

500-55-18-01.

E. 30.

Educational (1902) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 341

DATED 7. 6. 02

INDEXED.

(20)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Refg to the S.P. I for report under
petitions from 20 students of the
hospital asst dept of the med
College complaining against the
rules regulating the dismissal
& removal of hosp asst pupils

PRINTED IN G.O., dated 16th

October 1902. No. 643

643
10/19/02

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Edl Read again the fully paper.
Public (190.2) Department.

Go to 341, 502 & 7-6-2
Read the fully paper
CURRENT No.

CURRENT NO.
1477
PUBLIC

839

From

[Name] Surgeon-General D. SINCLAIR, M.B., C.S.I., I.M.S.,

[Designation] Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras,

[Station] *Potacamund*

No. *71/63*

Dated *24th* May 1902.

Enclosures *One*

Received *→* 190

Registered *26.5* 1902.

in 29.5-02

SUBJECT.

Forwarding petition from J. Paramasivan Pillai, Ex Native Military pupil to the address of His Excellency the Governor praying that the order of the Medical College Council excluding him from further examination may be cancelled

BACK PAPERS.

G.O. 337 R 7.6-5-02

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for favour of disposal the accompanying petition from an Ex-Native Military pupil to the address of His Excellency the Governor praying that the order of the Medical College Council excluding him from further examination may be cancelled.

2. The Principal of the Medical College reports as follows on the case:-

"Applicant x x x was dismissed at the end of 1896-97 for failure in his second year subject. He was readmitted as a Native Military Pupil in 1898-99 having completed the second year course as a private pupil. Failing at the Final Examination at the end of 1898-99 in four subjects and total marks he was again dismissed in accordance with the College rules. Subsequently he made two attempts to regain admission as a private student, but the College Council has rejected his petitions having regard to his record as a Medical student".

3. Under the above circumstances I am unable to support the petitioner's prayer.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Surgeon-General,

Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras

To

HIS EXCELLENCY
THE RIGHT HONOURABLE
ARTHUR OLIVER VILLIERS,
BARON AMPHILL, G.C.I.E.,
Governor-in-Council,

Fort St. George,
Madras.

THROUGH THE SURGEON GENERAL
with the Government of Madras.

The humble petition of J. PARAMASIVAN PILLAI, EX. MEDICAL STUDENT, MADRAS MEDICAL COLLEGE, now residing at 3/4 Muthugramony Street, Periamet, Madras, most respectfully sheweth,

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

- I. That the petitioner entered the Medical Department as a Student of the Hospital Assistant grade on notification in the Fort St. George Gazette, where annually the number of candidates required for the Madras Civil, Burmah Civil, and Military Hospital Assistant grades, is published with the prospects of the Department.
- II. Though the petitioner, who was entertained as a Government Student, was allowed a stipend, yet it was with great difficulty and with additional expenses alone that he was able to prosecute the course of four years Medical study.
- III. After successfully completing the collegiate course, the petitioner was allowed to appear for the final examination of the Hospital Assistant grade. Unlike other examinations, this examination is purely an oral one, lasting but for a few minutes in each subject, and it is needless to bring to Your Excellency's notice the disadvantages of such oral examinations.

The Principal says it has always been an oral examination since 1835 when the College was founded. The addition of the test was made in 1896.

- IV. That your petitioner failed in such oral examination and, under a regulation framed by the Madras Medical College Council, (*viz.*) Students who fail in two or more subjects are dismissed (*i.e.*) declared ineligible for reappearing for the same examination any further, the petitioner was debarred from further appearing for the same examination.
- V. That in consequence of this rule, your petitioner has been most grievously injured in his future prospects and career in life, having spent his prime and long years in a special study, failure to qualify in which shuts all doors of useful employment elsewhere, unfitting him at the same time for any other profession.
- VI. That, under the above circumstances, your petitioner humbly prays that the order excluding him from further examinations may be cancelled and that he be allowed to appear as a *private student*, for the examination at his own expense as often as he can, till he is fully qualified.
- VII. That your petitioner begs to point out that this rule, (*i.e.*) that of permitting students to appear for future examinations, is one, that applies to all other Government and University examinations, and would not, in any way, injure the ends of Medical study, while at the same time it will give persons in the position of your petitioner a chance of usefully fitting themselves up for after life.
- VIII. That your petitioner does not specially crave for this concession for sake of Government employment, if passed out, but only as a qualification for service in Native States, Municipalities and Railways, or for private practice.
- IX. Your petitioner also begs to point out that there is no lower qualifying public examination for Medical practice other than the L. M. & S. which requires a F. A. and five years College course, which is consequently beyond the means of many of the average students.
- X. Your petitioner begs to submit that he has spent three or four years of his life's prime in studying for this special line and is, if completely thrown out, as he is now, by the operation of the above rule of the Medical College, quite unfit to take up any other line; and to aggravate the

