition of any legal obligation, ordinarily set aside Rs. 480 per annum from the rent for scholarship purposes.

4. There will then remain, even on the present rent of Rs. 100 per mensem, a sum of Rs. 720 per annum for repairs, etc. But if Rs. 12,700 are spent on additions, the rent might, I think, be raised to Rs. 140 per mensem. There would then be a net sum of Rs. 1,200 per annum, as at present for taxes, gardener and repairs, and Government could always decline to allow more than this to be spent on repairs.

The Trust-deed (printed in G.O., No. 365, dated 20th June 1902) gives Government absolute discretion to improve and manage the premises. Government can, therefore, clearly carry out the suggested additions. It can also raise the rent to Rs. 140 per mensem, and by executive order it can declare that it won't allow more than Rs. 1,200 a year to be spent on repairs, taxes, etc., and that Rs. 480 must ordinarily be paid to the University. This course avoids any legal difficulty and will satisfy the University, while meeting Honorable Member's fear that the acquisition of the building will lead to perpetual demands for further improvement.

A. G. Cardew—28-8-03.

The objection to the above is that a declaration to not allow more than Rs. 1,200 for repairs is of no value when more than Rs. 1,200 is necessary. Remit the papers and the above suggestions for the remarks of the Collector.

J. T[homson]—25-8-03.

The draft placed below may issue.

P. T. Tharyan—25-8-03

A. T. Pringle—26-8-03.

A. G. C.—26-8-03.

[Memo. No. 464, dated 27th August 1903]

From the Collector of Madura, No. 938, dated 31st October 1903.

The Collector is in favour of retaining the Tamkam bungalow and improving it by providing additional accommodation. He considers that the additions proposed by the Executive Engineer are not sufficient and proposes to have some additional bed

102/6

rooms, a covered passage to the kitchen, an additional coach house and three more stalls. The cost of these additions is estimated at Rs. 17,200 which would mean a rent of Rs. 160. If this is considered extravagant, it can be reduced, the Collector says, to Rs. 16,000, by omitting the kitchen and part of the provision for additional stable accommodation.

2. Orders are solicited as to whether the proposals of the Collector may be approved. He desires to have the work put in hand by January next at the latest.

T. Kanakasundaram Pillai—11-11-03.

P. T. Tharyan—13-11-03.

The Collector is in favour of the proposals made in my note of 23rd August 1903, and the only point he raises is as to the nature of the alterations to be carried out. The Collector's recommendations as to the alterations may be accepted, and the Public Works department may be asked to revise * the plans and estimates accordingly.

* The estimates submitted by the Collector will have, I suppose, to come back through the Superintending Engineer.

A. G. Cardew.

2. I fear there isn't much chance of the work being put in hand by January. That might have been possible if the plans and estimates already framed had been accepted.

A. G. Cardew—13-11-03.

Yes. I think the best plan is to do as proposed by the Secretary, but Government should fix such a rent as to be no loser; the rules admit of our charging up to 8 or is it 10 per cent. of salary: and we must remember that occasionally there may be a Collector on Rs. 1,800. If he is only acting in that grade, however, we should apparently be unable to recover the proper rent. Circulate to Hon'ble Mr. Stokes.

G. S. F[orbes.]—21-11-03.

I think the arrangement proposed by the Secretary may be accepted but the rent should not be made greater than Rs. 160 a month in any event.

2. I think the plans are villanous and must be revised. With one side closed largely with dressing rooms and the other a passage for servants and the whole tucked away under the main building the lower story will be an abominable place to live in. I think the addition should be slightly detached and that its axis should be at right angles to that proposed in the present plan. The passage from the kitchen could then be brought up to the end of the dining room where the necessary maty's room could also be provided. A better staircase leading to the lower story should also be provided.

G. S[tokes]—21-11-03.

The draft placed below may issue with the concurrence of the Public Works department. It is submitted that it may be left to the Public Works department to issue orders as to the exact way in which the plans are to be revised. Copy of the notes and orders will go to that department which will then see what is wanted.

T. K.—26-11-03.

P. T. T.—27-11-03.

A. Gallett—27-11-03.

A. G. C.—1-12-03.

To the Public Works department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Referred to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for favour of opinion whether there is any audit objection under Public Works Code regulations to the acceptance of the draft order.

It will be observed—

(1) that it is intended to spend Provincial Public Works funds on a building which is trust property;

(2) that it is proposed to limit the rent to Rs. 160 per mensem as a maximum; and

(3) that a certain portion of the rent recoverable will be diverted from Public Works receipts.

R. PARSONS.

S. B. MURRAY—12-12-03.
W. B. DEWINTON—14-12-03.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts with file.

The question of spending Provincial money on a trust building was referred to in my predecessor's note No. 293 A/A, dated 1st March 1902, when it was suggested that legal advice should be taken on the question whether Government would incur any undue liability by spending money on a trust building virtually taken over on a permanent lease. When this question is settled and the necessary additions have been made rent should be calculated under Code rules taking the Government expenditure as Capital cost and the Rs. 480 to be paid to the University as rent to be added to the cost of repairs.

