


# Educational (1903) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 166

DATED 11. 4. 03.

INDEXED.



[Despatch Abstract.]

*Backward classes.*

*Declining to accept the Director's  
recommendation to include Sagas  
of South Canara among — for  
the purposes of the Grant-in-aid Code.*

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

Stock Form No. 127  
2,000-1-5-02.

# Educational (1903) Department.


CURRENT No. 569 ✓

From

[Name] The Honble Mr G. A. Stuart, M. A.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Mass. A.



Ref. No. 5791 op 2

Received 2-4- 1903.

Dated 31<sup>st</sup> March 1903.

Enclosures 2 Registered 80 190 .

SUBJECT.

*Recommending that the Tigras of South Canara be included in the list of backward classes given in rule 22 of the Grant-in-aid Code.*

# BACK PAPERS.

Go 165 copy of 22-3-02  
387 of 5-7-02  
573 of 19-9-02

# FURTHER PAPERS.

Ref 2/6

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Certain Tiyas of Kasaragod have submitted a petition requesting that they may be granted the privileges accorded to backward classes under the Grant-in-Aid Code, as they are very poor and backward in point of education. Both the Inspector of schools and the Collector of South Canara of (copy whose letter on the subject is enclosed) are of opinion that the Tiyas of South Canara may be recognized as a backward class. I beg therefore to recommend that they may be included in the list of backward classes given in rule 22 of the above Code.

2. I request that if the above recommendation is accepted the enclosed draft notification be approved by Government and published in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant;

*G. H. Stead*

Director of Public Instruction.

Draft notification.

In the list of backward classes given in rule 22 of the

Grant-in-Aid Code, between "Thoriyas" and "Todas" <sup>shall be</sup> inserted: <sup>namely:-</sup>  
the following:-

"Tiyas (South Canara)".

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

Sir,

In returning herewith <sup>the</sup> two petitions from certain  
Tiyas of Kasaragod received with your letter Ref. on C.No 4266  
of 1901, dated the 16th October last, I have the honour to  
state that the Tiyas do not form a sub-division of  
Billawars already included in the list of backward classes,  
but form a separate class. They are very backward in  
education and I consider that they may be treated as a  
backward class so far as this district is concerned.

I have &c &c

(Signed) D.D. Murdock,

Collector.

C. No. 569 From the D.P.I.

No. 5791 dated 31 - 3 - 1903.

SUBJECT.

*Tijras of South Canara*  
Orders are solicited as to whether  
the Director's recommendation to include  
the Tijras of South Canara among back-  
ward classes ~~may~~ <sup>should</sup> be accepted. ~~It is~~  
~~is placed before for approval.~~

It is not clear ~~how~~ <sup>can</sup> the Tijras of  
South Canara ~~should~~ be differentiated  
from those of Malabar. People must be  
backward or not as a class & not according  
to the districts in which they live. The Tijras  
of Malabar ~~can~~ <sup>can</sup> by no means be  
classified as indigent or backward.

Rec  
8/4/03

4/4/03

See if the above argument is unsound.  
The status of a given caste often varies  
in different districts - e.g. the  
Vellalars of Tirunelveli compared with  
those, say, in S. Arcot; the Chettis  
of Madurai and those of Kollam, etc.  
The D.P.I.'s recommendation may  
be accepted.

I agree with A.S.  
8/4/03. 7.4.03

I think that  
Mr. Tharayil has  
more of the subject  
than any one who  
has expressed opinions  
and so far as I know  
anything I have no reason  
for regarding them as back-  
ward if the higher castes  
must allow them to go forward.

8.4.03

JM  
Draft accordingly 8/4/03 P.T.C.

PH  
8/4/3

yes 10-4-03

$\frac{R_u}{8/10/3}$

$$\left[ \frac{E_s}{3} \right]$$

1,000-5-13-03

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

" " " "

190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 569, From the J. P. J., No. 5791, dated 31. 3. 1903.

ORDER No. 166, dated 11-4-1903

Mi.

The Governor in Council does not see sufficient grounds for accepting the Directors' recommendation in this matter.

87413 8.4.03

ACD  
10.4.03

Am  
8/4/2

The D. P. 9

26/10/13

*The papers in Council do not as sufficient grounds for accepting the Director's recommendation*

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
 When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
 When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
 When signed \_\_\_\_\_

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. \_\_\_\_\_, DATED \_\_\_\_\_  
 190 .

CURRENT No. \_\_\_\_\_

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. \_\_\_\_\_

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. . A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—  
 A = Copy in full.  
 B = Not to be copied.  
 P = Copy abstract only.  
 Q = Copy heading only.