injustice in his case, he is not notified of this rule in the Fort St. George Gazette which calls for the candidates; and so when he entered his course, your petitioner was, and all students are, ignorant of the existence of a rule that has such a ruinous effect on their after career.

- XI. It is therefore respectfully submitted that Your Excellency in Council will kindly go into the whole subject and pass orders for the admission of failed students to subsequent examinations of the Hospital Assistant grade. And in doing justice to your petitioner, Your Excellency will also be doing something in the interests of the Public at large by passing an order enabling failed private or Government candidates for the Hospital Assistant grade to reappear for the examination, even if they fail in more than two subjects, and thus save many in your petitioner's condition from ruin for life for want of any other suitable course.
- XII. For which act of kindness and justice, your petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Your Excellency's


Most obedient servant,

J. Paramasivan Pillai
Ex. Medical Student,

(3/4, Muthugramony Sreet, Periamet,)

Madras.

MADRAS, }
18th April, 1902. }



PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following paper :—

Letter from the Principal, Medical College, Madras, No. 479 dated 21st February 1900.

No 6152, dated 26th June 1900.

The Director entirely agrees with the Principal in thinking that no medical student, who has failed in more than two subjects and total marks in any of the final examinations of the college should be permitted to reappear for the same before the Board of Examiners without a further full course of training in the final year subjects. The Principal is requested to be good enough to carry out the necessary changes in the rules approved in G.O. No 697, Educational, dated 7th November 1889 and submit a draft of the revised rules for the approval of the Director and the sanction of Government.

2. With reference to his petition dated 24th February last, J. Paramasivam Pillai will be informed that the Director sees no reason to modify the orders of the Principal of the Medical College on his case. The entrance fee paid by him will be refunded to him, the necessary orders being issued in separate Proceedings.

(Signed) G.H. Stuart,

Director of Public Instruction.

/True Copy/

J.R. Scott

Personal Assistant to the D.P.I.

*Letter to Sub C. No 1477
of '82*

Educational (1902) Department.

CURRENT No. 845

From

[Name]

P. R. Naraswami Nayudu to the

[Designation]

Ex. hosp. Asst. Student.

[Station]

Kadapa

No.

Received at 26-5-1902

Dated 22-5-1902.

Enclosures eight.

Registered 29-5-1902

SUBJECT.

Submitting a memorial praying for the Cancellation of the rule of the Med. College preventing failed students of the hosp. Asst. grade, from appearing for the final examination.

BACK PAPERS.

Ans. 829/01

G.O. 337 R/01

679/21-11-01

G.O. 455/01

674/01

621/01

620/01

FURTHER PAPERS.

697-7-11-01

Supreme
Original

M.O.
Please sign this.
There are 8 enclosures.
The original will be
sent afterwards.
7/12
27-5-02

845

7

To

HIS EXCELLENCY

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

ARTHUR OLIVER VILLIERS,

BARON AMPTHIL G. C. I. E.,

GOVERNOR-IN-COUNCIL,

Fort St. George,

Madras.

Through the Surgeon General

with the Government of Madras.

*The humble Memorial of the Ex-Hospital
Assistant Students of the Madras
Medical College and of the Prince
of Wales, Medical School, Tanjore.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

1. That the Memorialists were trained in the above institutions for the Hospital Assistant Grade Examination, many at the expense of the Government and some as paying students. After successfully completing their 3 years' course in the College, they were sent up for the final examination in which failing to secure the required minimum in two or more subjects and 50 per cent. of the total number of marks, they were, under the rules (copy enclosed Ex. A.) declared ineligible to appear for the examination any further, either as private or as paying Collegiate pupils.

