


Educational (1902) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 624

DATED 8. 10. 02



INDEXED.

ORIGINAL

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Revised scale of Salaries of certain
govt girls schools*

*Stating that the S.P.D's request that retrospective
effect from 1st April 1901 may be given to G.O.
No. 728 Feb 14. 12. 01, same — will be
considered in the Govt Dept & recommending
the District Subordinate must be made
personally responsible for any losses caused
by their carelessness or neglect.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1902} of Madras. EDUCATIONAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1902.

Spare copies

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 624, 8th October 1902.

N. P.

OCTOBER.

Revised scale of salaries for certain Government girls' schools.

Stating that the request of the Director of Public Instruction that retrospective effect from 1st April 1901 may be given to G.O., R. No. 728, Financial, dated 14th December 1901, will be considered in the Financial department and that the Director's subordinates must be made personally responsible for any losses caused by carelessness or neglect.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the Hon'ble Mr. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-Law, Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 9th September 1902, Ref. on C. No. 4425.

In G.Os., No. 694, Educational, dated 27th November 1901, and R. No. 728, Financial, dated 14th December 1901, a revised scale of pay was sanctioned for the Government Mapilla girls' schools at Malappuram and Cheriya and the Government girls' school, Bhavani, and the revised scale takes effect from the date of the Financial order. But the inspectress of girls' schools, southern circle, reports that relying on the recommendation contained in paragraph 6 of Dr. Duncan's letter No. 8513, dated 2nd August 1899, read in G.O., No. 555, Educational, dated 25th August 1899, the teachers concerned were given to understand that they would be allowed the increased rate of salary from 1st April 1900. This was, however, not possible as the increase had not been sanctioned and no provision had been made in the budget for 1900-1901. But as provision was made in the budget for 1901-1902 for the whole year, and as the increased salaries were actually drawn from 1st April 1901, I have the honour to request that Financial order referred to may have effect from that date and that the teachers may not be called on to refund the excess salary drawn by them between 1st April 1901 and the date of the order.

MEMORANDUM.

No. 246.

The Director is requested to state for the information of the Government the circumstances under which the question of increased pay drawn by the teachers between the 1st of April and the 14th of December 1901 has not been raised till now and how it is the improper charge has remained unchecked by him for so long.

A. T. PRINGLE,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

20th September 1902.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

From the Hon'ble Mr. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-Law, Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 2nd October 1902, Ref. on C. No. 4425.

Referring to memorandum No. 246, dated 20th September 1902, I have the honour to state that relying on the provision contained in the budget M.R.Ry. S. Selva-nayagam, late sub-assistant inspector of schools, Coimbatore girls' range, drew salaries for the teachers in question at the increased rate from 1st April 1901 presumably ignorant of the fact that in the absence of specific orders to the contrary the increased rates of salaries could have effect only from the date of the Financial order sanctioning them. In May last the Accountant-General disallowed the increased

salary drawn between the 1st April and the 14th December 1901, and the inspectress of girls' schools thereon recommended that retrospective effect be given to G.O., R. No. 728, Financial, dated 14th December 1901, from 1st April 1901. The sub-assistant had in the meanwhile retired from the service and I did not therefore call for an explanation of the irregularity. I may add that I have no means of checking such irregularities until they are pointed out to me by my departmental subordinates or by the account department, which in the present instance is as much to blame as the sub-assistant, for, if the treasury officers, Malabar and Coimbatore, had objected to passing the enhanced rates of salaries in the first instance, this irregularity would not have been allowed to continue.

ORDER—No. 624, Educational, dated 8th October 1902.

The Director's request that retrospective effect from 1st April 1901 may be given to G.O., R. No. 728, Financial, dated 14th December 1901, will be considered in the Financial department.

2. With reference to the Director's second letter, he will be reminded that carelessness or neglect on the part of his subordinates is best remedied by making them personally responsible for any losses so caused.

(True Extract.)

A. T. PRINGLE,
Assistant Secretary to Government
in charge.

To the Financial department, with copy of notes.
" Director of Public Instruction.

Educational (1902) Department.

CURRENT No. 1344

From

[Name] The Honble Mr. G. B. Stewart, M.A.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Muzrai

DESPATCHED

9 SEP 1902

Referral No. 4425 of 02

Dated 9th September 1902.

Enclosures

Received 10 SEP 1902 1902

Registered 10 - 9 1902

SUBJECT.

Recom^d that G. O. R. No. 728, Financial dated 14th December 1901 sanctioning a revised scale of pay for certain Govt. girls' schools may have effect from 1st April 1902.

BACK PAPERS.

No 728: 14-12-1901 R. Final
" 694: 27-11-1901.
" 535: 25-8-99.

FURTHER PAPERS.

