

Educational (1903) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 573

DATED 28. 11. 03

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Retention in Service.

*Since the — until 31st March 1905
of certain non-gazetted officers
of the Educational Dept.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1,000-16-11-00.

Educational (190³) Department.

CURRENT No. 1877

From

[Name] E.W. Middlemast Esq. M.A.,

[Designation] Deputy Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Madras.

Ref. on G.No. 6715 of 03,

Dated 16th November 1903.

Enclosures

Received 190

Registered 17. 11 1903.

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain the sanction of Government to the retention, in service until the 31st March 1905, of certain non-gazetted officers of this department.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O. 11487/11-3-03	G.O. 1787/11-12-02
" 776/18-12-02	

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the retention in Government service until the 31st March 1905 of the under-mentioned non-gazetted officers:---

1. M.R.Ry. W. Ramaswami Nayudu, Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools, Anantapur Range.
2. " T. Mathai. do., Calicut Range.
3. " K. Venkataratnam Pantulu, Telugu Pandit, Rajah-mundry College.
4. Hafiz Muhammad Luthfulla, Persian and Hindustani Master, Presidency College.

2. The continuance of these officers in service until the 31st March 1905 is recommended by the Inspectors of Schools and the Principals of the colleges under whom they are employed. The retention of Mr. Mathai until 11th March 1904 and of the last two officers until the 31st March 1904 was sanctioned in B.G.Os, No. 114 Educational, dated 11th March 1903 and No. 776 C Educational, dated 18th December 1902, respectively.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. W. Middlemast

Deputy Director of Public Instruction.

CN^o 1877 Edl.

ought we not to have a Medl Certificate in cases of men over 60?

By 21.11.03

It is submitted that this is not required by the C.S.R. Please see articles 462 & 463. In fact under article 459 an officer who is considered to be efficient should not ordinarily be retired even if he is over age.

By 23/11/3 The Pension Dept. agrees.

By 23.11.03

J.S.
74-11-03

C. No. 1877, From the J. P. J., No. 6715, dated 16 - 11 - 1903

ORDER No. 573, dated 23. 11. 1903

Miss

The Directors' recommendations
are accepted.

2. In submitting similar recommendations in future, the Director should state the ~~present~~ age of ^{on the date of the Director's letter of} the officers whose retention is recommended.

Ru
18/11/22

11/11/11

11. 2

Alf
23.11.03

20. The A.P. 3. Der 25/11/12

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESTRUCTOR
 IN ORIGINAL.

E.B.
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 F = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only

The Director's recommendations are accepted.
As an additional matter, it is recommended in favor of the project should state the purpose of the officers who are in charge.

10/10/10