2. That the Memorialists' prospects have been thereby seriously blasted and in spite of their numerous petitions to the immediate authorities concerned, they have failed to secure redress, and they, therefore, venture to approach

your Excellency with their sad case in the strongest belief that it will meet with your Excellency's favourable consideration.

3. That this provision is as singular as it is stringent and does not find a place in any other medical test in India not to mention the highest medical tests of England.

4. That unlike the L. M. & S and M. B. & C. M. Examinations, this is conducted purely *vivo voce* and is not a fair test on which to determine once for all the success or ruin of a student's career and the Memorialists also beg to point out that this severe test consists in the students being asked to answer on the spur of the moment one or two questions that may be chosen at random by the examiner.

5. That the board of examiners are conscious of the indeterminative character of this oral test is plainly evident from the fact that they hold a special competitive examination in writing, for giving away the Government Gold Medal.

6. *That even granting, that this examination is a fair test of a student's capacity, it can hardly be contended that a failure in it could be regarded as sufficient to warrant the precluding of a student from ever attempting to pass in a subsequent year.*

7. That a reference to the letter No. 6132 dated 26th June 1900, of the Director of Public Instruction (copy enclosed Ex. B.) shows, that both the Director and the Principal of the Medical College are of opinion that the dismissed students should be allowed to reappear for the examination after undergoing another year's course and that the existing rules should be revised.

8. That in accordance with the instructions conveyed in the above letter, a student, one of the Memorialists, was allowed to attend the College pending the decision of the College Council but was dismissed again by the Principal, his dismissal being confirmed as it was said, by the College Council. By this strange procedure of the Council, the unfortunate student has lost an appointment which he was then holding.

9. That it is clear that the College Council is guided by no principle in the question of re-admission of unsuccessful candidates since they do not enforce the rule on all unsuccessful students uniformly (for instance, vide copy enclosed Ex. C.), and as for Mysore students, they are admitted into the College and allowed to re-appear for the examination apparently for the reason that they are recommended by the Mysore Government, while the same privilege is withheld from the Memorialists for the simple reason that they do not hold similar recommendations from the Madras Government.

10. That by shutting out the Memorialists permanently from appearing again for the examination, the Government sustains the loss of the money spent on their education, and that even if the Government is not willing to spend anything further on their account, the Memorialists respectfully beg to submit that they are prepared, to study at their own cost and re-appear for the examination, and to serve the Government after passing. The pupils who pay for their education are as much and perhaps more, injured by this dismissal though they deserve better consideration.

11. That dismissal from College is a measure by which offences of a serious nature are punished. It is hard to conceive how failure in an examination could be regarded as an offence grave enough to merit dismissal nor could it be reasonably urged that the interests of Medical science are compromised by giving the Memorialists another chance for passing the examination.

It may not be out of place here to mention that Medical education has not advanced as much as it is desirable in India and any rule such as the one we complain against, tending to discourage the Medical education should be discountenanced.

In this connection, the Memorialists beg to point out that they did not enter the College with any knowledge of the risk they were undergoing as the Notification in the Fort St. George Gazette which invites students to enter on this career, gives absolutely no clue as to this curious provision.

[4]

In conclusion, the Memorialists, above all, humbly beg to call your Excellency's attention to the profitless waste of many years of hard labour and to the utter ruin of their future prospects which an enforcement of this extremely severe regulation involves, since it becomes too late for them to think of entering any other profession. The Memorialists remain with the fervent hope that their humble prayers will receive a favourable consideration at your Excellency's hands and will result in the abolition of a rule so ruinous to the prospects of young men.

For which act of justice we shall ever pray.

Your Excellency's Most Obedient Servants,

- (sd) 1. P. R. Veerasawmy Naidoo. 674-19-11-07 ✓
 2. A. Govindasawmy Pillai.
 3. Davis Michael. 674-19-11-07 ✓
 4. S. Doriappan. 674-19-11-07 ✓
 5. C. Somasundram. 674-19-11-07 ✓
 6. V. Subbiah Naidoo. 674-19-11-07 ✓
 7. S. Singaravalo Pillai. 620-20-10-07
 8. J. Paramasivam Pillai.
 9. H. G. Panthulu.
 10. G. Manuel. 621-20-10-07
 11. M. N. Govinda Nayar.
 12. C. Venkatasawmy Naidoo.
 13. Kadir Sheriff. 4-60 679-21-11-01
 14. J. C. Aservatham.
 15. P. Arokiasawmy.
 16. Dorasawmy.
 17. J. Jesudasen.

