


Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 89

DATED 20 2. 01

INDEXED



[Despatch Abstract.]

Say unto remarks remuneration accord
to the old scale to certain examiners in con-
nection with the Engineering College
examinations of 1899.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Educational (1900) Department.

Current ~~D.O.~~ No. 704 -

From

D.O. Enst. no. 191-d/2-6-00. The Director of Pub. Instn.

Returned for revision
with reference to G.O. No
226 Edl. d/31st May 1900.

No. 5842

(By order)

(Sd) A.J. Pringle.
Asstt. Secretary.

Dated 28th May 1900.

Received

Registered 25-5-00.

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction for payment of
remuneration to the members of the
B^d of Examiners, College of Eng^g
& to the asstt. examiners for
work done during 1899.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Office of the Director of
Public Instruction,
Madras, 28th May 1900.

No. 5342.

From

The Hon'ble Mr. G. H. Stuart, M.A., Barrister-at-Law,

Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department,

Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honour to request the sanction of Government to the payment of the following remuneration to the members of the Board of Examiners, College of Engineering and to the assistant Examiners for work done during 1899. The bills of remuneration are submitted, as usual, for reference and favour of return.

	Rs.	As.	Ps.
Mr. F. W. Ashpitel (President)	1517	4	..
„ J. P. Davidson (Member)	1597	3	..
Rev. A. Moffat (Member)	919	3	..
Mr. C. E. Phipps (Assistant Examiner)	456	..	..
Dewan Bahadur M. R. Ry. V. Krishnamachariyar (do)	270	..	..
	4760	4	..

2. The stipends have been calculated at the rates sanctioned in G.O. No. 854, Educational, dated 29th November 1892 on the assumption that Government will sanction the proposals submitted in this office letter No. 4928, dated 14th instant. The total budget provision for stipends to examiners for the current year being Rs. 4200, falls short of the amount recommended for payment by Rs. 560-4-0. The excess can be met from general savings in the current year's budget allotment for the College.

3. The total receipts from examination fees for 1899-1900

amounted

amounted to Rs.6671, the examinations being thus more than self-supporting the sanction of the Secretary of State is not necessary to the payment of remuneration to Messrs. Ashpitel and Davidson.

I have &c.,


(Signed) G. H. Stuart.

Director of Public Instruction.

1,000-16-11-00.

Educational (190/) Department.

CURRENT No. 170



From

[Name] The Honble Mr. G. H. Stuart, Esq. Bar-at-Law,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Madras.



Refund No. 15192 of 1900

Dated 7 February 190/.

Enclosures 1

Received 9. 2. 190/.

Registered 9. 2. 190/.

SUBJECT. *stated,*
Recommending that in the circumstances, Mr. Phipps' remuneration for work done by him in connection with the Engineering College Examinations of 1899 be calculated according to the old scale.

BACK PAPERS. *R.O. Rm 9/2*

534 mms 8/26-10-100
547 mms 8/12-8-99
226 mms 8/31-5-100
530 7990.

834 792.
3109 799

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for the orders of Government a letter from Mr. C. E. Phipps requesting that his remuneration for the work done by him in connection with the Engineering College Examinations of 1899, should be calculated according to the old scale and ^{not} according to the revised one sanctioned in G.O. No 547, Educational, dated 22nd August 1899. The examiners having undertaken the work on the assumption that they would be paid at the old rates, Mr. Phipps' request seems to me to be reasonable. In my letter No 5342, dated 28th May 1900, the remuneration was calculated at the old rates but the bills were returned with endorsement No 191, Educational, dated 2nd June 1900 for revision with reference to the G.O. quoted above.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

Perambur. 15th November, 1900.

From

C. E. Phipps Esqr.,

Perambur.

To

F. W. Ashpitel Esqr.,

Late President, Board of Examiners,

College of Engineering,

Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 64 dated the 13th Instant, sending a remuneration bill for Rs. 333/- being amount for which my original bill for Rs. 456/- for work done in connection with the examination of the College of Engineering, Madras, held during 1899, has been passed.

