

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No.

48

DATED

29. 1. 01

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Referring to the D.P. I for further information
his application for an addl allotment
of Rs 13000 for the School of Arts by
transfer from the Law College & College
of Agriculture.

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	EC 279 of 01.		Traufs Bk Memo 62 11/3/07				

2,000—5-11-00

From

[Name] The Honourable Mr. G. H. Stuart, M. A., Bar-at-Law,

[*Designation*]-Director of Public Instruction,

[Station] Madras.



Ref. no. - No. 15371.

Dated 20th December 1900

Enclosures _____

Received 21. 12. 1906.

Registered 21. 12. 1900

FURTHER PAPERS.

2
100
2490w 1155.2ml
570
80
To
The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

In, In my letter No 9567, dated 26th September last, I brought to the notice of Government that, owing to expenditure on account of the Paris Exhibition, funds were not available for paying the staff and apprentices of the metal work and jewellers' work departments of the School of Arts, and I applied for sanction to meet the charges out of the allotment for the aluminium department. This proposal was, however, negatived in G.O. No 570, Educational, dated 7th November 1900. The Superintendent, School of Arts, reports that, under certain heads of expenditure, the allotments in the current year's budget have already been exceeded and that, under certain others, the provision in the budget will not suffice for the whole of the year. He requests, therefore, that an additional allotment of Rs. 8000 may be sanctioned to meet the excess expenditure during the remaining portion of the year. I give below the allotments for the current year, the amounts expended up to 1st November and the approximate additional allotment necessary.

	Budget allotment.	Expenditure up to 1st November.	Amount available.	Probable additional requirement.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Purchase of materials	5000	4433	567	1600
Wages for coolies	4500	5309	-809	3200
Tour charges	203	295	-92	300
Miscellaneous	182	4035	-3853	4700
	9885	14072	-4187	9800

By means of savings to the extent of Rs. 1800 which may be expected under the sanctioned allotments for apprentices, furniture and monograph, the additional allotment required may be reduced to Rs. 8000. This sum includes the charges amounting to Rs. 3091-7-6 which were incurred on freight &c on account of 12 cases of exhibits sent to London for the Paris Exhibition and which have been paid to Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co., but it excludes an amount of Rs. 5000 required for the metal work and jewellers' work departments which, though still under the control of Mr. Chatterton for all practical purposes, have to be treated as forming financially a part of the School of Arts (vide G.O. No 570, Educational, dated 7th November 1900, quoted above). Including this figure, the total additional allotment required for the school will be Rs. 13000 and I have the honour to request that the amount may be transferred from the heads indicated in the succeeding paragraph.

2. Out of the provision made for salaries of the staff of the Law College, a saving of Rs. 10850 is expected through the reduction during the current year in the staff employed for the College and of the honoraria paid to the Professors and assistant Professors who, having begun work late in the term, were not entitled to full payment. Of this saving, a sum of about Rs. 1800 has been proposed to be appropriated towards laboratory charges for the Presidency College (vide this office letter No 9561, dated 26th September 1900) and the balance of Rs. 9000 is thus available for transfer to the School of Arts. Again, in the College of Agriculture, a saving of Rs. 8400 may be expected under salaries owing to the absence of Mr. Keess on furlough and Rs. 4000 of this amount may be taken up for the School of Arts, thus making up the total of Rs. 13000 required for the institution.

3. I beg to solicit the favour of early orders, as the Accountant-General has reported that the allotment has been exceeded under certain heads and requests that the necessary provision may be transferred from other sources.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

Ex. 2
94



Memorandum No. 342 *resp 22/12/00*
22-12-00.

READ the following paper:—

Case 1762.

The Director is requested to explain
~~state in detail how the sanctioned~~
~~fully and clearly how the sanctioned~~
~~the items under which there is~~
~~an allotment for the school of arts~~
~~an expenditure of a considerable~~
~~is expected to be so largely ex-~~
~~ceeded over the sanctioned allotment~~
~~of the school of arts.~~
The charges on account
(are understood to
of the Paris exhibition seem to
amount to not more than
have caused an excess of ~~only~~
~~only~~ or ~~only~~ less than
Rs. 3100, while the total additional
fourth part of the sum
amount required is stated to be
Rs. 13000.

W. P. J.
22/12/00

W. P. J.
22. 12. 1900

20.

