

Educational (1903) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 562

DATED 17. 11. 03

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ruddaya Sastri.

Forbidding under art 5. A, Ch. II of the
Educational rules, the employt in any
recognized Ed. institution, of —, manager,
of the Charnock aided school, South Canara

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1,000-11-3-01.

Educational (190³) Department.

CURRENT No. 983

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. G.H. Stuart, M.A.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Madras.



Ref No. 2829 of 03,

Dated 20th June 1903.

Enclosures

Received 22-6-1903.

Registered 22-6-1903.

SUBJECT.

Recommending that, in the circumstances stated, one permanently Rudraya Sastri be declared ineligible for employment as a teacher in or as a manager of any recognised or aided institution in this Presidency.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Madras S.A. says that this is a precedent for this in 1900 or 1901. 22/6/03

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to the notice of Government that Rudraya Sastri, Manager and teacher of the Charmady aided school, South Canara District, made a declaration which, on an enquiry instituted by the Collector, was found to be entirely false, that one Somashekhara Upadhya was employed as an assistant teacher in his school, his object being to make it appear that the latter was then fulfilling the terms of the agreement entered into by him as a stipendiary in the Government Training School, Mangalore. As it is undesirable that a person so regardless of the truth should be allowed to be the manager and teacher of a public school, I beg to recommend that Rudraya Sastri be declared under article 5 (a) Chapter 2 of the Educational Rules to be permanently ineligible for employment as a teacher in or as a manager of any recognised or aided institution in this Presidency.

2. The stipend paid to Somashekhara Upadhya together with the tuition fees due has been recovered from him.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. H. Stueck

Director of Public Instruction.

[E.]
[56]
250-5-1

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.



Memorandum No. 3678 6-7-03.

Read the following paper:—

Cuo 983.

The Director ^{should} ~~is requested~~ to notify to Rudrayya Sastri that he intends moving for to forbid under article 5A of the Madras Educational rules his employment in any recognised school in the Presidency and ^{should request} ~~ask~~ him to show cause to the contrary within a time to be fixed in such notification. On receipt of Rudrayya's reply, the Director should submit it to for with all the ~~papers~~ connected with the matter.

PH
4/7/3

ALP
6-7-03.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 367

Educational, dated 6th July 1903, I have the honour to state that a notice was duly served on Rudraya Sastri

but he has not as yet submitted his explanation.

- ☐
- (1) Mangalore Training School Headmaster's letter No. 316/1-12-02. ☐
- (2) Notice to Somasekhara Upadhyaya.
- (3) Reply of do.
- (4) Rudraya Sastri's declaration.
- (5) do. explanation dated 26-2-03.
- (6) Copy of the Sub Asstt's letter No. 1419 S.C., d/24-12-02.
2. All ~~the~~ ^{to} papers bearing on the case are herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. W. Middlemas

Dy Director of Public Instruction.

17
Copy of a letter from the Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools, Kasaragad Range, No. 1419 S.C., dated 24th December 02 to the Assistant Inspector of Schools, West Coast Division.

"I have the honour to state that one Rudraya Sastri, Teacher and manager of the Charmady aided school, made a false declaration that he employed one Somasekhara Upadhyaya as an assistant in his school to enable him to escape from the conditions of the bond executed by the latter at the Training School (please vide Training School Headmaster's letter No. 316, dated 1st December 1902 enclosed). The Collector of the district who seems to have started the enquiry regarding Somasekhara Upadhyaya made a reference to me and finding that the declaration was false has recovered from him his stipends and tuition fees.

2. Now the Charmady school which has been admitted to aid on the results system for several years past and which is taught and managed by the man who made such a false declaration to defraud Government does not seem to deserve aid from public funds any longer. I, therefore, recommend that the school be removed from the aided list."

(True Copy)

E. W. Middlemas

Dy. Director of Public Instruction.

68
31-10-03-

J. L.
31-10-03-

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

THE ~~ASSISTANT~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
~~SECOND ASSISTANT,~~
~~HEAD CLERK,~~

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT,

To

HON'BLE MR. THOMSON,
PRIVATE SECY. TO H. E. THE GOVERNOR,
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
THE SUPDT. GOVERNMENT PRESS,
SUPDT., GOVERNMENT MUSEUM,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Dated 9 - 11 - 1903.

Your letter n^o. 2829 of the 2nd instant. Please say when the notice referred to therein was served on Rudrayyag Sastri and what time was allowed for his explanation.

