[Edl. / 6.]
800—15-7-01.

9

-1

Educational (1903) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 569

DATED 20 11. 03

INDEXED.

(17 Sheets)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical School, Rayapuram.

Recording letter from the Honorary Secretary, Monegar Choultry, regarding the location of the dissecting rooms of the — in the neighbourhood of the maternity hospital.

FURTHER

No.	Department.	Date.

செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்

கு. இராமசாமி 5/8/2002

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள் 6/8/2002

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த நாள்
~~06/8/2002~~

கொ 7.8.02

சரிபார்க்கும்
மு. நி. பா. எ.
கையொப்பம் 6/8/02

[Pub. 29] 2,500—27-2-01. 2 9

~~Public~~ Educational (1903) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~1419~~ 723

From

[Name] The Hony Secretary & Treasurer

[Designation] Monegar Choultry

[Station] Madras

No. 1453

Dated 29. 4. 1903.

Enclosures 1

Received 7. 5. 1903.

Registered 2. 5. 1903.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding an extract from the proceedings of the General meeting of the Directors of the Monegar Choultry for the favourable consideration of Govt.

BACK PAPERS.

1791 (W.) 24-6-03 Pub.
2128 (W.) 30-7-03 Pub.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

Sir,

---

3 9

Monegar Choultry,

No. 1453/

Madras, 29 ~~april~~ 1903.

CURRENT NO.
1419
PUBLIC

Pub

From

The Honorary Secretary & Treasurer,
MONEGAR CHOULTRY,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George, Madras.
Ootacamund.

Sir,

GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT MADRAS
MAY 1903

I have the honor to submit an extract, from the proceedings of the General meeting of the Directors of the Monegar Choultry dated the 20 april 1903, for the favourable consideration of the Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
P. Thiagaraya Chetty
Honorary Secretary & Treasurer,
Monegar Choultry

४५ ७
प्रत

---

4 9

Extract from the proceedings of the General meeting of the Directors of the Monegar Choultry dated 20 april 1903

× × ×

× × ×

Resolved that in the opinion of the Directors the present position of the dissection room attached to the Medical School is too contiguous to Rajah Sir Ramasamy Mudaliar Maternity Hospital and so far exposed to the passers by on the Monegar Choultry Road, as to affect the popularity of the Hospital and its usefulness, and that the Government be therefore requested to make other arrangements for the location of the Dissection room in the adjoining ground available for such a structure and which the Directors will be glad to place at their disposal

Carried, Lieut. Col. Reeves dissenting.

Sd. V. Krishnama Chariar
Chairman

(True extract)

P. Thiagaraya Chetty

Honorary Secretary & Treasurer,
Monegar Choultry

Monegar Choultry }
29 april 1903 }

[G./3]
4,520—5-3-03.

5 9

N

Public DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1419., From the Hon: Secretary & Treasurer, Monegar Choultry, Madras, No. 1453, Dated 29. 4. 1903.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.
7 - MAY. 903
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement No 1642 d/ 6 - 5 - 03.

Transferred to the Educational department.

6.5.03
Ag. under Secretary

Cn
5.5.03

Dg
5.5.03.

To

The Educational Deptt. with the current in orig:

[illegible]

[E. & L. / 6.]
500—8-0

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 723, From the Public departt, Dated 6-5- 1903.

Endorsement. No. 2699 11-5-03.

Referred [illegible] D.P.I. for remarks after a personal inspection of the locality.

H.G. 11-5-03

desp 11/5/3

B.G. 11/5/3

Despd 13/5/03.

Stock Form No. 127
2,000—1-5-02.

7 9

Educational (1903) Department.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
12 JUN 1903.
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

CURRENT No. 935

From

[*Name*] The Hon'ble Mr. G.H. Stuart, M.A.,

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] MADRAS.

Ref on C No. 3710 of 03,

Dated 10th June 1903.

Enclosures one file of papers in original

Received 12-6-1903.

