

Educational (1902) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 97

DATED 21. 2. 02

INDEXED.

3

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording propo. Financial dept. on
letter from the Govt of India explaining
the meaning of certain articles in the
C.S.R. relating to the grant of extraordinary
leave without allowances.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ed CURRENT NO. 287
Government {1902} of Madras.
FINANCIAL.

W order no 97 of 21.2.02
mis.
Recorded.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

Spare copies

1902.

G.O., No. 114, 10th February 1902.


FEBRUARY.

Extraordinary leave without allowances.

Recording endorsement of the Government of India forwarding copy of their letter to the Accountant-General, Bombay, explaining the meaning of articles 264-A and 366 of the Civil Service Regulations by which — should not be granted in combination with any leave other than privilege leave, but that it can be granted in continuation of furlough which has expired.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.



READ—the following paper:—

From H. HESELTINE, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Accountant-General, Bombay, No. 329-P., dated Calcutta, the 24th January 1902.

In reply to your letter No. G.A./3411, dated 14th December 1901, I am directed to say that though article 366 of the Civil Service Regulations does not prohibit the subsequent grant of extraordinary leave in continuation of furlough, if circumstances arise which prevent the officer's return to duty at the end of the furlough, it specifically prohibits the grant of extraordinary leave in combination with furlough, and an officer cannot therefore, before he proceeds on leave, be granted extraordinary leave combined with privilege leave and furlough. Your report on the title to combined leave under article 264-A of the Civil Service Regulations in the case of Major J. S. Ashby, a military officer subject to the Civil Leave Rules, was therefore correct.

Copy, with copy of the letter to which it is a reply, forwarded to the Departments of the Government of India, to all local Governments and Administrations, Heads of Departments subordinate to this Department and to all Accountants-General and Comptrollers.

(By Order.)

H. HESELTINE,
Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

ENCLOSURE.

From O. T. BARROW, Esq., Accountant-General, Bombay, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, Calcutta, No. G.A./3411, dated Bombay, the 14th December 1901.

With reference to articles 264-A and 366 of the Civil Service Regulations, I have the honour to request the favour of the orders of the Government of India whether privilege leave can be granted in combination with more than one kind of leave when the conditions are such that the amount of privilege leave combined with any leave other than extraordinary leave without allowances which is admissible to an officer falls short of six months.

2. The case which has given rise to this reference is that of Major J. S. Ashby, a military officer subject to the Civil Leave Rules, who having already enjoyed furlough for 5 years, 11 months and 21 days and having a balance of only 9 days' furlough which he could take under the operation of article 334 of the Civil Service Regulations together with 19 days' privilege leave earned since his last return to duty, applied for privilege leave combined with furlough on medical certificate for one year. As the total period of the two kinds of leave admissible, privilege leave *plus* furlough, was only 28 days (and as Major Ashby was moreover not eligible at the time for special leave on urgent private affairs), my office in reporting on Major Ashby's application for leave stated that the combination of furlough and privilege leave was under the circumstances inadmissible under clause (iii) of article 264-A, Civil Service Regulations, but that the privilege leave due might be granted in combination with extraordinary leave without

allowances provided the total period of leave granted did not fall short of six months. The question upon which I feel some doubt is whether in such a case which must be of very rare occurrence the officer concerned must lose the benefit of the leave with allowances to which he is otherwise entitled or whether as I am inclined to think in such a case privilege leave *plus* furlough *plus* extraordinary leave without allowances may be granted. In the case under reference the amount of furlough which Major Ashby would be prevented from taking in connection with his present absence is small, but cases might occur where it was of more material length and as the provisions of articles 264-A and 366 of the Civil Service Regulations do not appear to be quite clear on the point, I have thought it right to request the orders of Government.

ORDER—No. 114, Financial, dated 10th February 1902.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

Assistant Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.

„ Superintendent of Stationary.
„ Postmaster-General.

Copy to the Departments of the Secretariat [except Legislative, Pension, Public (Military) and Financial (Books)].

(Educational)

Ed. A. F. Eccleston.

Not circulated

When given for copyin^g _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

190

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
190 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.