Telephone
Despd
10/11/03

Re 9/11/03 P¹¹ In Secretary
9/11/03

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE

No. 698

Date, 11-11-1903

Hours 10 Minutes

From

To

By - Director of Public Inst.

No. 698

Date, 11-11-1903
16 Hours 10 Minutes

From

To



By - Director of Public Inst.

Recd. 25-Sept.

The notice was served on the 13th August and Rudraya Sastri was asked to submit his explanation by the 20th -

Sub
11-11-03

Your letter n. 2029 of the 13th August is received. Please say what action is proposed to be taken in Rudraya's case and what time is required for his explanation.

C. No. 983 From the Director of Public Instruction No. 2829 dated 20-6-1903.

SUBJECT.
Ineligibility of a manager and teacher
of an aided school for employment in any
recognised institution.

The Director desires that action be taken under
article 5A of Chapter II of the Educational rules. That article
however empowers Government only to forbid the employ-
ment in recognised institutions of any teacher..... who
has after due enquiry been considered by the Director of
Public Instruction unfit to be a teacher. "Employment"
may be either as teacher or as manager. It is submit-
ted therefore that the order may be in the form adopted
in the draft placed below.

Ref 2.7.03.
2/7/03

* What is there to show
"due enquiry"? Great care
must be taken before a man
is branded in this way.
Advise the Director to notify to
Rudraji Sahas that he is
moving from ~~car~~ to this effect.
+ call on him to show cause to
the contrary within a time fixed
thereafter he should submit
all papers to go.

* vide draft order.

Please draft Ref
2/4.7.03.

The draft memo. placed below is submitted for approval.

Pr

4/7/3

[memo. n^o. 367 of 6-7-'03]

From the D.P.S. n^o. 2829 of 2nd Nov. 1903.

In reply to memo. n^o. 367 of 6-7-'03

The Director says that a notice was served on Rudrayya Sastri and that he has not yet sent in his explanation. The Director however does not mention when the notice was served and what time was allowed for explanation. He may be asked by telephone to report on these points.

An

7/11/3

9/11/3

The telephone placed below may

issue.

Pr

9/11/3

[Telephone message to the D.P.S. 10-11-02]

Telephone message from the Director 11-11-03.

The Director states that the notice was served on the 13th August last and Rudrayya Sastri was ~~also~~ asked to submit his explanation by the 20th idem. He has submitted no explanation.

2. ~~Pr~~

at p. 3 of the ct. file

Rel. 11-03

* vide letter from the Sub assistant inspector of the Kasaragod range on page 17 of the current file.

+ vide letter from the headmaster of the Govt. Training School, Mangalore on page 13 of the current file.

* Page 3 of current file.

2. The charge against Rudrayya Sastri is that he made a false declaration to the effect that one Domesekhara Upadhyaya was employed as an assistant in his school. The Collector of South Canara^{*} found that this declaration was false. His finding is not in the file but it seems unnecessary that Govt. should have it. From page 10 of the current file it is plain that Rudrayya Sastri made the declaration in question and the fact that Domesekhara Upadhyaya⁺ refunded the stipends drawn by him clearly proves that the declaration was false ^{this fact} & corroborates the Collector's finding. If any additional proof of guilt was wanted, Rudrayya Sastri has not answered the Director's letter asking him to show cause why Govt. should not be moved to forbid his employment in any recognized school. ~~It is submitted therefore that the~~ In these circumstances orders are solicited whether the draft originally proposed may now issue.

Pr

13/11/3

The draft may, I submit, issue: but it will be observed that until the receipt of Govt. Memo n^o 367 - 6-7-03 the accused was not apparently served with notice to show cause ^{after the punishment} proposed.

14.11.03

Issue

Rel. 11-03

C. No. 983, From the *Director of Public Instruction*, No. 2829, dated 20-6-1903.

No. 562, dated 17. 11. 1903.

Rudrayya Sastri, manager and teacher
of the Channarayana aided school, South
Canara district, having after due enquiry,
been ~~considered~~^{found} by the Director of Public
Instruction unfit to be a teacher, the Govern-
ment under Article 5A Chapter II of the Educational rules,
now in Council hereby forbids his employ-
ment in any recognised ^{educational} institution in the
Madras Presidency.

2/7/3

2.7.03.

AM

12/11/13

To

The D-P-D. with original papers returned.

gizette. 24-11-03

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

190

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 _____

CURRENT No.

from Paper 7-16 Winding
-112
2/12/13

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

E.B.-

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only