Educ

ORDINARY MS.

(20)

G.O., No 684

DATED 23. 11. 07

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Returning to the D.P.I for revision & resubmission the budget estimates of receipts & charges of the School of Arts.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of telephone message of 28th. Oct: 1901.–

"In the revised estimate of receipts under Education credit is taken for Rs 25,00 under sales at the school of arts and in the budget for 1902–1903, for Rs. 60,000 Please fully justify figures in both cases. What are the receipts up to date."

Fwd 5. 2/12/02

Educational (1901) Department.

3

CURRENT No. 1495

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr G. H. Stuart, M.A.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction.

[Station] Madras.

DESPATCHED
31 OCT. 1901

R. C No. 8098 of 01.

Dated 31st October 1901.

Enclosures Nil

Received 31 OCT 1901 190

Registered 31. 10. 1901.

SUBJECT.

Giving reasons for the increased amounts for which credit has been taken in the revised estimate for the current year and the budget estimate for next year under sales at the School of Arts.

BACK PAPERS.

1455 of 01 abt. " 468: 22-8-01 copy " ~~322: 10-8-00 Mis~~	To 645: 14-12-00 Mis abt. ~~To 772: 14-12-98 Mis~~

FURTHER PAPERS.

ED 31/10

2

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to the telephone message of the 28th instant regarding the increased amounts for which credit has been taken in the revised estimate for the current year and the budget estimate for next year under sales at the School of Arts, I have the honour to report that Mr. Chatterton states that considerably more work has been done in the various departments of the school than was originally contemplated and that consequently there will be a large increase in sale proceeds. Up to date, the actual receipts from sales have been Rs. 9,011 while unpaid accounts amount to Rs. 7,273. During next year, Mr. Chatterton hopes to realise from sales Rs. 60,000 the bulk of which will be obtained from sales in the weaving department. A copy of his letter No. 657, dated 23rd August 1901 giving cover to his budget proposals is also submitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
T R Sau
For Director of Public Instruction.

No. 657. Dated 23rd August 1901.

4 39

From,
ALFRED CHATTERTON, ESQ., B.Sc.,
Superintendent, School of Arts,
Madras.

To,
The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the revised budget estimates of the School of Arts for the current year 1901-02 and the budget estimates for 1902-03.

Rev. only Rs. 36500

The expenditure sanctioned for the present year is Rs. 38,608 and the receipts are expected to be Rs. 2,000 from fees and 10,000 from the sale of articles manufactured in the school. Up to date the total expenditure (for less than 5 months) has been Rs. 28266-11-10 and the total receipts

from fees	Rs. 509-13-6
from sales	Rs. 7275- 6-3

whilst unpaid accounts amount to Rs. 5,635-9-9. From this it will be seen that considerably more work has been done than was contemplated at the time the budget was prepared and the expenditure has of necessity proportionately increased. The revised budget amounts to Rs. 77,008 and the receipts are expected to reach Rs. 30,000.

77008

The increased grant asked for is required for (1) the pay of the Superintendent which under G.O.No.645 dated 14th December 1900 is to be debited to 22 Education, Government Schools, Special, other special schools, Development of Technical Education. This amounts to pay Rs. 12,000, Deputation allowance Rs. 1,800. ---- Total Rs. 13800.

(2) For the development of the industrial side of the school: In the industrial departments of the school in existence at the time the budget estimates were prepared no very material changes have been made. The pottery department has been reduced pending the orders of Government upon the proposals submitted with my No.A1/134, dated