2. If it is settled that the additions are to cost Rs. 16,000 the amount to be added to repairs on account of "rent" would then be 3 per cent., and this added to the Government Interest (3½ per cent.) and the average cost of repairs (3 per cent.) would make the total rent to be charged about 9½ per cent. or Rs. 127 a month.

3. It would be inadvisable to limit the rent to be paid as there would then be no check on the further capital expenditure on the building.

C. R. T. BALSTON—18-12-03.

Will the Educational department please consider the Examiner's remarks which are concurred in by the Public Works department. His calculations as to the rent to be charged should, however, be modified as follows:—

	Rs.
(1) The University scholarship	480
(2) The cost of annual repairs, including gardener's pay, house and water tax, and land revenue assessment, i.e., 3 per cent. on Rs. 24,000.	720
(3) Interest at 3½ per cent. on Rs. 16,000	560
Total	1,760
or say Rs.	1,800.

This works out to a rental of Rs. 150 per mensem.

2. There is a further consideration. Even supposing the law officers advise that there is no legal objection to spending Provincial money on this building, would the Government be justified, on general grounds, in doing so; the owner of

the property being other than Government? It is conceivable that the Government of India may object to the expenditure of public money on a private building even under the special circumstances disclosed in these notes.

R. PARSONS.

W. B. DEWINTON—28-12-03.

To the Educational department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that, under the Trust deed printed in G.O., No. 365, Educational, dated 20th June 1902, the Government should, by such ways or means as it thinks fit, order or direct the management and improvement of the Tamkam property. The Government can also do all such acts and things respecting the management and improvement of the premises as if it were their absolute owner. No legal opinion seems therefore to be necessary in support of the action which the Government has decided to take in respect of the property.

P. T. THARYAN—6-1-04.

This appears to be an advance of an unusual character and of a large amount to be made to a Corporation. If so, it requires the sanction of the Government of India under Civil Account Code, article 116. The Corporation in this case is only distinguishable from the State by a legal fiction; the sanction required is therefore merely formal, and work may be begun in anticipation of sanction.

2. The rent may be fixed in the manner suggested by the Public Works department after the proposed extension has been carried out?

A. GALLETTI—7-1-04.

The terms of the trust-deed do not appear to have been fully appreciated. Perusal of the document in G.O., No. 365, dated 20th June 1902, shows that the Government is the owner of the building. Thus, the preamble recites that it is intended that "the said premises shall be effectually vested in the said Secretary of State," and the indenture goes on to declare that the first party to the deed (Mr. Campbell-Johnston of Carnsalloch) "doth hereby convey, release and convey assign and confirm the premises unto the Secretary of State." The building therefore does not seem to be "a private building" as the Public Works department note suggests. Nor would expenditure on it be "an advance to a Corporation," as the Assistant Secretary states. The building appears to be a building fully vested in Government upon certain trusts, viz., to apply the residue of any rent, after paying maintenance charges to the Johnston of Carnsalloch scholarships.

2. In these circumstances, it is not quite clear what question there is to refer to the law officers, and before going further the acceptance of the draft order may perhaps be further considered in the Public Works department. If any reference to the Advocate-General is still thought desirable, the point to be referred may be made as definite as possible.

A. G. CARDEW—8-1-04.

To the Public Works department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Forwarded to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for favour of further remarks with reference to the Educational department note, dated 8th January 1904. Assuming as there stated that the Government is the owner of the building, please state whether there is any audit objection to—

(1) the expenditure of Provincial funds on its improvements, and

(2) the diversion of the rent proceeds from the Public Works department revenue accounts, and their appropriation for scholarship or other educational purposes.

2. It has already been suggested by you that there should be no limit placed on the amount of rent to be paid as proposed in the draft order. It is presumed that this suggestion stands in any case.

3. There is a further point for consideration. If this is a Government building as supposed, why should the interest on capital outlay be calculated on a portion of the capital cost, *i.e.*, on Rs. 16,000, instead of on Rs. 8,000 + Rs. 16,000 = Rs. 24,000?

R. PARSONS.

S. R. MURRAY—16-1-04.

Assuming the building is practically a Government building there will be no audit objection to—

(1) the expenditure of Provincial funds on improvements, and

(2) the diversion of the rent proceeds to meet the endowment.

2. The suggestion that the rent should not be limited except under the 10 per cent. rule stands good.

3. Interest at 6 per cent. on Rs. 8,000 is already charged in the rent calculation and no further charge on account for interest should, it is considered, be made; but the charge of 3 per cent. on the total capital cost for repairs seems correct.