Registered 12-6-1903.

SUBJECT.

Returning, with remarks, papers regarding the location of the dissecti~~on~~ng room of the new Medical School, Royapuram.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to return the papers received

R with your endorsement No. 269, dated 11th May 1903 with the following remarks:--

The dissecting room at the Rayapuram Medical School is entirely surrounded by a high wall. It is impossible to see any portion of the room from the wards or grounds of the Maternity Hospital. There are two gates opening on the road and when these are open a part of the building can be seen from the road, but no one could know that it was a dissecting room unless he were told so. There are no grounds whatever for supposing that the neighbourhood of the dissecting room will affect the popularity of the Hospital and the objection is unreasonable.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Director of Public Instruction.

---

8 9

[E./56]
250-5-1?-03

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Memorandum No. 3408 19.6.03.

READ the following paper:—

C no 935.

The Director is requested to submit a plan drawn to scale showing the exact distance of the dissecting room from the lying-in hospital and the relative ~~hight~~ height of the two buildings and to state whether it is posible for any person in the dissecting room to overlook the lying-in-wards.

Disp 18/6/3

Despd 19/6/03.

PJ 18/6/3

17/6

18.6.03.

Stock Form No. 142
3,000—18-2-02.

EDUCATIONAL

~~Local & Munl.~~ (1903) Department.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 AUG 1903
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

CURRENT No. 1264

From

[*Name*] The Hon'ble Mr. G.H. Stuart, M.A.,

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] MADRAS.

Ref. on No. 3710 of 03, *Received* 14 - 8 - 1903.

Dated 12th August 1903.

Enclosures 3 plans (in a separate tin case). *Registered* 15 - 8 - 1903

SUBJECT.

Submitting, with remarks, two plans and a sheet of sections showing the exact distance of the dissecting room attached to the Medical School, Rayapuram from the lying-in-hospital.

BACK PAPERS.

Endt. no. 340 of 19-6-03.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational
~~Local and Municipal~~ Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith the plan called for in memorandum No. 340, dated 19th June 1903 together with a sheet of sections and a plan of the Upper floor of the school, and to state that it is not possible for any person in the dissecting room to overlook the lying-in-wards.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. R. Scott

~~for~~ Director of Public Instruction.

---

10 9

[E. / 2]
1,000—5-12-02.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 723 From the Public department. No. 1642 dated 6. 5. 1903.

SUBJECT.

Regarding the location of the dissection room of the new medical school, Rayapuram.

Endt.

Transferred to the Public works department.

Is this disposal right? The location of this dissecting room is a question wh. closely concerns the Public Dept. (Hospitals) & to a less extent Edl. The P.W.D. merely knows that the room is located where it was shown in the Siteplan. It seems to me to be a matter for the D.P.I. & S.G. to look into, & I think a report should be called for from them.

9/5/3

8/5/03.

SGJ 9.5.03

It is submitted ~~that~~ the dissecting room in this case is the one ~~[illegible]~~ attached to the Rayapuram medical school and is not a mortuary ~~[illegible]~~ attached to any hospital. Educational is the only Departt concerned with it. The Director has to look into it but ~~[illegible]~~ the matter has to be settled by the Consulting architect, the Superintendent of the school (Dr. Reevers) & the Director and not by

one of these alone. It seems immaterial whether the order goes to the Director and it is left to him to call in the others or whether it goes to the C.A. through the P.W.D. & it is left to him to call in the Director & the Superintendent.
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
As ordered by the Secretary however a reference to the Director is herewith submitted.

A. G. C.
11-5-03.

Pty 11/5/3 [Endorsement No. 269 d/ 11-5-03.]

From the D.P.I. No. 3710 d/ 10th June 1903.

In reply to the reference made to the Director he states that the Rayapuram Medical School is entirely surrounded by a high wall and that it is impossible to see any portion of the dissecting room attached to the School from the wards or grounds of the maternity hospital. He says also that although when the gates are open a part of the building can be seen from the road no one will know that it is a dissecting room unless he ~~is~~ was told so.

