[E.]

800—17-12-02.

Educational (1903) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 165

DATED 9.4.03.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Questions

Recording certain papers relating to the answer to certain ——— .

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. 9]

250-5-2-02.

GD CURRENT NO. 468

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

VERY URGENT.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Memorandum No. 165

THE accompanying copy of a question which the Hon'ble P. Ratnasabhapati Pillai proposes to put at the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 6th April 1903 is forwarded to the Educational Department for favour of preparation of a draft answer.

2. It is requested that the draft answer may be written on one side only of the paper, and may be despatched in time to reach the undersigned before 5 p.m. on Wednesday the 1st proximo at the latest.

* Question No. IV.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
18th March 1903.

J. Bannyngton
18.3.03.

Ag. Assistant Secretary to Government.

To

The Educational Department.

Educational department.

The Hon'ble P. RATNASABHAPATI PILLAI Avargal :—

IV. With reference to question No. VIII asked by me at the meeting of the Council held on 6th June 1889, will the Government be pleased to state whether any Civil Assistant Surgeons have been since appointed as examiners for the medical examinations of the apothecary and hospital assistant grades ?

Educational debt.

III

VI

Legislative question IV

No assistant-Surgeons have yet been appointed members of the Board of Examiners, Medical College and the following answer may be given to the Hon. Member:-

"The answer to the question is in the negative."

Dep 19/3/3 — 20.3.03. — Dep 20.3.03

Dep 19-3-03 — H.M. — 4 Dep 20.3.03

To Legislative Dep.

Dep 21/3/3

[L. 9]

259-5-2-02.

CURRENT No. 531

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

VERY URGENT.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Memorandum No. 199-

THE accompanying copy of a question which the Hon'ble P. Ratnasabhapati Pillai proposes to put at the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 6th April 1903 is forwarded to the Educational Department for favour of preparation of a draft answer.

2. It is requested that the draft answer may be written on one side only of the paper, and may be despatched in time to reach the undersigned before 5 p.m. on Wednesday the 1st proximo at the latest.

* Question No. XXVII

FORT ST. GEORGE,
27th March 1903.

27.3.03.

Ag Assistant Secretary to Government.

To

The Educational Department.

5

Educational Department.

The Hon'ble P.Ratnasabhapathi Pillai Avl. 6

XXVII (a) Is it a fact that the Principal of the Madras Law College has posted a notice prohibiting his students from taking part in public movements against the authority of Government?

(b) Will the Government be pleased to ascertain and state as to whether there were any special reasons and necessity for the issue of such a notice now more than any other time, and cannot be the students be trusted not to act against the authority of Government ?

(c) Is it proposed by the notice that the students should abstain from taking part even in movements intended for the purpose of representing to Government public grievances and wants ?

(d) Will it be a breach of the notice if the students serve as"volunteers" during the ensuing session of the Indian National Congress at Madras, for merely attending to the wants and comforts of the delegates and preserving order at the meetings of the session ?

[E. & L. 21-o]
300—28-1-02.

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

The [Assistant] Secretary to Government,
~~Second Assistant,~~
~~Head Clerk,~~
Educational Department.

To

The ~~Hon'ble Mr. Thomson,~~
~~Private Secy. to H. E. the Governor,~~
Director of Public Instruction,
~~Supdt., Government Press,~~
~~Supdt., Government Museum,~~
~~Accountant-General,~~

Dated 27-3- 1903.

The Hon. P. Ratnasabhapati Pillai proposes to put the following question at the next meeting of the Leg. council. ~~to be held on the~~ (#. 2. the question placed below).

Please prepare a draft answer and send it ~~before Tuesday~~ on monday next evening fail.

27.3.03.

27/3/3

ZH.
27.3.03

16.54
27.3.03

Stock Form No. 127
2,000—1-5-02.

7

Educational (1903) Department.

CURRENT No. 549

From

[*Name*] The Honorable Mr. G.H. Stuart, M.A.,

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] Madras.