10th April 1901. The metal work department and the carpentry department are doing more work than previously but not sufficient to call for any particular remarks. The other departments are practically unchanged. The increased expenditure is almost entirely due to an attempt which is now being made to improve the cotton weaving trade of the Presidency. For more than a year I took such opportunities as came in my way of studying the conditions under which hand weavers carry on their trade and before doing any thing I formulated a definite plan of operations which I have now carried out so far as has been possible with the time and funds at my command. The industry is such an enormous one and is divided into so many branches that I concluded it would be desirable at the outset to confine my attention to one small branch and in that branch to work on as large a scale as possible. Hitherto cotton weaving has not been an industry with which the School of Arts has in any way attempted to do anything, but it is carried on in several of the mofussil industrial schools notably at Karur, Nazareth and Chingleput. In these a variety of looms are used and a fairly wide selection of woven goods are produced but only in small quantities and as the goods were mainly for the use of Europeans and Eurasians the market for them was small and in competition with the products of the Basel Mission looms there was no great ease in disposing of them. No attempt whatever has been made to supply the enormous native market and no attempt has been made to improve and cheapen the processes by which the native weaver produces the cloths which form the principal articles of dress of all natives. I first started experimenting at the Chingleput Reformatory School with the assistance of the Deputy Superintendent Mr. J. P. Lewis and after some trouble we succeeded in producing angavastrams on a European hand loom at a much greater rate than in the native handloom, and all those made so far I have sold without difficulty at remunerative prices. I next entered into business relations with Messrs. Hajee Muhammed Badsha Sahib & Co and agreed to manufacture for them large numbers of the well known Madras Handkerchiefs for export to the west coast of Africa, the West Indies and other places where they are in great demand among the Negro population.

The demand I understand is greater than the supply and great efforts are being made in England to turn them out by ~~by~~ machine looms, but the genuine Madras Handkerchiefs still command a much better price. From the enquires I have made I came to the conclusion that something useful might be accomplished by devoting my attention to the production of these handkerchiefs and that possibly an important export trade from Madras might be improved or at any rate prevented from declining.

I have accordingly taken orders for 30 trunks of these handkerchiefs. Each handkerchief is one yard square and each trunk contains 64 pieces of 8 yards or 512 handkerchiefs. I have set up a number of looms in the School of Arts and here I am making up 10 trunks or 5120 handkerchiefs. Ten trunks are being made at Chingleput under the supervision of Mr. J. P. Lewis and I have sublet 10 trunks to the Wesleyan Mission Industrial School, Karur. So far I have been mainly engaged on the preliminary work of setting up new patterns of looms and in experimenting with them. At present I have in all about 20 looms at work and -- the outturn is only about 50 yards a day but I think it will soon amount to several trunks a week as in the three schools there will shortly be 50 looms at work and when the weavers are trained each loom should produce 4 or 5 handkerchiefs per day. For the handkerchiefs which are up to the standard patterns in quality we are to receive a fixed amount per trunk and Messrs. Badsha Sahib & Co., have agreed to take any quantity that we are likely to be able to manufacture. At present they export about 2000 trunks a year and could do a~~s~~ much larger business if it were not for difficulties with their contractors who could supply a sufficient quantity. What the result of this experiment will be I cannot say, but the most competent experts in Madras think very favourably of it and are rendering me every assistance in their power. The initial expenditure is considerable but once we are fairly at work I hope the receipts will balance the expenditure. Briefly stated my idea is to improve the native weaver by giving him a better loom to work with, to diminish cost of production by collecting the weavers in small factories, where a variety of small but in the aggregate material economies can be effected. The weavers will get constant employment, better pay than in the bazaar and if we can make the small factories pay expenses there is but little doubt that there will be many similar sheds set up by natives who will soon cut us out and render it unnecessary to carry on the work for more than a few years. The native weaver in his own home works very long hours in a Government school or factory he will not do more than 9 hours work a day, and will not come to such work except at much higher wages than he usually earns. If then in our industrial schools we can turn out work more cheaply than in the bazaar, it means that if the bazaar adopts our methods of work it will be able to do much more than at present.

+ Is this really all?

perhaps "this" refers to the 30 looms supra. M.P.

The expenditure incurred on this+ weaving work including unpaid bills amounts to ₨. 2,676-4-2. The bulk of this has been incurred on the purchase of cotton yarn and the construction of looms and may be regarded as recoverable. It is inevitable that there will be some loss on the weaving work for the first year or two but I do not think it will be large and by fixing the scale on which ~~the~~ work is to be done Government can control the actual amount. Should the present experiment succeed it will certainly be possible to deal with other branches of the weaving trade, whereas if it fails the loss incurred will be comparatively small. It must be remembered that neither I nor any of my staff are weaving experts and even though we do not succeed in improving the status and prospects of the hand weavers yet it is possible that expert assistance and advise might do something and I would suggest before abandoning the attempt in the event of initial failure experts should be got out from the great hand weaving districts in Europe. Sic.