C. R. T. BALSTON—21-1-04.

Forwarded to the Educational department with an expression of concurrence in the Examiner's views. The draft order is accordingly accepted, subject to the omission of the following words in paragraph 1:—

"subject to the condition that it does not exceed Rs. 160 per mensem", for which might be substituted the following:—

"but will in no case exceed 10 per cent. of the salary of the occupant as required by Public Works Code, rule 1068 I (*b*)."

2. As regards the proposed 10 per cent. limit, it will be seen from the calculations in the office note that if the capital expenditure is limited as proposed to Rs. 8,000 + Rs. 16,000 or Rs. 24,000 the actual rent payable will fall far short of 10 per cent. of the Collector's pay. It will only be Rs. 150 per mensem against Rs. 230, the 10 per cent. limit.

R. PARSONS.

S. R. MURRAY—26-1-04.

W. B. DEWINTON—27-1-04.

To the Educational department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The alteration in the draft which the Public Works department suggests may be accepted and the draft may be issued.

T. KANAKASUNDARAM PILLAI—2-2-04.

The substitution proposed by the Public Works department does not seem to fit in well with the rest of the draft. It has therefore been slightly altered in form and the draft as thus altered has been typed and kept below.

P. T. THARYAN—2-2-04.

The Hon'ble Mr. Stokes should see? He proposed the Rs. 160 limit.

A. GALLETTI—3-2-04.

The building being a Government building, the rent must necessarily be regulated by the rules laid down in the Public Works Code, and the only effective limit to such rent is the 10 per cent. limit imposed by rule 1068 I(*b*). That of course, is fully understood.

2. But what the Hon'ble Mr. Stokes meant in his note, dated 24th November 1903, doubtless was that the additions and alterations to be carried out should be

102
18

so limited as to prevent the rent exceeding Rs. 160 per mensem. This limitation would be supplementary to, and would in no way override or interfere with, the application of rule 1068 I (*b*) of the Public Works Code.

3. I am afraid this was not clearly brought out in the draft previously sent to the Public Works department and hence a misunderstanding has arisen. I now have put up a revised draft which I think expresses the intention more clearly, and which the Public Works department may now be asked to accept. It will be noticed that both the limitation of the future rent to Rs. 160 per mensem and the proposal to give the University a first charge on the rent of Rs. 480 per annum are merely general directions, not absolute orders. All that is meant is that if possible a larger sum may not be spent on the additions than will be met by an extra rent of Rs. 60 per mensem, over and above the rent of Rs. 100 per mensem now paid, and that if Government can so arrange, it will ordinarily give the University Rs. 480 a year as an endowment for the scholarship. If the house were burnt down, or if other causes necessitated extensive repairs, it would, of course, be impossible to adhere to this undertaking, which merely states the intention of Government, if it is possible to carry it out.

A. G. CARDEW—3-2-04.

To the Public Works department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The revised draft is accepted in the Public Works department. The position is now quite clear.

R. PARSONS.

W. B. DEWINTON—8-2-04.

To the Educational department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Issue soon please.

A. G. CARDEW—11-2-04.

[G.O., No. 102, Educational, dated 11th February 1904.]

Encl I fwd to Madura Collector's Letter Roc No. 938 dt 25 August 1903
Pf 31 Collr 1903

5,000—30-7-03.

Educational

Public Works (190 3.) Department.

1

Pf 31 Collr 1903

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 AUG 1903
LEGAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.

CURRENT No. 8191
1261

From

[Name] C. J. U S S H E R Esquire.,

[Designation] Superintending Engineer, VI Circle,

LEGAL & MUNICIPAL
4 - AUG 1903
SECRETARIAT.

[Station] Trichinopoly.

No. 490 Misc.

Dated 5th A u g u s t 190 3.

Enclosures { Plans 2 / Others 2 } Total 4

Spare copies

Received 4 — 11 — 1903.

Registered 5 — 11 — 1903.
~~10 — 8 — 190 3~~

Sdr 11-8.

SUBJECT.

Samkam Bungalow

Submitting with remarks copy of report together with an Abstract received from the Executive Engineer, Madura division regarding the —

No. 1865 D of 14.8.1903

BACK PAPERS.

Transferred to the Educational Dept. with reference to G.O. No. 365 Educl. dated 20 June 1902.

2277-8) 19-8-2 (1c)	I	

FURTHER PAPERS.

Under Secretary to Govt., P. W. D.

To

The Chief Engineer / Secretary to Government Public Works Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.2277-W, dated 19th August 190 I have the honor to submit herewith copy of a report togethe with an estimate received on the 3rd instant from the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, regarding the Tamkam Bungal (2). The Executive Engineer estimates the value of the existing building and the land at Rs.8,000/- and states that a sum of Rs.1,200/- would be required for small improvements and alterations. The estimate submitted provides for the construction of a new Dining and bed room raised on arches at a cost of Rs.11,500/-.