ADDRESS :—

H. G. PANTHULU,

Assistant, W. H. Haller's Dispensary,

Madras.


MADRAS,

22nd May 1902. }
 4th April, 1902. }

Original.

Equal
 21/5/02

Educational Cuo 845



To

HIS EXCELLENCY

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

ARTHUR OLIVER VILLIERS,

BARON AMPTHIL G. C. I. E.,

GOVERNOR-IN-COUNCIL,

Fort St. George,

Madras.

Through the Surgeon General

with the Government of Madras.

The humble Memorial of the Ex-Hospital
 Assistant Students of the Madras
 Medical College and of the Prince
 of Wales, Medical School, Tanjore.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

1. That the Memorialists were trained in the above institutions for the Hospital Assistant Grade Examination, many at the expense of the Government and some as paying students. After successfully completing their 3 years' course in the College, they were sent up for the final examination in which failing to secure the required minimum in two or more subjects and 50 per cent. of the total number of marks, they were, under the rules (copy enclosed Ex. A.) declared ineligible to appear for the examination any further, either as private or as paying Collegiate pupils.

2. That the Memorialists' prospects have been thereby seriously blasted and in spite of their numerous petitions to the immediate authorities concerned, they have failed to secure redress, and they, therefore, venture to approach

your Excellency with their sad case in the strongest belief that it will meet with your Excellency's favourable consideration.

3. That this provision is as singular as it is stringent and does not find a place in any other medical test in India not to mention the highest medical tests of England.

4. That unlike the L. M. & S and M. B. & C. M. Examinations, this is conducted purely *vivo voce* and is not a fair test on which to determine once for all the success or ruin of a student's career and the Memorialists also beg to point out that this severe test consists in the students being asked to answer on the spur of the moment one or two questions that may be chosen at random by the examiner.

5. That the board of examiners are conscious of the indeterminative character of this oral test is plainly evident from the fact that they hold a special competitive examination in writing, for giving away the Government Gold Medal.

6. *That even granting, that this examination is a fair test of a student's capacity, it can hardly be contended that a failure in it could be regarded as sufficient to warrant the precluding of a student from ever attempting to pass in a subsequent year.*

7. That a reference to the letter No. 6132 dated 26th June 1900, of the Director of Public Instruction (copy enclosed Ex. B.) shows, that both the Director and the Principal of the Medical College are of opinion that the dismissed students should be allowed to reappear for the examination after undergoing another year's course and that the existing rules should be revised.

8. That in accordance with the instructions conveyed in the above letter, a student, one of the Memorialists, was allowed to attend the College pending the decision of the College Council but was dismissed again by the Principal, his dismissal being confirmed as it was said, by the College Council. By this strange procedure of the Council, the unfortunate student has lost an appointment which he was then holding.

9. That it is clear that the College Council is guided by no principle in the question of re-admission of unsuccessful candidates since they do not enforce the rule on all unsuccessful students uniformly (for instance, vide copy enclosed Ex. C.), and as for Mysore students, they are admitted into the College and allowed to re-appear for the examination apparently for the reason that they are recommended by the Mysore Government, while the same privilege is withheld from the Memorialists for the simple reason that they do not hold similar recommendations from the Madras Government.

10. That by shutting out the Memorialists permanently from appearing again for the examination, the Government sustains the loss of the money spent on their education, and that even if the Government is not willing to spend anything further on their account, the Memorialists respectfully beg to submit that they are prepared, to study at their own cost and re-appear for the examination, and to serve the Government after passing. The pupils who pay for their education are as much and perhaps more, injured by this dismissal though they deserve better consideration.

11. That dismissal from College is a measure by which offences of a serious nature are punished. It is hard to conceive how failure in an examination could be regarded as an offence grave enough to merit dismissal nor could it be reasonably urged that the interests of Medical science are compromised by giving the Memorialists another chance for passing the examination.

It may not be out of place here to mention that Medical education has not advanced as much as it is desirable in India and any rule such as the one we complain against, tending to discourage the Medical education should be discountenanced.

In this connection, the Memorialists beg to point out that they did not enter the College with any knowledge of the risk they were undergoing as the Notification in the Fort St. George Gazette which invites students to enter on this career, gives absolutely no clue as to this curious provision.