The revised budget has been prepared on the assumption that Government will be prepared to sanction the continuance of the experiment and in order that it may be carried on properly an additional allotment is asked for of

Materials........	₨. 14,000
Wages of workmen..........	₨. 8,500
Furniture................	₨. 700.

It is hoped that the bulk of this ~~experiment~~ expenditure will be counterbalanced by corresponding receipts and I estimate that sale proceeds and outstandings by the end of the year will amount to ₨. 30,000. The budget for 1902-03 has been prepared on the assumption that Government will be prepared to sanction some such re-organization of the School of Arts as was proposed in my No. Al 134 5 dated 10th April 1901. The total expenditure proposed is ₨. 1,17,904 and the total receipts from sale proceeds as ₨. 60,000 the bulk of which will be due to the sales in the weaving department. The principal items in which an increased allotment is asked for are

Materials... &	₨. 35,000
Wages of workmen.	₨. 20,000.
Furniture and apparatus	₨. 2,000.
Preparation of illustrated price list.	₨. 5,000.

I have &c.,

(Signed) Alfred Chatterton.,

Superintendent.

(True Copy)

For Director of Public Instruction.

Educational (1901) Department.

CURRENT No. 1539

From

[Name] The Hon'ble Mr G. H. Stuart M.A.,

[Designation] Director of Public Instruction

[Station] Madras.

ASSISTT SECY TO GOVT. LOCAL & MUNL, EDL & LFG DEPT.
7 NOV. 1901

Rc No. 8355 of 01

Dated 1 November 1901. Received 190 .

Enclosures . Registered 7-11-1901.

SUBJECT.

Submitting with reference to the telephonic message of 6 Novr the information called for by Govt in connection with the budget estimates of the School of arts, Madras and explaining how certain figures have been arrived at.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Curl 1/495

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

In reply to the telephone message of today, in regard to the budget estimate of the School of Arts, I have the honour to state that the sum of Rs.38,608 shown by Mr.Chatterton as the budget allotment for the current year, is not correct, he having failed to take into account the sum of Rs.2108 entered in the budget as probable savings under salaries. The sanctioned allotment is Rs.36,500 only.

2. In regard to the revised estimate, the figure given by Mr.Chatterton, Rs.77008 includes his salary and travelling allowance which should come under "other special schools-development of technical education". In the budget submitted by me, these have been separated and shown under their appropriate head. The following shows how the figures entered by this office were arrived at:-

Revised estimate as entered by Mr.Chatterton			77008
Deduct	His salary-	13800	
	Additional allotment proposed by Mr.Chatterton under travelling allowance	1800	
		15600	15600
			61408
Add	Exchange Compensation allowance of industrial assistant, omitted by Mr.Chatterton		300
			61708 or 61700 in round number.

Under "development of technical education" is shown a sum of Rs.17200 made up as ~~follows~~ below:-

Mr.Chatterton's salary		13800
do	Exchange Compensation Allowance	862
Travelling allowance including the amount required for the journey to Simla		2540
		17202 or 17200 in round number.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G H Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

Exd
gm

11

Print urgently & show proof
25 Nov 01

Educational department.

NOTE FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

The receipts under Education during 1902-1903 are estimated at 2·43 lakhs against 1·85 the estimate for the current year and 1·89 the actuals of 1900-1901. The estimate seems to be susceptible of the following reductions.

(1) The receipts of the Kumbakonam college which are put down at ₨.13,500 may be reduced to ₨.12,500 the figure adopted in the revised estimate as the attendance of the college is reported to be falling and the actuals for 1900-1901 amounted only to ₨.12,989.

(1) It is (to my mind) a mistake when you are dealing with slightly variable receipts & with figures above ·10, to count hundreds; it is better to round to the nearest thousand, or adopt an estimate in thousands. Set down ·13 here
A.T.P.
28-10-1.

(2) The receipts of the Law college are put down at ₨.42,000. The revised estimate for current year is only ₨.40,000 and the actuals for last year amounted only to ₨.34,900. The receipts for 1902-1903 may therefore be fixed at ₨.40,000.

(2) Adopt ·40. We are counting on better things.
A.T.P.
28-10-1.

(3) The receipts of the school of commerce ~~is~~ are fixed at ₨.1,500 and this may be reduced by ₨.200 as the revised estimate and actuals are only ₨.1,300.

