

Educational (190 /) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 746

DATED 14.12.07

INDEXED.

(3)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment.

Recording proceedings, Financial department
Sanctioning the proposition statement in con-
nection with the revision of the scale of
salaries of teachers in certain girls' schools
in the Rajamundry girls' range.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 1723

egl Government {1901} of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

N. order no. 746 d/14.12.01
with

Dep
13/12/11

Recorded.

PH
12/12/11
13-11

Recd.

Regd.

11-12- } 1901. *Enclosures*
Spare copies

G.O., No. 1038, 19th November 1901.

NOVEMBER.

Establishment.

Sanctioning the proposition statement forwarded by the Educational Department in connection with the revision of the scale of salaries of teachers in certain girls' schools in the Rajahmundry range.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., R. No. 400, Financial, dated 5th July 1901.

Read—the following papers:—

G.O., No. 267, Educational, dated 14th May 1901.

Read—the following letter from the Hon'ble Mr. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-law, Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Accountant-General), dated 29th April 1901, Ref. on C. No. 718:—

I have the honour to request the sanction of Government to the following proposals of the Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Northern Circle, regarding the scale of establishment of certain Government girls' schools in the Rajahmundry girls' range:—

(i) That the pay of the post of tailor in the Government Hindu girls' school, Bimlipatam, be reduced from Rs. 10 to Rs. 7 per mensem.

The pay of the post appears to have been fixed at Rs. 10 as it was once held by an East Indian. The present incumbent gets only Rs. 7 and this is quite sufficient for a competent teacher.

(ii) That the post of the sewing mistress in the Government Uriya girls' schools, Nowgam and Kulladu, be abolished.

Uriya women even in towns do not wear stitched jackets and there is no necessity for Uriya girls to learn needlework in such backward and out-of-the-way villages.

(iii) That the amount thus set free, viz., Rs. 11, be utilized in increasing the pay—

(a) of the needlework teachers in the Government Hindu girls' schools, Kassimkota and Tallapudi, from Rs. 5 and Rs. 4 to Rs. 6 and Rs. 5, respectively, which is reported to be necessary in order to retain the services of efficient teachers, and

(b) of certain assistants in eight other girls' schools, whose pay according to the present scale is so low as Rs. 5 or Rs. 6.

2. The usual proposition statement is submitted in duplicate.

Endt. No. 1156.

Forwarded.

2. The entries in the column of "present scale" have been compared with the registers of this office and are correct. The proposed revision is within the sanctioning powers of the Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
7th May 1901.

W. DOBBIE,
Accountant-General.

Order—No. 267, Educational, dated 14th May 1901.

The proposals of the Director of Public Instruction are approved, and the proposition statement will be transferred to the Financial Department.

(True Extract.)

C. J. WEIR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
„ Financial Department.

G.O., No. 356, Educational, dated 26th June 1901.

Order—No. 356, Educational, dated 26th June 1901.

With reference to the Director's letter No. 718 of the 29th April 1901 in regard to the proposed increase in the pay of certain teachers in the Rajahmundry girls' range, it is observed that the existing rates of salary were considered sufficient when they were first settled and that servants have been found at such rates. The Government desires the supply of fuller and more detailed reasons in support of the proposition statement. Meanwhile no action should be taken on G.O., No. 267, Educational, dated 14th May 1901.

(True Extract.)

C. J. WEIR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
„ Financial Department.

Order—R. No. 400, Financial, dated 5th July 1901.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Copy to the Accountant-General.

READ—also the following paper:—

G.O., No. 543, Educational, dated 26th September 1901.

Read—the following letter from the Hon'ble Mr. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-law, Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 14th September 1901, R. C. No. 718:—

Referring to G.O., No. 356, Educational, dated 26th June 1901, desiring fuller and more detailed reasons in support of the proposition statement for the revision of the scale of salaries in certain girls' schools submitted with my letter, Ref. on C. No. 718, dated 29th April 1901, I have the honour to state that the reasons for the proposal are set forth by the Inspectress in the subjoined extract from her letter on the subject and that they seem to me to be sufficient to justify the increases recommended. I request therefore that Government will be pleased to sanction the proposition statement.

