[Edl. / 6.]
800—15-7-01.

Educational (1903) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 99

DATED 5 . 3 . 03

INDEXED.

17

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grants-in-aid

Informing the Rev. R. Schömerus that if his
school is removed to some other locality in
Villupuram, the school will be admitted
the aided list & that in future the local
boards will be in a position to pay results
grants in full.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
		Xc 562/	03				

[L. & M. / 1]
,000—23-10-02

Edl

~~Local & Munl.~~ (190~~1~~2) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~2781 L~~ 1792

From

[Name] Revd. R. Schomerus ✓

[Designation] Member, Dt. Bd, S. Arcot

[Station] Villupuram

No.

Dated 1 - XI - 1902

Enclosures

Received 15/11/1902

Registered 15/11/1902

W.E.R. 25.11.02

SUBJECT.

Submitting certain remarks with reference to the ~~extract of the~~ 2nd & 3rd sentences of ~~para~~ clause (2) of the ~~remarks on~~ G.O. reviewing the Admn. Report of the S. Arcot Dt. Bd. for 1901-02.

BACK PAPERS.

1607 L of 20-5-01
(887 L of 9-12-5-12
2017 Dh. 98
38 Ld. 97)

FURTHER PAPERS.

17-11

Vil 1st Nov.

ASSISTANT SECY TO GOVT LOCAL MUNL. EDL. & LEG. DEPT.
15 NOV. 1902

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL SECRETARIAT
C.N. 2781 L

From

Rev. R. Schomerus.
Member. District Board.
South Arcot District.

To,

A. T. Pringle Esqr.
Asst. Secretary to Govt. in charge.
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the extract of the 2nd & 3rd sentences of para 2 of the remarks on the Administration report of the South Arcot District for 1901-'02. Communicated to me for information, I have the honou to submit the following remarks.

1. I do admit that it is a sad fact that the school-going population of this district is on the decrease and I highly applaud the idea of appe-ling to all who have any influence to show interes in improving the number. But I beg to point out in this connection that not only those that have an influence should be appealed to but the educati-al Department should be expected to show mor interest by way of helping the managers & teache of schools under private management.

Here I beg to cite an instance of a well-equipped school, which, inspite of all its endeavours to become an aided school, is being rejected yea after year. This is a Primary School at Villupur with 140 boys on the rolls opened in the year 18 It has a convenient building of its own & ha been equipped with the necessary apparatus, fe-niture &c and an efficient staff of qualified -chers with a trained Matriculate as the Head Ma Inspite of all this the school has not received

grant

grants of any kind, – not only building o grants, but Results grants even. Year after year, I, as the manager of the school renew my applicatio for grants on behalf of the school but the stere-type reply of the Director is "that the school need not be aided as the educational wants of the town are met ~~with~~ by other schools. My reasons and statements showing the necessity for the maintenance of the school in question are taken no notic of by the Director, who is not pleased to reply my letters addressed to him on the subject. Although the Taluq Board has resolved to include the school for Results grants in its proceedings No. 18 dated 29. 4. 02, the school has not as yet been examined for grants. How the matter now stands, I am not in a position to say in the absence of any reply from the Director or the President Taluq Board. But I hear from private sources that the President Tq. Board has moved the President District Board on the subject. So I beg to poin out here that the Educational Department which is 2 expected to take the highest interest in the matter educational 1 do not do justice to their work, as ca be seen from the case under review, a fact not in keeping with the article 1 of Chapter VI of the Madra Inspection Code – "One of the most important duties of inspecting officers is to enlist, to the utmost pos-ble extent, the sympathy of private individuals or associations philanthropically disposed towar the cause of education." "Every endeavour should made to secure a larger allotment for grants-aid with a view to stimulate and supplement pri effort." If the school above referred to had b included in the Result grant school list the 140 bo

the

that receive instruction in that scho
added to the number of boys attending aided
and the percentage might be a little raised.