(3) No. keep at ·015 as next to thousand, & when you are dealing with figures under ·10, half thousands are better than odd hundreds such as ·003.
A.T.P.

(4) Fees for registration of copyrights are estimated to amount to ₨.700 but as the actuals were only ₨.514 the estimate may be reduced by ₨.200.

(4) Yes: on grounds of rounding.
A.T.P.

(5) The receipts under Miscellaneous are put down at ₨.2,500 but as the actuals for last year amounted only to ₨.2,192 the estimate may be reduced by ₨.300.

(5) No. Adopt either ·025 or ·02. We may adopt the former.
A.T.P.

2. The estimates under the following heads may on the other hand be slightly raised.

(1) The receipts of the college of Engineering, estimated at ₨.15,000 may be raised by ₨.300 as the actuals of last year amounted to ₨.15,374.

(6) Raise to ·16
A.T.P.

(2) The receipts of the college of Agriculture and of the Teachers' college each estimated at ₨.300 may be raised

(7) Round both & set them each at ·005.
A.T.P.

The A.S. seems inclined to over sanguineness in receipts. I prefer caution, U raised by Rs.50, as the actuals amounted to Rs.480 & Rs.470 respectively. The figure adopted in the revised estimate is Rs.350.

(3) The receipts of the school of Engineering are estimated at Rs.10,700 but as the actuals amounted to Rs.11,412 the estimate may be raised to Rs.11,000.

(8) Yes.
A.T.P.

3. If the changes noted above are approved the total receipts will stand at 2·40 lakhs as against 1·85 lakhs for the current year. The increase is due to the receipts from sales at the school of arts which are estimated at Rs.60,000 against an estimate of Rs.10,000 for the current year. The revised estimate, also is put down at Rs.25,000 but hardly any explanation is given as to the nature of the increased receipts expected. The Director may therefore be asked u.o. to state the particular industries under which increased receipts are expected.

T.K.
24-10-1.

For the A.S.

It seems unnecessary to effect any of the alterations suggested except the reductions numbered (1) & (2) in paragraph 1. The total receipts will then be 2·40 lakhs. The Director may however be asked by telephone to explain the increase under sales at the school of arts both in the revised estimate for the current year & in the budget for 1902-1903. The following message is submitted for approval:-

"In the revised estimate of receipts under education credit is taken for Rs.25,000 under sales at the school of arts and in the budget for 1902-1903 for Rs.60,000. Please fully justify figures in both cases. What are the receipts up to date."

Send
A.T.P.
28-10-1.

P.T.T.
26-10-1.

S. A.

Look in the margin & (after despatching the telephonic message) see how the figures work out. Follow in future the principles stated when checking our budgets.

A.T.P.
28-10-1.

---

1. (1) -·005
   (2) -·020
   (3) -·002
2. (1) ·010
   (2) ·004
   (3) ·003
   ----
   net -·010

Please see the statement. A few alterations not included in the figures just specified have also been made. The total stands at 2.42.

P.T.T.
28-10-1.

Await reply.

A.T.P.
29-10-1.

The Director's reply has just been received and he forwards copy of a letter from Mr.Chatterton dated 23rd August 1901 giving cover to his budget proposals. The estimated receipts and charges of the school of arts during the current year are given in this letter as Rs.12,000 (Rs.2,000 under fees and Rs.10,000 under sales) & Rs.38,608. The former figure is correct but the latter should be Rs.36,500. It is not clear how Mr.Chatterton gets Rupees 38,608. The actuals up to about the end of August were Rs.510 under fees, Rs.7,275 under sales and Rs.28,267 under charges. The receipts up to date from sales have come to Rs.9,011. In the revised estimate Mr.Chatterton seems to have provided Rs.30,000 as receipts and Rs.77,008 as charges but the Director has cut down these figures to Rs.27,000 (Rs.2,000 under fees and Rs.25,000 under sales) and Rs.61,700. Mr.Chatterton's figures included Rs.13,800 to meet his own pay and apparently something extra (the amount is not specified but it must be about Rs.2,300) for meeting his travelling allowance. This provision is

however

4r

however correctly shown by the Director under VI - other special schools - Development of technical education - under which head the original budget provision for the purpose stands. For the purpose of this note it is enough to take the Director's figure and the excess allotment required under the school of arts is thus Rs.25,200. In a separate ~~[illegible]~~ communication just submitted, the Director applies for a special allotment of Rs.23,200 only. The revised estimate under 22 - Education as a whole shows however an excess of Rs.40,000 out of which ~~[illegible]~~ Rs.23,200 is now applied for. The whole of the balance including the Rs.2,000 extra under the school of arts will be referred to in connection with the budget estimate of expenditure under 22 - Education now under disposal.

