


Educational (190 2) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 99

DATED 24. 2. 02

INDEXED.



[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining to sanction the exemption
of P. Najaratna Mudra & or employees
in the public service.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1,500-6-3-01.

Educational **Financial (1901) Department.**

CURRENT No.


1714

From

[Name] Surgeon-General D. SINCLAIR, M.B., C.S.I., I.M.S.,

[Designation] Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras,

[Station] Madras.



No.

193

Dated December 1901.

Received 1901.

Enclosures

Registered 9-12-1901.

SUBJECT

Submitting letter from the Surgeon, 1st District, Madras, giving reasons for appointing Nagarathna Mudaliar as a clerk when he was not qualified for the post.

BACK PAPERS.

Go 528 mis of 15.10.01

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government,
Educational
Financial Department.

By

Submitted with reference to G.O. No. 598, Edu-
-cational, dated 25th October 1901.

Surgeon-General,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

Copy of a letter from the Acting Surgeon, 1st Dis-
trict, Madras, to the Personal Assistant to the Surgeon-
General with the Government of Madras, No.307 dated 2nd
December 1901.

With reference to your endorsement No. 5894
dated 21st November 1901, I have the honor to report that P.
Nagaruthna Mudaliar was originally entertained as a clerk
for the Native Infirmary on an allowance of Rs.15 per mensem
and was paid from funds of the Monegar Choultry. From the
date of his entertainment he has, in addition to his own
duties as clerk, Native Infirmary, performed the clerical
work of this office (office of Surgeon, 1st District) without
any remuneration whatever till the year 1895.

2. The late Colonel G.L. Walker who was then

Surgeon

3
Surgeon, 1st District, represented to the Surgeon-General
that the clerical work of the office was very heavy and re-
commended the temporary appointment of a clerk on Rs.20 to do
the work. This was sanctioned, and clerk P. Nagarathna
Mudaliar was given the appointment in consideration of his
past gratuitous and long service; as the appointment has
since been made a permanent one, I strongly recommend that
his case be specially considered on account of his past ser-
vices and that he be given the appointment and exemption
from passing any qualifying examination. He has served
for 11 years, knows the work thoroughly well, and has given
entire satisfaction to the different Medical Officers under
whom he has served in this District.

4

Educational (190⁵ /) Department.

CURRENT No. 1733⁴

From

[Name] Kandaswami Nayudu

[Designation] _____

[Station] 65, Mungas Choully Road,
Washermanpet, Madras

No. _____

Dated 12-12-1901

Received 12-12-1901

Enclosures _____

Registered 12-12-1901

SUBJECT.

Protesting against the exemption of one Najaratna
Kudalijan, clerk in the office of the Surgeon,
1st district, Madras.

BACK PAPERS.

No. 1714/01

G.O. 598 in 8/25-10-01

FURTHER PAPERS.

No. 65 Monegar Choultry
Road. Washermanpet, Madras, 12 Dec 1907

65/12/07
12.12.07
L. J. Neir, Esq.

Ag. Secretary to Govt.
Educational Department

I beg to invite your special attention to the fact that one Nagarathna Mudaliar, a temporary clerk of the office of the Surgeon I.Dt., Madras has been recommended to Govt. for exemption from examination rules, so that he might become eligible to be confirmed as a clerk on Rs. 30, recently sanctioned by Govt. for the office of the Surgeon I.Dt., Madras. Before according sanction, kindly consider the following points.

- (1) He is certified by the I.Dt. Surgeon to be only 42 years of age, though by appearance he looks of 50 years old. He has elephantiasis of the right leg, an incurable disease. The Surgeon however states that he has no disease and is perfectly fit for entertainment to public service at present.
- (2) Head of office, viz. the Surgeon General should also exempt him for entertainment, as he is overaged now.
- (3) He has no general educational qualification not having passed even the primary or middle school examination, now required by Govt. even in the case of inferior appointments.
- (4) The appointment of a clerk of the office of the Surgeon I.Dt. is not of a special professional or technical nature to warrant a special exemption in these hard days when graduates and Masters of Arts are struggling for existence. A Muhammadan Master of Arts is wretched on Rs 30/- in the Rev. Sect. for several years past.
- (5) He is holding another private appointment on Rs 15/- as a.c. of the Monegar Choultry for the past nearly 12 years in add.

to the temporary appointment of a clerk on Rs 20/- under the control of one and the same officer contrary to rules without Govt. sanction.

(6) There are no strong public grounds for exemption, he being ^{neither} a Muhammadan of high birth nor one working in malarious or hilly tracts, where passed men cannot be obtained for clerical appointments.

(7) Previous to this he was employed in the General Hospital, Asst. General Office and in the Buckingham Canal P.W.D. and was thrown out for misconduct and inefficiency.

So long ^{ago} as 1894 Govt. strictly issued orders and notified in the Fort St. George Gazette that even temporary appointments on Rs 15/- and upwards should not be given to unpassed men.

