


Educational (190 /) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 720

DATED 5. 12. 01

INDEXED.



OF

[Despatch Abstract.]

Law College

Raising the fees of the H. L. class
to Rs 75. a term from the 1st January 1902.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1901} of Madras. EDUCATIONAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1901.

Spare copies

ORIGINAL

G.O., No. 720, 5th December 1901.

N. P.

DECEMBER.

Law College.

Raising the fees of the F.L. class to Rs. 75 a term from the 1st January 1902.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O., No. 681, Educational, dated 21st November 1901.

READ—also the following papers :—

Memo. No. 227.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit, for the formal sanction of Government, proposals for raising the fees of the classes studying for the F.L. examination from Rs. 50 to Rs. 75 a term.

15th November 1901.

A. T. PRINGLE,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

From the Hon'ble Mr. G. H. STUART, M.A., Barrister-at-Law, Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Educational Department, dated 22nd November 1901, Ref. on Cur. No. 8517/1.

With reference to Government memorandum No. 227, Educational, dated 15th instant, and in continuation of the concluding portion of my letter No. 4601, dated 8th May 1900, read in G.O., No. 245, Educational, dated 13th June 1900, I have the honour to recommend that the fees of the classes studying for the F.L. examination be raised to Rs. 75 per term as in the case of the classes studying for the B.L. examination. The fee for the B.L. course will then be Rs. 75 per term, and I beg that, in article X (i) of the Law College rules, for the words "B.L. and Pleaderships classes—Rs. 50 per term" be substituted "B.L. classes—Rs. 75 per term, Pleaderships classes—Rs. 50 per term".

ORDER—No. 720, Educational, dated 5th December 1901.

The fees of the classes studying for the F.L. examination will be raised to Rs. 75 a term with effect from the 1st of January next; but the students in the present junior class will not be required to pay more than Rs. 50 during the course ending in May next.

2. As regards the proposed alteration in rule X, it will be pointed out to the Director that several changes in the rules will become necessary with reference to the scheme of reorganization recently sanctioned, and the Government would prefer to deal with such alterations as a whole. The Director should therefore submit for approval, when he can conveniently do so, a redraft of all the rules.

(True Extract.)

C. J. WEIR,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

Encl. A. D. Xavier.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 720, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
5TH DECEMBER 1901.

[SUBJECT.—Fees at the Law College.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, Ref. on Cur. No. 8517/1, dated 22nd November 1901.

For the Assistant Secretary—

The Director proposes to raise the fees of the F.L. classes to Rs. 75 a term, but the students in the present junior class should apparently be allowed to pay at Rs. 50, the existing rate, until they finish their course in May next. Any increase in the rate that may now be sanctioned can apply only to those who will hereafter join the college.

2. As regards the alteration in rule X which the Director proposes, there is no use in tinkering with these rules now. They have to be entirely recast with reference to the new scheme, and the Director may be asked to submit a revised set at once.

P. T. THARYAN.
27-11-01.

As regards A, there are considerations for and against such a concession as the note proposes.

For: the circumstance that as these students will not be benefited by the reduction of the term from three to two years, it is rather hard to make them pay at a higher rate in connection with a reorganization by which they will not profit.

Also this point—that the students began their course on the understanding that the fee would be fifty rupees.

Against: the loss to Government, which if the strength of the class is taken as being 80 (it is 78 at this date) will be Rs. 2,000.*

Also, that Government have now to employ a special lecturer and pay him Rs. 250 a month from the beginning of next calendar year.

Also, that the fees at present are very low.

A. T. PRINGLE.
28-11-01.

Assistant Secretary—

The reasons "For" seem to preponderate. But what is the authority for the Director's proposal and for our memorandum of 14th November 1901? I can find nothing in the file. In the Director's letter in G.O., No. 245, Educational, dated 13th June 1900, he deprecates the increase for F.L. classes. Is there anything in reorganization scheme which necessitates the change or pledges Government thereto?

C. J. WEIR.
30-11-01.

Secretary—

The memorandum of 14th November 1901 proceeded on the marginal note at the top of page 2 of * K.W. of G.O., No. 681, dated 21st November 1901, and that note proceeded on paragraph 4 of Director's letter in G.O., No. 538, dated 26th October 1900. The raising of the fee was an integral part of the scheme. I submit that if the college is not on paper a financial success—receipts cancelling charges—we shall have public and also official comments before long: it ought to be self-supporting, and I regard the Director's letter in G.O., No. 245, Educational, dated 13th June 1900 (to which Secretary calls attention), as merely referring to the particular time. His letter in the proceedings of 26th October 1900 was written two months later.

A.T.P.

Very well.

C. J. WEIR.

Quite so, but I had nothing else in the file on subject.

C.J.W.

The question raised in the note is a side one—whether the change is to be made in regard to the fees of certain students.

A. T. PRINGLE.
2-12-01.

Honourable Member—

The increase may be sanctioned, but it is submitted that it should be made applicable only to those hereafter joining the college.

C. J. WEIR.
2-12-01.

Yes.

J. T. [HOMSON].
4-12-01.

The draft order placed below may issue.


P. T. THARYAN.
5-12-01.

A. T. PRINGLE.
5-12-01.

Urgent Educational (190/) Department.

CURRENT No. 1617

From

[Name] The Honble Mr. G. H. Stuart, Ma.[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,[Station] Mumbai.Defn. No. 8517 of 01Dated 22nd November 1901.

Received _____ 190 .

Enclosures _____

Registered 23 11 190/.

SUBJECT.

Recommending that the fees of the classes studying for the F. L. Examination be raised to Rs 75 per term.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O. 681 of 21-11-01	G.O. 447 of 12-8-01
" 824 207 of 15-11-01	" 245 of 13-6-00
" 518 of 13-9-01	" 850 of 10-10-95

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O. 690 of 7-11-98	G.O. 496 of 1-8-99
" 651 of 15-12-00	

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government memorandum No 227, Edul dated 15th instant, and in continuation of the concluding portion of my letter No 4601, dated 8th May 1900 read in G.O. No 245, Edul., dated 13th June 1900, I have the honour to recommend that the fees of the classes studying for the F.L. Examination be raised to Rs.75 per term as in the case of the classes studying for the B.L. Examination. The fee for the B.L. course will then be Rs.75 per term and I beg that, in article X (i) of the Law College rules, for the words "B.L. and Pledership classes- Rs.50 per term" be substituted "B.L. classes-Rs.75 per term, Pledership classes- Rs.50 per term".

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Director of Public Instruction.

AGAIN G.O., No. 681

dated 21st November 1901

190

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1617, From the D. P. 2. P. No. 8517, dated 22nd Nov., 1901.

190

190

ORDER No 720, dated 5. 12. 1901.

The fees of the classes studying for the F.L. examination will be raised to Rs. 75 a term with effect from the 1st of January next; but the students in the present junior class with ^{(not} be required to pay more than ~~allowed to pay at~~ Rs. 50 until during the course ending they finish their course in May next.

2. As regards the proposed alteration in rule X it will be pointed out to the Director that (in the rules will become several changes) ~~have now to be made in the rules in the reference to the scheme of reorganization~~ recently

recently sanctioned, and the Govt. would prefer dealing with such attentions at a whole. them in a complete form. The Director should therefore submit for approval, when he can conveniently do so, at an early date the draft a re-draft of all the ~~of a revised set of rules.~~

(From orders.)

PH
5/12/11

MP
5.12.11

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 190.

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G.No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

IF PAPER NOT FILLED UP, BRING WANT FOR DISPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

Order complete. AP
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures in the top right corner.]

To

The D.P.O.
5/12/11