2. The Director says that the Accountant-General has objected to Mr.Chatterton's bills in excess of the original budget allotment. Mr.Chatterton is thus forcing the hands of Government to sanction an additional allotment : he was not authorized to go on with the weaving experiments and when no funds were provided for them in the budget he should certainly have obtained orders. As it is, it does not appear that Financial can spare any money beyond perhaps the Rs.4,200 available from the provision for the Medical College and the weaving and all other work in the school of arts must therefore apparently come to a stand still. If the additional amount required cannot be allotted, it follows that the credit taken for extra receipts must be struck out. The fact that there will be receipts will not enable Financial to find extra money for allotments which must come out of the civil budget estimate of expenditure as sanctioned by the Government of India.

3P 135

3. The Accountant-General says that the transaction connected with weaving should go to 26 - Scientific and other minor departments. This is a minor point and if additional allotments are sanctioned it may be ~~done~~ under that head.

PH
5/11/1

We had better clear up the point at N. Telephone please to D.P.I.

A.H.
6.11.1

Telephoned for information.

PH 6/11/1

The Director has replied officially & his letter has now been added to the current file. It shows that his figures are the correct ones and an additional allotment of Rs 25,200 will be required under the School of arts.

PH
7/11/1

Secy.

For orders. I submit that rules are rules; that Mr Chatterton should not have incurred any liabilities on account of these weaving experiments without ~~def~~ order; that he should be told to stop expenditure at once; that, this done, the precise liabilities to date should be ascertained & reported to Govt.; & that the D.P.I. who is the head of the depts. - not

Certainly [illegible]

A.

Mr

Chatterton should be told that he is personally responsible, & will be held so, for preventing these repeated irregularities. See please the notes flagged P & Q in regard to this.

The development of the industry of weaving, even if it depends on Mr Chatterton & on him alone, will not be for ever checked by ordinary caution & observance of the rules laid down by all Indian Govts.

The Fin. dept. should see.

A.S.
7.11.01

Yes. Fin. Depart. must see

If Rs 25,000 were available & could conveniently be spared it would probably be desirable to let this weaving business go on. This however I understand is not the case.

The Chief Secy. will recollect that the D.P.I. when at Ooty personally brought to the notice ~~of~~ ~~Govt~~ that Mr Chatterton wanted about Rs 40,000 more this year for his industrial experiments. A

The Director no doubt referred to Mr Chatterton's letter of 23.8.01 below. — The Director

was then told very clearly by the Chief Secy. that no money was available or likely to be available & that all additional expenditure shd be stopped at once.

If Financial cannot spare any funds then the course suggested by A. Secy at A. should be taken. I expect it will be found that Mr Chatterton has either actually spent some of the money he now asks for or committed himself to its expenditure.

F.S.B.
8.11.01

Fin. Depart. U.O.
No. 205 dy 8.11.01.

Desp 8/11

Financial DEPT.
U. C. No. 691R
Dated 8-11-1901.

P.T.O.

c. 21. XI. 01.
Q. 21. XI. 01.

Financial Department T.

58

9

D

For the C.S.

Two questions are dealt with in this file - (i) the settlement of the budget estimate of receipts under XIX EDUCATION, and (ii) the [redacted] additional allotment required for the School of Arts in consequence of the development of the weaving industry. As the latter question involves points which will take some time to settle it is submitted that the estimates on account of Fees from the "School of Arts" and of the "sales at the School of Arts" may be eliminated from the present budget and the estimate of receipts under other Educational heads be accepted as modified by the Educational Department. The Educational Department has in dealing with the estimates of charges under EDUCATION separated for the present the transactions of the School of Arts from the remainder of the Educational budget and it will be convenient to follow the ~~said~~ same course with the receipts.