In conclusion, the Memorialists, above all, humbly beg to call your Excellency's attention to the profitless waste of many years of hard labour and to the utter ruin of their future prospects which an enforcement of this extremely severe regulation involves, since it becomes too late for them to think of entering any other profession. The Memorialists remain with the fervent hope that their humble prayers will receive a favourable consideration at your Excellency's hands and will result in the abolition of a rule so ruinous to the prospects of young men.

For which act of justice we shall ever pray.

Your Excellency's Most Obedient Servants,

- (sd) 1. P. R. Veerasawmy Naidoo.
 2. A. Govindasawmy Pillai.
 3. Davis Michael.
 4. S. Dorieppan.
 5. C. Somasundram.
 6. V. Subbiah Naidoo.
 7. S. Singaravaloo Pillai.
 8. J. Paramasivam Pillai.
 9. H. G. Panthulu.
 10. G. Manuel.
 11. M. N. Govinda Nayar.
 12. C. Venkatasawmy Naidoo.
 13. Kadir Sheriff.
 14. J. C. Aservatham.
 15. P. Arokiasawmy.
 16. Dorasawmy.
 17. J. Jesudasan.

ADDRESS:—

H. G. PANTHULU,

Assistant, W. H. Haller's Dispensary,

Madras.

MADRAS,

22nd May 1902. }
 4th April, 1902. }

25 MAY 1902

The following rules for the dismissal and remand of pupils of various classes of the Hospital Assistant Dept. of the Medical College have been sanctioned by the Government (G. O. No. 697 dated 7th Nov. 1899.)

- (a) A Military or Civil Medical pupil, who fails to obtain one third of the combined total of marks given at the class examinations and the examinations conducted by the professors, at the end of each session in each subject, shall in ordinary cases be recommended for dismissal. In case of failure in only one subject, the pupil should have the opportunity of a special examination in that subject at the end of June, so as not to involve the loss of a session.
- (b) Every other pupil on the receipt of a Stipend or Scholarship from whatever source who fails to fulfil the condition laid down in rule (a) shall also be liable to be dismissed, subject to the confirmation of the Director of Public Instruction and the consent of the authority by whom the Stipend or Scholarship is founded.
- (c) A private pupil, i.e. a pupil paying for his education, who fails to obtain the required proportion of marks, will not, by reason of such failure alone, be liable to be dismissed. He will, however, be remanded for an extra course of study of the subjects taught in the year in which he failed. He shall not be permitted to go on to his next year of study until the College Council be satisfied that he has made sufficient progress in the year of remand.

79W

(d) A civil or military medical pupil, having failed at a final or annual examination, may on the recommendation of the College Council and final examination committee, and with the conjoint approval of the Surgeon-General and the Director of Public Instruction, be allowed one remand during his entire course with or without stipend. All stipendiary pupils, other than those in the service of the Government (Madras), may likewise be allowed the privilege, subject to the approval of the Director and with the consent of the authority by whom they are respectively paid.

(e) If a pupil fails at the end of the year of his remand, he should, in every case be dismissed with the option of attending the College thereafter as a paying student, provided the College Council be willing to admit him.

(f) If a pupil be disabled during his course by illness or fails from any cause beyond his control and which the Council may consider sufficient to justify his being exempted from the operation of rules (a) and (c), such exemption may be granted. Each case of this kind will be separately considered and dealt with according to its own merits. In all such cases he should, however, be remanded for another year's study.

(g) If a third year pupil at the end of his course be reported deficient, it shall rest with the Principal and the Professor of the Subject concerned whether that pupil shall be allowed to appear for his final examination or not.

Ex. A.

True copy.
from
Calendar
of the
Medical College

Madras.
1901-1902.

Pages 35, 36.

Memorandum 1629

Reference - His application of 4th Jan. 1900.

J. Paramasivam Pillai is permitted to appear for the ensuing final examination for the grade of Hospital assistants as a private candidate on payment of the prescribed fee of Rupees fifteen (15) on condition of his joining the military service should he successfully pass the examination.

(sd.) W. R. Browne L.C.

Madras.
22nd Jan. 1900.

Principal Medical College

(True copy.)

To J. Paramasivam Pillai

11. Kothan Lane.

East main Street
Tanjore.

