[E.]

809—17-12-02.

Educational (1903) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 132

DATED 21st March 03

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Honorarium.

Declining to reconsider G.O. No 718 dy 21-11-02
disallowing Dr Cornwall's claim to —
for lecturing to the Sanitary inspectors
class, Med College.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Stock Form No. 127
2,000—1-5-02.

Educational (1903) Department.

CURRENT No. 394

From

[*Name*] ~~The Honourable Mr. G. H. Stuart, M.A.,~~

[*Designation*] Director of Public Instruction,

[*Station*] MADRAS.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT. 10 MAR 1903

DESPATCHED 9 MAR

N.Ref. No. 225 of 1903.

Received 10 - 3 - 1903

Dated 9th MARCH 1903.

Enclosures One in original.

Registered 10 - 3 - 1903

SUBJECT.

Submitting a letter from Cap. J.W. Cornwall M.D., I.M.S., requesting that he may be given the usual honorarium of Rs 700 for deli-vering a course of lectures to the Sanitary Inspectors' Class, Medi-cal College.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O. 718 dy 21-11-02
" ~~317~~ 371 dy 2-7 m
" 1127 Ind dy 12-12-01
" 1914 m dy 10-12-1894

G.O. 654 dy 22-10-96.
" 1718 m dy 9-10-96
" 1351 m dy 13-8-96
" 283-4 m dy 17-2-96

G.O. 697 Mdl 17-5-01

~~G.O. 1202 m dy 9-10-02~~
G.O. 86 m dy 11-2-02

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Sir. 22 DEC 1902

No 32

Madras.

20.12.1902.

2624

From

Captain J.W.Cornwall I.M.S.

Acting Inspector of Vaccination and Deputy Sanitary Commissioner

and

Professor of Hygiene and Practical Bacteriology

To

The Principal of the Medical College.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 718, Educational, dated Nov 21st 1902, I have the honor to state that no hint of this decision of Government not to grant the honorarium for the Sanitary Inspectors' class when the work was performed by the officer combining the duties of Deputy Sanitary Commissioner and Professor of Hygiene was ever communicated to me when I was offered the acting appointment.

2. For reasons which I explained at the time I was willing to accept the appointment even though I lost pay by it, and so far I have drawn Rs 2400 less than I should have done if I had kept on as Health Officer; but at the same time I had calculated on this honorarium of Rs 700 as helping to make up a part of the deficit. If I am now deprived of that without warning, the experiences I have gained by the change of appointment will hardly be worth to me the amount I have paid for them.

3. The opening sentence of G.O. No.718, quoted above, is borne out by the para headed 'Hygiene' on p.3. of G.O. No.371, Educational, dated July 2nd 1901, in so far that the matter discussed is by whom the lectures shall be delivered. I may here point out that in Bengal there is no Sanitary Inspectors

class at all, and that nothing in this para even suggests the idea that lecturing to Sanitary Inspectors is part of the regular duty of the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner or Professor of Hygiene. Pay is not ~~xxxx~~ referred to at all.

4. On turning to para 13 on page 6 of the same G.O. one finds additions to and deductions from the pay of certain officers, whose positions are altered by the revision of the scheme; specifically mentioned. Nothing, however, is said about the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner or Professor of Hygiene. The inference naturally is that Government had not then contemplated the withdrawal of the honorarium. The second sentence of G.O. No. 718 does not seem, therefore, to be in agreement with the previously expressed intentions of Government. Moreover in the proposition statement of the Accountant General on page 10 of G.O. No.X 371 this matter does not find a place, being considered, equally with the honoraria allotted to the lecturers on Physics and Botany, outside the scope of the re-organization scheme.

5. Finally, in the Medical College Budget for 1902-1903 embodied in G.O. No.1127, Financial, dated Dec 12th 1901,or 5 months after the re-organization scheme had come into force, the honorarium for the lecturer to the Sanitary Inspectors is provided for, and no objection was raised by either the Accountant General or Government. That this was no mere oversight is judged from the fact that Rs 1000, sanctioned in the previous year for the lecturer on Bacteriology, were in this year for a reason omitted.

Page 4 note Budget.

6. The matter referred to in para 2 of the despatch from the Secretary of State on page 8 of G.O. No. 371, has no connection with the Sanitary inspectors' class, which from its inception (G.O. No. 1914, M. dated Dec 10th 1894) up to the present day has always been looked upon as outside the ordinary

-ary duties of the Professor of Hygiene both by the Director of Public Instruction and by Government: It means that the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner is to draw no extra allowance for lecturing to medical students in the medical College similar to the allowances granted to the lecturers on Medical Jurisprudence and Mental Diseases.

7. In consideration of the above facts I venture to hope that Government will see fit to reconsider G.O. No. 718 and sanction my claim.

I have the honor to be ,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

J. R. Cornwall

Captain I.M.S.

Acting Inspector of Vaccination and Deputy Sanitary Commissioner

and Professor of Hygiene and Practical Bacteriology.

No. 432

MADRAS MEDICAL COLLEGE,
12th January 1903.

From

I. Maitland

LIEUT.-COLONEL ~~W. R. BROWNE~~, M.D., I.M.S.,
Ag PRINCIPAL, MEDICAL COLLEGE,

To

The Director of Public Instruction
Madras

SIR,

I have the honor to submit the accompanying letter from Captain D.W. Cornwall I.M.S and to request that for the cogent reasons advanced by him, you will kindly take the necessary action for a reconsideration of G.O. No. 718 Educational dated 21 November 1902 in view to obtain sanction to the continuance to the Professor of Hygiene of the honorarium of Rs 700 for delivering a course of lectures on Hygiene to the Sanitary Inspectors' Class.