“The main object of the recommendations is to provide sufficient pay to induce the present teachers who are efficient to retain their posts and gradually to replace those, who are inefficient, by qualified teachers. It is true that the existing rates appear to have been sufficient to attract servants some years ago, but it must be borne in mind



No. 1038, FINANCIAL, 19TH NOVEMBER 1901.

that these servants were nearly all unqualified as teachers, and took up the appointments as a last resource in order to eke out other small sources of income. Now that the extension of railways and other causes have rendered food dearer even these find the incomes too small, and teachers who have borne the expense of education and training, absolutely refuse to take up these badly-paid appointments, preferring to join mission schools in which they can obtain more liberal remuneration."

K Order—No. 543, Educational, dated 26th September 1901.

The Director's letter will be transferred to the Financial Department in order that final orders may be issued.

I 2. The Educational Department considers that the Director has shown satisfactory reasons for the increases proposed in his letter read in G.O., No. 267, Educational, dated 14th May 1901.

(True Extract.)

C. J. WEIR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Financial Department.

ORDER—No. 1038, Financial, dated 19th November 1901.

I The proposition statement received with G.O., No. 267, Educational, dated 14th May 1901, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 95 of 1901-1902.

(True Extract.)

M. P. Singh
Assistant Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
„ Accountant-General.

Copy to the Educational Department with copy of order

Exd. A. F. Eccleston

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 1038, FINANCIAL, DATED
19TH NOVEMBER 1901.

From the Educational Department, No. 543, dated 26th September 1901.

For the Chief Secretary—

It will be observed from the original of G.O., No. 543 Educational, dated 26th September 1901, that the Honourable Member has approved of the proposal. Draft order is submitted below, but it is submitted that the form of the Educational proceedings as well as the procedure adopted in the passing of these proceedings are open to objection. The form of the Government order would at first sight lead to the impression that the final orders to be passed by the Financial department might differ from those which would be passed in accordance with the views of the Educational department in paragraph 2. It is submitted that opinions expressed in the proceedings of Government should be the opinions of *Government*, and not of a single department of the Government Secretariat. The opinion of Government can only be recorded after recognized Secretariat procedure has been followed. In the present case it is submitted that paragraph 2 of the Educational draft should have come to Financial u.o., or that before passing orders the Educational department should have obtained the views of the Financial department on the Director's last letter.

C. M. SCHMIDT.
15-10-01.

G. STOKES.
16-10-01.

Educational u.o.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Note for the Secretary—

G.O., No. 543, Educational, dated 26th September 1901, should perhaps have gone to Financial for acceptance before issue, but it is submitted that the circumstances under which it issued were very peculiar. In G.O., No. 267, Educational, dated 14th May 1901, certain proposals of the Director were approved in Educational, and Educational as the administrative department concerned was perfectly within its rights in doing so, but Financial objected to it and the opinion expressed in the proceedings of Government was not allowed to be the opinion of Government, but of a single department. This distinction was kept up in the present instance also.

P. T. THARYAN.
18-10-01.

Bring up in Madras.

C. J. WEIR.
18-10-01.

Submitted.

P. T. THARYAN.
28-10-01.

Next week.

A. T. PRINGLE.
29-10-01.

Resubmitted.

P. T. THARYAN.
5-11-01.

I venture to think that the objection taken to the proceedings of September 26th is sound, and to suggest that in these cases the practice of the Government of India Secretariats may with advantage be adopted in future. There, an unofficial reference will be made to the Financial department from the administrative department, and at that stage objections will be raised.

If they are raised, it will be suggested (again unofficially) that the administrative department should reconsider the proposals in the light of the objections.

If no objections are raised, the administrative department will be asked to transfer the papers officially: the notes go along with the transferred paper and orders of sanction are passed.

If objections are raised and on reconsideration the administrative department abides by its first opinion, orders are passed, the vote of the Viceroy being obtained if the departmental Members of Council are unable to come to an agreement.

A. T. PRINGLE.
7-11-01.

I agree and presume Financial department have no objections.

C. J. WEIR.
9-11-01.

To Financial u.o.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

For the Chief Secretary—

The proposals of Educational may be accepted, it is submitted. They seem to embody the proper procedure * with the u.o. system which is now in force.

* i.e., when Secretaries of departments disagree paper should go to Members in charge of departments. If they disagree to His Excellency the Governor.

G. STOKES.
18-11-01.

Will the Chief Secretary please pass the draft put up. The notes can then, if Chief Secretary concurs, be returned to Educational and copy will be kept and recorded here.

C. M. SCHMIDT.
16-11-01.

Very well.

G. STOKES.
18-11-01.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 190
When examined _____
When signed _____

AD AGAIN G.O., No.
190

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPER NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only