Memorandum 1731.

In modification of this office No. $\frac{1629}{1629}$ dated 22nd Jan. 1900. J. Paramasivam Pillai is informed that on a reconsideration of his case it has been decided not to permit him to appear for the ensuing final examination for the grade of Hospital Assistant. Seeing that he failed in four subjects and total marks at the last examination, undersigned considers that he must undergo another year's full course before he presents himself for re-examination. He need not therefore pay in the fee for the next examination.

(sd) W. R. Browne Esq

Principal, Medical College

Madras.
15th Feb. 1900.

(Free copy)

To. J. Paramasivam Pillai

11. Kotharu Lane.

Eastmain Street
Tanjore.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction

- Read - Letter from the Principal, Medical College, No. 479, dated 21st February 1900.
- Read - Petition from J. Paramasivan Pillai Tanjore dated 13th March 1900.
- Read - Letters from the Principal, Medical College No. 523 dated 21st March 1900, No. 532 dated 28th March 1900, and No. 104 dated 26th May 1900.

No. 6132 dated 26th June 1900

1. The Director entirely agrees with the Principal in thinking that no medical students who has failed in more than two subjects and total marks in any of the final examinations of the college should be permitted to reappear for the same before the Board of Examiners without a further full course of training in the final year subjects. The Principal is requested to be good enough to carry out the necessary changes in the rules approved in G. O. No. 697, educational, dated 7th Nov. 1889 and to submit a draft of the revised rules for the approval of the Director and the Sanction of Government.
2. With reference to his petition dated 24th Feb. last, J. Paramasivan Pillai will be informed that the Director sees no reason to modify the orders of the Principal, Medical College, on his case. The entrance fee paid by him will be refunded to him, the necessary orders being issued in separate proceedings.

(sd) G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction
(True copy)

To The Principal, Medical College,
J. Paramasivan Pillai para 2. only.

Memorandum No 438.

J. Paramasivan Pillai's application dated 16th June 1900 will be laid before the College Council for their consideration at the next meeting. Meanwhile he may attend the College on the 2nd July pending the decision of the Council, should he desire to do so.

(sd) Geo. L. Walker M.D.

Madras
28th June 1900.

Lt. Col. J. M. S.

Ag. Principal Medical College

(True copy)

J. Paramasivan Pillai,

Medical pupil

Residing opposite to Clock Tower

Tanjore.

Memorandum No. 574.

With reference to his application dated
16th June 1900, J. Paramasivan Pillai
is informed that the College Council
confirmed his dismissal at their meeting
on the 13th Instant and have declined
to admit him as a private student

(sd) Geo. L. Walker M.D.
Lt. Col. Dms.

Madras.
17th July 1900.

Ag. Principal, Medical College.

(True copy.)

1209 99
Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction

Read - Petition from J. Paramasivan Pillai Tanjore dated 18th July 1900, requesting permission to continue his studies in the medical school Tanjore and to appear for the final examination for native military pupils.

Read - Letter from the Principal Medical College Madras No. 204. dated 18th July 1900.

Read - Endorsement of the same officer No. 4642 dated 24th July 1900.

Read - Also petition from J. Paramasivan Pillai Tanjore dated 26th July 1900.

No. 8042 dated 15th Aug. 1900.

The Director sees no reason to interfere with the decision of the Medical college council and the petitioner's request cannot be granted.

(sd) G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction
(True copy)

To

Petitioner

Copy to the Principal, Medical college.

D E F G H

Hygiene	Medical Jurisprudence	Medicine	Total	Recommendation of the College Council	Further recommendation of the College Council
50	50	50	150		
16 2/3	16 2/3	16 2/3	50		
5	20	15	34	Remanded with out stipend	
3	20	12	34	Do	
3	12	20	32	Do	
0	17	10	30	Remanded	
0	5	25	28	Dismissed	Allowed to study as a private pupil
0	40	5	37	Remanded with stipend	
1	10	24	27	Dismissed	Allowed to study as a private pupil
4	17	38	203	Remanded with stipend	
0	15	20	39	Dismissed	Not allowed to study as a private pupil
0	18	5	13	Dismissed	Allowed to study as a private pupil
15	5	38	155	Dismissed	Not allowed to study as a private pupil
10	10	27	182	Dismissed	Allowed to study as a private pupil
5	20	33	269	Dismissed	
4	40	40	269	Dismissed	
5	25	38	214	Dismissed	
20	15	44	222	Remanded with stipend	

In this year all the students that failed in two subjects and total were uniformly dealt with and remanded for another year

This year the punishment differs for the same class of students. Two were remanded with stipend and three were dismissed. Of the three that were dismissed two were allowed to study as private pupils except no. 9. In these two years of all the students that failed in two subjects and total this student has got the highest no. of marks in total besides maximum in Minor surgery. This is the first year that he ever failed in his course. The reason for this severe step in his case is unknown.

