

Educational (1902) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 44

DATED 31-1-02

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Asking the D.P. to submit an application
in the prescribed form for the whole
of the addl allotment reqd under
22. Education.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1,000-76-11-00.

Educational (1902) Department.

CURRENT No. 139



From

[Name] *The Honorable Mr. G. W. Stewart, M.A.,*

[Designation] *Director of Public Instruction,*

[Station] *Madras*

Ref. No. 75554 of.

Dated *27th January 1902.*

Enclosures

Received _____ 190

Registered *28* 1902.

SUBJECT.

Requesting early orders on the application for an additional allotment for the current year on behalf of the School of Arts.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

In my letter Refon C No 7555/01, dated 24th October 1901, I applied for an additional allotment of Rs. 23,200 for the School of Arts, but in its Order Mis. No. 684, Educational, dated 23rd November 1901, Government fixed the amount that could be sanctioned at Rs. 14,400 and this amount has been included in the revised estimate as approved by Government for the current year. I have the honour now to request that the above additional grant be sanctioned by Government in the Financial Department and that this sanction be communicated to the Accountant-General at an early date so as to enable him to pass the contingent bills of the School of Arts, some of which he has returned unpassed for want of provision in the current year's budget.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

[F. 17]

500-20-9-01.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Cuo 139.

NOTE FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

It is believed that this application is one of the results of the conference that was recently held at the Honble Mr. Thomson's house, but there is nothing on record about it. It is again difficult to understand Mr. Chatterton. At his instance the Director applied ^{in October last} for an additional allotment of Rs 23,200 but in G.O. no 684 of 23rd November 1901 not more than Rs 14,400 was promised. The amount was fixed at a meeting of the Secretary, Messrs Chatterton & Stuart. Mr. Chatterton however said in para: 2 of his letter on page 10 of G.O. no 5 of 2nd January 1902 ^{that} he did not want more than Rs 2500 and the net increase provided in the revised estimate was only Rs 1500. The Director's statement that Rs 14,400 is included in the revised estimate is ^{then} wrong. If however Rs 14,400 was promised at the conference it has now to be sanctioned and the Finl departt has to see the application beforehand. See

between fourteen & thousand rupees had been promised. I think the Secy. & I have told me.

H. P.

29.1.2

Nothing was promised at the recent conference at

bow
29.002

The draft placed below may issue.

190

C. No. 139, From the DPD, No. 7555, dated 27th January 1901

ORDER No. 44, dated 31.1. 1902

The Director should submit an application in the prescribed form for the whole of the additional allotment required under 22. Education.

97 1/2
29/1/2

HP
29-1-7

Bob
LONDON

The D.P.I.

84/2

$$\frac{8}{2}$$

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 190
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 C = Copy abstract only.
 D = Copy heading only

*The Director should submit
 an application in the prescribed form
 for the whole of the additional allotment
 required under the Charter.*