I have the honorable
Sir
Your most obed^t Servt

I. Maitland
Ag Principal
Med College

cm no 225/03

Department of Public Instruction.

11

From

THE PRINCIPAL, MEDICAL COLLEGE,

MADRAS.

No. 432 , dated 12 January 1903.

Despatched 12 Jany 1903. Enclosures/

Received Spare Copies

Subject.

Forwarding a letter from Captain J. W. Cornwall R.A.M.C. requesting ~~re~~ allowance for delivering lectures to the Sanitary Inspectors' Class.

1. Ref. on. Cor no. 225 of 03 & 9th March 1903.

Submitted to Government for consideration with reference to G.O. No. 718, Educational, dated 21 November, 1902. E.

J R Scott

For Director of Public Instruction.

[E/2]

1,000—5-12-02.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

6

C. No. 394 From the D.P.I. R.C. No. 225 dated 9th March 1903.

SUBJECT.

Honorarium to the Dy Sanitary Commissioner for lecturing to the Sanitary Inspectors' class.

E

This question was fully considered when Dr Cornwall's claim to the honorarium was disallowed in G.O. No. 718 Ed dy 21.11.02.

Dr. Cornwall now requests a reconsideration of the above order, ~~& he bases his request~~ on the following grounds:—

(1) that no intimation was given to him of the discontinuance of the honorarium when he was offered the appointment of Dy Sanitary Commissioner & professor of hygiene.

(2) that he accepted the appt under the belief that this honorarium of Rs 700 would make up a part of the loss ~~sustained~~ caused by his acceptance of the new appointment.

(3) that it was not contemplated by Govt to withdraw this honorarium when orders were passed on the scheme of the reorganization of the Medical College & that this is clear from the

fact that provision for this was allowed to stand in the Medical College budget on which orders were passed some five months after the reorganization Scheme had come into force.

page 4 of G.O. 1127 Fol dt 12-12-01. Slip O

slip H

It is also contended that lecturing to the Sanitary inspectors' Class being outside the duties of the professor of hygiene, the grant of a honorarium is not prohibited by para 2 of the Secretary of State's Despatch, No 39 Public dt 22nd March 1901.

page 8 of GO no 371 dt 2-7-01. slip H.

KKM 13-3-03

(1) - absence of any intimation about the discontinuance of the honorarium - may have been due to an oversight, or to a misapprehension on the part of the Surgeon General.

(2) - calls for no remark.

(3) - It is difficult to make out how Dr Cornwall could have understood what the intentions of Govt were. Lecturing to Sanitary inspectors was not part of the regular duties of the Professor of Hygiene before the reorganization but the reorganization effected a change in this respect.

~~Here is no mention in para: 13 of G.O. No 835 Public dt 7th February 1900 - page 6 of G.O. no 371 Fol.~~ Slip H.

dt 2nd July 1901 - about the discontinuance of the honorarium but the omission of the honorarium from both the present & proposed scales in the proposition statement seems to show clearly that this was due to an oversight. Please see also para: 3, page 1, of the notes connected with G.O. no 718 Fol dt 21st November 1902. The case would have been different if the honorarium was entered under both heads.

Slip E.

The existence of the provision in the medical College budget for 1902-03 seems, similarly, it is submitted, to count for very little. The provision was allowed to stand but the amount was not to be disbursed before the Question was fully considered and a final decision arrived at - vide page 6 of the notes in G.O. no 1127 Fin dt 12th December 1901 - also page 6 of the notes in G.O. no 718 Fol dt 21st November 1902.

Slip O.

Slip E.

Orders are solicited.

PH 14/3/03

For Secretary

14.3.03

E

The question for orders is whether G.O. No. 718 Fol. dated 21. Nov. 02 should be reconsidered. A matter once fully considered & definitely decided should not be re-opened except on new grounds. Capt. Cornwall says he accepted the appointment of DS Sanitary Commr believing he would receive the honorarium. His belief [illegible]

may have been well or ill-founded, but G.O. No 718 Col. dated 21st Nov. 02 was clearly passed having in view the fact that he probly thought, when he delivered the lectures, that he would get the fee. There is, therefore, no new fact for consideration.

E

G

" See Mr Hammick's Note of 16.11.02.

AGH 16.3.03.

H.M.

Say that the matter was fully considered & the reasons put forward how & Govt were not lost sight of but must therefore adhere to the orders that have issued

1885

Draft acc'd.

AGH 19.3.03.

The draft placed below may issue.

7.H. 19.3.03.

19/3/3

20.3.03.

[E. 3]

1,000—5-12-03.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

9

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ..... , dated 190

" " " " ..... , " ..... 190 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 394 , From the D.P.I. , No. 225 , dated 9th March 1903.

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

" " , " " , " , " 190 .

ORDER No. 132 , dated 21st March 1903.

nis

Dep 20/3/3

Captain Cornwall's ~~will be informed that his~~ claim to the grant of a separate remuneration for delivering a course of lectures on hygiene to the sanitary inspectors' class was fully considered when G.O. no. 718 Edl. dated 21st Nov. 1902 was passed and ~~that none of~~ the reasons put forward now by him were not lost sight of. ~~In these circumstances~~ The Govt. therefore adheres to its previous decision in the matter.

Dep E.

To the D.P.I. Dep 24/3/3

PH 19/3/3 — 20.3.03. — DLC 20.3.03

TK 19-3-03

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 190 . , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.