DESPATCHED
30 MAR 1903



No. Cl-19 *Received* 31: 3. *1903.*

Dated 30th March *1903.*

Enclosures two *Registered* DD *190 .*

SUBJECT.

Submitting draft answers to certain questions proposed to be put by the Honorable Mr.Ratnasabapathi Pillai at the ensuing meeting of the Legislative Council.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

8

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to the telephone message of the 27th instant, I have the honour to submit draft answers to the questions (a) & (b) proposed to be put by the Honorable Mr. Ratnasabapathi Pillai at the ensuing meeting of the Legislative Council. I also enclose a copy of the notice issued by the Principal which is merely a copy of the rules contained in rule 5-A of Chapter III of the Educational Rules.

2. I do not think Government can answer questions (c) & (d).

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. R. Scott

For Director of Public Instruction.

9

Answer.

27 (a). The notice referred to is a copy of the rules of discipline to be observed in Government Institutions. The rules are given on page 18 of the Madras Educational Rules - 3rd Edition.

(b). The notice is dated 3rd November 1899 and Rule (7) "No student shall take part in political agitation directed against the authority of Government" was added by the Principal on 5th April 1900 under the orders of Government and without reference to any particular political agitation.

LAW COLLEGE.

NOTICE.

Conduct and Dress Regulations.

THE attention of all students of the College is particularly directed to the following rules sanctioned by Government and applicable to the Law College amongst the other colleges:—

"(1) Every student shall wear a clean and decent dress, and, in all cases where good manners require it, a turban [*see below—N.B.* (1)].

"(2) No student shall be allowed to sit in the class with his shoes on, unless they are shoes of English pattern, and unless socks and trousers are worn also.

"(3) Every student shall have a fixed place assigned to him in the class-room [*see below—N.B.* (2)].

"(4) Every student shall salute the professors and teachers on the occasion of his first meeting them for the day within the college precincts.

"(5) On the professor or teacher entering his class-room the students shall rise and remain standing till they are desired to sit or till the professor or teacher takes his seat.

"(6) No student shall be allowed to leave the class-room without the permission of his professor or teacher.

"(7) Unbecoming behaviour on the part of a student outside the premises of the College shall, when brought to notice, be enquired into and suitably dealt with by the head of the institution."

(7) No students shall take part in political agitation directed against the authority of Government" RAN 5-4-00

N.B.—(1) All students of the College whilst on the College premises are required to wear turbans.

(2) Under the existing conditions this rule does not apply.

LAW COLLEGE, MADRAS,
3rd November 1899.

R. A. NELSON,
Princir

Educational Department.

The Hon'ble P. RATNASABHAPATI PILLAI Avargal :—

XXVII. (*a*) Is it a fact that the Principal of the Madras Law College has posted a notice prohibiting his students from taking part in public movements against the authority of Government ?

(*b*) Will the Government be pleased to ascertain and state as to whether there were any special reasons and necessity for the issue of such a notice ~~now more than any other time, and cannot the students be trusted not to act against the authority of Government ?~~

(*c*) Is it proposed by the notice that the students should abstain from taking part even in movements intended for the purpose of representing to Government public grievances and wants ?

(*d*) Will it be a breach of the notice if the students serve as "volunteers" during the ensuing session of the Indian National Congress at Madras for merely attending to the wants and comforts of the delegates and preserving order at the meetings of the session ?

Proposed reply.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. The notice referred to is however a copy of the rules of discipline to be observed in Govt. institutions and given on page 18 of the Madras Educational Rules.

(b) The notice is dated the 3rd November 1899 and rule (7) to which the question relates was added by the Principal on the 5th April 1900 as soon as it was promulgated by Govt.

(c) The answer is in the affirmative.

(d) The answer is in the negative.

3/3/3

(a) Yes.

(b) The rule to which the Hon'ble Member refers was framed by Govt. in its order No 160 Edl. dated 31 Mar 00 passing orders on the report of the Conference convened to discuss the Educational rules. It was added to the Rules of the Law College on the 5th April 1900.