2. We may therefore agree with the Director in considering that there are no grounds whatever for supposing that the neighbourhood of the dissecting room will affect the popularity of the Sir Ramaswami Mudaliyar maternity hospital and inform the Directors of the Monegar Choultry accordingly.

Pty 13/6/3

~~What is the constitution of the directorate? This may throw some light on the resolution which the Supt. declined to support.~~

~~A.S.P. 16/6~~

We had better call for a plan drawn to scale, showing the exact distance of the dissecting room from the lying-in hospital, the height of the ~~wards~~ wall & the relative heights of the two buildings. Is it possible for any person in the dissecting room to overlook the lying-in wards?

A.S.P.
16/6

The draft placed below may issue.

Pty 16/6/3

A.S.P.
17/6

AG/18.6.03

[Memo. No. 340 dated 19th June 1903]

From the D.P.I. No. 3710, dated 12-8-03.

From the place numbered 1 the dissecting room appears to be far away from the lying-in hospital and the labour ward. Between the dissecting room & the labour ward there is the school itself – an upper storeyed building. There is therefore no chance of any body in the former ~~for~~ getting a view of the latter. Between the dissecting room & the hospital there is a wall 8 feet 9 inches high.

I suppose the lying-in [illegible] we have [illegible] own so that it [illegible] been created [illegible] not this [illegible]

The real danger, if any, is from the upper floor of the school which overlooks the hospital & labour ward. The cross sections in plan numbered 2 ~~[illegible]~~ show ~~that~~ the nearest distance between the school & the hospital is 72 feet 6 inches and ~~that~~ between the school and the labour ward 41 feet. The latter distance seems to be too short but it is submitted ~~that~~ it is now too late. Moreover no complaint has been made about this. It seems therefore best to let the matter alone.

R.J. 17/8/3

Yes. If I understand the plan rightly the danger is small as the wall would shut off the labour ward from the sight of a person standing up in the class room.

A.P.

This is only correct if formal complain
to Govt. are refere

A.P.

It is much more satisfactory to determine questions like this on the spot, & if H.E. & Mr Thomson see no objection the matter might be over until ~~October~~ Novr.

A.P. 17/8

A representation made to His Excellency the Gov. by Sir Ramaswami Mudeliar on this subject was mentioned just before the Government left Madras. It is not known how that was disposed of, but the Letter of the Directors of the Monegar Choultry seems to indicate that the question had not been set at rest up to the 20th April. It would in that case be very preferable

to postpone the issue of orders until the Govt. is again in Madras, when the site can be inspected, if necessary.

A.G. 17.8.03

H.M.

I remember that H.E. wrote about this matter; I consulted the Cons. Architect & he, as I remember, reported as the Director reports.

Sir R. M. is probably now at work through the Monegar Choultry Committee.

See if there were any orders

A | from this office. If none, submit to H.E. The objection is clearly sentimental or Col. Reeves would not have dissented, but may require consideration all the same. I think

Flag I Sir R.M. made some objection also as to the students overlooking the labour wards which was shown futile. A note to

B | Col. Reeves would elicit the hiddenness of the whole matter.

U.

19/8/03

ni

Please see to the point at A first.

A.G. 19.8.03

PWC 8685
Recd Rep 24-8-03
1 [illegible] 24

SECRETARY TO GOV
28 AUG 1903
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPART

No communication has gone out on the subject from either Educational or Public but it seems that Sir Ramaswami Mudaliyar was informed by the P.W.D. ~~that~~ that there was no ground for complaint. We may ask ~~that~~ Depart to show us the papers on the subject.

M. E. P.
19/8

P.J.
19/8/3

A. G.
21.8.03

PWD u.o.

Desp
21/8/3

En [illegible] No. 285 D [illegible]

[illegible]
21/8/03

No 2021 D dt 28.8.1903

To

The Educational Dept. u.o.

