

Educational (190 /) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 650

DATED 8-11-01

[Despatch Abstract.]

Removing the provision in the next year
budget for the revised scale of salaries
for the Law College establishment, made
by the D.P. & in anticipation of the
sanction of Govt. & stating that no necessary
has been made out for such a provision.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2,900-5-11-00.

Urgent Educational (190/) Department.

CURRENT No. *1410*

From

[Name] *The Honble Mr G. H. Stuart, M.A.*

[Designation] *Director of Public Instruction,*

[Station] *Madras.*



DESPATCHED
15 OCT 1901

C. No. *7777 of 01*

Received _____ 190

Dated *15 October* 1901.

Enclosures _____

Registered *16-10* 1901.

SUBJECT.

Requesting permission to provide in the budget estimates for 1902-03 for the revised scale of salaries proposed for the establishment of the Law College.

BACK PAPERS.

*10 447. 12-12-07 copy 10 791. 25-12-98
" 1263. 6-12-00 copy 10 476. 16-8-97
" 526. 21-6-95*

FURTHER PAPERS.

Director of Public Instruction.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to request the permission of Government to provide in the budget estimates for 1902-03 for the revised scale of salaries proposed below for the establishment of the Law College, Madras.

	<u>Present scale.</u>	<u>Proposed scale.</u>
1. Clerk	20-2-40	30-4-50
1. Librarian	25	25
1. Attender	8	9
1. Head peon	7	9
3. Additional peons	21	21
2. Peons	12	12
1. Nightwatchman	5	5
1. Gardener	5	5
1. Sweeper	4	4

2. In February last, the Principal of the College recommended increases to the salaries of certain members of the College establishment, but I then refrained from addressing Government on the matter, pending orders on the general scheme regarding the future of the College. Now that the new scheme has been sanctioned, I recommend that the sanction of Government be obtained for the revised scale of salaries noted above. The necessity for the increase in each case is explained below:-

15 June 1892
Clerk.- G.O. No 424 Educational, fixed the salary of the clerk at Rs. 40-4-60 but at that time the clerk besides his clerical duties had to look after the library also. Later on, owing

to the growth of the library and the expansion of the College, a separate librarian was considered necessary and when sanction for this appointment was sought, Government in its order No 526, Educational, dated 21st June 1895 limited the salary of the clerk to Rs. 48, the pay that the then incumbent was drawing. In 1897 the clerk's place became vacant and as the clerk was then relieved of the responsibility of collecting and remitting fees into the treasury, the salary of the appointment was, on the recommendation of Dr. Duncan again reduced in G.O. No 476, Educational, dated 14th August 1897 to Rs. 20-2-40. Mr. Nelson now reports that the work of the clerk has increased, that he has not been entirely relieved of his financial responsibilities, as every year about two or three thousands of rupees have to be collected and remitted to the bank by him, being fees from students who join the College after the fixed date, that in a college composed of a large number of graduate students the clerk should be a person of standing and experience and that the former scale of Rs. 40-4-60 should be restored. I think, however, that a scale of Rs. 30-4-50 is sufficient for the post for the present. Attender.- The attender is in charge of the Consulting Library in constant daily use and is responsible for the ^{safety} supply of the books. He is also frequently required to help the clerk and the librarian in their duties. Dr. Duncan in his letter No 10802 dated 19th September 1898 recommended that the pay of this post be raised from Rs. 8 to Rs. 10, but Government in its order No 791, Educational, dated 26th December 1898 disallowed the increase. I now recommend that the pay be raised to Rs. 9.

Peons.- The Head peon draws at present the same pay as the next three peons. I think it desirable that his pay should be higher than that of the other peons whom he has to control and that the pay of Rs. 9 recommended by Mr. Nelson is appropriate.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. R. Sae

For Director of Public Instruction.

Cuo 1410

NOTE FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

This may lie over till 2.0.1 now

$\frac{476}{14-8-97}$ & $\frac{424}{1-6-92}$ become available. They are now with Ed. ent. no. 1314.

It seems unnecessary to wait till the 1st quoted become available. The increases proposed are small but it is submitted that, at present, ^(subordinate) all the departments ~~of~~ the Director's seems to be the only one where such revisions of salaries are so frequently proposed and it seems desirable to put an end to this state of things. If this view is agreed in the a general order on the subject may go to the Director.

24/10/11

Secy.

There is no need whatever to wait until the orders of 1892 & 1897 can be found.

2. The statement at A is probably correct, but the circumstances of the Edul. dept. are rather peculiar. I don't think any general orders are called for, & it ^{would be} very difficult to "put an end to that tendency"; one may check a tendency by degrees, but not by a stroke of

But they were really clerks that those applications relate to

I have explained what I meant to the Secy. in con. The Edul. dept. employs many clerks & the officers are not so much on a scale as the officers in the dept.

[$\frac{E.}{4}$]
1,500—8-11-00.

of the pen. I don't see any ob-
jection to providing for what is
proposed, but the D.P.I. will have
to ~~justify~~ make out a proper
case before sanction is
given.

Vide. H. M.'s
remarks & answer
on this point in
the reference from
Cognac. Collage. 3.)

696

24. 107

I doubt think a case is made out? How does pay of clerk compares with those in other colleges? I agree that these applications for increases of salary are too frequent.

646

[29.10.]

S. b.

This & other ^{currents} ~~cases~~ have been
discussed today & it is settled
that the D.P.S. do not ~~be~~
permitted to bring up these
cases. Draft on lines stated
in cov^r please

30-102 (

The draft placed below may issue.

^v Type write - N. S. P.

31. 10-7

31. 10-7
Fig - a Type written copy is placed below a

2/11/0

2. 16. 2

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 242, dated 190

190

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 1410, From the D. P. I., No. 7777, dated 15th October 190

" " , " " , " "

190

ORDER No. 650, dated 8-11 190✓

The Governor in Council is of opinion that the Director has not made out the necessity for the increases, and resolves to remove the provision which has, in anticipation of sanction, been made in the Educational budget.

A.T.P.
7-11-1.

(Passed by Secy.)

To

The D. P. I.

I. *De la* 12/11

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only