2. There have been many aided schools, but yea after year the number of such schools decrease for th reason, that the schools that earn a certain amount of grants are not allowed the whole amount. As a matter of fact, I, as the once manager of a Hindu Primary school at Panrutty got only Rs 30 instead of Rs 80, the total earnings of the school. And I have known several teachers giving up their school only because they received only a nominal grant. The same is the case with the Panchama schools too. Although a grant of 50 percent more is allowed to Panchama schools, I have not in one year received the whole of this additional amount for any of my schools here. It may surprise you to know that I received last year only a few annas out Rs 20 sanctioned for my Panchama schools as an additional grant for Panchama schools. This is not all. The difficulties & troubles we are put to in having the schools recognized & aided are without bounds. As a matter of fact, four of my Panchama Schools have been thrown out of the aided list this year, although the applicati for grants have been made early enough. Th Cost of maintaining these Panchama school was Rs 279 excluding the cost of the establishment, whereas the income by Results Grants w only Rs 164.

3. As the Brahman Supervisors are not allo to enter the Panchama chery, they are not ab

to spe

to speak to the people in the chery + influence the personally to send their children to school. the proceedings of the Board passed at the mee of the 9th July last, to the effect that separat Supervisors may be appointed for Panchama and Mahomadan schools, will, I think, do material good, if put in force.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

R. Sehomerus.

Member. District Board

[L. & M. / 88]
500—15-10-00.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Cr no 2781 L from the Rev R. Schomerus.

Memorandum No. 1378 dy 25-11-02

Transferred to the Educational Department,

A Pringle
Assistant Secretary,

To

The Educational Dept with notes in original

Eb
24.11.02

3-12-02

[E. & L. / 6]
500—31-10-02.

Educational DEPARTMENT.

6

C. No. 1792, From the L. & M. deptt., No. 1378, Dated 25-11-1902.

✓

Endt. No. 360 dy 2-12-02

Refferred to the D.P.I. for remarks.

M.H.
28-11-02.

Despd
2/12

Educational (1903) Department.

CURRENT No. 226

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. G. H. Stuart, M. A.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Madras

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
7 FEB. 1903
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

DESPATCHED
6 FEB. 1903

Ref-ce No. 8516 of 02

Dated 6th February 1903

Enclosures One file of papers in original.

Received 7-2-1903.

Registered 7-2-1903.

SUBJECT.

Resubts. with remarks the papers regarding the payment of grant-in-aid to the Revd. R. Schomerus' school at Villupuram.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to resubmit the papers referred to me with endorsement No 360, Educational, dated 2nd December 1902 with the following remarks.

2. In para 1 of his letter the Rev. R. Schomerus states that the educational department does not show sufficient interest by way of helping managers of schools and to prove this general statement he cites as an instance the case of the mission school at Villupuram, of which he is the manager, which he says although satisfying the conditions of recognition has not received aid of any kind. With reference to this remark I beg to state that aid has been refused to the mission school in accordance with the first clause of the Grant-in-Aid Code which says that aid is to be given with due regard to the requirements of each locality. I am informed that the requirements of the locality in the present case are satisfied by the practising school attached to the Government Training school and therefore while recognizing the mission school I am not prepared to assent to its being aided. If it is removed to some locality where a school is needed I should be quite willing to aid it. In its present location its close proximity to the Government Training school affects the strength of the practising department and is detrimental to its interests.

3. With regard to the low assignment of grants complained of in para 2 I have only to state that it is due to the small allotment made under grants-in-aid by the local boards. The allotment sanctioned for the purpose is distributed according to the rules of the Grant-in-Aid Code, schools for backward

classes and in backward localities being more liberally treated. In this connection it may be stated that the necessity for larger grants under education has been year after year impressed on the boards but with little effect and the inspecting officers report that their recommendations in this respect have generally received very little consideration.

4. As to the alleged difficulty that Brahman supervisors have in entering a Panchama village and thus influencing the Panchamas personally to send their children to school I am informed that where such difficulty exists it arises from the objections of the Panchamas themselves. There can however be no objection to the appointment of a separate supervisor for Panchama schools, should their number justify it.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G H Stuart
Director of Public Instruction.

Exd.
me

Educational Deptt

Cns 1792 of 1902 & 226 of 1903.

Memo. No 109 / 18-2-3.

