

Educational (1903) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 75

DATED 11-2-1903

INDEXED.

ORIGINAL.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Early Securities by census.
School of Arts

Approving the recommendation of the D.O. that the head clerk of the College of Agriculture be exempted from depositing a security of Rs. 250/- & deciding to allow the Store Keeper of the — to deposit monthly 12½ per cent of his pay towards the amount of the security required of him.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1903} of Madras. EDUCATIONAL.

Recd.

Regd.

1903.

Enclosures

Spare copies

ORIGINAL.

G.O., No. 75, 11th February 1903.

FEBRUARY.

Cash securities by clerks.

Approving the recommendation of the Director of Public Instruction that the head clerk of the College of Agriculture may be exempted from depositing a security of Rs. 250, and declining to allow the storekeeper of the School of Arts to deposit monthly $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of his pay towards the amount of the security required of him.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From the Hon'ble Mr. G. H. STUART, M.A., Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational department, dated 14th October 1902, Ref. on C. No. 1433.

I have the honour to recommend that the head clerk, College of Agriculture, may be exempted from depositing Rs. 250, the security required of him under G.O., No. 633, Educational, dated 11th November 1897, as the Principal reports that the key of the college cash-chest is in his own custody, and that the clerk is not in charge of any cash transactions excepting those relating to contingencies.

2. With regard to the storekeeper, School of Arts, who is also required to furnish security, Mr. Chatterton reports that he has asked the present storekeeper to deposit monthly $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of his pay in the Post office savings bank and to continue doing so so long as he is in his present position. I beg to enquire if this may be allowed.

ORDER—No. 75, Educational, dated 11th February 1903.

The recommendation in paragraph 1 of the Director's letter is approved. With reference to paragraph 2, the proposal to permit the storekeeper of the School of Arts to deposit monthly $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of his pay towards the security to be furnished by him cannot be accepted. The condition of his appointment was that he should furnish the whole amount required in a lump and the Director should see that this condition is immediately fulfilled.

(True Extract.)

A. G. CARDEW,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction,
Accountant-General.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 75, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
11TH FEBRUARY 1903.

[SUBJECT.—Security of Rs. 250 required of the head clerk, College of Agriculture, and of the storekeeper, School of Arts.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 14th October 1902, Ref. on C. No. 1433.

[Draft order] to the Financial department for acceptance or remarks in regard to paragraph 2.

A. T. PRINGLE—21-10-02.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The pay of the storekeeper is Rs. 40 *per mensem* and $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of it is Rs. 5 (page 136, Civil Budget Estimate). About 49 instalments will have to be paid before the amount of deposit including interest reaches the required sum of Rs. 250. It is not understood how in the face of the distinct orders of 1897 (G.O., No. 663, Educational, dated 11th November 1897), the present storekeeper in the School of Arts has been permitted to join his appointment before furnishing the security required of him. Perhaps the Director may be consulted u.o. on this point.

T. HARI ROW—7-11-02.

A security of Rs. 250 deposited at the rate of Rs. 5 *per mensem* will not give any security worth speaking of for some time. Submitted that this department should not accept the proposal without further information as to the circumstances and the authority under which a storekeeper has been entertained without depositing the full security.

C. M. SCHMIDT—8-11-02.

G. STOKES—10-11-02.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

From Dr. Duncan's letter in G.O., No. 633, Educational, dated 11th November 1897, it is evident that the storekeeper is not in direct charge of money but only of articles of value, to embezzle which it is not easy. A monthly deduction of Rs. 5 from pay seems therefore to be quite sufficient in his case. We may however ask the Director of Public Instruction u.o. about the circumstances and the authority under which he was entertained without security.

P. T. THARYAN—11-11-02.

His pay would really appear to be a better security than these deductions; they are certainly too small. We may ask the Director of Public Instruction for further information as at A.

A. T. PRINGLE—12-11-02.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

As the storekeeper at the School of Arts was unable to furnish the required security, he was transferred to the College of Engineering on the 27th June 1901, and J. R. Sargunar, first assistant clerk at the College of Engineering, was sent to the School of Arts in his place and was given two months in which to find security. In answer to an enquiry from this office on 21st April last, the Superintendent, School of Arts, stated that the security had not been furnished and proposed instead that the clerk should deposit monthly $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of his pay. This is the proposal now before Government.

It is not always possible to enforce previous payment of security when transfers are made and some time has therefore generally been allowed in such cases.

G. H. STUART—30-11-02.

It is difficult to see what can be done. The transfer was made apparently in order to arrange for the security being deposited. But it has not had that effect. You can hardly turn a man out, who has put in some service, because he cannot find security. I should say the only thing to do is to allow the man to deposit his $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. and tell the Director of Public Instruction at the same time to arrange for his transfer as a clerk to some other post on the same pay in the School of Arts or any other institution under him and then to see that his place is filled up by somebody who can and does deposit the security on appointment.

B

M. HAMMICK—2-12-02.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that the proposal made in the Educational department note, dated the 2nd instant, may, in the circumstances of the case, be accepted.

T. HARI RAO—8-12-02.

C. M. SCHMIDT—11-12-02.

I do not at all see why the man cannot be turned out because he does not deposit security when the condition of his appointment was that he should do so. Presumably he was not appointed unconditionally.

G. STOKES—12-12-02.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Paragraph 2 of the draft may perhaps be now modified and the Director asked to transfer J. R. Sargunar and to put in a man who can furnish the required security.

P. T. THARYAN—13-12-02.

This is for Secretary to decide; he argued that one could "hardly" turn out a man under certain circumstances—the Chief Secretary does not see why one should not do so.