In the face of clear and distinct orders of Govt. Nagaratna Mudaliyar has been allowed to continue in the temporary appointments under Govt. and under Monegar Choultry for the past several years. Probably by the connivance of the Surgeon-General's Office and the Asst. General's Office, he has been allowed to continue to hold two appointments under the control of the same officers. To perpetuate another jobbery he is now recommended for exemption, even knowing that you are a very straight forward and conscious officer and Amphibull is a very shrewd and active Governor.

I therefore pray that justice may be meted with by not shutting the appointment to a passed man while ^{which} there are several competent men in the field including myself and earnestly beg that the facts enumerated above may be verified by a reference to the Surgeon-General and the Asst. General. Interpellations in the Legislative Council is sure to come if this wholesale jobbery is perpetrated. I beg to remain

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Randasa Ram Narayan

[E.]
2.
500-12-1-99



Memorandum No. 13 of 1901

Read the following paper:—

Cuo 17/4 of 1901.

The G. G. is requested to be good enough to ascertain and report if P. Nagaratna Mudaliyar still retains his appointment in the native infirmary and is paid Rs 15 separately for it from the funds of the monegar choultry.

11/1/12

11.1.12

TR
11-1-12

To The G. G. 11/1/12

Educational (1902) Department.


CURRENT No. 245

From

[Name] Surgeon-General D. SINCLAIR, M.B., C.S.I., I.M.S.,

[Designation] Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras,

[Station] Madras.



No. 68.

Dated 10th February 1902.

Enclosures

Received 190

Registered 11-2-1902.

SUBJECT.

Submitting a letter from the Acting Surgeon, 1st District, Madras informing that clerk P. Nagaruthna Mudaliar continues to draw an allowance of Rs.15 per mensem for the extra work done in connection with the Native Infirmary (Monegar Choultry Funds).

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

12

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

H

W

Submitted with reference to your memorandum No. 13
dated 16th January 1902.

W. C. L. S. Surgeon-General,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

Ck

No. 21

From

Lt. Col. John Lancaster M.B. F.M.S.



Ag. SURGEON, 1ST DISTRICT,
Madras,

To

THE *Personal Assistant*

to the Surgeon General

with the Government of Madras



SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

With reference to your R. C. No. 60/1 dated 23rd January 1902, I have the honor to inform you that Clerk P. Nagarathna Moodie continues to draw an allowance of Rs 15 per mensem for the extra work done in connection with the Native Infirmary (Monegar Choultry Funds) which will cease very shortly as the Madras Municipality intends taking charge of the same.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

John Lancaster Lt. Col. J. M. S.
Ag. Surgeon 1st District

13

OFFICE OF SURGEON, 1ST DISTRICT,

Madras, 29th January 1902.

Current No. 100 of 902
2

NOTE FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

As the * marginally noted proceedings of Government are required for the disposal of this current, the Public Department may unofficially be asked to furnish them.

7/10/1

656
9-10-01

Desp 9/10/1

Public departtuo.

Edl no 181 & dated to Oct. 1901.

Public DEPT.
C. No. 154-R
Dated 10-X-1901

Furnished:

Cs 11-10-02
M 12-1-01

To Lord Dept New

For orders

As to whether the exemption should be granted. The Clerk was entertained in 1895 but the appointment was then temporary. It has now been made permanent - vide H. 80. No 738 Public d1 19th July 1901. Under note (2) at the top of the Public Service notification sanction should have been obtained for his exemption before he was appointed to the post, but having held the post for about 6 years he can have

Curel 245

16
be now sent away. On the other hand if such exemptions are found necessary in a place like Madras there is hardly any justification for the examination rules.

PH
15/10/11 H.M.

The only reason for granting the exemption in Madras is that the exam has been retained so long

856
16.10.07

I suppose the application is in his handwriting. It is not very creditable as a specimen of writing.

The Surgeon says he has been in the office 11 years. According to Col B he was appointed in 1885.

Ask why he was appointed when unqualified.

11/12/11

The draft placed below may issue.

PH
24/10/11 1890-1
[I don't think he was unqualified]

[Cal. 1714 - From the Surgeon General at 490 of 6th December 1901.]

As directed by the H.M. the Surgeon-general was

17 10
was asked to report why Nagaratna mudaliyar was originally appointed as a clerk when he was not qualified for the post. The Surgeon General ^{surely} says that the clerk was at first entertained in the Native Infirmary and was doing, in addition to his own duties, the clerical work of the office of the Surgeon of the 1st district without remuneration till 1895. When a temporary clerk was sanctioned for that office, Nagaratna mudaliyar was appointed to the post in consideration of his long and gratuitous service. But the sanction of Govt. should have been obtained for his temporary exemption before the appointment was made.