In paragraph 2 of the Director's letter no 8516 of the 6th instant it is stated that the requirements of Villupuram in the matter of Education are satisfied by the practising school attached to the local Govt. Training school. The strength of the practising school on the 31st March 1902 was however only 106 while the number of boys of school going age in the town calculated at 15 per cent of the total male population is 842. The Director's attention is drawn to this apparent discrepancy and he is requested to ~~furnish any further~~ state whether in these circs. he still considers that the practicing school so meets ~~explanation he may have to offer in the~~ the needs of the locality as to render it inexpedient ~~matter~~. to recognize the mission School.

16. 2. 03.

14/2/3

Desp 18/2/3

To The D.P.I

Despd 18/2/3

2,000—5-11-00.

Educational (1903) Department.

12

CURRENT No. 349

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr. G. H. Stuart, M. A.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction.

[Station] Madras.

Refer to No. 8516 of 02,

Dated 27th February 1903.

Enclosures

Received 2. 3. 1903.

Registered 2. 3. 1903.

SUBJECT.

Replying to memo. No. $\frac{109 \text{ Edl.}}{18.2.1903}$ and explaining how the practising school attached to the Government Training School at Villupuram satisfy the requirements of the locality in the matter of education.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

22

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to memorandum No. 109, Educational, dated 18th instant, I have the honour to state that when I said that the requirements of the locality were satisfied by the practising school attached to the Government Training School, I did not mean that the education of the whole town of Villupuram was met by this practising school, but that, in that particular part of the town where the mission school is situated, it is not needed and that its proximity to the training school affects the strength of that institution. I have already said that if the Rev. Mr. Schomerus will remove his school to another locality where in the opinion of the Educational officers it will supply a want, I shall have no objection to its being aided. I beg to point out that Government is under a misapprehension in thinking that the school has not been recognized. As a matter of fact the school has been recognized for some time and the present application is not for recognition but for aid. If the rule introduced last year, requiring that in granting recognition the needs of the locality should be considered, had been in force when the mission school first applied for recognition it is doubtful if it would have been recognized at all. As regards the discrepancy referred to me for explanation it is sufficient for me to state that there are about seven other primary schools in the town in which it may be presumed a large number of the 842 boys of school going age find provision for their education.

what was meant was recognized for aid.

P.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. H. Stuart
Director of Public Instruction.

L. & M.
47
2,000—23-

1

LOCAL AND MUNICIPA DEPARTMENT.

Cur No 2781 L from the Rev R. Schomerus
Villupuram

SUBJECT.

Offering remarks on the system of aid to private schools.

NOTE FOR THE Secretary.

No orders appear to be called for on this Current. It may therefore, it is submitted be simply recorded.

Rd
21.11.02

V.R.
21/11/02

This is a matter to be dealt with by the Educational Dept.

Order Transfer to Ed. Dept.

22.XI.02

When it gets to Educ.ⁿ Dept. = Anyhow file by Recording with the remark that copy will be sent to the D.P.I. for his information

22.XI.02

[E. & L. / 6]
500-31-10-02.

Educational Department.

C. No. 1792, From the Local & Munl. deptt., No. 1378, Dated 25-11-1902.

The statements in this deserve attention. There is probably much truth in what is said.

A.T.P.
28-11-02.

~~I submit that the best disposal of this is~~

~~Recorded. A copy of this communication might be sent to the Director for his consideration~~

Better get the remarks of the Director on this perhaps –

Endt. no. 360 of 2-12-'02

Referred to the D.P.I. for remarks

28. xi. 02

desp. 1/12

Art. 226. From the D.P.I. no. 8516 of 6th Febry: 1903.

The Rev: R. Schomerus' first complaint

is

is that the mission school at Villupuram does not receive aid of any kind from the Educational departt. With regard to this the Director says that under the Grant-in-aid Code, aid is to be given with due regard to the requirements of each locality and that these requirements in the present case are satisfied by the practising school attached to the local Govt. training school. The existence of the mission school in close proximity to the Govt. school affects the strength of the latter and is detrimental to its interests. The training school exists for the benefit of other schools – mission and non-mission – and any action having the effect of impairing its efficiency is to be deprecated, but the fact of the mission school having a strength of 140 pupils seems to show that it supplies a want. The Director may be asked how in these circumstances his action in refusing aid to the school can be justified.