Please see G.O. No. 1791 W dt 24th June 1903 flagged I.

27.8.03
D W

Rw

Educational Departt

The Consulting Architect's letter in G.O. No 1791 W. dt 24th June 1903 shows ~~that~~ there is no ground for the complaint made by the Directors of the Monegar Choultry. Should Col. Reeves be addressed as suggested by Hm. B overleaf?

I

419 P.J.
28/8/3

Mr Ashpitel writes as an Englishman: we have O do with superstitious women. ~~Will Secy. speak to H. E.?~~

M. E. P.
29/8

Show at Madras.

A. G.
13.10.03

Resubmitted.

P.J.
27/10/3

I have visited the new Medical Schools with Col. Reeves, who is in charge of them, & have seen the relative position of the Buildings mentioned in this correspondence. Two separate objections have been taken to the position of the new Medl. Schools —:

(1) That the Dissecting Rooms attached to the Schools are too near to the Maternity Hospital. Plan A shows the lie of the buildings. The Dissecting Shed is, at its nearest end 16 feet from the compound wall, 8' 9" high, between the two compounds, & within the Maternity Hospital Compound, the nearest ward is 54' from the compound wall. Thus the nearest ward is not less than 70 feet from the nearest wall of the Dissecting Shed, & the north end of the Shed so named is occupied by a Lecture-room, beyond which are the actual dissecting Sheds. So that there is an interval of about 30 yards, with a wall 8' 9" high, a Contagious Shed & a Latrine, between the two (ward & actual dissecting room), & I think there is no doubt at all that there is no valid ground of objection on this score

(2) That the upper story of the new Schools overlooks the Maternity Wards. The upperstory of the Schools — (wh. are located in the Old Bullet Factory) — is a lofty building, & it is *possible*, by looking over the top of the wall for a tallish man to look down into the Maternity Hospital Compound. The Plan A is misleading in showing the Rampart Wall — wh. I have marked a a a a, as being 18½ feet high. It ~~[illegible]~~ rises 18½ feet fr. the level of the compound of the Maternity Hospital — but it is not more than about 5½ feet high from the level of the upper story of the Schools. It is, therefore, possible, as above stated, to look over it into the Hospital Compound. But in the first place Students are not allowed on this side of the Upper Story, where the Medl. Offr. has his Office× — in the second place — if they came & looked, they are so high above the Wards that they cannot see inside them. In these circumstances, I do not think there is any valid ground for objection.

×As shown in Plan B

Col. Reeves. IMS. states that, since the new Schools began work, there has been no falling off in the attendance at the Maternity Hospital, proving that the objections are groundless. Thus the question seems to have settled itself. It may be added that the most that could be necessary, if any inconvenience ever were to arise, would be to raise the height of the wall a a d a in Plan A, by 2 or 3 feet, so that no one could look over it. But at present even that hardly seems necessary.

The Current paper for disposal is from the Secy of the Monegar Choultry. Unless the H.M. thinks it necessary to give an answer I should be inclined to record it. Six months have passed since it was recd, the New Schools have quietly begun work, no one seems to be in any way the worse, & as already remarked, the question has settled itself. In these circs, the less said the better.

AGS
16-11-03

HM

I agree that will be the best disposal
18/11

Order accordingly.

AGS
19-11-03

The draft placed below may be passed.

AG 20.11.03

PJ 19/11/3

E.
12
1,200—7-10.03.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

REA[illegible]GAIN G.O., No ...., dated .... 190 .

" " " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 723, From the Hon: Secretary, Monegar Choultry No. 1453, dated 29 - 4 - 1903.

" " 935 " " D.P.I. " 3710, " 10 - 6 - 1903

" " 1264 " " D.P.I. " 3710, " 12 - 8 - 1903

ORDER No. 569, dated 20 - 11 1903

mis

Disp 20/11/3

Recorded.

PJ 19/11/3

Ag 19.11.3

AG 20.11.03