2. With reference to this I would remark that when consenting to act as one of the Examiners on the Board I understood that the fees that would be paid would be the same as those paid for similar work done in former years, and it was not until the papers had been set out and submitted that I had any knowledge of the proposal of Government to reduce the fees payable.

3. As you will doubtless remember, a meeting of the Board was then called at which the members recorded their dissent from the procedure suggested, and further recorded that they understood that whatever rules might be introduced for future examinations, the old rules, in the absence of any intimation to them of the intention to make a change, would hold for the then ensuing examination, the bulk of the work for which had already been done before notification of the change which Government contemplated, had reached them.

4. Under these circumstances, I have the honor to state

state that the reduction of the bill as submitted does not appear to be in order and to request that you will be kind enough to address Government with the view to the balance of Rs.118/- to which I would submit I am justly entitled, under the circumstances detailed above, being paid to me.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. P. Pappi

No. 67
16-11-00

Forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

Madras }
16-11-00

Wharpp
Late President
College of Engineering
Examinations.

Forwarding bill of Mr. C. P. Pappi to address Govt. with a view to the balance of Rs. 118/- which was submitted by him in his original bill being to be paid to him.

RRees 15192/00

F.W. Ashpittel Esq

[
E.
3
]

500-87-15-00.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

C. No. 170

SUBJECT

Mr. Phipps's claim for remuneration as asst.
examiner, College of Engineering.

FOR ORDERS.

V. In G.O.R. no. 440 Est. of 29th Sept. 1898,
Mr. Phipps was appointed one of the assist-
ant examiners at the examinations of the
College of Engineering held in December 1898.
By a mistake on the part of the President
of the Board of examiners [Mr. Ashpittel]
Mr. Phipps acted as an assistant
examiner in 1899 also without reappointment. This mis-
take was however, rectified by G.O.R. no. 232
of 18th April 1900.

C
A 2. In G.O. no. 547 Est. of 22nd August 1899,
the rates of remuneration payable to the
examiners were reduced, but the President
of the board of examiners appears to
have failed to give timely intimation of
the reduction to the examiners. On the
whole, however, it does not appear that
Mr. Phipps has any legal claim on Govt
for the difference between the old and

Forwarding letter of Mr. C.E.
Phipps re: to address Govt.
with a view of the balance
of Rs 118, which was curtailed
in his original bill, being
paid to him under the circum-
stances detailed

Encls 5 Rs 170

rates and if this difference is paid to Mr. Phipps, the claims of the other examiners cannot well be overlooked - at least those of Mr. Moffat who was the only other non-official on the board.

12/21/11 Messrs. Phipps & Moffat have apparently no legal claim but should not the difference be paid to them?
M.P.

When was Mr. Moffat appointed - apparently after the issue of G.O. 22. v. 99 No. 547-S.?

He was appointed in G.O. no. 551 v. 101 dated 2nd October 1899. Has E.

15/21/11 The appointment was after the order but it is thought that Mr. Moffat was probably unaware of the change.
M.P.

Probably he was unaware. Submitted that both Mr. Phipps & Mr. Moffat may have the difference between the old & new rates.

M.P.
16/01

The officials are on a somewhat different footing?

M.P.

Mr. Phipps may have it also Mr. Moffat if he was unaware of the change. This should be ascertained by D.P.S.
M.P. 19.2.01.

[L. & M.]

W 250-30-8-99.

Edgemoor
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:-

Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Current No. 170	of 189 ¹⁹⁰¹ , dated	7 th February, from the D.P.S.
" "	, 189 , "	" "
" "	, 189 , "	" "

desp 15/2/11

ORDER. 89 d/20.2.01
mis

Mr. Phipps may be paid his fees at the former rates. It also the case for Mr. Moffat if he was ignorant of the change made in G.O. 22. v. 99. No 547 fees at the old scale may also be paid him. The D.P.S. is a matter whether he knew of the revised scale of fees when he undertook to do so.

1 From orders
M.P.
19/01

7
The D.P.S. 8/10
- A.G. 22/2

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 190 .
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.