(3). With regard to the design for the proposed addition to the building I forward it as received, both in order to avoi further delay, and because what is required is merely a repo to as the amount necessary to provide the additional accommodation. The objections to the design as it stands are (1) -- want of adequate means of communication between the Dining room and the kitchen & (2) no provision for store or matey Rooms. The brick pier between the two large arches, if only 2' 6" -- thick, would have to support at its base a pressure of nearl 3.8 tons per Square foot. The thickness of this pier would therefore have to be increased so that the pressure may not exceed 3 tons per Square foot. A more suitable design for providing the additional accommodation would I consider be to have the dining room down stairs with the bed room above This would involve the construction of another room or hall for the staircase between the drawing and dining rooms, but

1,000—30-11-03.

Educational (1903) Department.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL 4-NOV1903 SECRETARIAT.

CURRENT No. 1788

From

[Name] C. G. Tottenham Esquire ICS

[Designation] by Collector

[Station] Madura

Rone No. 938 of 1903.
Dated 31st October 1903.
Enclosures 2 Refs & 7 Plans

Received 4—11—1903.

Registered 5—11—1903.

SUBJECT.

Relating to Government Reference forwarding for remarks endorsement of the P W D on cette from the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, regarding improvements to the Tamkam Bungalow.

K PAPERS.

ER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

MADRAS.

Sir,

Replying to Government reference No.464, dated 27th August 1903, on the proposals for the improvement of the Tumkum Bungalow at Madura, I have the honour to report that, so far as concerns the financial part of the scheme referred to in para 2 thereof, I consider that the proposals are most decidedly for the benefit both of the University, the Government and the occupant of the bungalow.

2. As regards the estimate I would beg permission to make a few remarks. The improvements and alterations hither to made in the old building have, as the old correspondence shows, all been of the nature of makeshifts and the net result is one of the most inconvenient and incommodious dwelling - places for Europeans imaginable. The existing house consists of one fine reception room on a solid base which is reached by a steep flight of stairs with only a tatti covering, a dining room with its roof on three levels and pillars ob-

st...

[L. & M. 49]
5,000—3-10-01.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. 385, dated 20.6. 1902

Again G.O., No. , dated 190

Current No. 1261, From the Pwsd, No. 1865, dated 14-8-A 1903

" " 1788 " Coll. of Madura " 938 " 31.10.03 "

" " " " " "

SUBJECT.

ORDER. No. 102, dated 11. 2. 1904

PS.

In a Letter No 516 dated 28 Feby 1901 the Registrar of the University drew the attention of Government to the fact that the University had of late years received no receipts from the rents of the Tamkam Bungalow towards the payment of the Johnston of Carmichael Scholarships. The Registrar states that the Bungalow would apparently be shortly given up as a residence for the Collector of Madura, and suggested that the Bungalow should either be

govt & the proceeds handed over to the University or that the Govt should take over the property & guarantee to the University an annual income sufft to maintain the scholarships.

2. Under the ~~Trust~~ Deed dated 6 May 1872, by which the Tamkaum Bungalow was conveyed to the Secy of State for India in trust, the Govt is required to maintain, & if necessary, improve, the property, and to apply the residue of the rent, if any, after defraying maintenance charges, to the purposes of the Scholarships. After carefully considering the suggestions conveyed in the Letter of the Registrar of the University, the Govt has determined to maintain the Bungalow in accordance with the terms of the ~~trust~~-deed. The Bungalow will continue to be used as the residence of the Collr. of Madura, & the PWD will be informed that no further action need be taken in regard to the proposed construction of a fresh residence for that Offr.

102/1207

~~The Bungalow~~ Such additions as are reported to be necessary will be made to the accommodation in the Bungalow, but the P.W.D. will be requested in framing their estimates for these additions if possible to limit them to such an amount as will not raise the monthly rental above Rs. 160. The whole rent will, as hitherto, be credited to Provincial funds, the cost of subsequent maintenance & municipal rates & taxes being charged against it, but as far as possible the payment to the University of an annual sum of Rs. 480 for the maintenance of the Carmichael Scholarships will be regarded as a first charge on the rents, & future maintenance charges should, if possible, be so regulated as to enable this contribution to be regularly paid as soon as the rent is raised.

& the rent to be levied from the Collr will be proportionately enhanced as soon as these additions are made,

3. Orders will issue in the P.W.D. for the provision of the additional accommodation indicated in Mr. Todhunter's Letter No 938 dated 31st Oct 1903, but the plan of the proposed additions is not entirely suitable & will need revision. This will be carried out by the P.W.D.

To
- The Registrar, U. of M.
- P.W.D. with Plans & Estimate & copy of notes
- Collector of Madura
- Audit Off
- D.P.I.

Dy 3-2-04

11/2/04