(c) Yes

(d) The Govt. cannot answer this question without more definite information. ~~The Conference gives no opinion on this point. Students should be allowed to attend political meetings~~

Dfs 21.3.03.

[E. & L. 6]
500—31-10-02.

Educational Department.

C. No._____, From the _____, No._____, Dated_____ 190

Subject. Legislative Question XXVII.

Please see the draft reply placed below. The Director ~~thinks that~~ does not think that Govt. can answer clauses (c) & (d), but gives no reasons. If the Governor has admitted these clauses and it is not clear what objection there is in answering them. The rule in question was made by Govt. & Govt. is the only authority which can say what it means & what it does not. "Taking part" in a meeting is quite different from attending it. There is no objection to a student attending a meeting but if he takes part in meetings where public grievances & wants are dwelt upon it is objectionable. What he considers a public grievance in his immature judgement may not be such at all. Similarly serving as volunteers & attendants to the wants of members at Congress meetings is hardly "taking part" in such meetings.

PH
31/3/3

Secy/ I am not quite sure that the answer to (c) should be in the affirmative.

31.3.03.

HM. The answer to (c) should be in the

affirmative - As to (d), it is for the Principal to apply the rule.

Rlf 31.3.03

The desire probably is to catch up Govt. If the answer to (d) is given in the affirmative the inference immediately is that to Congress is "political agitation directed against the authority of Govt".

Is it? I don't think it is so long as it confines itself within legal limits.

The principal of the College will no doubt apply to us for a rule on the subject and it would be unnecessary to say above.

The answer to (d) as stated is that it will depend on the conduct of and proceedings before the Congress.

h

1.4.03

~~Hon'ble Member~~

~~If the answer to (c) is in the affirmative, it must state that students are prohibited from taking any part in politics~~

[L. & M. 48]
2,000—23-10

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

15

Again G.O., No. , dated 190 .
Current No. from the , No. , dated 190 .
Current No. from the , No. , dated 190 .

SUBJECT.

Question No. XXVII.

FOR ORDERS.

A revised set of draft answers is submitted for approval.

As regards clause (d), the Question does not quote the rule correctly, so a simple affirmative won't do.

As regards clause (c), there seems no necessary inference as to Govt's opinion of the Congress, as the Congress is not mentioned in (a), (b) or (c)

As regards cl. (d), I understand that the present view is that the Congress is not political agitation directed agst Govt. If so, it won't hurt to let the Students "serve as volunteers".

Rlf
1.4.03

HM

Draft answers to Q. XXVII

(a) The Notice to which the Hon'ble Member apparently refers is Rule 7 of the Rules of Discipline in force in all Colleges under Public Management, amongst others the Law College, and prohibits students from taking part "in political agitation directed against the authority of Government".

(b) This Rule was added by the Principal to the Conduct and Discipline Regulations of the Law College, on the 5th April 1900, i.e. immediately after it had been promulgated by Govt. in G.O. No. 160 Edn. dated 31 Mar. 00.

(c) The answer is the negative, provided that the movements referred to do not constitute agitation directed against the authority of Government.

(d) The answer is in the negative.

I think the above answers are correct. But desire to the Chs' attention to G.O. 160/31.3.00

V.E. 2.4.03

H.E. the Gov.

Then answers are all right

Dfl 2.4.03.

A 2-4-03

1 I would insert here – "not at the present time but three years ago"

[E. / 3]
1,000—5-12-02.

16

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

N

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , dated 190

" " " " , " 190 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 468, From the Leg. Dept-, No. 165, dated 18th March 1903.

" " 531 , " " Leg Dept- , " 199 , " 27th March 1903.

" " 549 , " " D.P.I. , " cl 19 , " 30th March 1903.

ORDER No. 165, dated 9 · 4. 1903.

mis

Recorded.

7/4/3

7.4.03.

7/4/3

7.4-03

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____ } 190 .
When signed ____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 190 . , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
ENCL. NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.