EP
10/19

To
The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

Sir,

slip T
In G.Os.No 694, Educational, dated 27th November 1901, and R.No 728, Financial, dated 14th December 1901, a revised scale of pay was sanctioned for the Government Mappilla girls' schools at Malapuram and Cheriya and the Government girls' school, Bhavani, and the revised scale takes effect from the date of the Financial order. But the Inspectress of girls' schools, Southern Circle, reports that relying on the recommendation contained in para.6 of Dr.Duncan's letter No 8513, dated 2nd August 1899, read in G.O.No 555, Educational, dated 25th August 1899, the teachers concerned were given to understand that they would be allowed the increased rate of salary from 1st April 1900. This was however not possible as the increase had not been sanctioned and no provision had been made in the budget for 1900-1. But as provision was made in the budget for 1901-02 for the whole year, and as the increased salaries were actually drawn from 1st April 1901, I have the honour to request that the Financial order referred to may have effect from that date and that the teachers may not be called on to refund the excess salary drawn by them between 1st April 1901 and the date of the order.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G.H. Stuart
Director of Public Instruction.

Stock Form No. 127
2,000-1-5-02.

Educational (1902) Department.

CURRENT No. 1458

From

[Name] The Honble Mr. G. H. Stuart, Ma.

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Madras

DESPATCHED
2 OCT. 1902

Ref No. 4425 of 02

Dated 2nd October 1902

Enclosures

Received 3-OCT-1902 190

Registered 20 190

SUBJECT.

Explaining the circumstances under which a revised scale of pay sanctioned for certain Govt. girls' schools was recommended to take effect from 1st April 1901.

BACK PAPERS.

246-20-9-02
728-1412-01 R

to 694 of 01
1263/00 of 01
559/99 of 01

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Referring to memorandum No 246, dated 20th September

1902, I have the honour to state that relying on the provision contained in the budget M.R.Ry.S.Selvanayagam, late Sub-Assistant Inspector of schools, Coimbatore girls' range drew salaries for the teachers in question at the increased rate from 1st April 1901 presumably ignorant of the fact that in the absence of specific orders to the contrary the increased rates of salaries could have effect only from the date of the financial order sanctioning them. In May last the Accountant-General disallowed the increased salary drawn between the 1st April and the 14th December 1901 and the Inspectress of girls' schools thereon recommended that retrospective effect be given to G.O. No R.No 723, Financial, dated 14th December 1901 from 1st April 1901. The Sub-Assistant had in the meantime ^{while} retired from the service and I did not therefore call for an explanation of the irregularity. I may add that I have no means of checking such irregularities until they are pointed out to me by my departmental subordinates or by the Account Department which in the present instance is as much to blame as the Sub-Assistant, for, if the Treasury officers, Malabar and Coimbatore had objected to passing the enhanced rates of salaries in the first instance, this irregularity would not have been allowed to continue.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G.H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

2

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 4425, dated 2nd October 1902.

The Director's reply shows that the sub-assistant inspector of schools of the Coimbatore girls' range and the account department were both to blame in this matter but it was the duty of the latter to set the former right when he went wrong. The latter, however, brought the irregularity to notice in May 1902 and the matter came up to Government only about the beginning of September.

P. T. THARYAN—6-10-02.

There seems to have been some delay in the Director's office since the matter came to light, but there may have been good reasons for it. We needn't, I think, go into that.

2. Will the Honourable Member now accept the proposal made last month (at A)?

A. T. PRINGLE—7-10-02.

The refund need not be insisted on; but the Director may as well be told that carelessness or neglect of the sort among his subordinates is best remedied by making them personally responsible.

J. T. [HOMSON]—8-10-02.

The draft placed below may issue.

P. T. THARYAN—8-10-02.

A. T. PRINGLE—8-10-02.

[G.O., No. 624, Educational, dated 8th October 1902.]

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 624, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
8TH OCTOBER 1902.

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 4425, dated 9th September 1902.

2. Financial may be asked to agree with this view before the Director's letter is formally transferred to them.

Has the Financial department any objection to the proposal above?

To the Financial department.

Mr. Stuart seems to be unfortunate in his financial control.

The year is over four and a half months ago and, presumably, the accounts of it are squared. Let the Director explain the circumstances under which this matter comes up now and how the improper change upon Government has, apparently, gone unchecked by him for so long.

To the Educational department.

The draft placed below may issue.

Yes. But it appears to me that the account department was to blame.

[Memorandum No. 246, dated 20th September 1902.]

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____, 190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1344, From the DP, No. 4425, dated 9-9- 1902

1458 1425 2-10 1902

ORDER

No. 624, dated 8-10-1902

The Director's request that retrospective effect from 1st April 1901 may be given to G.O. B no 728 Fint d/194th December 1901 will be considered in the Financial reports.

2. With reference to the Director's second letter, he will be reminded that carelessness or neglect on the part of his subordinates is best remedied by making them personally responsible for ^{any} ~~the~~ losses caused thereby.

Ph
871072

f. 10.2

To the final reports [with copy of notes]
- 2009
✓ 2010
2010

2 - 9 - 9 2000
2 - 01 - 2 2000

191 4421
191 8241

Interferer request that retrospective
effect from 1st April 1901 should be given to
the R. and S. Fund of 14th December 1901
which was included in the Financial Report.
With reference to the Director
second letter should be reviewed that
can be seen or neglected in the R. and S. Fund
Subcommittee is not concerned by the
then personally responsible for the
plant.

First copy sent to Press.
Returned for signature.
Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 190 . , DATES

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. 50
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter. 8

Press
Print with notes
I show proof on Monday
10/10/02