Pages 3 & 4 of Ct. file

2. It is submitted that Financial may concur with the view taken by the Accountant General that the character of the transactions connected with the weaving industry as well as the proportions which the transactions are likely to assume require their classification under XXI and 26 SCIENTIFIC AND OTHER MINOR DEPARTMENTS like those of the manufacture of Alumini ware, and that they cannot appropriately be shown under 22 EDUCATION. The Accountant General will doubtless settle the point with the Comptroller.

Page 20 of Ct. file

Yrs.
18/11/01.

3. As regards the question of the additional allotment required for the School of Arts, Mr. Chatterton requires an additional grant of Rs.23,200 for materials

Page 11 of Ct. file.

10

~~2~~ 1168 16

I.

vide page 13 of proof flagged A

page 1 of do

B

Flag IX

materials, wages of workmen and furniture. From the estimates submitted by the Director under 22 EDUCATION it appears however that ₨25,200 will be required, as the revised estimate under School of Arts has been placed at ₨61,700 against ₨36,500 the grant for the current year. From the proof of the Educational budget put up it will be seen further that the total charges under 22 EDUCATION are expected to exceed the grant by .40. A sum of .03 having been resumed in G.O. No.706, Financial, dated the 24th August 1901, on account of the pay, allowances and establishment of the third Inspectress of Girls' Schools, the additional allotment required under 22 EDUCATION is raised by that amount, i.e. the extra grant required is .43. The LAND REVENUE and LAW & JUSTICE budgets just received ~~ved~~ show excesses of ~~Rs~~ .20 lakh and .66 lakh respectively and there are other demands for which funds are required ~~being dealt with separately~~, including one of 1.40 lakhs from the Jail Department. Attention is in this connection solicited to the extract flagged V from a note written the other day in connection with additional allotments and to the statements flagged I & II referred to therein. That note did not take account of the additional allotment of .86 required under 3 LAND REVENUE and 19 ~~B~~ A LAW & JUSTICE COURTS OF LAW. It is submitted that it is clearly not possible for Financial to allot the sum required by Mr. Chatterton for the development of his weaving industry and that the course suggested at A on page 5 of these notes should be taken. It is to be noted that in para 4 of G.O. No.323, Educational, dated 10-6-01, it was ~~acceded~~ decided that Mr. Chatterton should, in connection with the development of indigenous technical industries, be restricted as regards Contracts to a maximum of ₨ 5,000 within the budget provision. There should

be

3 7 P 17

be no difficulty therefore, if the budget rules ~~has~~ been observed, in deferring the additional grant required for the School of Arts.

F.D. 18/11/01 Cont 8

H.M.

The position is this.

We have now ascertained excesses of

Land Revenue...	...	20,000
Law and Justice	...	66,000
Jails...	...	... 1,40,000
	Total.	2,26,000

and further claims which may if all granted total to 67,000. All are not likely to be required. Say therefore our liabilities are 2,50,000: of this we must go to India for 1,40,000 and we have a fair case to do so. Hence we have to provide for 1,10,000 against which we have in hand 99,000. Hence we cannot meet Mr. Chatterton's drafts for 25,200 and he must curtail his expenditure. This he ought to be able to do if the orders in G.O. No.323, Educational, dated 10th June 1901 have been observed. I expect however they have not. Mr. Chatterton's proceedings have both this year and last given much trouble in Financial and I think he must be brought to reason; for with every desire to help him his methods are impossible. In the linked file it is proposed to withdraw from D.P.W 26,700 Rs. which have been allotted to jails buildings but which cannot be spent on them. I think the allotment must be taken back but it cannot be given to Educational or to the D.P.W. at present. In Educational Mr. Chatterton must be made to curtail his operations. None of the works proposed by D.P.W. are urgent.

17-11-01.

For the C.S.

Since these files were under
Exam: it has been ascertained that the
Survey budget shows a saving of Rs 36,000
according to the Supdt's revised. In the
[illegible] note on that budget it is suggested that
Revenue should be asked to arrange for
the surrender of this amount. This fact
is brought to notice as it may alter the
decision on these files

Cw.S.
9.xi.01

The above is an important matter and modifies the situation. I saw a note by the Hon. Mr. Thomson which allowed Mr. C. 2,50,000 agst. the allotment of 2,35,600. It seems to be the Hon. intention therefore to make him a further allotment of 14,400. The savings are, with the above addition, sufficient to enable us to make the allotment of 14,400 desired by H.E. with something to spare. It also allows the question to arise as to the necessity of withdrawing the 11,700 from the D.P.W. Allotment might be given for some of the works in this list.