2. The next complaint, viz – the low assignment of grants – will now disappear as local boards will from the

the current year pay their grants in full with the subsidy they will ~~are going~~ to get from Govt. for the purpose.

3. As to the appointment of separate supervisors for Panchama and Muhammadan schools, the district board may be left to carry out its own resolution.

PH
11/2/3

It is necessary to know – (1) the popn. of Villupuram: this must be in the office – (2) the total no. of aided or departmental primary schools in Villupuram: (3) the strength of the practicing branch of the Training School. (4) the date when the last named was opened.

AG
12.2.03.

Sup O

(1) The population of Villupuram is 11,263 – 5,614 males and 5,649 females. The male population of school going age at 15 percent is thus 842.

(2) the ~~Total~~ number of aided & departmental primary schools in Villupuram is not known even in the Director's Office. May the Director be asked ~~by telephone?~~ to obtain information on the point from the Assistant Inspectors of schools concerned.

(3) the Strength of the practising Dept of the Govt. training School, Villupuram, on the 31st March 1902, was 106 – page 9 of Vol: II of the Director's report for 1901–02.

(4) the training school was opened in 1884; the date of the opening of the practising branch must therefore be the same. The school belonged to the Local Fund Board till 1st January 1892 when it was transferred to Govt.

7.14.
13.2.03

PH
13/2/3

The Mission School is evidently of later date than the

A. Govt. School. but it has apparently a larger No. of pupils.

The D.P.I says that the requirements of the locality are satisfied by the Govt. T. School. but its strength is only 106 while the male popn. of schoolgoing age is 842. His attention should be drawn to this apparent discrepancy. & he should be asked to explain further.

13-2-03.

The draft memo placed below may issue.

14/2/3

A. G. C
16-12-03

[Memorandum no 109 d/ 18-2-03.]

From the D.P.I. no. 8516 d/ 27-2-'03.

The Director says that the needs of that part of the town of Villupuram where the Mission school is situated are met by the practising departt. of the Govt. training school and seems to think therefore that the former school cannot be aided. He says also that there are seven other primary schools in the town but this information is valueless since he does not

not say how many of them are aided. On the whole however it seems advisable to accept the Director's decision and inform the Rev: Mr. Schömerus accordingly. A.

For Sec.

3/3/3 A A draft to this effect may issue and Mr. Schömerus may be informed that if he will remove his school to a locality in the Town of Villupuram where it is more urgently wanted, Govt will be prepared ~~to~~ reconsider the question.

4.3.03.

AWC 4.3.03

A1 The draft placed below may issue. Should

B. Hm see it?

5/3/3 A. Issue

B. Unnecessary

5/3/3.

5.3.03.

[E./3]

1,000—4-12-02.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ...., dated 190

" " " " ...., " ....190 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 1792, From the Rev : R. Schömerus, No. —, dated 1st November 1902.

" " 226, " " D.P.I. Rc. 8516, " 6th February 1903.

" " 349, " " D.P.I. Rc. 8516, " 27th February 1903.

ORDER No. 99, dated 5. 3. 1903.

Mis.

pages 3-6 of the current file.

Desp 5/3/3

With reference to paragraph 1 of the letter from the Rev: Mr. Schömerus, read above, he is informed that aid has been refused to the mission school at Villupuram under rule 1 of the Grant-in-aid code. Under this rule, aid ~~has to be~~ is required to be given with due regard to the requirements of each locality and, in the case of that part of Villupuram where the mission school is situated, these requirements are reported to be met by the practising departt. of the local Govt. Training School. If the mission school is removed to some

some locality in the town where a school is more urgently wanted, the Director will be prepared to admit it to the aided list.

2. With regard to paragraph 2, it is observed that owing to the limited funds at the disposal of local bodies, these bodies have not hitherto been able to pay their grants in full, but arrangements have now been made for the payment of the whole of the amounts earned in future.

PJ
5/3/3

5.3.03.

AJC
5.3.03

page 7 of the current file.

To.

The Rev: R. Schömerus
" D.P.I.
" L & M. departt.

210

7/3/3

Edl.
hen copie
When examined
When signed
190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

NCLOSURES TO C. No.

ER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

R OF GOVERNMENT.

WITH DITTO.

APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only