A. T. PRINGLE—13-12-02.

I cannot see that there was a condition. Sargunar, who was in the College of Engineering, was apparently transferred against his will to an appointment in which security was required and was told to get it in two months. He cannot do so, and I consider, if the above facts are as stated, it is hard to turn him out or reduce his pay because he cannot find security.

2. Will the Director of Public Instruction kindly say what was the pay Sargunar was drawing in the College of Engineering, why he was transferred and whether he gained anything by his transfer and whether he ever promised to furnish the security.

M. HAMMICK—15-12-02.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

At the time of his transfer Sargunar was acting as sub. *pro tem.* head clerk to the Inspector of Schools, Central Circle, on Rs. 50 per mensem, and was on the point of reverting to his permanent place as second clerk, College of Engineering, on Rs. 30 per mensem (Rs. 20—2—40).

2. He was transferred to the School of Arts on Rs. 40 per mensem to give him promotion, and because a man who could furnish security was wanted there. The order appointing him gave him two months in which to find security and he made no objection to this. If he had not gone to the School of Arts, he would probably have had to return to the College of Engineering; so he gained Rs. 10 a month by the transfer.

3. Mr. Chatterton informs me that the man has not got the money (Rs. 250) and will have to borrow it at high interest if he is made to furnish security, and therefore he proposed the $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. arrangement. I see no objection to this, because I do not regard either form of security as of any importance where, as in this case, the man is in charge of stores amounting to between one and two lakhs of rupees in value.

G. H. STUART—22-1-03.

We have said all that is to be said from our point of view. We may now ask the Financial department if they adhere to their remarks, and suggest that in that case the papers should now be submitted to the Honourable Member for orders.

A. T. PRINGLE—26-1-03.

To the Financial department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that, as it is represented that the man, Sargunar, cannot deposit the security in one lump amount, except by borrowing at a high rate of interest and that as the Director considers that neither form of security is of importance in the present case as the man is in charge of stores worth between one and two lakhs, the course formerly suggested by the Educational department at B on page 2 above may be adopted.

T. HARI RAO—28-1-03.

It is not easy to understand the difficulty in the present case. The man had a permanent appointment to which he could have been reverted seeing that he did not fulfil the conditions of the School of Arts appointment. The facts reported in the last paragraph of the Director's last note seem to point to the necessity for considering whether the security should not be raised or some better arrangement arrived at—not to the abolition of all security.

C. M. SCHMIDT—29-1-03.

I quite agree.

G. STOKES—30-1-03.

To the Educational department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

It is submitted that the file may now be submitted to the Honourable Member for final orders.

P. T. THARYAN—3-2-03.

The point for orders is whether the man should be reverted because he can't furnish security in a lump, or be allowed to continue, a deduction from his pay being made monthly to accumulate towards security.

2. The latter plan worked well in the Jail department. The ratio to the property in his charge is not material. What is Rs. 250 (the total security) in comparison with 2 lakhs?

A. G. CARDEW—6-2-03.

The necessity is that the conditions of holding office should be fulfilled.

2. Tell the Director to act accordingly. The $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. arrangement cannot be accepted.

J. T[HOMSON]—7-2-03.

The revised draft placed below may issue.

T. KANAKASUNDARAM PILLAI—7-2-03.

P. T. THARYAN—7-2-03.

A. G. CARDEW—9-2-03.

[G.O., No. 75, Educational, dated 11th February 1903.]

Educational (1902) Department.

CURRENT No. 1546

From

[Name] The Honble Mr. G. A. Slicat, M.A.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Madras

Refer to No. 1433 of 02

Dated 14th October 1902

Enclosures

Received 15 OCT 1902 190

Registered Do 190

SUBJECT.

Recom. that the Head Clerk, College of Agriculture be exempted from depositing the Security as required by G. O. No. 633, Educational of 11th November 1897 and requesting to be informed whether the Storekeeper of the School of Arts who is also required to furnish security be asked to deposit monthly $12\frac{1}{2}$ p.c. of his pay in the Post Office Savings Bank.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,


I have the honour to recommend that the Head Clerk, College of Agriculture, may be exempted from depositing Rs. 250 the security required of him under G.O. No. 633, Educational, dated 11th November 1897, as the Principal reports that the key of the College cash chest is in his own custody and that the clerk is not in charge of any cash transactions excepting those relating to contingencies.

2. With regard to the Store Keeper, School of Arts, who is also required to furnish security Mr. Chatterton reports that he has asked the present store keeper to deposit monthly $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of his pay in the Post office savings bank and to continue doing so so long as he is in his present position. I beg to enquire if this may be allowed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Director of Public Instruction.

[E.]
3

1,000-4-13-02.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 633, dated 11th Nov. 1901/1897

" " " " " " 190

AD ALSO:—

C. No. 1576, From the D.P.I., No. 1433, dated 14th Oct 1902

" " " " " " 190

" " " " " " 190

ORDER

No. 75, dated 11-2-1903.

P. S.

The recommendation in paragraph 1 of the Director's letter is approved. With reference to paragraph 2, the proposal to permit the store keeper of the School of Arts to deposit monthly $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of his pay towards the security to be furnished by him cannot be accepted. The condition of his appointment is that he should furnish the whole amount required in a lump and the Director should see that this condition is immediately fulfilled.

7/4/13
7-2-03

9.2.03

To The D.P.I.
— S.S.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
190 .

DATED

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

*Print with no
signature.*
12.202

3

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.

*The memorandum in
paragraph 2 of the Boston letter
is approved. The proposed
to permit the
state of the school of art
to report monthly 12% per cent of
his pay towards the account to be
furnished by him cannot be
accepted. The
which should furnish the whole manuscript
the state of art
is a brief and the Boston letter the
the different parts are in message
fulfilled*