21/11/01.

For 1902-1903.

I don't know what has been done or suggested on my note regarding aluminium but that business must be 'ordered on' without delay. Will Secy L & M please see to this?

Referring to para 1 on page 9 hereof, I do not recollect that the School of Arts, fine arts, was to cease to be educational: on the contrary I have the impression that only industrial i.e. aluminium & weaving, were to be transferred under Scientific & other minor departments, but still under the Director's control. Is this so or not?

There is no proposal to do so. It is only proposed to dispose of the rest of the educational budget & take up the School of Arts afterwards.
21/11/01.

This is so.
21/11/01.

As to Mr Chatterton's weaving excursions and exploits I cannot understand how, from what we saw at the School the other day, it would be possible for large additional expenditure to be incurred. But it is necessary to know precisely what Mr C. is doing and what obligations & charges he has incurred; and the Secy L & M will please send for the Director and Mr C and his books and ascertain accurately the state of affairs. He is at liberty to tell the one and the other that I will not hesitate to enforce personal liability if rules and orders are not conformed to strictly.

The sum of my note on aluminium was — I believe — that I advocated a working within 2.50000 till we knew better; but the Director & Govt must be satisfied over the working both in the total and in the particulars, and if Mr C. does not show satisfactory grounds for experimenting in weaving, and that too in moderate limits, he will have to be stopped. It is not a new industry like aluminium and experiments can be only by way of improvements in hand machinery and methods. That — at any rate — is how it strikes me.

Let Secy. [illegible] report the result of his investigations with the Director & Mr C. the amount of the grant to be made — if any should lie over if there is time for it to lie over. If we blindly give what is asked for we shall only continue a very undesirable state of affairs which no subordinate has any business to create. If you must arrive at a figure now keep to 2.50000.

21.11.01

To the C.S.

Subd. that the file may go on to Edl. with reference to H. E.'s instructions and with the request that the Edl. budget of receipts may be separated from this file & disposed of separately as suggested in these notes & as explained by the C.S. in his marginal note on page 15.

21/11/01.

If C.S. approves of sending to Edl. no. remove Trial Statements I & II

Cml
21.xi.01

Cml.
21.11.01

ASSISTT SECY TO GOVT
22 NOV. 1901
MUN, EDL & LEG DEPT

Edl. no.

Edl. dept.

The draft placed below may issue.

22-11-01

22/11/1

22.11.01

The aluminium budget has gone to Finl and the Director has been asked to submit a ~~revised~~ budget for the School of Arts. ~~The Director's~~ His application for an additional allotment of . 232 under School of Arts in the current year remains. He has been informed that Govt. may probably grant him . 144. The papers may now be returned to Finl with this intimation.

Pd
23/11/1

[E./4]
1,000—25-10-01

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ...., dated .... 190 .
" " " " ...., " .... 190 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1495, From the D.P.I. R.C., No. 8098, dated 31st oct 1901
" " 1539, " " D.P.I. C, " 8355, " 1st nov. 1901
" " ...., " " ...., " ...., " 190

ORDER No 684, dated 23, 11. 1901.

Desp
23/11/1

The budget estimates of receipts and charges of the School of Arts will be returned to the Director for revision and resubmission as early as possible. The transactions on account of the experiments in weaving now carried on in the school should not appear in these estimates but should be transferred to separate budgets under XXI. E. Miscellaneous and 26. N. Miscellaneous respectively. These budgets should accompany the altered estimates of the School of Arts.

2. Pending the issue of further orders, the Governor in Council permits the Revised Estimate to be fixed at a sum

not exceeding the Budget Estimate by more than ·144 lakh.

P.H.
23/11/1

E & B
23.11.01

To
The D.P.I. Desp.d 23.11.01

---

en given for copying
en copied
hen examined
en signed
190 .

AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
190 .

RENT No.

RRED TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

MENT WITH DITTO.

S NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
H = Copy heading only.