W. P. J. 22/12

22/12

*Encl. 1
176*

Educational (190 /) Department.

Urgent

CURRENT No. 60

From

[Name] The Honble Mr. G. H. Stuart, Ma. Bar-at-Law[Designation] Director of Public Instruction,[Station] MadrasReference No. 1642460Dated 16th January 1901.

Enclosures _____

Received 17.1.1901Registered 17.1.1901

SUBJECT.

Submitting with remarks details for the additional allotment required for the School of Arts.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

8

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter Ref. on C.No 15371 of 1900, dated the 20th ultimo, I have the honour to state that, since writing the above letter, the Superintendent, School of Arts, has reported that the additional allotment of Rs. 5000 recommended for the metal work classes will not suffice to meet the charges for the remaining portion of the current year. Up to the close of November, a sum of Rs. 2565 was expended over and above the budget allotment and a sum of Rs. 5100 is reported to be necessary for the charges to be incurred from 1st December to the close of the official year. The additional allotment required for the metal work classes alone thus amounts to Rs. 7665, while the amount required for the rest of the School of Arts is Rs. 8000. In my letter quoted above, I recommended that the additional allotment of Rs. 13000 ^{might} ~~may~~ be met from savings under Law College and the College of Agriculture. I now beg to recommend that the excess allotment of Rs. 3000 since reported to be necessary may also be met from the same sources.

2. With reference to memorandum, No 342, Educational, dated 22nd December 1900, I beg to state that details for the amount of Rs. 8000 for the School of Arts are noted in paragraph 1 of this office letter quoted above. In regard to the sum of Rs. 7665 recommended for the metal work classes, the details are given below:-

Stipends of apprentices	200
Wages of workmen	5264
Materials	4195
Tools	6
	7665

I am not sure what further details, Government wants. If information

9 148

is required in regard to the particular industries under which the expenditure has exceeded the budget allotment, the necessary particulars will be submitted as soon as they are received from the Superintendent, School of Arts who has been asked to furnish them.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

6

623
94

(Cuo 1762)

E.
17
500-6-11-00.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

(Cuo 1762)

NOTE FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

The D.P.I. applies for an additional allotment of Rs 13,000 for the School of arts and proposes that the amount required may be made up by transfers from the grants for the Law College and the College of Agriculture.

2. As in a separate paper the Financial department has proposed to resume the savings under the Law College, that dept may perhaps be consulted U.O. as to whether the proposed transfers may be sanctioned. Before however this is done it is submitted that the Director should

A. 7A fully & clearly explain how the sanctioned allotment under the school grants has been so largely exceeded.

B. The Paris exhibition seems to have been responsible for only Rs 3000 out of the 13000.

21/12/00

As at A, stating the point at B is the reference which should be official

AS P

22.12.1900

The draft reference below may issue.

21/12/00

Yes.

22.12.1900

The Director's reply to the reference made to him will be found at page 8 of the current file. It does not help us much. What we want particularly to know is the reason why the sanctioned allotment ~~has been exceeded~~ ^{and there is no word about it in the reply.} will be so largely exceeded. The excess originally anticipated was Rs 13,000; it has now risen to Rs 16,000; it may ~~still~~ be more before the year closes if not checked at once.

17/1/01 I don't think any thing will be gained ~~by asking~~ ^{by asking} for reasons - in other words by ^{bringing out} calls for explanation. It may be necessary to do so later on, but at present the particular matter on which orders are necessary is being hung up while attempts are made to ~~obtain~~ find out who is to blame & how.

It is thought that the information on pp. 2 & 8 of the current file is enough to enable the Finl. dept. to decide on the point. *for* *M.S.P.* *17/1/01*

To Finance no. What is really wanted is information - why more has been spent on wages than was budgeted for & why more has been

Spent on materials
what are the details of the excess on
miscellaneous. Probably Mr. Chatterton is responsible for all these excesses, as he apparently has been in charge of the work. The money however much he found and the above questions can be asked when sanctioning the allotment now asked for.

To Finance no.

Edd. No. no. 7 d/ 18-1-01.

File DEPT.
C. 41-R
Date 18-1-1901

Financial Department.

For the C.S.

There are two matters here viz,

- (i) The resumption of savings from the grant for the Law College.
- (ii) The grant of an additional allotment for the School of Arts.

