

Educational (1900) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 120

DATED 5. 3. 01

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Along with the remarks an honorarium of Rs 350/-
to Mr Reynolds for the assistance rendered to him
to Mr Chattopadhyay in connection with
the work at the School of Arts.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2,000-5-11-00.

Educational (190/) Department.


CURRENT No. 134

From

[Name] *The Honble Mr. G. H. Stuart, M.A. Bar-at-Law,*

[Designation] *Director of Public Instruction,*

[Station] *Madras.*



Ref No. *15353 of 1900*

Received *1.2.* 1901.

Dated *30th January* 1901.

Enclosures

Registered *1.2.* 1901.

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction for the appointment of Sergeant Reynolds as Assistant to Mr. Chatterton in the Museum Dept. of the School of Arts from 1st August to 14 November 1900, both days inclusive.

BACK PAPERS.

*721 R. 22-12-00
555 AR 6-10-00
511 SE. 18-10-00*

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

In reply to G.O.No. 721, Educational, dated 22nd December 1900, I have the honour to say that Sergeant Reynolds was employed as Assistant to Mr. Chatterton in the Aluminium branch of the School of Arts before the appointment of Sergeant Brand. The latter officer took charge of the appointment on the 15th November last on which date Sergeant Reynolds' connection with the School of Arts ceased. I request that, in the circumstances stated, the sanction of Government be obtained for the appointment of Sergeant Reynolds as Assistant to Mr. Chatterton from 1st August to 14th November 1900, both days inclusive, on a salary of Rs. 100 per mensem.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Stuart
Director of Public Instruction.

[E. & L.]
3

200-6-12-0

3

EST. DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 134, From the D. P. I., Ref. No. 15333, Dated 20-1-1901.

No. 35 of 8-2-01.

The D. P. I. is requested to explain how Sergeant Reynolds came to be employed as asst. to Mr. Chatterton. In reply to the Dir's letter, of 27th Sept. 1900, no. 9603, Mr. Stuart was informed that no action would be taken on that letter as Sergeant Brand's services could be spared. Sergeant Reynolds should not have been employed without sanction. The Dir is requested to report under whose authority he was so employed. Reynolds cannot in any case be granted any increase in the shape of salary without the sanction of the Secy: of State.

[Initd] m. H.


6-11-00.

To. Mr. D. P. I.

Educational (190/) Department.

CURRENT No. 283

From

[Name] The Honble Mr. G. H. Stuart, M.A. Barr-at-Law[Designation] Director of Public Instruction[Station] Madras.Ref. No. 15333 of-00Dated 25th February 190/.

Enclosures _____

Received 27. 2. 190/.Registered 27. 2. 190/.

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation for the employment of Mr. Reynolds as assistant to Mr. Chatterton in the Aluminium department and recommending an honorarium of Rs 350 for the period during which he was so employed.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

Referring to Memorandum No 35, Educational, dated

the 8th instant, I have the honour to say that no authority was given to Mr. Chatterton for the employment of Mr. Reynolds as his assistant,

but, owing to extreme pressure of work in the Aluminium department of the School

of Arts, which required the immediate employment of an assistant, Mr. Chatterton

employed him in anticipation of the sanction of Government until

Sergeant Brand joined. Mr. Reynolds performed the duties without

prejudice to his work at the College of Engineering.

2. In regard to the extra allowance to be given to Mr. Reynolds

for the period in question (1st August to 14th November 1900), I now

beg to recommend that, instead of a monthly allowance of Rs. 100, an

honorarium of Rs. 350 be sanctioned by Government under article 89, (b)

of the Civil Service Regulations.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. H. Stuart

Director of Public Instruction.

C. No. 134 of 1901.

SUBJECT

Sergeant Reynolds.

FOR ORDERS.

The D.P.I. reports that Sergeant Reynolds of the College of Engineering was employed as assistant to Mr. Chatterton in the Aluminium branch of the school of arts from 1st August to 14th November 1900, both days inclusive, on a salary of Rs 100 per mensem and requests that the sanction of Govt. may now be accorded for this arrangement.

1. orders are solicited.

3. The Director does not say by whom or under what authority Mr. Reynolds was employed as assistant to Mr. Chatterton. It is not unlikely however that Mr.

A [Chatterton is solely responsible for the irregularity.

4. All the same, Mr. Reynolds has now to be paid for his extra work. There may be account objections against any addition in the shape of salary, but under Article 89 of the C.S.R. Govt. can pay him an honorarium not exceeding Rs 500. It is submitted that under this article an honorarium of Rs 340 or Rs 350 may be given.

Pt 4/2/01

The

The supposition at A is probably correct. It is hardly likely however that the Mil. Dept. will agree to the proposal without a definite report on the subject, & I fear that the D.P.I. must be required to explain.

Ref No 35 d/8.2.01

The Dir. P. I. is requested to explain how Sergeant Reynolds came to be employed as Am to Mr. Chatterton. In reply to the Dir's letter d. 27 Sept 1900 - 9603, Mr. Stuart was informed that no action would be taken on that letter as Stuart Brand's Service had been once he spared. Sergeant Reynolds' shared work have been employed without sanction. The Dir. is requested to report under whose authority he was so employed. He cannot in any case be granted any increase in the shape of salary without the sanction of the Secy of State.

The Director's reply will be found on page 5 of the current file. His recommendation that an honorarium of

Rs 350 may be paid to Mr. Reynolds may perhaps be accepted.

Mr Chatterton acted very irregularly but possibly zealously. Is anything to be said on that point?

Order No. 120 d/5.3.01

As an honorarium of Rs 350 will be paid to Mr. Reynolds. Mr. Chatterton's action was irregular and the D.P.I. is requested to instruct him not to act in such cases in future without authority.

Mm
28/01

To The D.P.I. Depd
8/2

L
The D.P.I. Depd
in A.G. 8/2

When given for copying _____

When copied _____

When examined _____

When signed _____

180 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____

190 .

, DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.