


Educational (190 /) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No 621

DATED 30 . 10 . 01

INDEXED.



[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining to permit Geo. Emanuel to appear
for the final Examination of the hosp
Arch. dept of the Medl College

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1.000-20-7-01.

Public (190 /) Department.

CURRENT No.

CURRENT NO.

2988

PUBLIC

1359

From

[Name] R. H. Campbell Esquire J.C.S.

[Designation] Ag Private Secretary to H. H. G. G.

[Station] Ootacamund.

No.

Dated

1st October

1901.

Received

190

Enclosures 4

Registered

190

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dated 26th September 1901 from Geo. Manuel, late Stipendiary Student, Hospital assistant Department, Madras Medical College, 56, St. Xavier Street, Black Town, Madras, praying that he may be permitted to appear for the final examination of the Hospital assistant grade.

By order

R. H. Campbell

Ag Private Secretary

BACK PAPERS.

G.O. 455 vis of 16-8-01

FURTHER PAPERS.

Madras

His Excellency Lord Ampthill,
Governor of Madras.

The humble petition of Geo: Manuel, late a
Stipendiary Student of the Hospital Assistant Department of
the Madras Medical College,

most respectfully sheweth,

1. That your humble petitioner has been
a Stipendiary Student of the Hospital Assistant Department
from the year 1896 up to March 1900.

2. That he has had a four year course in
the Hospital Assistant Grade class, and that at the Final
Examⁿ held in March 1900, he has been unfortunate enough
to fail in 2 subjects.

3. That he has put in an application
to the late Lt. Col. Walker, as acting principal of the Medical
College, on the 27th June 1900, to admit your humble petitioner
for the Final Examⁿ as a private student. His application
was rejected. Thereupon the Director of Public Instruction
has been appealed to and his proceedings no 7610 dated
2nd August 1900 show that your petitioner's request is not
granted.

4. Two further applications to the perma-
nent principal of the Medical College, Lt. Col. Rhorne,
have been made. His endorsements are herein enclosed
for your Excellency's perusal.

5. Your humble petitioner has not only been unfortunate in his Examinations but he has also been very unjustly treated by the principal of the Medical College as well as by the Director of Public Instruction, by having been refused the permission to appear for the Final Examination as a private Student.

6. The following Students, who were equally unfortunate with your Excellency's petitioner, in having failed at the Final Examⁿ in the first instance, have been allowed to reappear for their Final Examination.

- They are,
1. Venkatachellam Pillay
 2. M. Chappaswami Naider
 3. Gnaniah elichael
 4. Naidar Musam Naidari and many more.

7. Your Excellency's petitioner does not see any reason why he should be so mercilessly treated by being refused to reappear for the Final Examination, while other Students in the same predicament have been accorded this privilege.

8. Finally your humble petitioner requests your Excellency to be pleased to grant him permission to appear for the Final Examⁿ of the Hospital Assistant Grade. Your Excellency's petitioner is a young man of 23 and that his future Career will be blasted, if he is doomed to remain without the permission for his appearing at the Final Examination.

He will become thereby a great sufferer; he therefore prays for your Excellency's mercy and justice and requests the permission he is seeking for.

Q. For this act of kindness, he shall ever pray for your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

He begs to remain,

Your Excellency's most obedient servant

George Channal

56. St. Xavier Street

Black Town

Madras.

The following papers are herewith enclosed,

1. Memorandum no 554 from the acting principals of the Medical College, late Lt. Col. Walker.
 2. Proceedings of the Directors of Public Instruction no 760 dated 2-8-1900.
 3. Petitioner's application to the principal of the Medical College dated 15th Jan'y 1901 with his endorsement thereon.
 4. Petitioner's application to the principal of the Medical College dated 9th July 1901, with his endorsement.
- that his future career will be blasted, if he is deemed to remain without the permission for appearing at the Final Examination.

C. N. 2988, From the Ag. Private Secy., No. , Dated 1. 10. 1901.

Endorsement- No. 15257/3.10.01

Transferred to the Educational Department

John A. Walker
Under Secretary

Cs.
2.10.01
Dec
37.01

1. Memorandum to the acting principal of the Medical College, dated 15th July 1901 with his

The Educational Secy. }
in li. Cl. in li. }
2. 9. 1901.

3. Petitioners' application to the principal of the Medical College dated 15th July 1901 with his endorsement thereon.

4. Petitioners' application to the principal of the Medical College dated 9th July 1901 with his endorsement.

4/10/01



NOTE FOR THE Secretary

This petition may be referred to the Director U.O. for remarks as to ^{whether} ~~if~~ the petitioner should ~~not~~ be admitted to the final examination as a private candidate. This has been done in the case of Husidas Husain. vide G.O. no 455 Edl & 16th Aug. 1901 below.

very well.

8/10/01

6 sh
9.10.01

resp 9/10/01

7/10.01. J. P. L. W. O.

Edl no 180 D dated 9 Oct 01.


The petitioner's request should not be granted. He was granted a remand at the end of his second year, though he failed in two subjects. At his final examination he failed in three subjects & in total marks. His case was considered by the College Council & he was recommended for dismissal & was accordingly dismissed. His appeal to me was rejected after a reference to the College Council. The Council said in respect of this case that

"such students swell the list of 'chronics', they take about twice the allotted time to get through their examinations, and even if they scrape through ultimately they have not sufficient capacity to make credit-able practitioners in medicine".

The Council is quite aware of the dearth of Hospital Assistants & is always willing to give another chance to students who show some prospect of ultimately passing & turning out well. The professors are personally acquainted with the students & know their merits & demerits, and Govt is not in a position to upset their decisions except on the ground of ^{technical} error.

The four cases quoted by the petitioner as analogous do not precisely resemble his own. Each case is separately considered by the Council & they are helped to their decision by what is known of the work of the student in class & his general capacity as well as by the results of examination.

The case of Mr Haidar Hupain Hindani was not referred to me, or I would have been strongly opposed to the grant of his petition. I consider the favourable reception of such petitions to Govt seriously detracts from the authority of the College Council. GHS 25.10.1



The draft placed below may issue.

Linkidarth Rao
1872 passed by the S/

PH
28/10/11
J. S. Joshi

29.10.11

190 .

READ ALSO :—

Deep
29/10/11

ମି
୨୫/୧୦ ।

ASP
19.00

To the petitioners }
Dated
31/10

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

190 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
190 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only