2. As regards (i) it was suggested in the notes under G.O.No.543 Educl., dated the 31st October 1900, and ⁱⁿ the notes under G.O.No.663 Educl., dated the 20th

* The Finl. Dept. note is dated 22-11-00.

J
D

December

of savings under the Law should be resumed. In the notes on the Educational budget, allusion was made to the contemplated resumption and the order stated that the charges for 22 EDUCATION during 1900-1901 should not exceed the altered grant of 17.46 lakhs if the savings (.09 lakh) under Law College were resumed. The Educational Department in its note dated the 5th December 1900 in G.O.No.663 Educational, dated the 20th December 1900 waived its objection to the resumption of Rs.3,350 from the grant for the Law College. The savings in the Law College grant are now placed at Rs.10,350.

3. As to (ii), the Director reports that an additional allotment of Rs.16,000 is required for the School of Arts and ^{to meet} against this he suggests re-appropriation from the savings of Rs.10,350 in the Law College above referred to and from savings to the extent of Rs.3,400 expected in the College of Agriculture - total saving Rs.19,250.

4. If Rs.16,000 of the savings of Rs.19,250 go to the School of Arts as proposed by the Director of Public Instruction, there will be left a sum of Rs.3,250. The Director has already suggested that an excess charge of Rs.1300 in connection with the Presidency College laboratory should be met from savings in the Law College grant and he has been asked to state if any portion of the laboratory charges can be postponed to the 1st April 1901. If the Rs.1300 is also

met from these ^{savings} there will be ~~then~~ a sum of Rs. {19,225-(16000+1300)} = 1425 ^{remaining from the savings}

5. In the Civil Budget Estimate for 1901-1902 the Accountant-General places the revised estimate under 22 EDUCATION at 17.33 lakhs against the budget grant

W
Page 100 of G.O.No.1263 Educational, d/6-12-00.

Para. 11 of the order.

D
Para 2 of C.No.1762
Page 3 of current file.

C.No.60 of 1901,
Page 3 of current file
and para 2 of C.No.1762,
Page 3 of current file.

D
G.O. No. 668, Educational,
20-12-00.

P. 120.

P
Rs.5,000 was resumed
in f.o. No. 1040, Financial,
dated the 13th Oct. 1900

W
f.o. No. 1263 Financial,
6.12.00

2 Aug. 3
and G.O. No. 1227 Finl:
9.28. x 1.00.

25.1.01

of 17.60 and the altered grant of 17.55 for 1900-1901. The excess over the altered grant is .23 lakh and it occurs mainly under GRANTS IN AID.

6 In the orders on the Educational budget, the Director of Public Instruction has been told that he should ensure that the total charges under EDUCATION are not allowed to exceed the altered grant. As pointed out by Educational, the expenditure upon which the Director now asks for funds must be met and little will be gained by asking the Director of Public Instruction for explanation before sanctioning the expenditure. ^{however,} Educational may be asked ^{to indicate} in addition to the information ~~it is~~ ^{obtaining} to call upon the Director in its order on these papers to examine his expenditure under the Major Head EDUCATION and his revised estimates in the light of the above remarks and in communication with the Accountant-General and to report

fully to Government on the subject at a very early date. It will be seen from G.Os No. 1202-3 Finl.,

d/ the 22nd Nov. 1900 that in 1899-1900 the Educational ^{a (large) grant under} was exceeded ~~by Rs. 23 lakhs~~ ^{by Rs. 23 lakhs} for the excess ~~of Rs. 23 lakhs~~ ^{of Rs. 23 lakhs} over the grant for Public Instruction.

Curd.
25.1.01

I do not find in the paper any intelligible explanation of the causes which have led to the sum in which these appropriations are now required. W. H. C. call for an explanation of the circumstances

28711 n.

Est. i.

1,500-8-11-00

158¹⁰

” 221 ” ” ” 190

C. No. 1762, From the D. P. I., Ref. C, No. 15371, dated 20th December 1900

" 60, " " " " Ref. C, " 16424, " 16^a January 1901.

ORDER No. 48, dated 29 / 1 / 190✓

Mis.

The Gaub. do not find in the papers read above any intelligible explanation of the causes which have led to the excess for which these re-appropriations are now required. The Director will be requested to report further on the case, with details.

ASP

28. 1. 1

The - J. P. 9 - 120

29/11

29

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

180

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
190 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.