Of the three students nos. 10, 11, 12. that failed in three subjects and total two were allowed to study as private pupils. Of them no. 12 was plucked for the second time in his course and yet he was allowed whereas no. 11. was not allowed even though it is his first year.

The recommendation of the college council in the case of no. 4 is in the first place meaningless for he is a private pupil. The reason for omitting the previous record of no. 4 is not clear. A reference to the calendar of 1900-01 will make the matter clear. A council that does not take the trouble of ascertaining these matters cannot be said to consider every case according to its own merits.

EX. C.

True extracts
from the
Calendar of the
Medical College
Madras
years 1900-1901
1901-1902.

		A B C					
	Names	Subjects failed	Recommendation of the Examiners	Previous Record	Medicine	Surgery	Minor Surgery
1	Madras Burma Tupil, P. Veerasamy Naidu	D, F, H.		1900-1901	100 23½	100 33½	20 6½
	Maisur State Tupil,				68	40	16
2	F.A. Jayasilaraya Pillay	D, F, H.			56	44	17
3	A. Masilamony Mudali	D, E, H.			60	45	14
	Tanjore Private Tupil,						
4	S. Doraiappan	D, F, H		1901-1902	66	38	14
	Madras Civil Tupil						
5	Muhammad Abdul Aziz	A, E, H.			..	50	15
6	B.V. Saiyd Ahmad	A, F, H.			20	65	15
	Madras Burma Tupil,						
7	V.A. Muttaya	C, E, H.			36	60	5
	Maisur State Tupil						
8	H. Gangadhara Rao	D, E, H.			43	75	14
	Tanjore Private Tupil						
9	P.V. Veerasamy Naidu	A, E, H.			25	60	20
	Maisur State Tupil,						
10	A. Venkataramaiah	A, F, G, H.			25	45	15
	Tanjore L.F. Tupil						
11	A. Govindasamy Pillay	A, E, F, H.			5	52	15
	Madras Burma Tupil						
12	A. Ananiah Michael	A, E, F, H.	Failed in A, F at class examination at end of last session and was therefore debarred from appearing before the Board and remanded without stipend	1900-1901	25	75	15
	Madras Burma Tupil						
1	S. Singaraneeli Pillay	D	Failed last year before the Board in B, E, H. and therefore remanded for a year		76	81	14
	Godavari L.F. Tupil						
2	M. Seethapathi	E	Failed in B before the board last year, re-examined after 3 months and having failed was remanded for a year	1901-1902	76	46	16
	Madras Burma Tupil						
3	M. Venkatachalam	D, E,	Failed in D, F at last final examination and remanded with stipend		54	65	15
	Tanjore Private Tupil						
4	K. Samy Naidu	A, F	Failed in F, H at last final examination and remanded		25	60	18
			This is omitted				
	</						

Cur: No. 839, from the Surgeon General, No. T1/63, dated 24th May 1902
Cur: No. 845, from P.R. Viraswami Nayudu & others, dated 22nd May 1902.

Order (Misc.)

The petitions read above

will be referred to the Director
of Public Instruction for full
report.

2. The Government are not
aware that any alteration in the
rules regulating the dismissal
and remand of hospital assistant
pupils is called for, but as the
Director appears to have favoured
such a course in 1900, any re-
commendations which he may make
will be considered.

T.K.
6-6-02.

A.T.P.
7-6-02.

To the Dir. of Pub. Instrn.
with currents in original to be
returned with reply.

copying

190

Cur:

Cur:

DATED

D. H. No.

VERNMENT

A A A A A A A

VERNMENT.

St. to.

To the

W

BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH

py in full.

ot to be copied.

py abstract only.

py heading only.

*Print with endnotes
in full
7/18
2006*