2. As it is now reported that Nagaratna mudaliyar knows his work thoroughly well and has given entire satisfaction to the different medical officers under whom he has served, his exemption may perhaps be sanctioned.

PH
11/12/11

11.12.11

✓ to a petition about this from came in today.

856
12.12.07

P.T.D

This petition is placed below. It appears to be pseudonymous, but if it is a fact that Nagaratna Mudaliyar holds also the Monagas Choultry appointment his entertainment in Govt service seems to be open to objection. There will then be no case for a full time hospital clerk - but this is a matter for the consideration of the Public Deptt which may perhaps now see the papers.

12/12/11
 For orders. Same in cases where some public or political importance attaches, or may in the future attach, to a anonymous or pseudonymous petition. I would always consign it to the waste-paper basket after registry, & submit that this should be the end of Current No. 1733.


We can't say for certain it is pseudonymous, though it looks like it. S.O.H.

Pub. Deptt: May see the papers. It is for that Deptt. to decide what action if any is to be taken on the petition.

14.12.07

Pub. Deptt see O

no 238 of 16-12



Public DEPT.
 C. C. No. 185-R
 Dated 16-12-1901.

16/12/11

Orders are requested whether the petition should be referred to the Surgeon General for inquiry whether it is genuine, & if so whether the allegations in it are true. The signature seems to be pseudonymous, but even if it is genuine, it is a question whether the Surgeon 1st Dir, & the Surgeon Genl should not be trusted to deal with the matters alleged. If the conditions laid down in Art. 91(a) of the C. S. Regs have been fulfilled, as they doubtless have been, the clerk's employment on the work of the Monagas Choultry is unobjectionable.

C.S. 29/12/02. The S.G. may be asked to inquire?

Me 3.1.02

Lodge it

to Secy (u.o).

Educational dept.

Orders are solicited as to whether
Nagaratna Mudaliyar may now be exempted
from the examination rules.

PH
9/1/12

For orders.

M.P.

10.1.12

Without any reference to the
petition this department may enquire
of the Surg. Gen. if the clerk still
retains his appointment in the
Native Infirmary and is paid Rs. 15
separately for it from the funds of
the Monegar Choultry. This is
probably the fact vide Surg. Gen's letter.

The claims the know how or
not depend somewhat on the answers
both

10.1.12.

N

The draft placed below may issue.

PH
11/1/12
24
11-1-12

M.P.

11.1.12

[C.O. 245. From the Surg. general n. 68.
of 10.1.12.]

In memorandum n. 12 of 16 January
1902 at page 9 of the current file, the Surgeon
general was requested to ascertain and report
whether Nagaratna Mudaliyar, a clerk in
the office of the Surgeon of the 1st district,
still retained his appointment in the
Native Infirmary and was paid Rs. 15 per
month separately from the funds of
the Monegar Choultry. In reply the Surgeon
general says yes, but adds that the
allowance from the Monegar Choultry funds
will cease very shortly as the Madras
Municipality intends taking charge of
the infirmary.

PH

13/2/12

I submit we may now
proceed with the case. We may find

Rec
13/2/12

ourselves in difficulties if
the ^{published} standing rule about taking
no notice of anonymous petitions
is departed from, & the fact
that it has been departed from

is formally placed on record in
the at any rate made impermissible
from Govt. No. The point has
to my knowledge been carefully
considered in the past as I
would not have written as in my

Petition rules
T. B. para. IV.

* I am using the
term in its wider
sense as covering pseud-
onyms. In this case
(as I have ascertained)
there is no such number
as 65 in the sheet named:
64 is the latest number.
To Govt. the pet. is
of nameless.

note of 13-12-1901. My ex-
perience in the Sectt. has been
that ^{often} ~~when~~ ^{slight} notice was
taken of the ordinary anonymous
or pseudonymous petition - calls
for report, & so on being made -
there was a small crop of
similar petitions. On the
other hand when they were
torn up, the writers came to
know or guess it, & saw that
their game was up; there is
often communication between these
sneaking writers & persons in
the offices addressed.

[I venture to mark this as
confid. pro tem.]

2. As regards the exemption,
the anonymous writer's ~~an~~
assertion having been proved
true, Govt. should not exempt
the clerk? *

3. It is ~~supposed~~ supposed that
the writer charges against the
medical officer for certifying
as ^{having "no disease"} ~~fit for service~~ a man with
elephantiasis & again for
certifying that a person who looks
52 is only 40, or not the engaged

into.

H. M.

No reference ^{to this petition.} in his copy. the
question is whether the clerk sh^d
be recommended.

14.2.22
J. P.
19.2.22
Exemption refused
by 20/2/22

draft.
bols
21.2

N

The draft placed before may issue.

By 21/2/22

J. P.
21.2.22

seen for copying _____
copied _____
examined _____
signed _____ } 190

AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
190 .

ST No.

LOSURES TO C. No.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH DITTO.

APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.